



European Federation of Pharmaceutical  
Industries and Associations



# EU IDMP Task Force

## Industry Perspective

EMA, 25<sup>th</sup> Sept 2015



# Alignment to Telematics Strategy



Industry review of the EU Telematics strategy is identifying gaps between IDMP and related programs incl....

- \* Iteration 2 & 4 need to align to the CT Portal & falsification of medicines respectively
- \* Gap in knowledge around IDMP requirements driven by E2B R3
- \* Need for inclusion of the Falsification of Medicines in the EU Telematics Strategy
- \* Need to acknowledge how longer term initiatives touch IDMP
  - \* Mobile applications as a medical products
- \* Need path forward to eLabelling/structured labelling (iteration 3)

Request – Joint development of a clear roadmap across EU telematics strategy including IDMP beyond 2017

# Industry requests from today's meeting

- \* Whilst expanding scope of iteration 1 could have value associated to it, this WILL slow implementation
  - \* Keep focused on minimum data to support identifiers in iteration 1
  - \* Scope iteration 3 (incl. eLabelling) now and prepare robust stakeholder model to ensure commitment and resource to deliver transformation
  - \* Collaboration in bridging gap between IDMP theory/XEVMPD issues and real world examples
- \* Alignment on process for development of EU IGs
  - \* Alignment on how EU IG will be developed in parallel with resolution of 188 pages of comments on ISO Guidance
  - \* Need to expand or compliment S&P group to create EU IG & FAQ
  - \* How to ensure stakeholder sign off for use that includes industry
- \* A clear path forward on public communication of near term MAH obligations

# Key Questions on Iteration 1 Scope

- \* How to address a lack of collective understanding of what exactly is required to handle assignment of the required identifiers (PhPID, MPID, SID/SSID and PCID)
  - \* Intent to develop real life examples in order to finalise iteration 1 – ahead of next EU Task Force
- \* Need to keep iteration 1 scope aligned with our roadmap – XEVMPD & minimum required fields for identifiers
- \* Based on PhV needs industry recommends focusing on PhPID at the substance term level – example available to work with the S&P group – request for Joerg to join S&P
- \* Need for common understanding and alignment across regulators on data requirements including granularity of substances/indications