



1 | ~~2114 July-June 2016~~ 27 July 2015
2 | EMEA/CHMP/2990/00 Rev.5
3 | Committee for Human Medicinal Products (CHMP)

4 | Guideline on the processing of renewals in the centralised 5 | procedure

6 | **Draft**

Transmission to CPMP	November 2000
Release for consultation	December 2000
Deadline for comments	17 March 2001
Adoption by CPMP	May 2001
Date of entry into force	June 2001
Update adopted by CHMP & transmission to EC	25 July 2005
Release for consultation	12 August 2005
Deadline for comments	7 September 2005
Adoption by CHMP	13 September 2005
Publication EMEA Web & transmission to NTA	20 October 2005
Date of entry into force	20 November 2005
Update adopted by CHMP & transmission to EC	March 2012
Release for consultation	March 2012
Deadline for comments	13 April 2012
Adoption by CHMP	June 2012
Publication EMA Web & transmission to NTA	June 2012
Date of entry into force	2 July 2012
Update adopted by the CHMP for release for consultation	28 July 2015
Deadline for comments	14 September 2015



Adoption by CHMP	11th of July 2016
Date of entry into force	1st November 2016*

7 [* After adoption by CHMP, Applicants may apply some or all provisions of this guideline in advance of this date.](#)

8

9 **Guideline on the processing of renewals in the centralised**
10 **procedure**
11 Table of Content

12	1. Introduction	4
13	2. Legal Framework.....	4
14	3. Principles of submission and evaluation	5
15	3.1. Date for renewal	5
16	3.2. Timetable	5
17	3.3. Documents to submit.....	6
18	3.3.1. Administrative information	6
19	3.3.2. Risk Management Plan (RMP).....	7 6
20	3.3.3. Addendum to quality overall summary / non-clinical overview/clinical overview	7
21	3.4. Assessment process.....	9
22	3.5. The Committee's opinion	10
23	3.5.1. Favourable opinion	11 10
24	3.5.2. Unfavourable opinion	12
25	3.6. Follow-up to the CHMP opinion.....	13 12
26	3.6.1. Translation and transmission of the CHMP opinion	13 12
27	3.6.2. Re-examination	13
28	3.6.3. European Public Assessment Report (EPAR)	13
29	3.6.4. Negative decision	14 13
30	Renewal timetable (CHMP).....	15 14
31	Documents to submit	16 15
32	Module 1:	16 15
33	Module 2:	18 17

34

35 Processing of renewals in the centralised procedure

36 1. Introduction

37 This guideline considers issues associated with the processing of renewals in the centralised procedure,
38 with an aim of giving procedural guidance to marketing authorisation holders (MAHs). It has been
39 developed by the CHMP following consultation of the interested parties and the European Commission
40 Services.

41 This guideline is not legally binding, and in case of doubt, reference should be made to the appropriate
42 EU Directives and Regulations.

43 This document should be read in connection with the Notice to Applicants' documents.

44 Renewal of conditional marketing authorisations (i.e. only valid for one year) is not covered in this
45 guideline. Guidance regarding renewal of such marketing authorisation is provided in a separate
46 document¹. Marketing authorisations approved under exceptional circumstances are covered by this
47 guideline.

48 2. Legal Framework

49 In accordance with Article 14(1-3) of Regulation (EC) No. 726/2004, a marketing authorisation (MA) is
50 valid for five years ~~„, from the date of notification of the Commission Decision to the MAH,~~ except when
51 a "conditional marketing authorisation"² has been granted. The 5-years period will be counted from the
52 date of notification of the Commission Decision to the MAH. The marketing authorisation may be
53 renewed upon application by the marketing authorisation holder at least nine months before its expiry.
54 ~~The renewal assessment must be based on a general re-evaluation of the benefit/risk balance of the~~
55 ~~product.~~

56 In order for a marketing authorisation to remain valid, a renewal is required five years after the
57 granting of the marketing authorisation (irrespective of whether the marketing authorisation is
58 suspended). The renewal assessment must be based on a general re-evaluation of the benefit-risk
59 balance of the product.

60 Once renewed, the marketing authorisation shall be valid for an unlimited period, unless the competent
61 authority decides, on justified grounds relating to pharmacovigilance, including exposure of an
62 insufficient number of patients to the medicinal product concerned, to proceed with one additional five-
63 year renewal.

64 In the case where a MAH does not submit the renewal application, the MA will expire by law.

65 Article 12(1) of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004, indicates that an authorisation shall notably be refused
66 where the labelling and package leaflet do not comply with the requirements of Title V of
67 Directive 2001/83/EC.

68 Certain changes to the marketing authorisation particulars may be made at renewal, and these
69 changes shall not trigger a variation procedure. Further details of such permitted changes are given in
70 Section 3.3 and 3.4. However, none of the changes introduced at renewal should substitute for the
71 marketing authorisation holder's obligation to update the marketing authorisation throughout the life of

¹ Guideline on the scientific application and the practical arrangements necessary to implement Commission Regulation (EC) No 507/2006 on the conditional marketing authorisation for medicinal products for human use falling within the scope of regulation (EC) No 726/2004 (EMA/509951/2006).

² According to Article 14(7) of Regulation (EC) 726/2004, conditional marketing authorisations shall be valid for one year on a renewable basis.

72 the product by a variation procedure application as data emerge, in accordance with the relevant legal
73 dispositions applicable to variations, ~~procedures~~.
74 ~~Once renewed, the marketing authorisation shall be valid for an unlimited period, unless the competent~~
75 ~~authority decides, on justified grounds relating to pharmacovigilance, including exposure of an~~
76 ~~insufficient number of patients to the medicinal product concerned, to proceed with one additional five-~~
77 ~~year renewal.~~

78 In any case, in accordance with Article 16(3) of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004, the marketing
79 authorisation holder has an obligation to ensure that the product information is kept up to date with
80 the current scientific knowledge including the conclusions of the assessment and the recommendations
81 made public by means of the European medicines web-portal.³

82 ~~It is to be noted that i~~In accordance with Article 16(43a) of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004, the EMA
83 may request data at any time from the MAH to assess whether the benefit-risk balance remains
84 favourable.

