



1 22 October 2015  
2 EMA/CHMP/41252/2015  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **Guideline on clinical investigation of medicinal products**  
5 **for prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in non-**  
6 **surgical patients (formerly CPMP/EWP/6235/04 Rev.1)**  
7 **Draft**

Draft agreed by Cardiovascular Working Party	June 2015
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	22 October 2015
Start of public consultation	16 November 2015
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	15 May 2016

8  
9 This guideline replaces the 'Guideline on clinical investigation of medicinal products for the prophylaxis  
10 of venous thromboembolic risk in non-surgical patients' (CPMP/EWP/6235/04).

11  
12 Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to  
[cvswpsecretariat@ema.europa.eu](mailto:cvswpsecretariat@ema.europa.eu).

<b>Keywords</b>	<b><i>Prevention, venous thromboembolism, bleeding, non-surgical patients, cancer, chemotherapy</i></b>
-----------------	---



13 **Guideline on clinical investigation of medicinal products**  
14 **for prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in non-**  
15 **surgical patients**

16 **Table of contents**

17 **Executive summary ..... 3**

18 **1. Introduction (background) ..... 3**

19 **2. Scope..... 4**

20 **3. Legal basis and relevant guidelines ..... 4**

21 **4. Patients characteristics and selection of patients..... 4**

22 4.1. Patients characteristics ..... 4

23 4.1.1. Predisposing risk factors for VTE..... 4

24 4.1.2. Patient care and other factors ..... 5

25 4.2. Patient selection..... 6

26 **5. Evaluation of efficacy ..... 6**

27 5.1. Methods for diagnosing venous thromboembolism ..... 6

28 5.1.1. Established methods for diagnosing DVT ..... 6

29 5.1.2. Established methods for diagnosing PE ..... 7

30 5.1.3. New methods for diagnosing DVT/PE..... 7

31 5.2. Primary efficacy outcome ..... 7

32 5.3. Secondary efficacy outcomes ..... 9

33 **6. Strategy and design of clinical trials..... 9**

34 6.1. Pharmacodynamics ..... 9

35 6.2. Pharmacokinetics ..... 9

36 6.3. Therapeutic exploratory studies ..... 10

37 6.4. Therapeutic confirmatory studies..... 10

38 6.5. Studies in special populations ..... 12

39 **7. Safety aspects ..... 13**

40 7.1. Bleeding events ..... 13

41 7.2. Other events of interest ..... 15

42 **8. Other information..... 16**

43 8.1. The need for reversal and laboratory monitoring ..... 16

44 **Definitions..... 16**

45 **References ..... 17**

46

## 47 **Executive summary**

48 Venous thromboembolism (VTE), comprising deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism  
49 (PE), is the third leading cause of death due to circulatory diseases, only behind myocardial infarction  
50 and stroke, and it is an important source of morbidity in acutely ill medical patients [1]. A key element  
51 in the benefit risk assessment of drugs used for prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism (VTE) is  
52 balancing their antithrombotic effect versus the risk of bleeding. Since the publication of the *CHMP*  
53 *guidance on clinical investigation of medicinal products for the prophylaxis of venous thromboembolic*  
54 *disease [CPMP/EWP/6235/04]* in 2006 [2], a number of new EMA guidelines related to clinical  
55 investigation with antithrombotics have been released [3,4] or are being revised [5]. The present  
56 update of the CPMP/EWP/6235/04 guideline on non-surgical patients includes the following changes: a)  
57 clarifications regarding imaging tests to be used in dose-finding and confirmatory trials; b) discussion  
58 on the need for dedicated studies depending on the claimed indication, target population (e.g.: acutely  
59 ill non-surgical patients at high risk of VTE, outpatients with cancer, etc.) and treatment duration (e.g.:  
60 acute versus extended prophylaxis); c) updated definition of bleeding events (e.g.: major bleeding and  
61 clinically relevant non-major bleeding) and its assessment, according to recent CHMP guidelines, in  
62 order to provide an objective and standardised definition of bleedings as well as a detailed description  
63 of methods for measuring blood loss and timing for collection of data; d) inclusion of additional  
64 secondary safety outcomes of clinical importance for new antithrombotics, like hepatic events or  
65 arterial thromboembolism.

## 66 **1. Introduction (background)**

67 Venous thromboembolic disease (VTE) is a common condition, with clinically recognised deep vein  
68 thrombosis (DVT) and/or Pulmonary Embolism (PE) and with a reported annual incidence of 2 per 1000  
69 general population. The majority of patients developing VTE are non-surgical, accounting for 3 out of 4  
70 fatal pulmonary emboli. VTE is associated to significant morbidity-mortality and long-term sequels,  
71 such as post-thrombotic syndrome or chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. The primary  
72 aim of prophylaxis and/or treatment in the setting of thromboembolism, in clinical practice, is the  
73 prevention of PE, both fatal and non-fatal, usually resulting from proximal DVT of the lower limb  
74 venous system. Distal DVTs are considered less serious unless propagating proximally.

75 Medical patients have a significantly heterogeneous risk for VTE. Current clinical practice guidelines [1]  
76 recommend routine thromboprophylaxis with low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH), low-dose  
77 unfractionated heparin (UFH) or fondaparinux in acutely ill hospitalized medical (non-surgical) patients  
78 at high risk of thrombosis during the period of risk (usually no more than 10 days).

79 Thromboprophylaxis (with LMWH or low-dose UFH) is also recommended in critically ill patients [those  
80 who are admitted to an Intensive Care Unit (ICU)] at high risk of thrombosis [1].

81 There is no strong evidence available about the need for routine thromboprophylaxis in acutely ill  
82 hospitalized medical patients at low risk of thrombosis, or in outpatients, like long-distance travelers,  
83 in chronically immobilized patients (e.g. nursing home or rehab residents, immobilized persons living  
84 at home), in outpatients with cancer receiving chemotherapy or in those with an indwelling central  
85 venous catheter [6,7] or in asymptomatic outpatients with thrombophilia [1]. Specific  
86 recommendations, requirements and/or dedicated studies may be needed depending on the claimed  
87 indication and treatment duration (e.g.: acute versus extended prophylaxis) and target population  
88 (e.g.: acutely ill non-surgical patients at high risk of VTE versus outpatients with cancer, etc.). As a  
89 result, active drugs or placebo may be suitable as control in comparative trials, depending on VTE risk  
90 of the included population and period of risk.

91 Despite venography being the gold standard for diagnosis of DVT [1], it is an invasive method that has  
92 been replaced by mandatory bilateral compression ultrasonography (CIS) in recent trials in non-  
93 surgical patients [8-11] (see also section 5.1.1).

