

Workshop on process validation

Session 1(b): Traditional approach
- Downstream process

Kowid Ho

Purification

◆ Evaluation:

- Capacity of the purification procedures to obtain the desired product and to remove product and process-related impurities (e.g. unwanted variants, host cell derived proteins, nucleic acids, carbohydrates, viruses).
- Quality of process intermediates (i.e. appropriate purity/impurity profile for the given stage)
- Requires adequate analytical methods
- For selected step(s):
 - ❖ e.g. steps for which high impurity or viral clearance are claimed
 - ❖ operating in worst case and/or abnormal conditions (e.g. cumulated hold times, spiking challenge) performed to document the robustness

Purification

◆ Evaluation:

- Depending on the level of evidence provided: could leverage some process validation and/or control strategy data requirements.
- Process conditions (e.g. column loading capacity, column regeneration and sanitisation, flow rate, length) should be appropriately evaluated.
- Performance parameters/indicators (e.g. yield, chromatographic profiles)
- Pooling strategy and impact on drug substance consistency
- Columns life time:
 - ❖ Demonstration of appropriate performance and integrity (e.g. clearance, collection of intended variants, leaching).
 - ❖ small scale studies: appropriate to estimate and set the maximum number of cycles at the time of MAA,
- Hold times
- Reprocessing

Purification

◆ Verification:

- Quality of process intermediates (i.e. appropriate purity/impurity profile for the given stage)
- Process parameters and Performance indicators in accordance to proven acceptable ranges

◆ Continued Verification could include:

- *Control of performance and integrity of operating units (e.g. purification column) ?*
- *Running period and periodic control of quality attribute(s) for which RTRT is done ?*
- *Cumulative studies ?*
- *Reprocessing?*

Reprocessing

- ◆ Reprocessing: introduced back into the process by repeating a step (e.g. filtration) that is part of the established manufacturing procedure.
 - Limited to occasional process excursions.
 - The reason of the failure should be understood and should not impair the quality of the product.
 - Could be allowed only under appropriately defined conditions.
 - Should be described in the MAA.
 - Validation data expected, demonstrating that the reprocessing step(s) do not impact the quality of the active substance.
- ◆ *What are Industry views on the information to be documented in the dossier in relation to reprocessing? Is it always restricted to an exceptional event (e.g. mechanical failure of equipment)?*