85 **3. Principles of submission and evaluation**

86 **3.1. Date for renewal**

87 In accordance with Article 14 (2) of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004, for the renewal application to be
88 valid ~~under Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004~~, marketing authorisation holders must apply at
89 least nine months before the expiry date, i.e. the 5-year anniversary of the notification of the
90 Commission Decision granting the marketing authorisation, irrespective of whether the marketing
91 authorisation is suspended.

92 The marketing authorisation holder should agree in advance the submission date of the renewal
93 application with the EMA who will liaise with the CHMP and PRAC Rapporteurs, ~~as appropriate~~ taking
94 into account the recommended starting dates published on the EMA website⁴ (see also section 3.2). ~~In~~
95 ~~order to facilitate the preparation of~~When preparing the renewal application, the MAH is advised to
96 refer to the European Medicines Agency post-authorisation procedural advice for users of the
97 centralised procedure. For any additional question regarding the submission of the Renewal
98 application, the MAH can contact the procedure manager at the EMA ~~at~~ Renewalquery@ema.europa.eu
99 responsible for the product. ~~Exceptionally, i~~f considered necessary by the MAH and further to the
100 confirmation~~consultation~~ with the EMA, a pre-renewal submission meeting can be organised well-in
101 advance in a date compatible ~~to~~with the renewal submission.

102 In the case where a MAH does not submit the renewal application, the MA will expire by law.

103 **3.2. Timetable**

104 The MAH should submit the renewal application by the recommended submission dates published on
105 the EMA website⁵ and, in any case, no later than 9 months before the MA ceases to be valid as per
106 Article 14(2) of Regulation 726/2004.

³ http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=/pages/home/Home_Page.jsp&jsenabled=true

⁴ http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/document_listing/document_listing_000330.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac05803d8b9c

⁵ http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/document_listing/document_listing_000330.jsp&murl=menus/regulations/regulations.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac05803d8b9c

107 The timetable for the scientific evaluation by the PRAC and the CHMP should be set in order to allow
108 the Commission Decision to be adopted before the expiry date of the marketing authorisation. (See
109 timetable in Annex 1).

110 ~~Upon receipt of a technically valid application, a dedicated the p~~Procedure Mmanager responsible for
111 ~~will be assigned to the procedure~~the product will perform the validation of the content of the
112 ~~application. Supplementary information may be requested in order to finalise the validation.~~

113 The EMA will acknowledge receipt of a valid renewal application and shall start the procedure in
114 accordance with the recommended starting dates published on the EMA website. The MAH will be
115 informed of the adopted timetable at the start of the procedure.

116 The renewal procedure will involve the CHMP Rapporteur/CAT Rapporteur as applicable, and the PRAC
117 Rapporteur who have been appointed for that medicinal product and the respective committees.

118 **3.3. Documents to submit**

119 The renewal constitutes a crucial step in the lifecycle of a medicinal product, where a re-evaluation of
120 the benefit-risk balance of the medicinal product takes place. The documentation presented hereafter
121 should be submitted within the renewal application.

122 The list of documents to submit is given in Annex 2.

123 Practical details on the renewal application submission are given in the EMA Post-Authorisation
124 ~~Guidance document procedural advice for users of the centralised procedure published~~ on the EMA
125 website (Human Medicines – Application Procedures⁶).

126 **3.3.1. Administrative information**

127 The renewal application form should be completed electronically. The electronic EU renewal form is
128 available from the eSubmission website⁷.

129 The marketing authorisation holder should complete one renewal application form for the Centrally
130 Authorised Medicinal Product (= 1 application per MAeore EU Number), appending a list of all
131 authorised strengths, pharmaceutical forms and presentations of the product concerned for which
132 renewal is sought. In cases where the MAH does not wish to renew certain presentations (e.g. a certain
133 pharmaceutical form, strength or pack-size), this should be clearly indicated in the cover letter and
134 these should not be included in the appended list.

135 If a revised Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC), labelling and/or Package Leaflet (PL) is
136 proposed within the renewal application~~to take account of issues raised by the expert~~, the precise
137 ~~present-current~~ and proposed wording should be specified on the form. Alternatively, such listing may
138 be provided as a separate document attached to the application form under a tabular format
139 (indicating the current and proposed texts). Any change(s) not listed, will not be considered as part of
140 the renewal application.

141 In general, proposed amendments to the SmPC should be brought to the attention of the EMA before
142 submission, preferably via the query service or during a pre-renewal submission meeting when
143 ~~applicable~~ (see also section 3.1).

⁶

http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/document_listing/document_listing_000090.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac0580023398&jsenabled=true

⁷ <http://esubmission.ema.europa.eu/eaf/index.html> http://ec.europa.eu/health/documents/eudralex/vol-2/index_en.htm

144 | The renewal application form also incorporates a signed declaration ~~to be signed~~ stating that the
145 | quality of the product, in respect of the methods of preparation and control, has been regularly
146 | updated by variation procedure to take account of technical and scientific progress, and that the
147 | product conforms with current CHMP quality guidelines, where relevant (see Annex 2 Documents to
148 | submit: 2.3 Addendum to Quality Overall Summary).

149 | **3.3.2. Risk Management Plan (RMP)**

150 | For medicinal products which have a Risk Management Plan (RMP), the MAH is requested to submit an
151 | update of the RMP within the renewal application in view of re-assessing the overall benefit-risk
152 | balance of the medicinal product concerned. In case the MAH considers that there is no need to change
153 | the latest RMP on the basis of analysis of ~~additional~~ data within the renewal application, given the last
154 | RMP updates submitted, this should be highlighted in the cover letter and a relevant justification ~~can~~
155 | should be provided in Module 2.5 Addendum to the clinical overview. Where such statement is
156 | provided, the CHMP and the PRAC may nevertheless consider an update of the RMP necessary and ~~can~~
157 | request its submission during the renewal procedure.

158 | The format and content of the RMP must follow the requirements set out in the Commission
159 | Implementing Regulation (EU) 520/2012 on the performance of pharmacovigilance activities and
160 | Module V of the Good pharmacovigilance practices.

