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Pediatric Extrapolation in the U.S.

• 1992: Proposed Reg-Pediatric Use Subsection introduces concept of Extrapolation
• 1994: Final Reg: Peds Labeling Rule (defines Extrapolation)
• 1997: FDAMA Exclusivity – does not discuss extrapolation
• 1998: Pediatric Rule – Pediatric extrapolation of efficacy included
  – Provides evidence standards for pediatric extrapolation
• 2001: Court enjoins FDA’s Pediatric Rule
• 2002: BPCA – does not discuss extrapolation
• 2003: PREA – re-introduces Extrapolation-shortened reference
• 2007: FDAAA - Both BPCA and PREA are renewed for 5 years
• 2012: FDSIA – BPCA and PREA “made permanent”
• 2012: Clinical Pharmacology Advisory Committee on Pediatrics
1994 Final Regulation on Pediatric Labeling

• “A pediatric use statement may also be based on adequate and well-controlled studies in adults, provided that the agency concludes that the course of the disease and the drug’s effects are sufficiently similar in the pediatric and adult populations to permit extrapolation from the adult efficacy data to pediatric patients. Where needed, pharmacokinetic data to allow determination of an appropriate pediatric dosage, and additional pediatric safety information must also be submitted”

- Evidence [of effectiveness] that could support a conclusion of similar disease course and similar drug effect in adult and pediatric populations includes:

  1. evidence of common pathophysiology and natural history of the disease in the adult and pediatric populations,

  2. evidence of common drug metabolism and similar concentration-response relationships in each population, and

  3. experience with the drug, or other drugs in its therapeutic class, in the disease or condition or related diseases or conditions
FDA Prior Extrapolation Experience

- The FDA experience with pediatric extrapolation was reviewed by an Extrapolation Committee during 2009-2010 and the results were published in 2011;
- Each review division from the Office of New Drugs met with the committee and summarized their pediatric extrapolation experience.
## Summary of Approaches to Extrapolation
(Assessment of 166 products between 1998-2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extrapolation</th>
<th>Supportive Evidence Requested From Pediatric Studies</th>
<th>Products n/N (%)</th>
<th>New or Expanded Indication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>Two adequate, well-controlled, efficacy and safety trials plus PK data.</td>
<td>19/166 (11)</td>
<td>7/19 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oncology products only: sequential approach starting with phase 1/2. Do not proceed if no evidence of response.</td>
<td>10/166 (6)</td>
<td>3/10 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>Single, adequate, well-controlled, efficacy and safety trial (powered for efficacy) plus PK data.</td>
<td>67/166 (40)</td>
<td>35/67 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single, controlled or uncontrolled, efficacy and safety trial (qualitative data) plus PK data.</td>
<td>20/166 (12)</td>
<td>15/20 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single exposure-response trial (not powered for efficacy) plus PK and safety data, PK/PD and uncontrolled efficacy plus safety data, or PK/PD plus safety data.</td>
<td>26/166 (16)</td>
<td>19/26 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete</td>
<td>PK and safety data.</td>
<td>10/166 (6)</td>
<td>9/10 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Safety data only.</td>
<td>14/166 (8)</td>
<td>6/14 (43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Dunne J et al. Pediatrics 2011;128;e1242.
Extrapolation of Efficacy From Sources Other Than Controlled Adult Data for Same Indication (Extrapolation Committee – 2011)

- Other pediatric age groups
  (different levels of evidence in different age groups)
- Other formulations of same active ingredient
- Related pediatric indications
- Adult indication for (similar) pediatric indication
FDA: Pediatric Safety is Not Extrapolated

• Other sources of safety information do inform the pediatric safety program;
• Safety must be assessed in the pediatric population with the condition of interest;
• May be able to utilize safety from a similar pediatric indication in a similar population (e.g. otitis media, sinusitis).
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Pediatric Study Planning & Extrapolation Algorithm

Is it reasonable to assume that children, when compared to adults, have a similar: (1) disease progression and (2) response to intervention?

- No to either
- Yes to both

Is it reasonable to assume similar exposure-response in pediatrics and adults?

- No
- Yes

Is there a PD measurement that can be used to predict efficacy in children?

- No
- Yes

Conduct:
- "Full extrapolation"
  - (1) Adequate PK study to select dose(s) to achieve similar exposure as adults.
  - (2) Safety trials at the identified dose(s).

Conduct:
- "Partial extrapolation"
  - (1) Adequate dose-ranging studies in children to establish dosing.
  - (2) Safety and efficacy trials at the identified dose(s) in children.

Footnotes:

a. For locally active drugs, includes plasma PK at the identified dose(s) as part of safety assessment.
b. For partial extrapolation, one efficacy trial may be sufficient.
c. For drugs that are systemically active, the relevant measure is systemic concentration.
d. For drugs that are locally active (e.g., intra-luminal or mucosal site of action), the relevant measure is systemic concentration only if it can be reasonably assumed that systemic concentrations are a reflection of the concentrations at the relevant biospace (e.g., skin, intestinal mucosa, nasal passages, lung).
e. When appropriate, use of modeling and simulation for dose selection (supplemented by pediatric clinical data when necessary) and/or trial simulation is recommended.
Pediatric Study Planning & Extrapolation Algorithm

Is it reasonable to assume that children, when compared to adults, have a similar: (1) disease progression and (2) response to intervention?

