



## Referentials Management Services (RMS) project report

Status update – EU Network Data Board / SPOR Task Force (12-13 December 2016)

Presented by: Isabel Chicharo

Business Lead for RMS







- 1. RMS & Maintenance Organisations
- 2. RMS Subgroup work
- 3. Joint RMS & S/PMS subgroup work

## **RMS & Maintenance Organisations**





### EDQM

- Processing automatic updates & integrating it with change request process
- Ongoing: Inclusion of RMS CR ID in EDQM API

### Bfarm

Whether Bfarm can support EMA with change requests in the interim

#### WHO

WHO is not able to receive/adopt translations provided by NCAs

### Kew Gardens

Initiated engagement to support SMS

## RMS subgroup work





## • RMS web portal screen mock-up sessions



- Run through of system mock-ups for release 2 functionality delivered in August and September
- Feedback received will also shape the training material that is being prepared

## **/**

### • API specification, messaging format and schema

- Final API specifications published in October
- AT and IE NCAs have started to have an initial test before it is used for UAT

## RMS subgroup work





### Should Industry be allowed to enter/request provisional translations?

	A- Industry cannot enter/request translations	B- Industry can request translations
Process for Industry	<ul> <li>No permission to enter translations not even as provisional</li> <li>Cannot submit change requests asking for translations</li> <li>May contact the relevant NCAs to take their requests into account</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Can add provisional translations (any language/Country)</li> <li>for Other names only (not prefered name/shortmane)</li> <li>While raising a change request for new/updated term</li> </ul>
Process for NCAs	<ul> <li>Voluntarily check missing/provisional translations and update them</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Voluntarily check missing/provisional translations and update them</li> <li>Review provisional translations for other names</li> </ul>
Process for users	Can use any available translation	<ul> <li>should use only current translations of term name/short names (not from other names!)</li> </ul>
PROS	<ul> <li>Translations only provided by NCAs – clear data ownership &amp; governance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Workload shared? Translations partially provided by NCA and Industry, assumed that it is easier for NCAs to review the translations suggested than do them from scratch</li> </ul>
CONS	<ul><li>Translations may not be provided by NCAs</li><li>All workload for NCAs</li></ul>	Translations may not be validated by NCAs

Recommendation: The ability to request provisional translations may have limited benefit for industry and other users. Proposal is not to have this capability within the system (option A)

## Joint RMS & S/PMS subgroup work





### Material

- S&P SG work was on hold and could not clarify the need for materials vs substance list
- RMS project team assumed the publication of the list as defined by the RMS SG

### ATC like terms

- ➤ ATC-like codes => 2 options:
  - separate flat list containing everything ≠ WHO ATC Human codes (if business case justified)
  - 2. No list maintained (i.e. applicants to choose null flavour "not applicable" or similar).
    - ➤ For the moment the RMS project Team has assumed there is no business case and no additional list will be published. This can be revisited during PMS implementation





# Thank you