161 | For medicinal products which do not have a Risk Management Plan (RMP), the MAH should state in the
162 | cover letter that no RMP has been submitted for the concerned product as not available.

163

164 | **3.3.3. Addendum to quality overall summary / non-clinical** 165 | **overview/clinical overview**

166 | ***Addendum to quality overall summary***

167 | There is no ~~updating-update~~ of Part II/Module 3 quality data at renewal. The marketing authorisation
168 | holder has an obligation to keep this module updated on an on-going basis throughout the life of the
169 | product using variation ~~procedures~~applications.

170 | The Addendum shall be signed and accompanied by the CV of the expert (Module 1.4.1).

171 | The Addendum should include a declaration of compliance with Article 16(1) of Regulation (EC) No
172 | 726/2004, which obliges marketing authorisation holders to "take account of technical and scientific
173 | progress and introduce any changes that may be required to enable the medicinal products to be
174 | manufactured and checked by means of generally accepted scientific methods".

175 | The Addendum should confirm that all changes relating to the quality of the product have been made
176 | following applications for variations and that the product conforms to current CHMP quality guidelines.
177 | The currently authorised specifications for the active substance and the finished product and the
178 | qualitative and quantitative composition in terms of the active substance(s) and the excipient(s) should
179 | also be included in tabular format. Alternatively there is no need to provide the tables if active
180 | hyperlinks are available in the addendum to the quality overall summary.

181 | The marketing authorisation holder will continue to monitor the stability of the product in accordance
182 | with agreed stability protocols but needs only to inform competent authorities should a problem arise
183 | together with a recommended course of action. This reflects the principles of the variation classification
184 | guideline.

185 A certificate of GMP compliance, not more than three years old, for the manufacturer(s) of the
186 medicinal product listed in the application should be submitted with the renewal application (A
187 reference to the Community EudraGMP database, if available, will suffice). In addition, for
188 manufacturing sites of the medicinal product not located in the EEA or in the territory of an MRA
189 partner, a list of the most recent GMP inspections carried out indicating the date, the inspection
190 team(s) and the outcome of the inspection(s) should be provided.

191 The renewal application should also be accompanied by declaration(s) by the Qualified Person(s) of the
192 manufacturing authorisation holder(s) listed in the application as responsible for batch release. Such
193 declaration should also be provided for Manufacturing Authorisation Holders (i.e. located within the
194 EEA) where the active substance is used as a starting material, stating that the active substance
195 manufacturer(s) referred to in the application operate in compliance with the detailed guidelines on
196 good manufacturing practice for starting materials.

197 **Addendum to non-clinical overview**

198 An Addendum to the non-clinical Overview is not systematically required as part of the renewal
199 application.

200 In the case where no new non-clinical data have been ~~gathered-generated~~ since the granting of the
201 initial MAA or the last renewal or there is no new relevant information in the public domain, this may
202 be stated in the Addendum to the Clinical Overview.

203 When new data are submitted in the non-clinical Addendum, it should consist of a critical discussion
204 supporting the benefit-risk balance re-evaluation for the product taking into account any new non-
205 clinical data accumulated since the granting of the initial MAA or the last renewal, or any relevant new
206 information in the public domain.

207 The non-clinical Addendum shall be signed and accompanied by the CV of the non-clinical expert
208 (Module 1.4.2). The expert should confirm that the authorities have been kept informed of any
209 additional data (e.g. results from new non-clinical studies) significant for the assessment of the
210 benefit-risk balance.

211 **Addendum to clinical overview**

212 The marketing authorisation holder should submit an addendum to the clinical overview. This
213 addendum should consist of a critical discussion addressing the current benefit-risk balance for the
214 product on the basis of a consolidated version of safety/efficacy data accumulated since the initial MA
215 or the last renewal, taking into account Periodic Safety Update Reports (PSURs) submitted, suspected
216 adverse reactions reports, additional pharmacovigilance activities and the effectiveness of risk
217 minimisation measures contained in the RMP, if applicable. New signal assessment and new potential
218 or identified risks raised during the renewal period that have not been subject to previous assessment
219 (e.g. in PSURs) should be clearly highlighted in the data provided. In addition, it should make
220 reference to any relevant new information in the public domain e.g. literature references, clinical trials
221 and clinical experience, new treatments available, which may change the outcome of the benefit-risk
222 evaluation conducted at the time of the original authorisation or last renewal. The discussion should
223 also clearly reflect the data included in the previous PSURs and the new data that have been collected
224 since the DLP of the last PSUR up to the DLP of the renewal that should not exceed 90 days prior to
225 the renewal submission.

226 The information shall include both positive and negative results of clinical trials and other studies in all
227 indications and populations, whether or not included in the marketing authorisation, as well as data on
228 the use of the medicinal product where such use is outside the terms of the marketing authorisation.

229 The Addendum to the Clinical Overview should contain the information indicated in Annex 2.

230 This Addendum should be signed and accompanied by the CV of the clinical expert (Module 1.4.3). The
231 clinical expert should have the necessary technical or professional qualifications and may, but should
232 not necessarily, be the same as the qualified person responsible for pharmacovigilance.

233 In any event, a clear conclusive statement is required from the clinical expert (See Annex 2) that the
234 product can be safely renewed at the end of a 5-year period for an unlimited period. Any action
235 recommended or initiated should be specified and justified. The clinical expert should ensure that the
236 updated benefit-risk [balance](#) evaluation has been addressed adequately, taking account of the
237 consolidated version of the file and all relevant new information. The clinical expert should also confirm
238 that the authorities have been kept informed of any additional data (e.g. results from clinical studies)
239 significant for the assessment of the benefit-risk balance of the product concerned. In addition, the
240 statement should confirm that the product information has been kept up to date with current scientific
241 knowledge including the conclusions of the assessment and the recommendations made public by
242 means of the European medicines web-portal.

243 The addendum to the clinical overview shall also include the history of pharmacovigilance system
244 inspections conducted during the period covered by the renewal as well as an analysis of the impact of
245 the findings overall on the benefit-risk balance of the medicinal product.

