CAT Workshop 12 January 2012 – Questions from Participants

Questions on Session 2: Focus group 'better system to navigate ATMP guidance documents'

- 1. There is a good system already.
- 2. A good idea, but will need to cover the applicability and extent of relevance of more general guidelines, and how these relate to the specific requirements for ATMPs.
- 3. Is there a plan to also develop a flow-chart for Somatic Cell and Tissue engineered therapies?
- 4. In Somatic Cell Therapies: 1. More clarity to proceed towards phase III and marketing authorization. 2. Clarity on allogeneic and autologous therapy 3. Difference between therapy, treatment and CBMP
- 5. Guidance on autologous somatic cell therapeutics: Is CAT required for autologous therapies to be used in (a) clinical trials (b) 'transplant' procedures undertaken by surgeons
- 6. How does one decide on the appropriate testing strategy to be used for an ATMP? Guidance documents seem to provide conflicting advice for a cellular therapy as opposed to a viral vector.
- 7. Could you begin with a glossary?
- 8. Is it possible to simplify the number and type of documents needed for one lot of ATMP?
- 9. Can a system be set up with an entry point and a step-by-step procedure to guide to the point where interaction is needed?
- 10. Harmonisation of submission in Europe
- 11.Clarification on opportunities to interact directly with CAT Alignment of CAT and CHMP in guidance and decisions
- 12. Possibility of an online tool hosted by EMA? More publicity on 'Focus Groups' and option to join?
- 13. The current Eudralex document library is considerably more user friendly than previous incarnations. No question to ask here.