## 94 **2. Scope**

95 The aim of this guideline is to provide guidance to industry when performing trials to develop medicinal  
96 products in the prevention of venous thromboembolism in non-surgical patients. The revised guideline  
97 does not deal with the development of medicinal products for prevention of long-term sequelae of VTE,  
98 such as post-phlebitic syndrome or chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension.

## 99 **3. Legal basis and relevant guidelines**

100 This guideline has to be read in conjunction with the introduction and general principles and parts I  
101 and II of the Annex I to Directive 2001/83 as amended.

102 Pertinent elements outlined in current and future EU and ICH guidelines, should also be taken into  
103 account, especially those listed below:

- 104 - Dose-Response Information to Support Drug Registration (ICH E4).
- 105 - Statistical Principles for Clinical Trials (ICH E9).
- 106 - Choice of Control Group and Related Issues in Clinical Trials (ICH E10).
- 107 - Guideline on the choice of the non-inferiority margin (CPMP/EWP/2158/99).
- 108 - Points to consider on an Application with 1) Meta-analyses 2) One pivotal study  
109 (CPMP/EWP/2330/99).
- 110 - Points to consider on multiplicity issues in clinical trials (CPMP/EWP/908/99).
- 111 - Investigation of subgroups in confirmatory clinical trials (EMA/CHMP/539146/2013).
- 112 - The Extent of Population Exposure to Assess Clinical Safety for Drugs (ICH E1A).
- 113 - Pharmacokinetic Studies in Man (3CC3A).
- 114 - Studies in Support of Special Populations: Geriatrics (ICH E7 CHMP/ICH/379/95) and related Q&A  
115 document (EMA/CHMP/ICH/604661/2009).
- 116 - Guideline on the investigation of drug interactions (CPMP/EWP/560/95/Rev. 1 Corr. 2).
- 117 - Reporting the Results of Population Pharmacokinetic Analyses (CHMP/EWP/185990/06).
- 118 - Reflection paper on the extrapolation of results from clinical studies conducted outside the EU to the  
119 EU-population (EMEA/CHMP/EWP/692702/2008).

## 120 **4. Patients characteristics and selection of patients**

### 121 **4.1. Patients characteristics**

#### 122 **4.1.1. Predisposing risk factors for VTE**

123 There are a number of factors that are considered important predisposing risk factors for VTE to be  
124 considered in clinical trials in hospitalized medical patients [12]. The strength of association for each of  
125 the factors is variable, with the first 4 factors being the more important ones. These include:

- 126 • Reduced mobility, defined as bed rest with bathroom privileges (either due to patient's  
127 limitations or on physicians order) for at least 3 days (level 2 immobility). It is not the same as  
128 "immobilisation", which is defined as requiring total bed rest or being sedentary without  
129 bathroom privileges for at least 3 days (level 1 immobility).
- 130 • Active cancer, including patients with local or distant metastases and/or in whom  
131 chemotherapy or radiotherapy had been performed in the previous 6 months.
- 132 • Previous VTE, with the exclusion of superficial vein thrombosis.
- 133 • Already known thrombophilic condition: carriage of defects of antithrombin, protein C or S,  
134 factor V Leiden, G20210A prothrombin mutation, antiphospholipid syndrome.
- 135 • Recent ( $\leq 30$  days) trauma and/or surgery.
- 136 • Demographic factors such as elderly age ( $\geq 70$  yrs) or obesity ( $BMI \geq 30$ ).
- 137 • Heart and/or respiratory failure.
- 138 • Acute myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke.
- 139 • Acute infection and/or rheumatologic disorder.
- 140 • Iatrogenic causes such as ongoing hormonal treatment with contraceptives or hormone  
141 replacement therapy (HRT).
- 142 • Presence of central venous catheter.

143 In the particular case of clinical trials in outpatient cancer patients receiving chemotherapy, there are a  
144 number of important characteristics of the underlying condition predisposing for VTE that should be  
145 taken into account in clinical trials in this specific subset of patients [13]. These include:

- 146 • Site of cancer: stomach, pancreas, primary brain tumor (very high risk), lung, lymphoma,  
147 gynecologic, bladder, testicular, renal tumors (high risk).
- 148 • Pre-chemotherapy platelet count  $\geq 350,000$  per microL.
- 149 • Hemoglobin level  $< 10$  g/dl and/or use of red-cell growth factors.
- 150 • Prechemotherapy leukocyte count  $> 11,000$  per microL.
- 151 • Body mass index  $\geq 35$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

152 It is important that the trial population is reflective of the variety of predisposing risk factors. Stratified  
153 randomisation may be needed to account for prognostic risk factors that may significantly influence the  
154 primary outcome (see also section 6.4).

#### 155 **4.1.2. Patient care and other factors**

156 In addition to the predisposing factors inherent in the clinical status and demography of the patient  
157 population to be studied, the risk of development of VTE and efficacy/ safety of the test product in  
158 development can be further confounded by a variety of factors such as investigator and site specific  
159 standards of care and concomitant illness and/or treatment:

- 160 • Practice of early immobilization and physiotherapy; use of mechanical prophylaxis measures  
161 (elastic compression stockings, intermittent pneumatic compression).
- 162 • Use of medicinal products which could interfere with platelet function such as aspirin or other  
163 non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs).
- 164 • Diseases which could impair coagulation such as liver disease.
- 165 • Potential interaction by medicinal products used to treat underlying diseases such as cancer.
- 166 • Poly-pharmacy in case of elderly patients with multiple pathology.

167 The potential for any of these to affect the efficacy and safety (through effects on pharmacodynamics  
168 and/or pharmacokinetics) of the product under evaluation should be prospectively identified.

## 169 **4.2. Patient selection**

170 Patients should be selected on the basis of target population and intended indication. If a 'general  
171 indication' for acutely-ill medical patients is intended, it is important that the trial population has  
172 adequate representation of several applicable subgroups e.g., stroke, cardiac disease, cancer and  
173 infection/inflammation, due to the heterogeneous nature of predisposing factors.

174 It is recommended to include in the studies patients at high risk of thrombosis, as assessed by  
175 available risk scores in hospitalized medical patients, like the Padua Score [12], Improve Score [14]  
176 and Geneva score [15]. In addition to immobilisation or reduced mobility, there should be preferably at  
177 least one additional risk factor present in the patients in clinical trials. Extended thromboprophylaxis  
178 after discharge can be studied (versus placebo) in a separate study or in a single study after  
179 completion of initial inpatient thromboprophylaxis (versus standard of care) (see also section 6.4,  
180 "choice of comparator").