246 **3.4. Assessment process**

247 The assessment will consist of a benefit-risk balance re-evaluation, on the basis of a consolidated
248 version of the file in respect of quality, safety and efficacy, including evaluation of data contained in
249 suspected adverse reactions reports, the PSUR data and any relevant new information affecting the
250 benefit-risk [balance](#) for the product. A full re-evaluation of the whole dossier normally should not take
251 place. Serious public health concerns should be addressed as part of the renewal process and the
252 product will not be renewed if serious public health issues remain at the end of the procedure (see also
253 section 3.5.2) or if an existing suspension on the marketing authorisation cannot be lifted.

254 Inspection status, in particular as regards to the pharmacovigilance system as well as GMP compliance
255 status of the manufacturer(s) and potential impact of the findings on the benefit-risk balance of the
256 medicinal product will be reviewed during the assessment of the renewal application.

257 At time of renewal, compliance by the MAH with the conditions imposed on the medicinal product will
258 be evaluated. As a result, these conditions could be modified and/or new conditions could be imposed.

259 In addition, it will be checked during the assessment whether the Marketing authorisation holder
260 complies with his obligation to maintain the product information up to date with the current scientific
261 knowledge including the conclusions of assessments and the recommendations which are made public
262 on the European medicines web-portal.

263 The renewal procedure will involve the CHMP, [the CAT for Advanced Therapy Medicinal Products as](#)
264 [applicable](#), and the PRAC.

265 On the basis of the overall re-evaluation of the risk-benefit balance, the CHMP may recommend to
266 grant unlimited validity to the Marketing Authorisation, or to require one additional five-year renewal.
267 [The grounds on which the CHMP may decide to require an additional renewal will be duly justified and](#)

268 [relate to pharmacovigilance, including for example exposure of an insufficient number of patients to](#)
269 [the medicinal product. Criteria considered by CHMP are set out in the CHMP "Reflection Paper Criteria](#)
270 [for requiring one additional five-year renewal for Centrally Authorised Medicinal Products".](#)

271 Where there are adequate and objective reasons not to renew the marketing authorisation in its
272 existing terms and changes are necessary to the SmPC, labelling and PL, [as appropriate](#), arising from
273 the renewal evaluation, the marketing authorisation holder may submit additional information and/or
274 change the product information as part of the renewal process to address the concerns raised. Such
275 changes will not require a separate variation procedure.

276 Other issues arising from assessment and changes due to the revision of the SmPC guideline, other
277 relevant guidelines impacting on the product information, or EMA/QRD Product Information Templates
278 should be considered within the renewal process. Proposed changes to the SmPC, labelling and PL
279 must be indicated on the renewal application form.

280 **None of the changes introduced at renewal can substitute for the marketing authorisation**
281 **holder's obligation to update the marketing authorisation throughout the life of the product**
282 **by variation [procedure-application](#) as data emerge, provided that the implemented changes**
283 **fall within the scope of application of the Regulation (EC) No 1234/2008 concerning the**
284 **examination of variations to the terms of marketing authorisations for medicinal products**
285 **for human use and veterinary medicinal products.**

286 Major changes to the product, such as the introduction of new indications and quality changes such as
287 an extension of shelf life, shall not be modified through the renewal procedure and have to be assessed
288 through the appropriate variation procedure.

289 Accordingly, no new studies should be submitted within the renewal unless these impact the benefit-
290 risk [balance](#) of the medicinal product. However, any new data should be discussed in the Addendum to
291 the relevant overview.

292 If as part of the renewal assessment, new studies are required, but these are not of such importance
293 to delay issue of the renewal, then these may be considered as Post-Authorisation Measures (See
294 section 3.5.1.)

295 As part of the renewal process, the EMA, in collaboration with the [Member States CHMP and the PRAC](#),
296 will check that the SmPC, labelling and package leaflet conform to the requirements of Directive
297 2001/83/EC and Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 as well as ~~of~~ to the relevant Commission and
298 CHMP/EMA guidelines.

299 **3.5. The Committee's opinion**

300 The CHMP will adopt an opinion on the renewal in the light of the final recommendation of the CHMP
301 [Rapporteur, the CAT as applicable,](#) and [the](#) PRAC Rapporteurs.

302 The CHMP opinion, which may be favourable (recommending renewal of the Marketing Authorisation
303 with unlimited validity, or requiring one additional five-year renewal) or unfavourable (non-renewal),
304 is, wherever possible, reached by scientific consensus. If such consensus cannot be reached, the
305 Opinion shall be adopted by a majority of the members. When divergent positions have been
306 expressed, they will be referenced in the CHMP Opinion. Members expressing such divergent positions
307 shall state clearly the grounds on which they are based. The divergent positions will be appended to
308 the Opinion.

309 Where the Opinion is adopted by a majority vote, the number of votes shall be clearly mentioned in
310 the Opinion. In the absence of a majority position the CHMP Opinion is deemed to be negative.

311 The position of the Norwegian and Icelandic CHMP members, who do not take part in the CHMP vote as
312 such, is nevertheless recorded in the opinion.

313 The CHMP Rapporteur or the CAT Rapporteur as applicable, in coordination with the PRAC Rapporteur
314 and the EMA procedure manager (PM) and if applicable the EMA product lead (EPL), taking account of
315 CHMP comments, the CAT outcome as applicable, the PRAC outcome and the full scientific debate
316 within the ~~Committees PRAC and the CHMP~~ and the conclusions reached, prepares the final renewal
317 assessment report, which, once adopted by the CHMP, becomes the CHMP renewal assessment report
318 and is appended to the CHMP opinion.

319 **3.5.1. Favourable opinion**

320 In the event of an opinion in favour of renewal of the authorisation, either with unlimited validity or for
321 five-year validity, the following documents will be annexed or appended to the opinion.

