




Experience from the ongoing Phagoburn clinical trial

Jérôme Gabard - CEO



- Sponsor of the first multicenter phage therapy trial
 - SME
 - Private equity. Last financial round March 2015: 2.6 M€
- Reminder : key objectives of  PhagoBurn
 - Set up a robust phage Good Manufacturing Process that is identical from one phage to another:
 - at least within the same product
 - and at best for any phage cocktail
 - Check the safety and efficacy of two phage cocktails in a multicenter European high standards clinical trial
- Expected outcome
 - Open the way at last for phage therapy and get this therapeutic approach finally recognized.



Major pitfall of Phagoburn

Manufacturing

- Transferring an R&D manufacturing process from R&D to GMP scale is a challenge
 - The first plan was shooting for 12 months manufacturing for two clinical batches. Finally, it took us twice as much
- Manufacturing bacteriophages was more time consuming and complicated than expected
 - A product contains a dozen of active substances !
 - QC/QA is multiplied by 12 compared to the single active ingredient of an antibiotic
- Not all phages like to be produced in larger volumes
 - 2 phages out of 25 were removed because of a too limited yielding when scaling up

Major pitfall of Phagoburn

Regulatory

- The EMA-SME status is not equally recognized
 - So far: free of charge for ANSM, 1 200 € for Swissmedic and 12 500 € for AFMPS
 - Likely more when final bills are sent.
- Dealing with 3 agencies is a big effort for an SME
 - Divergences regarding our genuine GMP plan: a phage for topical application needs to be aseptic (like for iv)
 - Need a shared determination to exchange amid agencies
 - Would a centralized regulatory process facilitate?
- Phages are “living” self propagating drugs
 - That nature triggers specific concerns : dose effect, multiplication in case of a sepsis, elimination
 - Some questions are raised that have never been asked for antibiotics: prophages, endotoxins...

Raised topics for the clinical trial

- Phage products are most often monospecific
 - Need to rethink treatment strategy: antibiotic experience doesn't apply
- Phages are a self-multiplying drug
 - Monitoring microbiological effect during trial course helps setting up the treatment regimen
- Diagnostic test mandatory before treatment
 - We should have done that for antibiotics
 - For phages, no question, it must be done: “phagogram”
- Impact on microbiote
 - The question is raised with phages, as we ever question it for antibiotics, although their impact is likely more potent
- Impact on environment
 - When trillions of phages are found naturally in water?

Conclusions

- Keep in touch with us on  PhagoBurn
 - <http://www.phagoburn.eu/>
- Communication contact
 - Dr. François Ravat – **Head of department** – Saint Luc/Saint Joseph Hospital
+33 1 4 78 61 89 25
fravat@ch-stjoseph-stluc-lyon.fr
- Coordinator contact
 - Patrick Jault – **Head of department** – Hôpital d'Instruction des Armées Percy
+33 1 41 46 82 00
patrick.jault@santarm.fr
- Sponsor contact
 - Jérôme Gabard – **CEO** - Pherecydes Pharma
+33 6 07 24 85 19
jerome.gabard@pherecydes-pharma.com
- World Alliance Against Antibiotic Resistance (just released)
 - Phage Therapy – Back to the future (Gabard & Jault)
 - Could viruses help resolve the WW antibiotic crisis (De Vos & Pirnay)
<http://view.pagetiger.com/AMRControl2015>