181 Critically ill patients have an increased risk of bleeding. The risk of VTE depends on their acute illness  
182 (e.g., sepsis), chronic illnesses (e.g., congestive heart failure), prehospital diagnoses (e.g., prior VTE),  
183 and ICU-specific exposures and events (eg, immobilization, surgery, and other invasive procedures  
184 [such as central venous catheterization], mechanical ventilation, and drugs such as vasopressors.  
185 However, there are no validated risk assessment models to stratify VTE risk in critically ill patients.

186 In outpatients with cancer and central venous catheters receiving chemotherapy [6,7], the inclusion of  
187 high-risk patients as assessed by validated scores, like the Khorana score [13] in separate studies is  
188 recommended.

189 Other patients in whom thromboprophylaxis is not routinely recommended comprise chronically  
190 immobilized patients (e.g. nursing home or rehab residents, immobilized persons living at home) or  
191 patients with asymptomatic thrombophilias (e.g., deficiencies of antithrombin, Protein C, Protein S;  
192 Factor V Leiden, and the prothrombin gene mutation G20210 A; antiphospholipid antibody syndrome)  
193 [1]. Specific studies may be needed in these situations where long-term treatment can be anticipated.  
194 The methodology for assessing efficacy and safety is essentially the same as for hospitalized medical  
195 patients, with logical differences related to the choice of comparator (placebo may be allowed if  
196 thromboprophylaxis is not an established treatment) and study duration (longer than for an acute  
197 medical illness) (See section 6.4).

## 198 **5. Evaluation of efficacy**

### 199 **5.1. Methods for diagnosing venous thromboembolism**

200 It is considered that the diagnosis of VTE should be based on a diagnostic algorithm including clinical  
201 probability and the use of D-Dimers to rule out VTE. However, since heparins are known to affect D-  
202 dimer results, such results may not be useful in excluding thrombosis in patients receiving heparins. In  
203 older people, rising levels of D-dimer are frequent due to the high prevalence of pro-inflammatory  
204 conditions and increasing burden of lipid abnormalities, anemia and obesity. These factors compromise  
205 the specificity of D-dimer levels as a diagnostic aid to thrombosis in older individuals. It is  
206 recommended to use the same methods for diagnosis of VTE across all the trials. The following  
207 diagnostic methods are considered acceptable for documenting DVT and PE.

#### 208 **5.1.1. Established methods for diagnosing DVT**

- 209 • Bilateral compression ultrasonography (CUS) examination is a non-invasive method that is well  
210 accepted by patients and currently the most frequent method used in clinical trials due to its

211 adequate sensitivity and specificity to detect symptomatic DVT and asymptomatic proximal  
212 DVT of the lower limbs [16], but is less adequate for asymptomatic distal DVT. Video  
213 recordings of CUS examinations can be adjudicated centrally, but all sonographers have to  
214 receive CUS training to ensure a high quality of standardized CUS, particularly if a quantitative  
215 evaluation of thrombus burden is to be conducted. CUS is also recommended in patients  
216 suspected of having upper extremity DVT [17]. Not infrequently, CUS imaging may be  
217 technically difficult, or the abnormality may be more suggestive of old rather than recent  
218 thrombosis. If the CUS examination is inconclusive, venography is indicated to confirm or  
219 refute the diagnosis of DVT.

- 220 • Ascending venography is regarded as the gold standard method due to its high sensitivity and  
221 specificity. For this method a quantitative system has been reasonably validated [18] and it  
222 allows (blinded) centralised reading or reading by several observers. However, the method  
223 may be of low acceptability to the patient, especially for repeated examinations and for these  
224 reasons is less and less performed in clinical trials.

### 225 **5.1.2. Established methods for diagnosing PE**

- 226 • Spiral computed tomography (sCT) is currently the most frequent method used for the  
227 diagnosis of PE in clinical trials so far.
- 228 • Pulmonary angiography is the gold standard, but is now rarely performed.
- 229 • Ventilation-perfusion lung scan (VPLS). A normal VPLS or perfusion lung scan (PLS) is  
230 considered adequate to rule out PE. Only so-called “high probability” findings on VPLS are  
231 specific enough to allow a positive diagnosis of PE. Other types of findings should be regarded  
232 as “non-diagnostic” and should be verified through pulmonary angiography or positive CUS in  
233 patients with symptomatic PE (see below).
- 234 • In the presence of symptoms indicative of PE in a patient with demonstrated DVT,  
235 “nondiagnostic” findings on VPLS are sufficient for a diagnosis of PE.

236 Since sudden death may be the first sign of PE and is the most important complication of it, ruling out  
237 PE as the cause of death without diagnostic confirmation can have important implications for efficacy  
238 assessment. In cases of ‘suspected fatal PE,’ effort should be made to obtain an autopsy to confirm the  
239 diagnosis. Unless PE has been excluded, it will be difficult to attribute any death to non-PE causes.

### 240 **5.1.3. New methods for diagnosing DVT/PE**

241 Computed tomography venography (CTV) or magnetic resonance venography (MRV) are validated  
242 methods for diagnosis of DVT/PE and could complement current established techniques. CTV has  
243 similar sensitivity/specificity to ultrasound in the diagnosis of proximal DVT and also offers assessment  
244 of the pelvic and deep femoral veins [19]. CTV leads to the detection of an additional 3% of cases of  
245 VTE when combined with pulmonary CT angiography in the assessment of PE [20]. MRV can be highly  
246 accurate, easy to perform and successful in many situations where other imaging techniques yield  
247 ambiguous results [21].

## 248 **5.2. Primary efficacy outcome**

### 249 **Confirmatory trials**

250  
251 Efficacy assessment should take into consideration the intended target population and the duration of  
252 treatment, taking into account that benefits may be seen for a variable period after completion of

253 treatment. Since the main objective will be to prevent symptomatic/fatal PE, evaluation of efficacy will  
254 need to focus on confirmation of diagnosis of proximal DVT and non-fatal/fatal PEs and document the  
255 clinical impact on morbidity/mortality.

256 The main efficacy outcome recommended in Phase III trials in the prophylaxis of VTE in non-surgical  
257 patients is the composite of:

- 258 • Documented asymptomatic proximal DVT
- 259 • Documented symptomatic DVT (proximal and distal)
- 260 • Documented symptomatic non-fatal PE
- 261 • VTE-related death (non-inferiority trials) or all-cause death (superiority trials)

262  
263 The difference in endpoints between superiority and non-inferiority trials is based on the need for a  
264 more sensitive endpoint in the latter. In both cases, a supportive analysis of the composite endpoint  
265 should be provided using the alternative group of deaths i.e. VTE-related death for a superiority trial  
266 and all-cause death for a non-inferiority trial.