- 322 • A draft Summary of Product Characteristics as referred to in Article 11 of Directive 2001/83/EC
323 (Annex I)
- 324 • Information on the manufacturer(s) of the biological active substance(s) and manufacturer(s)
325 responsible for batch release (Annex II)
- 326 • Conditions or restrictions regarding supply and use (Annex II)
- 327 • Other conditions and requirements of the Marketing Authorisation (Annex II)
- 328 • A draft Labelling and Package leaflet presented in accordance with Title V of Directive
329 2001/83/EC (Annex III)
- 330 • Where relevant, conditions or restrictions with regard to the safe and effective use of the
331 medicinal product to be implemented by the Member States (Annex related to Article 127a).
- 332 • Where relevant, grounds for requesting an additional renewal (Annex IV)
- 333 • The CHMP renewal assessment report
- 334 • Where relevant, signed divergent positions of Committee Members ~~with signatures and~~ with their
335 grounds for not supporting the opinion

336 ***Opinion on products authorised under exceptional circumstances***

337 For such medicinal products authorised under exceptional circumstances, in accordance with Article
338 14(8) of Regulation (EC) No. 726/2004 and Part II.6 of the Annex to Directive 2001/83/EC, as
339 amended, the CHMP will have to consider whether any specific obligations have been fulfilled.

340 ***Post-authorisation measures***

341 ***Specific obligations***

342 When a renewal Opinion is adopted stating that there remain grounds for the marketing authorisation
343 to be renewed under exceptional circumstances, the marketing authorisation holder is obliged to
344 submit the requested data to the CHMP and/or CAT as applicable and/or the PRAC Rapporteurs and
345 Members as applicable depending on the nature of the specific obligation and the EMA, in the agreed

346 timeframe after the renewal as set out in Annex II of the Commission Decision. The specific obligations
347 are to be reviewed at the intervals indicated and at the latest annually within the annual review which
348 includes a re-assessment of the ~~benefit-risk balance of the medicinal product~~~~benefit/risk profile.~~

349 **Other Post-authorisation measures**

350 For all favourable opinions of the CHMP (whether ~~the MA is~~ or not under the exceptional
351 circumstances) ~~of Article 14(8) of the Regulation~~, the need for new and/or changed post-authorisation
352 measure(s) might arise from the renewal procedure. They will be classified either as conditions
353 imposed on the marketing authorisation ~~and reflected in the~~ Annex II ~~of the Commission Decision, or~~
354 as additional pharmacovigilance activities in the RMP or as recommendations included in the CHMP
355 assessment report. The data should be reviewed in accordance with the agreed deadline where
356 applicable. Marketing authorisation holders will be informed of the outcome of CHMP discussions by the
357 EMA.

358 **3.5.2. Unfavourable opinion**

359 The CHMP will adopt a negative opinion recommending not renewing the marketing authorisation if
360 there are serious public health issues raised.

361 Reasons for marketing authorisation not being renewed could include notably grounds provided for in
362 Article 116 of the Directive 2001/83/EC, i.e. where the product proves to be harmful, or where its ~~lacks~~
363 therapeutic efficacy ~~according to the SmPC is lacking~~, or that the benefit/~~risk~~ balance is not
364 ~~positive~~~~favourable~~, or where its qualitative and quantitative composition is not as declared.

365 Therapeutic efficacy is considered to be lacking when it is established that therapeutic results cannot
366 be obtained with the medicinal product. Additionally, non-renewal may be considered where the
367 particulars supporting the application for renewal are incorrect or have not been updated, or where any
368 conditions to the marketing authorisation have not been fulfilled, or when the ~~appropriate~~ controls on
369 the manufacturing process or on the finished product have not been carried out.

370 Additionally, for a marketing authorisation which is suspended at the time of its renewal application, if
371 the marketing authorisation holder is not able to provide data to demonstrate that the benefit-risk
372 balance is positive and identify measures for the safe and effective use of the medicinal product to
373 allow lifting the suspension, the marketing authorisation shall expire.

374 Furthermore, non-renewal will be considered if the marketing authorisation holder fails to respond to
375 the issues raised during assessment within the timescale given and where no adequate justification or
376 explanation is given.

377 The following documents will be annexed or appended to the opinion:

- 378 • The ~~appended~~ CHMP assessment report stating the reasons for its negative conclusions.
- 379 • Where appropriate, divergent positions of Committee Members with their grounds.

380 A 'Summary of Opinion' will be published by the EMA. This will include information on unfavourable
381 CHMP opinions and the reasons for such opinion.

382 In case of non-renewal, where applicable an Article 20 or 107i procedure might be initiated.

383 **3.6. Follow-up to the CHMP opinion**

384 **3.6.1. Translation and transmission of the CHMP opinion**

385 If amendments to the proposed product information are required following the adoption of the CHMP
386 opinion, the marketing authorisation holder will have to provide the EMA and all CHMP members with
387 the relevant amended translations of the SmPC, labelling and package leaflet within 5 days after the
388 CHMP opinion.

389 After adoption of the Opinion, a review of the quality of the translations will be carried out by the EMA
390 in co-operation with the Member States. The Icelandic and Norwegian translations will be checked by
391 the Icelandic and the Norwegian authorities in co-operation with the EMA.

392 If within 15 days after receipt of the opinion, the marketing authorisation holder does not inform in
393 writing the EMA of any intention to request a re-examination of the opinion, the EMA will then forward
394 the opinion (and the required annexes and appendixes), to the Commission, the Member States,
395 Norway and Iceland and the marketing authorisation holder. ~~together with the CHMP assessment~~
396 ~~report~~. The Norwegian and Icelandic Authorities will issue corresponding national authorisations
397 subsequent to the Commission Decision.

398 Where the CHMP adopted a negative opinion and the marketing authorisation holder notified the
399 EMA/CHMP of its intention ~~of~~ to request a re-examination of the opinion, the EMA will inform the
400 European Commission about such negative opinion and the re-examination request. The final CHMP
401 opinion will be forwarded to the European Commission, to the Member States, Norway, Iceland and to
402 the marketing authorisation holder upon finalisation of the re-examination procedure (see 3.6.3).

403 **3.6.2. Re-examination**

404 The marketing authorisation holder may notify the EMA/CHMP in writing of its intention to request a re-
405 examination of the Opinion within 15 days after receipt of the opinion. ~~-(after which if such a request is~~
406 ~~not made~~ within these 15 days, the opinion becomes final).

