



1 18 February 2016
2 EMA/CVMP/VICH/582610/2009
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP)

4 **VICH GL50 on Harmonisation of criteria to waive target**
5 **animal batch safety testing for inactivated vaccines for**
6 **veterinary use**
7 Draft

Draft agreed by VICH Steering Committee	February 2016
Adopted by CVMP for release for consultation	18 February 2016
Start of public consultation	26 February 2016
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	1 August 2016

8
9
10

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu

11
12





13
14
15
16
17
18
19

VICH GL50 (BIOLOGICALS: TABST)
February 2016
Revision at Step 9
For consultation at Step 4

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53

HARMONISATION OF CRITERIA TO WAIVE TARGET ANIMAL BATCH SAFETY TESTING FOR INACTIVATED VACCINES FOR VETERINARY USE



Revision at Step 9
Recommended for Consultation at Step 4 of the VICH Process
in February 2016
by the VICH Steering Committee.

This Guideline has been developed by the appropriate VICH Expert Working Group and is subject to consultation by the parties, in accordance with the VICH Process. At Step 7 of the Process the final draft is recommended for adoption to the regulatory bodies of the European Union, Japan and USA.

54
55
56
57

TABLE OF CONTENTS

58	1. INTRODUCTION	4
59	1.1. <i>Objective of the Guideline</i>	4
60	1.1.1. Background	4
61	2. GUIDELINE	5
62	2.1. <i>Scope</i>	5
63	2.2. <i>Regional Requirements</i>	5
64	2.2.1. General batch safety testing	5
65	2.2.2. Other relevant requirements	6
66	2.2.2.1. Quality systems	6
67	2.2.2.2. Seed lot system	6
68	2.2.2.3. Pharmacovigilance	6
69	2.3. <i>Data Requirements for Waiving of Target Animal Batch Safety Tests</i>	7
70	2.3.1. Introduction	7
71	2.3.1.1. The characteristics of the product and its manufacture	7
72	2.3.1.2. Information available on the current batch safety test	7
73	2.3.1.3. Pharmacovigilance data	8
74	2.3.2. Procedure for waiving the target animal batch safety test	8
75	3. GLOSSARY	9
76	4. REFERENCES	10
77		
78		

79 1. INTRODUCTION

80

81 Submission of batch safety test data from target or laboratory animals is a requirement
82 for batch release of veterinary vaccines in most regions participating in the VICH¹. The
83 VICH Steering Committee has decided to aim at harmonization of the batch safety tests
84 across the regions in order to minimize the need to perform separate studies for
85 regulatory authorities of different countries. However, due to the great divergence in
86 requirements between the regions it was concluded to adopt a phased approach with the
87 first step to harmonize the criteria on data requirements for waiving of the target animal
88 batch safety test (TABST) for inactivated vaccines in regions where it is required.

89

90 This guideline has been developed under the principle of VICH and will provide unified
91 criteria for government regulatory bodies to accept waivers for TABST. The use of this
92 VICH guideline to support a similar approach for products for local distribution only is
93 strongly encouraged but is up to the discretion of the local regulatory authority.
94 Furthermore, it is not always necessary to follow this guideline when there are
95 scientifically justifiable reasons for using alternative approaches.

96

97 Global implementation of TABST waiver reduces the use of animals for routine batch
98 release and should be encouraged.

99

100 1.1. Objective of the Guideline

101

102 The objective of this guideline is to provide internationally harmonized recommendations
103 for criteria on data requirements to waive target animal batch safety testing of inactivated
104 veterinary vaccines in regions where it is required.

105

106 1.1.1. Background

107 Most batch safety tests in laboratory and/or target animals on final product can be
108 considered as general safety tests. They apply to a broad group of veterinary vaccines
109 and should provide some assurance that the product will be safe in the target species,
110 i.e. it should reveal “unfavorable reactions attributable to the biological product ...” (Title
111 9. United States Code of Federal Regulations) or “no abnormal changes” (Minimum
112 Requirements for Veterinary Biological Products under *the Act on Securing Quality,
113 Efficacy and Safety of Pharmaceuticals, Medical Devices, Regenerative and Cellular
114 Therapy Products, Gene Therapy Products, and Cosmetics* in Japan) or, as formerly
115 required in Europe, “abnormal local or systemic reactions”.