267 All major endpoints should be adjudicated by a blinded clinical events committee.

268 Mandatory routine bilateral lower extremity CUS should be performed after the last dose of study  
269 medication or matching placebo. In subjects prematurely discontinuing their treatment and/or  
270 developing symptoms of DVT, bilateral CUS should be performed at that time and at the initially  
271 programmed day of end of treatment.

272 Although it is expected that most DVTs will occur in the lower limbs, some symptomatic DVTs may  
273 occur in the upper extremity. As most cases of upper extremity DVT are specific complications  
274 associated with central venous catheters (CVCs), devoted studies are recommended. The incidence of  
275 CVC-associated thrombi is particularly high in patients with indwelling CVSs for cancer chemotherapy,  
276 varying from 27% to 66% in different series when routine screening with venography is performed.  
277 However, even in the presence of an extensive, occlusive thrombus in the proximal veins, only one  
278 third of cases are symptomatic. Symptoms of CVC-associated thrombi include swelling, pain, redness,  
279 discoloration, and even cyanosis, which have to be documented by objective testing.

280 Diagnosis of symptomatic DVT or PE based solely on clinical signs and symptoms is discouraged. The  
281 number of such episodes, especially if leading to changed or renewed therapy, must, however, be  
282 noted and accommodated for in the analyses. Deaths should be carefully characterised regarding their  
283 relationship to VTE, according to criteria specified in the study protocol.

284 The primary efficacy endpoint should also be investigated within a follow-up period after trial drug  
285 discontinuation, usually 30 days, in order to rule out a potential rebound effect. As many patients may  
286 have permanent/persistent VTE risk factors, additional follow-up efficacy assessment o (e.g.: 3 to 6  
287 months after withdrawal of the prophylaxis) may also be discussed in the initial design of a study.

## 288 **Exploratory trials**

289 For proof-of-concept and dose-ranging studies, an objective primary efficacy outcome with sufficient  
290 sensitivity (e.g.: including symptomatic and asymptomatic VTE) is recommended.

291 The following composite endpoint may be appropriate:

- 292 - Documented symptomatic and asymptomatic DVT.
- 293 - Documented symptomatic and asymptomatic non-fatal PE.

294 - VTE-related death.

### 295 **5.3. Secondary efficacy outcomes**

296 A mandatory secondary analysis should include the individual components of the recommended  
297 primary efficacy endpoint.

298 Other recommended clinically relevant secondary efficacy outcomes, relevant for antithrombotic  
299 medicinal products, are the occurrence of:

- 300 • Stroke.
- 301 • Myocardial infarction.
- 302 • Vascular death.
- 303 • Components of "VTE-related death":
  - 304 - Fatal PE documented by objective methods.
  - 305 - Sudden unexplained deaths in which a fatal PE could not be ruled out.

306 Net clinical benefit endpoints, combining efficacy and safety endpoints (e.g.: symptomatic VTE, major  
307 bleeding and all-cause death), can be of value in the risk-benefit assessment of the studied  
308 anticoagulant agents. The evaluation of QoL (Quality of Life) by standardized form comparing the  
309 results between the experimental and control drugs may be of interest.

## 310 **6. Strategy and design of clinical trials**

### 311 **6.1. Pharmacodynamics**

312 Pharmacodynamic trials should investigate the mechanism of action of the product and the correlation  
313 between the PK and PD in healthy subjects and in patients, by using the appropriate human models of  
314 thrombosis, in the presence of drugs known to affect haemostasis and coagulation time assays. Effect  
315 on thrombus formation, thrombin generation, global clotting tests or specific tests relevant for the  
316 individual drug under investigation should be assessed as appropriate. The timing of performing  
317 coagulation time assays after drug intake should be considered when studying pharmacodynamics.

318 The possibility of a pharmacodynamic interaction, considered important for drugs used in this  
319 indication, should also be evaluated. It is not possible to list all the potential interacting drugs in this  
320 document. Some common examples to be considered are NSAIDs and anti-platelet agents.

### 321 **6.2. Pharmacokinetics**

322 Pharmacokinetics trials should be performed following applicable guidelines (see section 3) in order to  
323 obtain information on the absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of the product following  
324 its proposed route of administration.

325 In addition, pharmacokinetic profile of the product in development should also be studied in the  
326 following specific patient populations: patients with impaired renal function, impaired liver function,  
327 extreme body-weights, and older patients (see also sections 6.5, 6.6 and 6.7).

328 Potential for pharmacokinetic interactions should be investigated both with respect to the effects of  
329 other drugs on the investigational drug and the effects of the investigational drug on other medicinal  
330 products. Drug-drug interaction studies may include: a) Mechanistic studies with strong and moderate

331 inhibitors of an enzyme involved in drug metabolism: b) Studies with interacting drugs expected to be  
332 commonly used concomitantly with the investigational drug aiming to obtain a specific dose  
333 recommendation; c) Studies to verify the suitability of a proposed dose adjustment or to confirm a lack  
334 of interaction with a commonly co-prescribed drug in the target population.

### 335 **6.3. Therapeutic exploratory studies**

336 These studies should allow the selection of an appropriate dosing of the medicinal product in terms of  
337 total dose and the dosing interval, in order to find an appropriate dosing of the new medicinal products  
338 in terms of the balance between efficacy and safety.

339 The major dose-finding studies should test several doses of the medicinal product. The studies should  
340 be conducted in a limited number of patients by dose-groups or dose-interval groups (once-daily,  
341 twice-daily) and with a limited duration in order to minimise under-dosing, and should normally include  
342 an active comparator arm with an oral anticoagulant approved for this indication (for more details see  
343 "Choice of control group" subsection). These studies will be usually underpowered to detect differences  
344 in hard efficacy endpoints, but may allow detecting differences in clinically relevant bleeding (the  
345 composite of major bleeding and/or clinically relevant non-major bleeding) as well as coagulation and  
346 laboratory parameters (e.g.: drug plasma concentrations, APTT, D-dimer, etc.). If appropriately  
347 justified, dose-response data may be extrapolated from other indication(s) (e.g.: prophylaxis of DVT in  
348 surgical patients). Population PK/PD approaches may also help to establish dose-response in the  
349 prophylaxis of VTE in non-surgical patients.

350 In certain cases, where there is strong and confirmed evidence, a laboratory test could support dose-  
351 selection; the assay used should be a validated test and should preferably be the same for all  
352 participating patients. Such assay results would typically be applicable for efficacy monitoring, although  
353 it would be advantageous to have applicability for safety purposes also.