407 The detailed grounds for the request must be forwarded to the EMA within 60 days after receipt of the
408 opinion. If the marketing authorisation holder wishes to appear ~~before at~~ the CHMP for an oral
409 explanation, such request should also be sent at this stage. ~~The CHMP will appoint a~~ new CHMP
410 Rapporteur, new CAT Rapporteur as applicable, and a new PRAC Rapporteur, different from those for
411 the initial opinion ~~will be appointed~~, to co-ordinate the re-examination procedure, accompanied, if
412 necessary, by additional experts.

413 Within 60 days after the receipt of the detailed grounds for re-examination, the CHMP will re-examine
414 its opinion. If considered necessary, an oral explanation can be held within this 60-day
415 ~~timeframe~~ procedure. Once the CHMP issues a final opinion, it is forwarded (with the required annexes
416 and appendixes), to the European Commission, the Member States, Norway and Iceland and the
417 marketing authorisation holder. ~~stating the reasons for its conclusion~~.

418 At the end of the re-examination procedure, the EMA will publish a 'Summary of Opinion' of the CHMP
419 final Opinion.

420 **3.6.3. European Public Assessment Report (EPAR)**

421 The EMA will prepare an update of the EPAR, reflecting the renewal assessment and CHMP opinion.
422 After the Commission Decision on the renewal, the updated EPAR shall be published.

423 **3.6.4. Negative decision**

424 | Following a Decision of the European Commission ~~Decision~~ on the refusal to renew the marketing
425 | authorisation, which, in accordance with Article 12(2) of the Regulation, constitutes a prohibition to
426 | place ~~on the market~~ the medicinal product concerned on the market throughout the Union, the EMA
427 | shall make ~~information on~~ such final decision and the reasons for it publicly available, in accordance
428 | with Article 12(3) of the Regulation.

430 **Renewal timetable (CHMP)**

431

432	Day 1	Start of the procedure (see published dates on EMA website).
433	Day 60	CHMP Rapporteur and PRAC Rapporteur Joint Assessment Report.
434		Circulate to CHMP and PRAC members.*
435	Day 66	Comments from CHMP and PRAC members on the Joint Assessment Report.
436	Day 73-76	Discussion at PRAC Meeting (if applicable):
437	Day 76	PRAC Outcome (endorsement of the Joint Assessment Report)*
438	Day 90	Discussion at CHMP (if applicable):
439		- If no outstanding issues: adoption of opinion.
440		- If outstanding issues** <u>*</u> : adoption of List of Outstanding Issues.
441	Day 91	MAH provides answers to list of outstanding issues to CHMP/PRAC Rapporteurs,
442		CHMP/PRAC members and EMA.
443	Day 96	CHMP Rapporteur and PRAC Rapporteur Joint Assessment Report.
444		Circulate to CHMP and PRAC members.*
445	Day 98	Comments from CHMP and PRAC members on the Joint Assessment Report.
446	Day 103-106	Discussion at PRAC (if applicable).
447	Day 120	<u>Discussion at CHMP (if applicable).</u> Adoption of CHMP opinion.

448

449 For ATMP, the CAT Rapporteur will assess the renewal application together with the PRAC Rapporteur
 450 and will prepare a draft opinion for the CHMP as the basis for the CHMP's final opinion. Further
 451 information with regards to the CAT involvement is provided in the "Procedural advice on the
 452 evaluation of advanced therapy medicinal product" published on the EMA website.

453

454 *Document shared with the MAH

455 ** If any remaining outstanding issues are identified including serious public health concerns which
 456 may lead to a negative benefit-risk ~~ratio-balance~~ and a possible non-renewal or to major changes to
 457 the marketing authorisation, a list of such issues will be adopted and sent to the MAH to be addressed
 458 in writing and/or at an oral explanation. At the time of adoption of the List of Outstanding Issues, a
 459 clock stop will be set, in order for the marketing authorisation holder to respond to the List of
 460 Outstanding Issues. Normally, the clock stop will be of 30 days in order to ensure sufficient time for
 461 the CHMP opinion and subsequent Commission decision to be adopted prior to the expiry of the
 462 marketing authorisation.

463

465 Documents to submit

466 Renewal applications should be submitted in eCTD format and have to contain the documents listed
467 below.

468 **Module 1:**

469 1.0 Cover letter

470 1.2 Renewal Application form with the following annexes:

- 471 • List of all authorised product presentations for which renewal is sought in tabular
472 format (following the template for Annex A to CHMP Opinion)
- 473 • Details of contact persons:
 - 474 - Qualified person in the EEA for pharmacovigilance
 - 475 - Contact person in the EEA with the overall responsibility for product defects and
476 recalls
 - 477 - Contact person for scientific service in the EEA in charge of information about
478 the medicinal product
- 479 • List of EU Member states/Norway/Iceland where the product is on the market and
480 indicating for each country which presentations are marketed and the launch date
- 481 • Chronological list of all post-authorisation submissions since the grant of the
482 Marketing Authorisation or last renewal: a list of all approved or pending Type IA/IB
483 and Type II variations, Extensions, Art 61(3) Notifications, USRs, and PSURs, giving
484 the procedure number (where applicable), date of submission, date of approval (if
485 approved) and brief description of the change.
- 486 • Chronological list of conditions and Specific Obligations submitted since the granting
487 of marketing authorisation or the last renewal indicating scope, status, date of
488 submission and date when date the condition/ obligation was fulfilled ~~issue has been~~
489 ~~resolved~~ (where applicable)
- 490 • Revised list of all remaining conditions and Specific Obligations (where applicable)
- 491 • A statement, or when available, a certificate of GMP compliance, not more than three
492 years old, for the manufacturer(s) of the medicinal product listed in the application
493 issued by an EEA competent authority or MRA partner authority. A reference to the
494 Community EudraGMP database, if available will suffice.
- 495 • For manufacturing sites of the medicinal product not located in the EEA or in the
496 territory of an MRA partner, a list of the most recent GMP inspections carried out
497 indicating the date, inspection team and outcome.
- 498 • In accordance with Article 46(f) of Directive 2001/83/EC manufacturing authorisation
499 holders are required to use as starting materials only active substances which have
500 been manufactured in accordance with the detailed guidelines on good manufacturing

501 practice for starting materials as adopted by the Community. The following
502 declarations are required:

- 503 - A declaration by the Qualified Person (QP) of each of the manufacturing
504 authorisation holders (i.e. located in the EEA) listed in the application form
505 where the active substance is used as a starting material.
- 506 - A declaration by the Qualified Person (QP) of the manufacturing authorisation
507 holder(s) listed in the application as responsible for batch release.