- No to either
- Yes to both

Is it reasonable to assume similar exposure-response in pediatrics and adults?

- No
- Yes

Is there a PD measurement that can be used to predict efficacy in children?

- No
- Yes

Conduct:
1. Adequate dose-ranging studies in children to establish dosing.
2. Safety and efficacy trials at the identified dose(s) in children.

“Full extrapolation”

Conduct:
1. Adequate PK study to select dose(s) to achieve similar exposure as adults.
2. Safety trials at the identified dose(s).

“When appropriate, use of modeling and simulation for dose selection and/or trial simulation is recommended.”

Footnotes:
a. For locally active drugs.
b. For partial extrapolation.
c. For drugs that are primarily metabolized by the gut.
d. For drugs that are primarily metabolized by the liver.
e. When appropriate, use of modeling and simulation is recommended.
Clinical Pharmacology Advisory Committee – March, 2012

Focus was on pediatric drug development, and the problems that have been encountered over the past 10 years.

1. Should modeling and simulation methods be considered in all pediatric drug development programs?

(VOTE) YES: 13    NO: 0    ABSTAIN: 0

http://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/AdvisoryCommitteeforPharmaceuticalScienceandClinicalPharmacology/ucm286697.htm
Optimizing the Use of Experience with a Drug or Drug Class or Therapeutic Indication

• “course of the disease and the drug’s effects are sufficiently similar”
  – Leveraging prior experience (actual adult and pediatric data is always a higher level of evidence, and informs M&S)
    • e.g. Partial onset seizures
  – Clinical trial simulation
  – Disease modeling
• “evidence of common drug metabolism and similar concentration - response relationships in each population”
  – Matching pediatric exposure to adult exposure
  – Exposure-response analysis
  – Physiologically-based PK
Leveraging Prior Experience in Partial Onset Seizures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>Pediatrics</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Adjunctive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gabapentin (Neurontin)</td>
<td>&gt; 12y</td>
<td>3y to 12y</td>
<td>Partial Seizures</td>
<td>3y to 12y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 16y</td>
<td>1m to 16y</td>
<td>Partial Onset Seizures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 12y</td>
<td>Myoclonic Seizure in Patients with Juvenile Myoclonic Epilepsy</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levetiracetam (Keppra)</td>
<td>&gt; 12y</td>
<td>6y to 16y</td>
<td>Primary Generalized Tonic-clonic Seizures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 16y</td>
<td>&gt; 10y or 30kg</td>
<td>Seizure Disorders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clonazepam (Klonopin)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>&gt; 10y or 30kg</td>
<td>Partial Seizures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Primary Generalized Tonic-clonic Seizures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamotrigine (Lamictal)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>&gt;=2y</td>
<td>Partial Seizures, Generalized Seizures of Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome, Primary Generalized Tonic-clonic Seizures</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topiramate (Topamax)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>2-16y</td>
<td>Seizures of Lennox-Gastaut Syndrome</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial Onset Seizures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxcarbazepine (Trileptal)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Partial Seizures</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Partial-onset seizures with or without secondarily generalized seizures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perampanel (Fycompa)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>&gt;12y</td>
<td>Partial seizures</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiagabine (Gabitril)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>&gt;12y</td>
<td>Partial Seizures</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Angela Men: [http://www.pharmacy.umaryland.edu/media/SOP/wwwpharmacyumarylandedu/centers/cersievents/pediatricpbpk/Men - PEACE Initiative.pdf](http://www.pharmacy.umaryland.edu/media/SOP/wwwpharmacyumarylandedu/centers/cersievents/pediatricpbpk/Men - PEACE Initiative.pdf)
Clinical Trial Simulation Prediction of Outcome of Pediatric Trials

Hypothesis 2: Drug X + IVIG decreases risk of CAA in infants but not children

Exposure “matching”

- Only 8% of trials had pre-defined acceptance criteria;
- Some exposure matching studies in infants have failed.
Concentration – Response Analysis

Concentration-aPTT relationship is similar between adults (healthy) and pediatrics (patients)

- Pediatric Patients - Old Data
- Healthy Adults
- Mean
- Pediatric Patients - New Data
Summary

• The sources of data available to expedite the pediatric extrapolation process have not changed since the 2011 assessment;

• How we can leverage our experience has changed based on (a) additional pediatric data available in the disease and in the class of drug, and (b) advancing techniques in modeling & simulation of PK/PD, clinical trials and disease states.
  – M&S can contribute to answering both questions: (1) course of the disease and drug’s effects; and (2) similar metabolism and concentration-response relationships