### 354 **6.4. Therapeutic confirmatory studies**

#### 355 **Design**

356 For confirmatory trials a prospective, double-blind randomised, controlled, parallel group clinical trial is  
357 recommended. Even if blinding is not possible, the trial should be controlled and randomised. In such  
358 trials, evaluation of efficacy and safety should be carried out by independent adjudication committees.  
359 In multicentre trials, stratified randomisation by important prognostic factors measured at baseline e.g.  
360 study centre, type of index disease targeting thromboprophylaxis, type of chemotherapy, etc. may  
361 sometimes be valuable in order to promote balanced allocation within strata.

362 The possibility of screening the proximal veins at baseline with US to detect old thrombi should be  
363 considered in order to increase its diagnostic precision. Old thrombi will often result in non-  
364 compressible segments for a long time, and therefore a comparison of the CUS imaging test performed  
365 at the scheduled time point (i.e.: end of treatment or earlier if symptoms occur) with a baseline  
366 imaging test may be helpful.

367 Depending on the intended indication, the relevant cross-section of the patient population should be  
368 represented in the trials (see also section 4.2 "Patient selection").

369 An appropriate follow-up of at least 30 days after treatment discontinuation should be included to  
370 assess a possible rebound effect.

371

## 372 **Choice of comparator**

373 If a medicinal product is already approved or recommended in treatment guidelines, an active  
374 treatment should be included in the study design, otherwise it should be fully justified. A placebo-  
375 controlled design could in some situations be justified if the patients are followed with repeated US  
376 investigations at regular intervals. In situations when no prophylactic methods have yet been  
377 registered in the targeted indication superiority over placebo should be demonstrated for the medicinal  
378 product combined with an acceptable safety profile. A sequential comparison with standard of care for  
379 the first 10 days (non-inferiority), followed by a comparison with placebo for approximately 5 weeks  
380 (superiority) may also be acceptable [9-11].

## 381 **Duration of treatment**

382 The duration of treatment intended for each clinical indication should be adequately reflected in the  
383 studies and the duration chosen should be justified. In the case of acute medical illness, when patients  
384 have reduced mobility or are immobilised, treatment should be administered until full mobilisation prior  
385 to discharge. The duration of treatment in this situation is usually for 7-14 days.

386 If the indication proposed is for a chronic irreversible condition(s), such as established paralysis due to  
387 cerebrovascular disease, or a permanent risk factor, like active cancer, where the treatment may be  
388 given indefinitely, the trial duration should be of reasonable length – at least 3-6 months – to be able  
389 to provide sufficient reassurance of efficacy and at least 6-12 months for safety.

## 390 **Concomitant medications/procedures**

391 **Concomitant medications:** The trials should allow patients to receive concomitant medications  
392 usually recommended by guidelines for prevention of cardiovascular diseases. These drugs may include  
393 low-dose acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) and/or other antiplatelet medicinal products. The use of other  
394 concomitant drugs will depend on the risk for interactions of the investigational drug with other  
395 compounds (i.e.: other drugs that alter haemostasis, P-glycoprotein inhibitors/inducers, CYP  
396 inhibitors/inducers, etc.). In pivotal trials it is preferred not to exclude common medications used in  
397 the target population, unless a clear contraindication exists, in order to avoid exclusion of a  
398 representative population. All drug and non-drug treatment measures should be standardized.

399 **Concomitant procedures:** in long-term thromboprophylaxis studies, the protocol has to describe the  
400 management of anticoagulant/antithrombotic therapy during the clinical trial in case study subjects  
401 have to undergo elective and urgent surgical procedures as well as major trauma.

## 402 **Statistical considerations**

403 Non-inferiority testing (followed by superiority if non-inferiority is demonstrated) is the recommended  
404 approach in active controlled trials. The analysis of non-inferiority and superiority should follow general  
405 statistical guidelines (ICH E9). In non-inferiority trials, the choice of the non-inferiority margin should  
406 be pre-specified and justified (CPMP/EWP/2158/99). In cases where the confirmatory evidence is  
407 provided by one pivotal study only, special attention will be paid, among others, to the degree of  
408 statistical significance (CPMP/EWP/2330/99).

409 Statistical analysis should include the primary endpoint events over a fixed duration of follow-up for  
410 trials in an acute setting and for the full duration of follow-up to end-of-study for trials in long-  
411 term/chronic settings. 'On-treatment' analyses and analyses including events occurring 7 days and 30  
412 days after study drug discontinuation can be conducted in order to investigate a possible early rebound  
413 increase in thromboembolism after treatment cessation. For robust conclusions in respect of  
414 pharmacological effects, incidence of patient withdrawal and duration of exposure in each treatment  
415 arm should be discussed and alternative analyses conducted, in particular where a high incidence of

416 withdrawal might reduce assay sensitivity. These might include conservative imputation strategies, on-  
417 treatment analyses and analyses based on event rates.

418 Subgroup analyses are strongly encouraged according to demographic characteristics (age, gender)  
419 and factors that could result in a differential effect of the new compound versus the control group on  
420 efficacy or safety endpoints (e.g.: baseline risk factors for VTE or bleeding, renal function subgroups,  
421 and concomitant medications increasing VTE or bleeding risk).

## 422 **Additional investigations during pivotal trials**

423 The following investigations may be useful but not essential for further refining the knowledge of the  
424 PK/PD, efficacy and safety of the new product:

- 425 • **Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics:** Characterize the relationship between exposure  
426 and response in terms of PD markers, efficacy and safety to the new drug (i.e.: plasma  
427 concentration, coagulation tests, etc.). Particular attention should be paid to the appropriate  
428 determination of pharmacokinetics in older patients, as potential increased exposure and/or  
429 decreased elimination may pose elderly patients at particularly increased risk of major bleeding,  
430 particularly haemorrhagic stroke.
- 431 • **Pharmacogenetics:** Identify genetic polymorphisms that identify patients at higher risk for  
432 VTE and bleeding.
- 433 • **Biomarkers:** Correlate concentrations of biomarkers of thrombosis, inflammation,  
434 endothelium, metabolism, necrosis and hemodynamic status with efficacy and safety profiles of  
435 anticoagulant therapy. These biomarkers should be measured at baseline, during treatment  
436 and after treatment withdrawal (after the drug has been cleared from plasma, i.e. at least 5  
437 half-lives) in order to investigate a possible rebound hypercoagulation.

## 438 **6.5. Studies in special populations**

439 This should be assessed as appropriate for the product and the target population.

440 In general, the following groups might require specific evaluation:

- 441 • older patients.
- 442 • renal insufficiency (moderate, severe).
- 443 • liver disease.

444 There is a need to identify the more appropriate dose in these special populations. Any dose adaptation  
445 in these populations should be appropriately explored and justified.

