



1 London, 7 February 2011  
2 EMA/CHMP/BWP/617111/2010  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **Concept paper on the revision of the guideline on similar**  
5 **biological medicinal products containing biotechnology-**  
6 **derived proteins as active substance: quality issues**  
7

<b>Agreed by BWP</b>	<b>December 2010</b>
Adoption by CHMP for release for consultation	7 February 2011
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 May 2011

8  
9

**Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to [bwp.biosimilar.revision@ema.europa.eu](mailto:bwp.biosimilar.revision@ema.europa.eu)**

10

<b>Keywords</b>	<i>Similar biological medicinal product, Biosimilar, Comparability</i>
-----------------	--

11



## 12 **1. Introduction**

13 The Guideline on similar biological medicinal products containing biotechnology-derived proteins as  
14 active substance: quality issues (EMA/CHMP/BWP/49348/2005) lays down the quality requirements  
15 for a biological medicinal product claiming to be similar to another one already marketed.

## 16 **2. Problem statement**

17 The current quality guideline was published in 2006, at a time where little experience was available on  
18 the registration of biological medicinal product claiming to be similar to another one already marketed.  
19 Significant experience has now been gained through Scientific Advice, Marketing Authorisation  
20 Applications and Workshops. It is recognised that the guideline needs refinements taking into account  
21 several practical considerations relating to the lifecycle (from development to product discontinuation)  
22 of similar biological medicinal products.

## 23 **3. Discussion (on the problem statement)**

24 Manufacturers of biotechnological/biological products (i.e. respectively reference and biosimilar  
25 manufacturers) frequently make changes to manufacturing processes of products both during  
26 development and after approval. When changes are made to the manufacturing process, the  
27 manufacturer generally evaluates the relevant quality attributes of the product to demonstrate that  
28 modifications would not adversely impact the safety and efficacy of the drug product. As a  
29 consequence, such change may result in an evolution of quality profile during the product lifecycle. In  
30 the context of a biological medicinal product claiming or claimed to be similar to another one already  
31 marketed, the conclusion of a comparability exercise performed with a reference product at a given  
32 time may not hold true from the initial development of the biosimilar, through marketing authorisation,  
33 until the product's discontinuation.

## 34 **4. Recommendation**

35 The Biologics Working Party (BWP) recommends revising the guideline on "similar biological medicinal  
36 products containing biotechnology-derived proteins as active substance: quality issues" to reflect the  
37 experience gained.

38 This revision should:

- 39 - Reflect on the evolution of the quality profile of the similar biological medicinal product and the  
40 reference product throughout their respective lifecycles;
- 41 - Clarify some expectations (e.g. structure, use of different expression system, formulation sample  
42 preparation).

## 43 **5. Proposed timetable**

44 It is anticipated that the draft revised guideline will be released for consultation in the last quarter of  
45 2011.

## 46 **6. Resource requirements for preparation**

47 BWP will be responsible for the revision of the guideline and will seek advice, if needed, from BMWP,  
48 EWP, SWP and PhVWP.

49 **7. Impact assessment (anticipated)**

50 It is important to keep the guidance up-to-date in the currently rapidly moving field of similar  
51 biological medicinal products. The revised guideline will provide improved guidance for both industry  
52 and Regulatory Authorities regarding the development and assessment of biosimilar medicinal  
53 products.

54 **8. Interested parties**

55 Competent authorities of the member states and pharmaceutical industry.

56 **9. References to literature, guidelines, etc.**

57 N/A

58