116

117 Over the last two decades, the relevance of batch safety tests has been questioned by
118 representatives of regulatory authorities and vaccine manufacturers (Sheffield and
119 Knight, 1986; van der Kamp, 1994; Roberts and Lucken, 1996; Zeegers et al., 1997;
120 Pastoret et al., 1997; Cussler, 1999; Cussler et al., 2000; AGAATI, 2002; Cooper, 2008).
121 Particularly, the introduction of Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) and Good
122 Laboratory Practice (GLP; OECD 1998) or similar quality systems appropriate to regional
123 requirements as well as a seed lot system into the manufacture of vaccines has greatly
124 increased the consistency of the batches produced and hence their quality and safety.
125 This has also influenced the attitude towards quality control from the traditional batch

¹ In the EU TABST is no longer required (see section 2.2.1)

126 control for veterinary vaccines (based in major parts on *in vivo* testing) towards putting
 127 more emphasis on documentation of consistency of production which is mostly based on
 128 *in vitro* technologies (Lucken, 2000, Hendriksen et al., 2008, de Mattia et al., 2011).
 129

130 **2. GUIDELINE**

131 **2.1. Scope**

132
 133 This guideline is limited to the criteria on data requirements for waiving target animal
 134 batch safety tests (TABST) of inactivated veterinary vaccines.

135 **2.2. Regional Requirements**

136 **2.2.1. General batch safety testing**

137 Currently the following testing procedures (Table 1) are required for batch safety testing
 138 of inactivated veterinary vaccines covered by this guideline:
 139

140 Table 1:

VICH region	Requirements	Remarks
Europe: - Since April 2013, the target animal batch safety test is no longer required and had been deleted from the European Pharmacopoeia monographs for veterinary vaccines	Until 2013: target species (2 mammals, 10 fish, 10 birds), 2x dose, recommended route, minimum 14 d observation.	Before the TABST was deleted, it could be waived provided that at least 10 consecutive batches from separate final bulks had been tested and product complied with the test. ²
USA: - 9CFR – General requirements for inactivated bacterial vaccines (113.100)	mice (113.33) or - if inherently lethal to mice then guinea pig (113.38) - if poultry vaccines then poultry - if fish vaccines or other aquatic species, then fish - if reptilian vaccines then reptiles 113.38 – 2 guinea pigs, 2 mL im or sc, 7 d observation	
- 9CFR – General requirements for killed virus vaccines (113.200)	guinea pigs (113.38) mice (113.33b) 113.38 – 2 guinea pigs, 2 mL	not for poultry vaccines

² European Pharmacopoeia (2004) General monograph, Vaccines for Veterinary Use (0062); 4th Edition Supplement 4.6. Council of Europe, Strasbourg, France.

	im or sc, 7 d observation 113.33b – 8 mice, 0.5 mL ip or sc, 7 d observation	
Japan: – Minimum Requirements for Veterinary Biological Products under the Act on Securing Quality, Efficacy and Safety of Pharmaceuticals, Medical Devices, Regenerative and Cellular Therapy Products, Gene Therapy Products, and Cosmetics	a) Safety test using the target species <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – mammalian: 2 to 4 mammals, 1 to 5x dose, approved route, 10 to 14 d observation – birds: 10 birds, 1x dose, approved route, 2 to 5 weeks observation – fish: 15 to 120 fishes, 1x dose, approved route, 2 to 3 weeks observation b) Abnormal toxicity test: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – guinea pigs: 2 guinea pigs, 5 mL ip, 7 d observation – mice: 10 mice, 0.5 mL ip, 7 to 10 d observation c) Toxicity limit test: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – mice: 10 mice, 0.5 mL ip, 7 d observation – guinea pigs: 5 guinea pigs, 5 mL ip, 7 d observation 	

141

142

2.2.2. Other relevant requirements

143

2.2.2.1. Quality systems

144

145

146

147

148

149

Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) and similar quality systems have been established in VICH countries/regions to cover the manufacture and testing of medicinal products including veterinary medicinal products. These quality systems provide assurance that products placed on the market have been manufactured in a consistent and suitable manner.

150

2.2.2.2. Seed lot system

151

152

153

The establishment of a seed lot system, subject to quality and manufacturing controls, provides further assurance of the consistent production of vaccine batches and resulting batch quality.