446 As long as there is a reasonable representation of obese patients (body-mass index  $\geq 30$ ) in the main  
447 therapeutic study/ies, a separate study is not considered necessary.

448 Safety in special populations should be prospectively assessed for inclusion of the sub-groups in the  
449 SPC.

## 450 **6.6 Older patients**

451 Older patients are more affected by VTE than younger patients and the risk of bleeding related to  
452 anticoagulant treatments is high. Therefore, prevention of VTE in this population is particularly  
453 challenging [22]. It is important to determine whether or not the pharmacokinetic behaviour,  
454 pharmacodynamics, drug-disease or drug-drug interactions and clinical response of the drug in older  
455 patients are different from that in younger adults. Therefore, to assess the benefit/risk balance of a

456 drug that will be used in the geriatric population, patients >65 years and ≥75 years should be  
457 appropriately represented in clinical trials (ICH E7 and Clinical Trials Regulation 536/2014, art 6).

458 A distinction between older patients with and without co-morbidities is to be made. Generating clinical  
459 data in older persons (≥75) and also in the oldest group (≥85 years) of patients with high comorbidity  
460 is a matter of utmost importance, as they will represent an important part of the target population in  
461 standard practice.

## 462 **7. Safety aspects**

### 463 **7.1. Bleeding events**

464 Bleeding is the main complication of antithrombotic therapy. There should be consistency in the  
465 method used for assessing bleeding associated with the medicinal product of interest across the entire  
466 development program. A validated and clinically relevant classification of bleedings should be used.  
467 Similar to the efficacy evaluation, the adjudication of bleeding events by a central independent and  
468 blinded committee of experts, using pre-specified limits and clear terms of reference is strongly  
469 encouraged.

470 In dose-finding studies, the use of a sensitive safety endpoint to assess bleeding risk, like the sum of  
471 major and clinically relevant non-major bleeding, is recommended. In pivotal trials, the recommended  
472 primary safety endpoint is major bleeding, but the sum of major and clinically relevant non-major  
473 bleeding is to be analysed as well (secondary endpoint).

474 The description of the severity (i.e.: life-threatening versus non-life-threatening major bleed),  
475 localisation (i.e.: intracranial, gastrointestinal, etc.) and temporal pattern (i.e.: time-to-event analysis)  
476 is encouraged.

477 The use of other bleeding definitions (i.e.: TIMI, GUSTO, BARC) in addition to the ones included in this  
478 document for the purpose of sensitivity analyses is optional.

### 479 **Major bleeding**

480 Major bleeding is defined as a bleeding event that meets at least one of the following criteria:

- 481 • fatal bleeding
- 482 • critical bleeding (intracranial, intraocular, intraspinal, pericardial, retroperitoneal, intraarticular  
483 or intramuscular with compartment syndrome)
- 484 • clinically overt bleeding associated with a decrease in the haemoglobin level of more than 2  
485 g/dL (20 g/l; 1.24 mmol/L) compared with the pre-randomisation level
- 486 • clinically overt bleeding leading to transfusion of two or more units of whole blood or packed  
487 cells
- 488 • clinically overt bleeding that necessitates surgical intervention

489 The CHMP strongly recommends using the above definition for the primary safety outcome, which is  
490 consistent with the International Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) definition of major  
491 bleeding in non-surgical patients [23].

492 Bleeding warranting treatment cessation is not considered as a sole criterion for qualifying a bleeding  
493 as major, because the decision for treatment cessation may be subjective and influenced by a variety  
494 of factors other than the severity of bleeding. However, the criterion of “treatment cessation” is still

495 considered valid to qualify a bleeding as “clinically relevant non-major bleeding”, because it may be  
496 considered as an action taken to control bleeding (see below).

497  
498 In order to describe bleeding severity, major bleedings may be further sub-classified as life-  
499 threatening [24,25] if they meet at least one of the following criteria:

- 500 • Fatal, symptomatic intracranial bleed;
- 501 • Reduction in hemoglobin of at least 5 g/dL;
- 502 • Transfusion of at least 4 units of blood or packed cells;
- 503 • Associated with substantial hypotension requiring the use of intravenous inotropic agents; or
- 504 • Necessitated surgical intervention.

505 All the remaining major bleeds may be considered as non-life- threatening major bleeds.

### 506 **Clinically relevant non-major bleeding**

507 Clinically relevant non-major bleeding [24,26] is defined as any clinically overt bleeding that does not  
508 meet the criteria for major bleeding but requires medical attention (e.g.: hospitalisation, medical  
509 treatment for bleeding) and/or a change in antithrombotic therapy (including discontinuation or down-  
510 titration of study drug) and/or any other bleeding type considered to have clinical consequences for a  
511 patient.

512 Examples of clinically relevant non-major bleeding are: multiple-source bleeding; spontaneous  
513 hematoma >25 cm<sup>2</sup>, or > 100 cm<sup>2</sup> if there was a traumatic cause; intramuscular hematoma  
514 documented by ultrasonography without compartment syndrome; excessive wound hematoma;  
515 macroscopic (gross, visible) hematuria (spontaneous or lasting >24 h if associated with an  
516 intervention); epistaxis or gingival bleeding that requires tamponade or other medical intervention, or  
517 bleeding after venipuncture for >5 min; hemoptysis, hematemesis or spontaneous rectal bleeding  
518 requiring endoscopy or other medical intervention.

### 519 **Other non-major bleedings**

520 Other non-major bleedings include other overt bleeding events that do not meet the criteria for major  
521 bleeding or clinically relevant non-major bleeding (e.g.: epistaxis that does not require medical  
522 attention or change in antithrombotic therapy).

### 523 **Composite bleeding endpoints of interest**

524 The use of the following composite bleeding endpoints is recommended:

- 525 • **Clinically relevant bleeding:** defined as the rate of patients experiencing at least one major  
526 bleeding and/or a clinically relevant non-major bleeding.
- 527 • **Non-major bleeding:** defined as the rate of patients experiencing at least one clinically  
528 relevant non-major bleeding or other non-major bleeding.
- 529 • **Total bleeding:** defined as the rate of patients experiencing at least one major bleeding,  
530 clinically relevant non-major bleeding or other non-major bleeding.