508 These declarations should state that all the active substance manufacturer(s) referred to in the
509 application form operate in compliance with the detailed guidelines on good manufacturing
510 practice for starting materials.

511 1.3.1 Summary of Product Characteristics, Labelling and Package Leaflet:

512 | A ~~relevant example of the proposed clean version texts for of the~~ SmPC, Annex II, outer and
513 inner labelling and Package Leaflet in English has to be provided. In addition a word version
514 | highlighting ~~the potential~~ changes proposed by the MAH should also be included in the
515 application.

516 1.3.3 Specimens:

517 At renewal, EMA will perform a new check of the specimens across all marketed product
518 presentations.

519 Relevant example specimens should be provided to the EMA as part of the renewal application,
520 for each strength, pharmaceutical form and container type in the smallest marketed pack-size.
521 Ideally multi-lingual specimens should be provided but, if not available, a single-language
522 specimen may be submitted.

523 As such the EMA will receive and check at least one example specimen of the whole range of
524 marketed product presentations after 5 years, in one submission.

525 In case the MAH plans to change the overall design and readability of the labelling and/or
526 package leaflet around the time of renewal, submission of specimens of the "old" product
527 | design will not be necessary. In case the MAH wishes to receive EMEA feedback on their
528 proposed new packaging in advance of the specimen submission and review, this approach
529 | should however be discussed with the ~~PTLEPL/PM~~ in advance of the renewal submission ~~(e.g. at~~
530 ~~the renewal pre-submission meeting).~~

531 1.4 Information about the Expert:

532 In cases where MAHs wish to distinguish these declarations from any previous declarations, the
533 EMA Renewal procedure Number may be included on top.

534 1.4.1 Information about the Expert: Quality (incl. Signature + CV)

535 1.4.2 Information about the Expert: Non-clinical (incl. Signature + CV) – if applicable

536 1.4.3 Information about the Expert: Clinical (incl. Signature + CV)

537 ~~1.8.1 Summary of Pharmacovigilance System (if applicable):~~

538 | ~~• Proof that the applicant has at his disposal a qualified person responsible for~~
539 ~~pharmacovigilance;~~

540 ~~• A statement signed by the marketing authorization holder to the effect that the marketing~~
541 ~~authorization holder has the necessary means to fulfill the tasks and responsibilities listed in Title IX of~~
542 ~~Directive 2001/83/EC~~

543 ~~• Member state in which the QPPV resides and operates his/her tasks~~

544 ~~• The contact details of the QPPV~~

545 ~~• The reference to the location of the pharmacovigilance system master file (country)~~

546 1.8.2 Risk Management Plan:

547 The updated RMP and where relevant, the new RMP.

548 Where there are no new data justifying changes to the latest approved RMP, the MAH should
549 provide in the clinical overview declaration and confirm that the current approved RMP remain
550 unchanged and applicable.

551 Where there is no RMP for the medicinal product, this should be stated in the cover letter.

552 **Module 2:**

553 2.3 Addendum to Quality Overall Summary:

554 The Addendum should include a declaration of compliance with Article 16(1) of Regulation (EC)
555 No 726/2004, which obliges the MAH "to take account of technical and scientific progress and
556 introduce any changes that may be required to enable the medicinal product to be
557 manufactured and checked by means of generally accepted scientific methods".

558 The Addendum to the Quality Overall Summary should also include:

559 • Confirmation that all changes relating to the quality of the product have been made
560 following applications for variations and that the product conforms to current CHMP
561 Quality guidelines.

562 • Currently authorised specifications for the active substance and the finished product
563 (with date of latest approval and procedure number)

564 • Qualitative and quantitative composition in terms of the active substance(s) and the
565 excipient(s)(with date of latest approval and procedure number)

566 2.4 Addendum to Non-clinical Overview:

567 An Addendum to the non-clinical Overview is not systematically required as part of the renewal
568 application.

569 When new data are submitted in the non-clinical Addendum, a critical discussion must be
570 submitted as part of the renewal application, supporting the benefit-risk balance re-evaluation
571 for the product taking into account any new non-clinical data accumulated since the initial MAA
572 or the last renewal, or any relevant new information in the public domain.

573 In the case where no new non-clinical data have been gathered since the granting of the MA
574 initial MAA or the last renewal, this may be stated in the Addendum to the Clinical Overview.

575 2.5 Addendum to Clinical Overview:

576 A critical discussion should be provided within the Addendum to the Clinical Overview. It should
577 address the current benefit-risk balance for the product on the basis of the PSUR data and

578 safety/efficacy data accumulated since the granting of the MA or the last renewal, making
579 reference to relevant new information in the public domain. The discussion should clearly
580 reflect the data previously included in the PSURs and the new data that have emerged-been
581 collected since the DLP of the last PSUR up to the DLP of the renewal that should not exceed
582 90 days prior to the renewal submission.

583 The Addendum to the Clinical Overview should contain the following information**:

- 584 • History of pharmacovigilance system inspections (date, inspecting authority, site
585 inspected, type of inspection and if the inspection is product specific, the list of
586 products concerned) and an analysis of the impact of the findings overall on the
587 benefit-risk balance of the medicinal product.
- 588 • Worldwide marketing authorisation status: overview of number of countries where the
589 product has been authorised and marketed worldwide.
- 590 • Actions taken for safety reasons during the period covered since the initial marketing
591 authorisation or since the last renewal until ~~90 days prior to~~ the DLP of the renewal
592 submission: description of all significant actions related to safety that had a potential
593 influence on the benefit-risk balance of the authorised medicinal product (e.g.
594 suspension, withdrawal, temporary halt or premature ending of clinical trial for safety
595 reasons, issue requiring communication to healthcare professionals...). Among these,
596 aActions taken from the DLP of the last PSUR up to the DLP of the renewal should be
597 clearly ~~identified and~~ highlighted.
- 598 • Significant changes made to the Reference Information (RI) during the period covered
599 since the initial marketing authorisation or since the last renewal. In this section, ~~it~~
600 ~~should be clearly identified the changes included in the PSURs and~~ the new changes
601 made from the DLP of the last PSUR up to the DLP of the renewal should be clearly
602 highlighted.
- 603 • Estimated exposure and used patterns: data on cumulative exposure of subjects in
604 clinical trials as well as of patients from worldwide post-marketing exposure per EU and
605 non EU regions. If the marketing authorisation holder becomes aware of a pattern of
606 use of the medicinal product considered relevant for the interpretation of the safety
607 data, a brief description should be provided; such patterns may include in particular
608 off-label use.
- 609 • Data in summary tabulations: Summary tabulations of serious adverse events from
610 clinical trials as well as summary tabulations of adverse reactions from post-marketing
611 data sources reported during the period covered since the initial marketing
612 authorisation or since the DLP of the last renewal up to the DLP of the renewal.
- 613 • Summaries of significant safety and efficacy findings from clinical trials and non-
614 interventional studies during the period covered by the renewal. It should also address
615 whether milestones from post-authorisation safety studies, post-authorisation efficacy
616 studies, studies ~~from the RMP included in the~~ pharmacovigilance plan of the RMP and
617 studies conducted as condition and-or specific obligations of the marketing
618 authorisation, have been reached in accordance with agreed timeframes. New data
619 since the DLP of the last PSUR up to the DLP of the renewal should be clearly
620 highlighted.

- 621 • Overview of signals: High level overview of signals for which evaluation was completed
622 during the period covered by the renewal and any action taken or planned; and high
623 level overview of ongoing signals (i.e. that are undergoing evaluation at the DLP of the
624 renewal application) should be provided. The information should be provided in a table.
- 625 • Signal and risk evaluation: the MAH should summarise signals for which evaluation was
626 completed during the reporting period of the renewal. For signals that became
627 important identified or potential risks or are related to a known risk, a characterisation
628 of the risk should be provided. Evaluation of signals completed from the DLP of the last
629 PSUR to the DLP of the renewal should be clearly highlighted. The MAH should discuss
630 whether any changes are considered necessary in the existing safety concerns and
631 whether any additional risk minimisation activities for the product are warranted,
632 considering the data collected during the period covered by the renewal.

633 Relevant information on patterns of medication errors and potential medication errors (even when not
634 associated with adverse outcomes) during the period covered by the renewal. Such information may be
635 relevant to the interpretation of safety data or the overall benefit-risk balance evaluation.

- 636 • Literature: review of important literature references published during the period
637 covered since the initial marketing authorisation or since the DLP of the last renewal
638 ~~until 90 days prior to renewal submission~~ that had a potential impact on the benefit-
639 risk balance of the medicinal product.

- 640 • Benefit evaluation: the MAH should summarise important efficacy and effectiveness
641 information (including information on lack of efficacy) for the period covered since the
642 initial marketing authorisation or since the DLP of the last renewal until ~~the DLP of the~~
643 ~~90 days prior to renewal submission~~.

- 644 • Benefit-risk balance: a discussion on the benefit-risk balance for the approved
645 indication should be presented, based on the above information.

- 646 • Late-breaking information: The MAH should summarise the potentially important
647 safety, efficacy and effectiveness findings that arise after the DLP of the renewal but
648 during the period of preparation of the addendum to the clinical overview.

649 *** Marketing authorisation holders are advised to consider the Good Vigilance Practice Module*
650 *VII on PSURs as guidance for the preparation of the above sections of the clinical overview.*

651

652 The Clinical Expert Statement should:

- 653 • Confirm that no new clinical data are available which change or result in a new benefit-
654 risk balance evaluation.

- 655 • Confirm that the product can be safely renewed at the end of a 5-year period for an
656 unlimited period, or any action recommended or initiated should be specified and
657 justified.

- 658 • Confirm that the authorities have been kept informed of any additional data significant
659 for the assessment of the benefit-risk ~~ratio~~-balance of the product concerned.

- 660 • Confirm that the product information is up to date with the current scientific knowledge
661 including the conclusions of the assessments and the recommendations made publicly
662 available on the European medicines web-portal.

663

664	<u>Abbreviations</u>
665	<u>CPMP</u> <u>Committee for Proprietary Medicinal Products, changed to CHMP</u>
666	<u>CHMP</u> <u>Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use</u>
667	<u>CV</u> <u>Curriculum Vitae</u>
668	<u>DLP</u> <u>Data Lock Point</u>
669	<u>eCTD</u> <u>Electronic Common Technical Document</u>
670	<u>EC</u> <u>European Commission</u>
671	<u>EEA</u> <u>European Economic Area</u>
672	<u>EMA</u> <u>European Medicines Agency</u>
673	<u>EMA PM</u> <u>European Medicines Agency Procedure Manager</u>
674	<u>EMA EPL</u> <u>European Medicines Agency Product Lead</u>
675	<u>EPAR</u> <u>European Public Assessment Report</u>
676	<u>EU</u> <u>European Union</u>
677	<u>GMP</u> <u>Good Manufacturing Practise</u>
678	<u>NTA</u> <u>Notice to Applicants</u>
679	<u>MA</u> <u>Marketing Authorisation</u>
680	<u>MAA</u> <u>Marketing Authorisation Application</u>
681	<u>MAH</u> <u>Marketing Authorisation Holder</u>
682	<u>MRA</u> <u>Mutual Recognition Agreements</u>
683	<u>PL</u> <u>Package Leaflet</u>
684	<u>PRAC</u> <u>Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee</u>
685	<u>PSUR</u> <u>Periodic Safety Update Report</u>
686	<u>QP</u> <u>Qualified Person</u>
687	<u>QPPV</u> <u>Qualified Persons responsible for Pharmacovigilance</u>
688	<u>QRD</u> <u>Quality Review of Documents</u>
689	<u>RI</u> <u>Reference Information</u>
690	<u>RMP</u> <u>Risk Management Plan</u>
691	<u>SmPC</u> <u>Summary of Product Characteristics</u>
692	