154

2.2.2.3. Pharmacovigilance

155

156

157

The VICH process increasingly includes pharmacovigilance (post-marketing surveillance of medicines) in the veterinary field and the harmonization of the requirements and performance. This provides for early detection of safety problems associated with the

158 inconsistent quality of a vaccine in the field. Thus, pharmacovigilance provides extra
159 information about the product's safety that cannot always be obtained in the TABST.

160 **2.3. Data Requirements for Waiving of Target Animal Batch Safety Tests**

161 **2.3.1. Introduction**

162
163 The TABST may be waived by the regulatory authority when a sufficient number of
164 production batches have been produced under the control of a seed lot system and
165 found to comply with the test, thus demonstrating consistency of the manufacturing
166 process.

167
168 In general, it is sufficient to evaluate existing information which is available from routine
169 batch quality control and pharmacovigilance data, without the need for any additional
170 supplementary studies. The data which should be presented by the manufacturer to
171 support an application to waive TABST are presented below. However, this should not
172 be taken as an exhaustive list, and in all cases applications for waiving the TABST
173 should be accompanied by a summary of all the data and a conclusion on the assurance
174 of the product's safety being maintained.

175
176 In exceptional cases, significant changes to the manufacturing process may require
177 resumption of target animal batch safety testing to re-establish consistency of the safety
178 profile of the product. The occurrence of unexpected adverse events or other
179 pharmacovigilance problems which could be avoided using a TABST may also lead to
180 the resumption of the test. For products with an inherent safety risk, it may be necessary
181 to continue to conduct the TABST on each batch.

182 **2.3.1.1. The characteristics of the product and its manufacture**

183 The manufacturer should demonstrate that the product is manufactured following the
184 quality principles, i.e. the product has been manufactured in a consistent and suitable
185 manner.

186
187 For those circumstances when *in vivo* batch tests are conducted in target animals for
188 reasons other than the target animal safety test (e.g. potency tests) and these tests
189 include the collection of safety information (e.g. on mortality), it is recommended that
190 manufacturers use these tests to gain additional data of the safety of the vaccine in the
191 target species.

192 **2.3.1.2. Information available on the current batch safety test**

193 The manufacturer should submit batch protocol data for a sufficient number of
194 consecutive batches to demonstrate that safe and consistent production has been
195 established. Without prejudice to the decision of the competent authority in light of the
196 information available for a given vaccine, test data of 10 batches (or a minimum of 5
197 batches if 10 batches are not manufactured within 3 years) is likely to be sufficient for
198 most products. The data should be obtained from consecutively tested batches from
199 different vaccine bulks. The manufacturer should examine the variability of the local (if
200 applicable) and systemic reactions observed in the TABST results and the nature of
201 these reactions in relation to those observed in any developmental studies submitted in
202 support of the registration or licensure of the product.

203
204 Generally, data from TABST of combined vaccines may be used to waive the TABST of
205 vaccines containing fewer antigen and/or adjuvant components provided the remaining

206 components are identical in each case and it is only the number of antigens and/or
207 adjuvant which has decreased. For example, TABST data from a combination product
208 can be sufficient to waive TABSTs for all the fallout products. The manufacturer should
209 provide a summary and discussion of the findings.

210
211 The conduct of the TABST shall be in accordance with the regional requirements in
212 operation at the time when the tests were performed. There should be a thorough
213 examination of any batches that have failed the TABST in the time period during which
214 the agreed number of consecutive batches have been tested. This information, along
215 with an explanation as to the reasons for failure, should be submitted to the regulatory
216 authorities.

217 **2.3.1.3. Pharmacovigilance data**

218 A pharmacovigilance system in accordance with the VICH Guidelines, where available,
219 should have been in place over the period during which the batches for which data are
220 submitted were on the market. Safety information from pharmacovigilance and TABST
221 are by nature different but complement each other.

222
223 Available pharmacovigilance data to demonstrate the consistent safe performance of the
224 vaccine in the field should be provided using recent Periodic Safety Update Reports for
225 the relevant time period.

226
227 Where there exists a system for post-marketing re-examination of field safety data for
228 new veterinary vaccines, such data should also be considered alongside the
229 pharmacovigilance data.

230 **2.3.2. Procedure for waiving the target animal batch safety test**

231
232 A report should provide an overall assessment of the consistency of the product's safety
233 and would include taking into account the number of batches manufactured, the number
234 of years the product has been on the market, the number of doses sold and the
235 frequency and seriousness of any adverse reactions in the target species and any
236 investigations into the likely causes of these events.