### 531 **Other parameters related to bleeding**

532 As support for the conclusions drawn from the main safety criteria, other bleeding-related parameters  
533 are recommended to be recorded during the studies e.g.:

- 534 • **Laboratory parameters:** haemoglobin level, haematocrit and red cell count changes during  
535 the treatment period.
- 536 • **Bleeding index (mean,  $\pm$ SD)** calculated in each patient as the number of units of packed red  
537 cells or whole blood transfused plus the haemoglobin values pre-randomisation minus the  
538 haemoglobin values at the end of treatment period.
- 539 • **Patients with bleeding index  $\geq 2$**  at the end of treatment period relative to haemoglobin pre  
540 randomisation levels (n, %).
- 541 • **Patients receiving transfusion of packed red cells (n, %)** (homologous and autologous  
542 transfusions need to be distinguished).
- 543 • **Transfusion volume (mL; mean,  $\pm$ SD)** and **transfusion units (U; mean,  $\pm$ SD)** during the  
544 treatment period (homologous and autologous transfusions need to be distinguished).

## 545 **Report and collection of bleeding events and related parameters**

546 The population included in the assessment of bleeding events should correspond with those subjects  
547 who have received at least one dose of the study drug (either active or placebo) (i.e.: the safety  
548 population).

549 The period for collection of these data should be identical in all treatment groups, starting at the time  
550 of the administration of the first dose of study drug (either active or placebo) in any of the treatment  
551 groups, until the antithrombotic effect of study drugs is not detectable, and after study drugs have  
552 been cleared from plasma.

553 The decrease in the haemoglobin level  $\geq 2$  g/dL should be considered relative to the closest (last  
554 measured) haemoglobin level value before the bleeding event.

555 The use of a fecal occult blood test (FOBT) at screening visit and during treatment at regular intervals  
556 is encouraged, since long-term antithrombotic therapy may be associated with unperceived chronic  
557 gastrointestinal blood loss.

## 558 **7.2. Other events of interest**

559 The mechanism of action and pharmacological class of the medicinal product under investigation may  
560 suggest specific aspects of safety evaluation (e.g. platelet counts, antibody detection, renal and liver  
561 function parameters, hypercoagulability markers to assess a possible rebound hypercoagulation after  
562 treatment cessation, etc.) that should be considered for incorporation into the entire development  
563 programme.

564 If there is a potential for drug-induced liver injury (DILI) with the study drugs (experimental and/or  
565 control), an algorithm for hepatic monitoring has to be included in the protocol. Available regulatory  
566 guidance on DILI should be followed [27].

567 Special attention should be paid to hypersensitivity reactions of the skin and other organs (especially  
568 liver, kidney, lungs), changes in blood cells, and hepatitis.

569 For biotechnology derived product(s), immunogenicity should be evaluated prospectively. The type of  
570 antibody (e.g. neutralising) and incidence of immune-mediated adverse events should be assessed and  
571 clearly documented.

572 In studies including a parenteral anticoagulant of the heparin class, the inclusion of thrombocytopenia  
573 and injection sites hematomas as secondary safety outcomes is also important. The issue of injection

574 site hematomas may be particularly important for subcutaneous absorption of insulin in acutely ill  
575 diabetic patients, since frequently insulin and heparin injection sites overlap.

## 576 **8. Other information**

### 577 ***8.1. The need for reversal and laboratory monitoring***

578 The development of a specific antidote or further specific studies with non-specific reversal agent for  
579 new antithrombotics when given at high doses for long-term is highly recommended given the  
580 potential for life-threatening bleeding events in standard practice. Phase I studies are likely to provide  
581 a neutralising dose, but may not address the complex interplay of physiology, concomitant measures  
582 (i.e.: blood transfusions, use of plasma expanders, etc) and potential for increased thrombogenicity  
583 after administration of the reversal agent in patients who experience life-threatening bleeding. This  
584 should be followed by a proof-of-principle study pre-authorisation in a small subset of patients to  
585 demonstrate the efficacy and safety in the heterogeneous population that may present with life-  
586 threatening bleeding (e.g.: spontaneous, associated to trauma, surgical or non-surgical invasive  
587 procedures, etc.). A randomised clinical study will be difficult to perform taking into account the  
588 heterogeneity of the population and differences in standard of care between the various centres.  
589 Furthermore, the potential comparator is difficult to establish, since, up to date, non-specific  
590 procoagulant agents are not licensed for reversal of the new agents and may be associated with an  
591 increased risk of thrombosis. A post authorisation safety study (PASS) and/or registry will be needed  
592 to provide further data. The potential use of the reversal agent in situations other than life-threatening  
593 bleeding has to be well justified and supported by specific studies.

594 The development of a standardised test for laboratory monitoring of the anticoagulant effect of new  
595 agents is highly recommended. Even if the new medicinal products have no monitoring requirements  
596 and monitoring has not been applied in pivotal studies, there are potential situations in standard  
597 practice where this information might be useful (e.g.: impaired renal function, bleeding, thrombosis,  
598 clinically relevant drug-drug interactions, overdose, measurement of treatment compliance, etc.) that  
599 will recommend having it.

## 600 **Definitions**

601 **Deep vein thrombosis (DVT):** may be diagnosed in the presence of non-compressibility of the  
602 common femoral and/or popliteal veins (proximal DVT) or calf veins (distal DVT) on CUS, or  
603 intraluminal filling defect on venography if CUS is not conclusive.

604 **Suspected pulmonary embolism (PE):** may be confirmed in the presence of at least one of the  
605 following findings: a) intraluminal filling defect in segmental or more proximal branches on sCT scan;  
606 b) intraluminal filling defect or sudden cutoff of vessels more than 2.5 mm in diameter on the  
607 pulmonary angiogram; c) perfusion defect of at least 75% of a segment with a local normal ventilation  
608 result (high-probability) on VPLS; d) Inconclusive sCT, pulmonary angiography, or VPLS with  
609 demonstration of DVT in the lower extremity.

610 **VTE-related death:** may be confirmed in the presence of: a) PE based on objective diagnostic testing,  
611 autopsy; b) death which cannot be attributed to a documented cause and for which DVT / PE cannot be  
612 ruled out (sudden unexplained death).

613 **Cardiovascular death:** death resulting from an acute myocardial infarction, sudden cardiac death,  
614 death due to heart failure, death due to stroke, and death due to other cardiovascular causes.

