



1 6 November 2015
2 EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/590073/2015
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP)

4 **Concept paper for revision of the recommendation for the**
5 **basic surveillance of EudraVigilance Veterinary data**
6

Agreed by CVMP Pharmacovigilance Working Party	September 2015
Adopted by CVMP for release for consultation	6 November 2015
Start of public consultation	20 November 2015
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	29 February 2016

7
8 The proposed recommendation will replace 'Recommendation for the basic surveillance of
9 Eudravigilance Veterinary data' (EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/471721/2006).

10
11 Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu



12 **1. Introduction**

13 The CVMP adopted the recommendation on pharmacovigilance surveillance and signal detection of
14 veterinary medicinal products (EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/901279/2011) in April 2015 providing an initial
15 framework for further development of signal detection in veterinary pharmacovigilance, its practical
16 modalities, interpretation and location in the signal management process. The recently adopted
17 recommendation (EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/901279/2011) is applicable to post-marketing surveillance of all
18 veterinary medicinal products (VMPs) authorised in the European Union (EU).

19 Signal detection is one of the tools available for surveillance of pharmacovigilance data to screen and
20 assess data reported for a particular VMP and/or active substance as part of the continuous evaluation
21 of their benefit-risk balance. The availability of adverse event (AE) databases, such as EudraVigilance
22 Veterinary (EUVet) has enabled progress to be made in veterinary pharmacovigilance as the electronic
23 tools available (in particular via the queries in the EUVet Data Warehouse (DWH)) which gives another
24 methodology for an efficient and resource effective way of monitoring pharmacovigilance data.

25 This concept paper describes and discusses the need to revise the CVMP recommendation for the basic
26 surveillance of EudraVigilance Veterinary (EUVet) data (EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/471721/2006) for centrally
27 authorized products (CAPs) taking into account the recent adoption of the recommendation on
28 pharmacovigilance surveillance and providing a comprehensive and streamlined surveillance process
29 involving periodic safety update report (PSUR) assessment and signal detection within the framework
30 of the current legislation.

31 The proposed recommendation is for CAPs. The aim of this document is to enhance the impact of
32 pharmacovigilance by strengthening surveillance activities within the resources available.

33 **2. Problem statement**

34 The recommendation for the basic surveillance of EUVet data (EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/471721/2006) was
35 originally developed to provide a framework for surveillance of VMPs using the electronic tools
36 available. To date, it has only been fully implemented for CAPs, since the EU VMP database is only fully
37 populated for these products.

38 In view of the recent adoption of the pharmacovigilance surveillance and signal detection of VMPs
39 (applicable to all VMPs authorised in the EU) some overlap exists between the two recommendations.
40 In addition some of the principles in the recommendation for basic surveillance are not in line with the
41 recommendation for surveillance and signal detection.

42 In view of the pilot on PSUR assessment for CAPs based on EUVet data, further consideration of the
43 use of the EUVet DWH for PSUR assessment and signal detection was considered necessary, to
44 optimise surveillance and avoid duplication of work between PSUR assessment and evaluation of signal
45 detection findings.

46 The pilot initiative on PSUR assessment for CAPs based on EUVet data started in 2012. This involved a
47 selection of CAPs and allowed assessors to evaluate PSURs with support of electronically submitted
48 pharmacovigilance data using the EUVet DWH. The MAHs involved in this pilot submitted all serious
49 and non-serious events to EUVet and were exempt from providing a separate line listing for the PSURs
50 in question. The experience gained in the pilot and further development and improvement of the EUVet
51 DWH should be taken into account in the proposed recommendation.

52 **3. Discussion (on the problem statement)**

53 According to the current legislation the MAH is obliged to submit a PSUR to the Agency and Member
54 States immediately upon request or at least every six months after authorisation until the placing on
55 the market. PSURs shall also be submitted immediately upon request or at least every six months
56 during the first two years following the initial placing on the Community market and once a year for
57 the following two years. Thereafter, the reports shall be submitted at three-yearly intervals, or
58 immediately upon request.

59 In addition the MAH shall ensure that all suspected serious adverse reactions and adverse human
60 reactions to a VMP authorised in accordance with the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004
61 occurring within the Community which a health-care professional brings to his attention are recorded
62 and reported promptly to the Member States in the territory of which the incident occurred no later
63 than 15 days following receipt of the information.

64 Each Member State shall ensure that all suspected serious adverse reactions, and adverse human
65 reactions, occurring within its territory to a VMP authorised in accordance with the provisions of
66 Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 which are brought to its attention are recorded and reported promptly to
67 the Agency and the MAH for the VMP, and no later than 15 days following receipt of the information.

68 Pharmacovigilance surveillance of CAPs is currently carried out via signal detection at predefined time-
69 points and based on data in EVVet (serious AE reports plus voluntarily transferred non-serious reports)
70 and in parallel assessment of PSURs submitted by MAHs at predefined time points. The PSURs contain
71 all AE reports no matter severity. To avoid assessing the same data twice - during both signal
72 detection and PSUR assessment – signal detection findings requiring further investigation are discussed
73 at the Pharmacovigilance Working Party (PhVWP-V). Where necessary, following endorsement by
74 CVMP, the MAH will be requested to specifically address issues identified in signal detection in the next
75 PSUR. In case signal detection reveals matters that require more urgent attention, the need for a
76 targeted PSUR shall be considered. Industry has at several occasions expressed a strong wish (during
77 public consultation on the recommendation on pharmacovigilance surveillance and signal detection of
78 veterinary medicinal products (EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/901279/2011) as well as at interested parties
79 meetings) to be involved in signal management at an earlier time-point.

80 The industry has also expressed a strong wish for the continuation of the pilot of PSUR assessment
81 based on EVVet data on the condition that the requirement for line listings can be reduced. The DWH
82 has in the meantime been improved to generate the line listings with the inclusion of the narrative field
83 and the possibility to drill directly to the individual reports. The DWH line listing hereby outperforms
84 the line listings provided together with the PSUR. There is however a need to progress on a solution to
85 make the DWH line listings and overall relevant EVVet data accessible to the MAH in order to ensure
86 working on the same dataset. Experience has shown that due to inconsistencies in reporting, the data
87 available to the MAH can be less than the data available to the authorities.

88 It should be explored if there are alternative (automated) routes, to the PSURs, to get independent
89 sales figures based on number of prescriptions, orders of medicinal products, or dispatched product.
90 Sales figures are needed to calculate ratio and incidence of AEs, which, when compared over time, is
91 indicative of any increase or decrease in the reporting of AEs. If alternative routes can be established it
92 would be possible to:

- 93 • Simplify the content and allow more flexibility in submission of the PSURs
- 94 • Explore access to sales figures independent of MAHs and PSUR submission

95 Additionally consideration of the experience gained with the pilot on PSUR assessment for CAPs based
96 on EVVet data is necessary to establish how EVVet DWH can be used for PSUR assessment and signal
97 detection.

98 The document should be expanded to include proposals allowing benefit from the analyses in signal
99 detection surveillance in the PSUR assessment.

100 The document should be expanded to include proposals on reducing the administrative burden for
101 authorities as well as MAHs while improving the overall effectiveness of pharmacovigilance surveillance
102 and reducing duplication of efforts for assessors, which may include the following:

- 103 • MAH database access, use of EVVET data and DWH queries within or outside the system depending
104 on how/if EVVet access policy can be solved;
- 105 • Transfer of non-serious AEs to EVVet is not obligatory although, according to Volume 9B, it is
106 strongly recommended; analyses of the full data-set provides a good overview of all AEs no
107 matter severity; in return of transferring all data the MAH should be relieved from including the
108 "Line Listing" in the PSUR save in exceptional circumstances.

109 The following aspects should also be addressed in the revision of the recommendation for basic
110 surveillance:

- 111 • Further guidance on the queries to be used in the EVVet DWH and on the analysis process;
112 a stepwise tutorial is being drafted giving advice on how to use the queries and which
113 queries to be used for e.g. PSUR assessment purposes; training in DWH queries is taking
114 place/being planned.
- 115 • Reasons for inconsistencies between line listing generated by MAH and line listing
116 generated by the DWH and investigating solutions to improve access of all stakeholders to
117 the same dataset.
- 118 • PhVWP-V to continue to give advice on the signal detection findings that should be
119 addressed by the MAH within the next PSUR. Signal detection findings that require more
120 urgent attention may trigger a targeted PSUR.
- 121 • Exchange of data and findings with the MAH during the process to allow the MAH to focus
122 their analysis on the rapporteur's signal detection outcome (NB this is already applicable
123 for pharmacovigilance surveillance of human CAPs).

124 In addition to the revision of the recommendation, a modification of the current assessment template
125 for PSURs, i.e. including the information already available in the Veterinary Pharmacovigilance
126 Surveillance (VPhS) FileMaker database from the signal detection, should be considered.

127 **4. Recommendation**

128 The CVMP recommends revising the recommendation for the basic surveillance of EVVet data
129 (EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/471721/2006) to address the issues outlined above.

130 **5. Proposed timetable**

131 Adoption of concept paper by CVMP for release for consultation: November 2015

132 End of consultation: February 2016

133 Preparation of draft revised recommendation: during 2016

134 Adoption by CVMP of the draft revised recommendation for consultation: beginning 2017

135 **6. Resource requirements for preparation**

136 The revision of this recommendation will be undertaken by the PhVWP-V and will involve the PhVWP-V
137 secretariat and CVMP. The PhVWP-V will appoint a rapporteur from amongst its members.

138 It is anticipated that the revision of the recommendation will require drafting group meetings to be
139 held as virtual meetings.

140 **7. Impact assessment (anticipated)**

141 The use of the data in the EVVet DWH for optimising surveillance and avoiding duplication of work in
142 pharmacovigilance surveillance of CAPs in Europe will be of benefit for the human and animal health,
143 the authorities and MAHs.

144 A surveillance strategy to include the signal detection findings for the consideration of the MAH in the
145 next PSUR will reduce the workload on procedural aspects and the MAH will be involved in the signal
146 management process at an earlier time-point. The MAHs should commit to transfer all data, no matter
147 severity, to EVVet; in return the submission of a line listing in the PSUR will not be required but will be
148 available via DWH.

149 This ensures a better use of the available pharmacovigilance resources in Member States, the Agency
150 and MAHs. In addition the transparency to industry on pharmacovigilance information will be
151 increased.

152 **8. Interested parties**

153 Veterinary pharmaceutical industry and EU/EEA national competent authorities, consultants and
154 veterinarians.

155 **9. References to literature, guidelines, etc.**

156 [European Medicines Agency and Heads of Medicines Agency \(2015\) Recommendation on
157 pharmacovigilance surveillance and signal detection of veterinary medicinal products
158 \(EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/901279/2011\)](#)

159 [European Medicines Agency \(2011\) Recommendation for the basic surveillance of Eudravigilance
160 Veterinary data \(EMA/CVMP/PhVWP/471721/2006\)](#)

161 [European Commission \(2011\): Volume 9B - Pharmacovigilance for Medicinal Products for Veterinary
162 Use Guidelines on Pharmacovigilance for Medicinal Products for Veterinary](#)

163 [European Commission \(2004\): Regulation \(EC\) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the
164 Council of 31 March 2004 laying down Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of
165 medicinal products for human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency](#)