237

238 **3. GLOSSARY**

239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275

Good Laboratory Practices (GLP): A standard for the design, conduct, monitoring, recording, auditing, analysis, and reporting of non-clinical studies. Adherence to the standard provides assurance that the data and reported results are complete, correct and accurate, that welfare of the study animals and the safety of the study personnel involved in the study are ensured, and that the environment and the human and animal food chains are protected (OECD, 1998).

Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP): Is part of a quality system covering the manufacture and testing of medicinal products including veterinary medicines. GMPs are guidelines that outline the aspects of production and testing that can impact the quality of a product standard assuring the quality of production processes and the production environment during the production of a medicinal product.

Production Batch: A defined quantity of starting material, packaging material or product processed in one process or series of processes so that it could be expected to be homogeneous.

Note: To complete certain stages of manufacture, it may be necessary to divide a batch into a number of sub batches, which are later brought together to form a final homogeneous batch. In the case of continuous manufacture, the batch must correspond to a defined fraction of the production, characterised by its intended homogeneity.

Seed Lot System: A seed lot system is a system according to which successive batches of a product are derived from the same master seed lot at a given passage level. For routine production, a working seed lot is prepared from the master seed lot. The final product is derived from the working seed lot and has not undergone more passages from the master seed lot than the vaccine shown in clinical studies to be satisfactory with respect to safety and efficacy. The origin and the passage history of the master seed lot and the working seed lot are recorded.

Target Animal Batch Safety Test (TABST): Safety test in target animals which is performed as a routine final product batch test for all inactivated and/or live veterinary vaccines.

Target Animal: The specific animal species, class and breed identified as the animal for which the veterinary vaccine is intended for use.

276 **4. REFERENCES**

277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315

AGAATI (2002). The Target Animal Safety Test - Is it Still Relevant? *Biologicals* 30, 277–287.

Cooper J (2008). Batch safety testing of veterinary vaccines – potential welfare implications of injection volumes. *ATLA* 36, 685-694.

Cussler K (1999). A 4R concept for the safety testing of immunobiologicals. *Dev. Biol. Standard.* 101, 121-126.

Cussler K, van der Kamp MDO & Pössnecker A (2000). Evaluation of the relevance of the target animal safety test. In: *Progress in the Reduction, Refinement and Replacement of Animal Experimentation*, pp. 809-816. Eds M Balls, A-M van Zeller and ME Halder. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.

De Mattia F, Chapsal J, Descamps J, Halder M, Jarrett N, Kross I, Mortiaux F, Ponsar C, Redhead K, McKelvie J & Hendriksen CFM (2011). The consistency approach for quality control of vaccines e A strategy to improve quality control and implement 3Rs. *Biologicals* 39, 59-65.

Hendriksen CFM, Arciniega J, Bruckner L, Chevalier M, Coppens E, Descamps J, Duchêne M, Dusek D, Halder M, Kreeftenberg H, Maes A, Redhead K, Ravetkar S, Spieser JM & Swam H (2008). The consistency approach for the quality control of vaccines. *Biologicals* 36, 73-77.

Lucken R (2000). Eliminating vaccine testing in animals – more action, less talk. *Developments in Animal and Veterinary Sciences* 31, 941-944.

OECD (1998) *Principles on Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring*. OECD, Paris, France. Available at: www.oecd.org.

Pastoret PP, Blancou J, Vannier P, Verschuere C (1997). *Veterinary Vaccinology*. Amsterdam, Elsevier Science B.V.

Roberts B & Lucken RN (1996). Reducing the use of the target animal batch safety test for veterinary vaccines. In: *Replacement, reduction and refinement of animal experiments in the development and control of biological products*, pp. 97–102. Eds: F Brown, K Cussler & CFM Hendriksen. Basel, Switzerland: S. Karger, AG.

Sheffield FW & Knight PA (1986). Round table discussion on abnormal toxicity and safety tests. *Dev. Biol. Standard.* 64, 309.

Van der Kamp MDO (1994). Ways of replacing, reducing and refining the use of animals in the quality control of veterinary vaccines. Institute of Animal Science and Health, Lelystad.

Zeegers JJW, de Vries WF, Remie R (1997). Reducing the use of animals by abolishment of the safety test as routine batch control test on veterinary vaccines. In: *Animal Alternatives, Welfare and Ethics*, pp. 1003-1005. Eds: LFM Van Zutphen & M Balls. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.