## References

- 616 1. Kahn SR, Lim W, Dunn AS, Cushman M, Dentali F, Akl EA, et al; American College of Chest  
617 Physicians. Prevention of VTE in nonsurgical patients: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of  
618 Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines.  
619 Chest. 2012; 141(2 Suppl): e195S-226S.  
620
- 621 2. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). Guideline on clinical investigation of  
622 medicinal products for the prophylaxis of venous thromboembolic risk in non-surgical patients.  
623 CPMP/EWP/6235/04. London, 1 June 2006.  
624
- 625 3. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). Guideline on clinical investigation of  
626 medicinal products for prevention of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in patients undergoing high VTE-  
627 risk surgery. EMA/CHMP/325170/2012 (former CPMP/EWP/707/98 Rev. 2 corr). London, 30 May 2013.  
628
- 629 4. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP). Guideline on clinical investigation of  
630 medicinal products for prevention of stroke and systemic embolic events in patients with non-valvular  
631 atrial fibrillation. EMA/CHMP/623942/2013.  
632
- 633 5. Draft concept paper on the need for revision of the guideline on clinical investigation of medicinal  
634 products for the treatment of venous thromboembolic disease. EMA/CHMP/281099/2013. London, 30  
635 may 2013.  
636
- 637 6. Akl EA, Kahale L, Terrenato I, Neumann I, Yosucio VE, Barba M, et al. Oral anticoagulation in  
638 patients with cancer who have no therapeutic or prophylactic indication for anticoagulation. Cochrane  
639 Database Syst Rev. 2014; 7: CD006466.  
640
- 641 7. Akl EA, Ramly EP, Kahale LA, Yosucio VE, Barba M, Sperati F, Cook D, Schünemann H.  
642 Anticoagulation for people with cancer and central venous catheters. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.  
643 2014; 10: CD006468.  
644
- 645 8. Hull RD, Schellong SM, Tapson VF, Monreal M, Samama MM, Nicol P, et al. Extended-duration  
646 venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients with recently reduced mobility: a  
647 randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2010; 153: 8-18.  
648
- 649 9. Cohen AT, Spiro TE, Büller HR, Haskell L, Hu D, Hull R, et al. Extended-duration rivaroxaban  
650 thromboprophylaxis in acutely ill medical patients: MAGELLAN study protocol. J Thromb Thrombolysis.  
651 2011; 31: 407-16.  
652
- 653 10. Cohen AT, Spiro TE, Büller HR, Haskell L, Hu D, Hull R, et al. Rivaroxaban for thromboprophylaxis  
654 in acutely ill medical patients. N Engl J Med. 2013; 368: 513-23.  
655
- 656 11. Goldhaber SZ, Leizorovicz A, Kakkar AK, Haas SK, Merli G, Knabb RM, et al. Apixaban versus  
657 enoxaparin for thromboprophylaxis in medically ill patients. N Engl J Med. 2011; 365(23): 2167-77.  
658
- 659 12. Barbar S, Noventa F, Rossetto V, Ferrari A, Brandolin B, Perlati M, et al. A risk assessment model  
660 for the identification of hospitalized medical patients at risk for venous thromboembolism: the Padua  
661 Prediction Score. J Thromb Haemost. 2010; 8: 2450-7.  
662
- 663 13. Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, Culakova E, Lyman GH, Francis CW. Development and validation of a  
664 predictive model for chemotherapy-associated thrombosis. Blood. 2008; 111: 4902-7.  
665
- 666 14. Spyropoulos AC, Anderson FA Jr, Fitzgerald G, Decousus H, Pini M, Chong BH, et al. Predictive and  
667 associative models to identify hospitalized medical patients at risk for VTE. Chest. 2011; 140: 706-14.  
668
- 669 15. Nendaz M, Spirk D, Kucher N, Aujesky D, Hayoz D, Beer JH, et al. Multicentre validation of the  
670 Geneva Risk Score for hospitalised medical patients at risk of venous thromboembolism. Explicit  
671 ASsessment of Thromboembolic Risk and Prophylaxis for Medical PATients in SwitzErland (ESTIMATE).  
672 ThrombHaemost. 2014; 111(3): 531-8.  
673
- 674 16. Lensing AW, Prandoni P, Brandjes D. Detection of deep-vein thrombosis by real-time B-mode  
675 ultrasonography. N Engl J Med. 1989; 320: 342-5.

- 676  
677 17. Bates SM, Jaeschke R, Stevens SM, Goodacre S, Wells PS, Stevenson MD, et al; American College  
678 of Chest Physicians. Diagnosis of DVT: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed:  
679 American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012; 141(2  
680 Suppl): e351S-418S.
- 681 18. Marder VJ, Soulen RL, Atichartakarn V, Budzynski AZ, Parulekar S, Kim JR, et al. Quantitative  
682 venographic assessment of deep vein thrombosis in the evaluation of streptokinase and heparin  
683 therapy. *J Lab Clin Med*. 1977; 89: 1018-29.
- 684  
685 19. Goodman LR, Stein PD, Beemath A, Sostman HD, Wakefield TW, Woodard PK, et al. CT  
686 venography for deep venous thrombosis: continuous images versus reformatted discontinuous images  
687 using PLOPED II data. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2007; 189: 409-12.
- 688  
689 20. Krishan S, Panditaratne N, Verma R, Robertson R. Incremental value of CT venography combined  
690 with pulmonary CT angiography for the detection of thromboembolic disease: systematic review and  
691 meta-analysis. *Am J Roentgenol*. 2011; 196: 1065-72.
- 692  
693 21. Glockner JF, Lee CU. Magnetic Resonance Venography. *Appl Radiol*. 2010; 39: 36-42.
- 694  
695 22. Greig MF, Rochow SB, Crilly MA, Mangoni AA. Routine pharmacological venous thromboembolism  
696 prophylaxis in frail older hospitalised patients: where is the evidence? *Age Ageing*. 2013; 42(4): 428-  
697 34.
- 698  
699 23. Schulman S, Kearon C; Subcommittee on Control of Anticoagulation of the Scientific and  
700 Standardization Committee of the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis. Definition of  
701 major bleeding in clinical investigations of antihemostatic medicinal products in non-surgical patients. *J*  
702 *Thromb Haemost*. 2005; 3: 692-4.
- 703  
704 24. Ezekowitz MD, Connolly S, Parekh A, Reilly PA, Varrone J, Wang S, et al. Rationale and design of  
705 RE-LY: randomized evaluation of long-term anticoagulant therapy, warfarin, compared with dabigatran.  
706 *Am Heart J*. 2009; 157: 805-10.
- 707  
708 25. Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, Chrolavicius S, Tognoni G, Fox KK; Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to  
709 Prevent Recurrent Events Trial Investigators. Effects of clopidogrel in addition to aspirin in patients  
710 with acute coronary syndromes without ST-segment elevation. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 345: 494–502.
- 711  
712 26. Ruff CT, Giugliano RP, Antman EM, Crugnale SE, Bocanegra T, Mercuri M, et al. Evaluation of the  
713 novel factor Xa inhibitor edoxaban compared with warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation: design and  
714 rationale for the Effective aNticoagulation with factor xA next GEneration in Atrial Fibrillation-  
715 Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction study 48 (ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48). *Am Heart J*. 2010; 160: 635-41.
- 716  
717 27. Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for Industry. Drug-Induced Liver Injury: Premarketing  
718 Clinical Evaluation. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov>