



1 20 February 2014  
2 EMA/83874/2014  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human use (CHMP)

4 **Guideline on the evaluation of the pharmacokinetics of**  
5 **medicinal products in patients with decreased renal**  
6 **function**  
7

Draft agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	February 2014
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	20 February 2014
Start of public consultation	1 March 2014
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 August 2014

8  
9 This guideline replaces 'Note for guidance on the evaluation of the pharmacokinetics of medicinal  
10 products in patients with decreased renal function' (CHMP/EWP/225/02).

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to PKWP@ema.europa.eu

11  
**Keywords** *pharmacokinetics, renal impairment, renal function, renal elimination capacity, kidney, elimination, phase I, glomerular filtration rate, GFR, end-stage renal disease, dialysis, metabolite, SmPC*



12 **Guideline on the evaluation of the pharmacokinetics of**  
13 **medicinal products in patients with decreased renal**  
14 **function**

15 **Table of contents**

16	<b>Executive summary .....</b>	<b>3</b>
17	<b>1. Introduction (background) .....</b>	<b>3</b>
18	<b>2. Scope.....</b>	<b>3</b>
19	<b>3. Legal basis .....</b>	<b>4</b>
20	<b>4. Deciding whether to conduct a pharmacokinetic study in patients with</b>	
21	<b>decreased renal function .....</b>	<b>4</b>
22	<b>5. Study design.....</b>	<b>5</b>
23	5.1. Study population.....	5
24	5.2. Measures of renal function.....	7
25	5.3. Drug administration.....	7
26	5.4. Sample collection and analysis.....	8
27	5.5. Dialysis.....	8
28	5.6. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of sparse data.....	9
29	5.7. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modelling (PBPK).....	10
30	5.8. Pharmacodynamic assessments .....	10
31	<b>6. Data analysis .....</b>	<b>10</b>
32	6.1. Parameter estimation.....	10
33	6.2. Presentation of data and development of dosing recommendations.....	11
34	<b>7. Labelling issues .....</b>	<b>14</b>
35	<b>Definitions.....</b>	<b>15</b>
36	<b>References .....</b>	<b>15</b>
37		

## 38 **Executive summary**

39 As patients with renal impairment are often excluded from the pivotal studies establishing efficacy and  
40 safety of a new medicinal product, pharmacokinetic data should be used to determine the effect of  
41 decreased renal function on drug exposure and to guide dosing recommendations in patients who have  
42 altered renal function compared with the pivotal study population. The need to perform a  
43 pharmacokinetic study in subjects with decreased renal function and the design and conduct of such a  
44 study depend on the characteristics and intended use of the drug under investigation. The  
45 development of dosing recommendations should be based on the change in drug exposure or plasma  
46 concentrations at decreased renal function as well as on the pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic  
47 relationship for the drug.

48 Main changes in the current revision include strengthening of the advice to study the effect of reduced  
49 renal function on drugs that are primarily hepatically eliminated and accentuation of the  
50 recommendation to use an accurate method for determination of glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in the  
51 study subjects. In addition, clarifications have been given and/or minor revisions have been made in  
52 most sections of the guideline.

## 53 **1. Introduction (background)**

54 Pharmacokinetic studies can be used to estimate drug exposure in subpopulations of patients with  
55 characteristics that might affect the pharmacokinetics of the drug, and alternative dosing regimens  
56 may be developed based on the degree of change in exposure and the  
57 pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) relationship. Pharmacokinetic data can, thus, be used to  
58 extrapolate efficacy and safety data from the phase III population to subpopulations that were not  
59 sufficiently represented in the phase III study. Alternatively, pharmacokinetic data obtained before  
60 phase III may be used to allow inclusion of a sub-population in the phase III study.

61 Renal elimination capacity can be decreased either through renal disease or as a consequence of  
62 ageing. Renal impairment has not only been associated with decreased renal excretion of drugs and  
63 metabolites but also with changes in absorption, in metabolism and active transport in the kidney, liver  
64 or gut, in plasma protein binding and in distribution, especially in patients with severely impaired renal  
65 function. Effects of severe renal disease on non-renal elimination mechanisms have been suggested to  
66 be attributed to accumulation of uremic factors that inhibit or suppress metabolising enzymes and  
67 transport proteins. Renal impairment may also alter the exposure-response relationship for a drug.

## 68 **2. Scope**

69 It is the objective of this guidance to make recommendations regarding:

- 70 • In what situations studies of pharmacokinetics should be performed in subjects with decreased  
71 renal function and in patients on dialysis treatment
- 72 • The design and conduct of pharmacokinetic studies in subjects with decreased renal function
- 73 • Data analysis, presentation and evaluation of results of such studies, including development of  
74 dosing recommendations
- 75 • Reflection of these results in the SmPC.

### 76 **3. Legal basis and relevant guidelines**

77 This Guideline should be read in conjunction with Directive 2001/83/EC, as amended, and other  
78 relevant pertinent elements outlined in current and future EU and ICH guidelines and regulations.  
79 especially those on:

80 Guideline on reporting the results of population pharmacokinetic analysis [CHMP/EWP/185990/06].

81 Guideline on the role of pharmacokinetics in the development of medicinal products in the paediatric  
82 population [CHMP/EWP/14701372004].

83 Guideline on the investigation of medicinal products in the term and preterm neonate  
84 [EMA/536810/2008].

85 Guidance on the Summary of Product Characteristics in the Notice to Applicant, Volume 2C.

### 86 **4. Deciding whether to conduct a pharmacokinetic study in** 87 **patients with decreased renal function**

88 In the following, the term drug generally refers to the parent compound, while major active  
89 metabolites, or clinically relevant active metabolites, are defined as pharmacologically active  
90 metabolites estimated to contribute significantly to the total target pharmacodynamic activity, i.e. to  
91 an extent that alteration of the exposure to the metabolites might affect overall efficacy and safety.

92 A pharmacokinetic study in patients with decreased renal function should be conducted for most small-  
93 molecule drugs that are intended for repeated administration or continuous infusion, also when the  
94 drug/major active metabolite is not primarily eliminated by the kidneys. For a drug intended for a  
95 single or occasional administration, a study in subjects with decreased renal elimination capacity  
96 should be considered if a prolonged elimination of the drug/active metabolite is a safety concern.

97 If the drug is expected to be administered to patients on dialysis treatment and if dialysis treatment is  
98 expected to influence the pharmacokinetics of the drug/major active metabolite, evaluation of the  
99 influence of dialysis treatment on the pharmacokinetics is also recommended. This is further discussed  
100 in section 5.5.

101 If no study is performed in subjects with decreased renal elimination capacity, a justification should be  
102 given. In such cases, the Applicant should discuss the risk for effect of decreased renal function on the  
103 pharmacokinetics (of parent drug, active and "inactive" metabolites) and should include relevant  
104 information in the SmPC (see also section 7). Lack of data may lead to restriction in the use (warnings  
105 or contraindications).

106 Situations when lack of a study in patients with decreased renal function may be justified include:

- 107 • hepatically eliminated drugs for which safety data are available indicating that dose  
108 adjustments are not necessary even at a markedly increased exposure of the drug and/or its  
109 active or toxic metabolites (see also 6.2) or for which treatment can be initiated at a low (safe)  
110 dose followed by up-titration of the dose based on relevant markers for efficacy and/or  
111 tolerability
- 112 • drugs/major active metabolites that are eliminated primarily via the pulmonary route
- 113 • large proteins that are not expected to undergo glomerular filtration (e.g. molecular weight  
114 >60 kDa), such as monoclonal antibodies
- 115 • topically administered drugs without relevant systemic absorption.

116 A study in subjects with decreased renal elimination capacity may also be exempted in case both the  
117 following criteria are fulfilled: the drug cannot be administered to volunteers for safety reasons and the  
118 patient population is too small to allow recruitment for a reasonably sized study.

#### 119 *Timing of study*

120 When a posology adjustment is likely to be needed in patients with decreased renal elimination  
121 capacity, conduct of a study to evaluate the pharmacokinetics in these patients before phase III should  
122 be considered, if possible. In these cases, information on influence of decreased renal elimination  
123 capacity on the pharmacokinetics of a drug is valuable when designing the phase III programme, in  
124 order to avoid restricting the inclusion/exclusion criteria more than needed and, when possible, be able  
125 to give appropriate dosage recommendations in patients with decreased renal function.

## 126 **5. Study design**

127 The primary goal of a study in patients/subjects with decreased renal function is to determine if the  
128 pharmacokinetics of a drug or an active metabolite is altered to such an extent that the dosage should  
129 be adjusted from that established in the pivotal efficacy and safety trials.

130 If renal excretion and/or renal metabolism of the drug or of a clinically relevant active metabolite  
131 accounts for about 1/3 or more of the total elimination of the drug/metabolite, a study in  
132 patients/subjects with decreased renal elimination capacity should preferably have a “full-range study  
133 design” (see section 5.1). A reduced or staged study design as defined below could also be acceptable.

134 If renal elimination (excretion and renal metabolism) is a minor route of elimination of the drug and active  
135 metabolite, a reduced or staged study design may be applicable. A reduced study should be designed to  
136 evaluate the worst-case effect of decreased renal function. If the results of a reduced study confirm that  
137 severe renal impairment does not alter the pharmacokinetics of a non-renally eliminated drug to a  
138 clinically relevant extent, no further study is warranted. If, based on the effect of severe renal  
139 impairment on drug exposure (or another relevant pharmacokinetic parameter, see section 6.2), a risk  
140 for a clinically relevant difference in pharmacokinetics also at other degrees of renal impairment cannot  
141 be excluded additional study groups should be included (staged design). Given that a reduced-design  
142 study will necessarily be a small-size study, the Applicant needs to carefully consider *a priori* which  
143 strength of evidence that may be obtained and how data should be handled in the decision-making  
144 procedure. The change in pharmacokinetics that can be expected to be clinically relevant should be  
145 prospectively defined and should, if possible, be justified on the basis of concentration-response  
146 relationship of the parent drug and/or its metabolites. Criteria for when the study does not need to be  
147 expanded could e.g. be based on confidence intervals, possibly one-sided and/or with a lower  
148 confidence level in order to increase the possibility to draw a statistical conclusion. The chosen criteria  
149 and the significance level should be pre-specified and carefully justified.

### 150 **5.1. Study population**

151 For diagnosis, prognosis and treatment of renal disease, the degree of renal impairment is generally  
152 categorised based on body size-adjusted glomerular filtration rate (GFR) in ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. However,  
153 in terms of clearance of renally filtrated drugs, the renal elimination capacity is related to absolute GFR  
154 in ml/min.

155 Although renal excretion of a drug may involve tubular secretion as well as glomerular filtration, it is  
156 considered sufficient to use GFR as a global measure of renal function in the pharmacokinetic study,  
157 also for secreted drugs.

158 For practical reasons, renal function groups are in this guideline defined as outlined in Table 1, i.e. an  
159 absolute GFR < 90 ml/min is defined as decreased renal elimination capacity regardless of e.g. the age  
160 or body size of the subjects. The term 'control group' is used for the group best representing renal  
161 elimination capacity in the typical patient population for the drug to be studied (phase III population).

162 It may not be feasible to conduct the study in patients with the condition for which the drug is  
163 intended. An acceptable alternative is to use volunteers with different degrees of renal function. In  
164 either case, a wide range of renal elimination capacity enhances the ability to detect and characterise  
165 the effect of renal function on the pharmacokinetics.

166 A full-range study should, if feasible, include subjects covering the full renal function range from end-  
167 stage renal disease (ESRD) to normal renal elimination capacity (Table 1). If the control group has  
168 decreased GFR, a group with normal renal elimination capacity should still be included to evaluate  
169 whether an increased dose may be indicated in patients with better renal function than the typical  
170 patient.

171 Renal elimination capacity in included subjects should be assessed using measured GFR (see section  
172 5.2). As individual renal clearance of a filtrated drug is related to absolute and not body surface area-  
173 adjusted GFR, absolute GFR should be used to characterise the study groups and at analysis of data  
174 (see section 6).

175 **Table 1. Renal function groups**

Group	Description	GFR (ml/min)
1	Normal renal elimination capacity	≥ 90
2	Mildly decreased renal elimination capacity	60-89
3	Moderately decreased renal elimination capacity	30-59
4	Severely decreased renal elimination capacity	15-29
5	End stage renal disease (ESRD)	<15 or requiring dialysis treatment

176 A reduced study of a non-renally eliminated drug should aim at evaluating a worst-case situation in  
177 terms of e.g. inhibition/suppression of hepatic metabolism/transport by uremic factors. The study  
178 should include two groups, a test group and a control group. The test group should preferably include  
179 subjects with as low GFR as possible but not on dialysis treatment, as they would be expected to have  
180 the largest accumulation of uremic factors. If inclusion of such patients is not possible, subjects with  
181 severely decreased renal elimination capacity (GFR 15-29 ml/min) may be included. However, it should  
182 be ascertained that they also have severe renal disease (GFR 15-29 ml/min/1.72 m<sup>2</sup>). The control  
183 group should represent renal function in the typical patient population for the drug to be studied  
184 (phase III population). If the results of a reduced study indicate that also other degrees of renal  
185 impairment may alter the pharmacokinetics of the study drug to a clinically relevant extent, other renal  
186 function groups should be added to the study (staged study). The decision which groups to add should  
187 be based on which other degrees of decreased renal function that, based on the effect in severe renal  
188 impairment, could be expected to affect the pharmacokinetics of the study drug.

189 In the specific situation where certain degrees of renal impairment may not be indicated or will be  
190 contra-indicated for other reasons than pharmacokinetics, the study may include only the degrees of  
191 renal function that are therapeutically indicated.

192 For full-range as well as reduced or staged study designs, the included subjects should have chronic  
193 renal disease and/or stable renal function. The renal function groups should preferably be comparable

194 with respect to factors that are expected to significantly influence the pharmacokinetics of the drug.  
195 Depending on the characteristics of the specific drug, these may be e.g. demographic factors such as  
196 age, gender, weight, or pharmacogenetic factors. Approximately equal numbers of subjects from each  
197 of the renal function groups should be recruited to ensure adequate representation. Within each renal  
198 function group, the subjects should preferably be chosen to cover the full GFR range. It is particularly  
199 important to aim for representation at the lower GFR values in the severe renal impairment group.

200 For a full-range study, aiming at describing the relationship between renal function and drug clearance,  
201 inclusion of e.g. 6-8 subjects per group is usually sufficient. It is acknowledged that a reduced-design  
202 study will likely need to be a small-size study and, as outlined above, the Applicant should carefully  
203 consider the study size and which statistical criteria can be set in order to decide whether the study  
204 should be expanded to include other renal function groups. If a reduced-design study is expanded to  
205 include other renal function groups (staged design) the number of subjects in the additional groups  
206 may be 6-8 per group.

## 207 **5.2. Measures of renal function**

208 Renal function is usually assessed by measuring glomerular filtration rate (GFR). The gold standard for  
209 assessment of kidney function is a measured GFR using an exogenous substance as a filtration marker  
210 (e.g. inulin, <sup>51</sup>Cr-EDTA, <sup>99m</sup>Tc-DTPA, iothalamate, iohexol). The most adequate dosing  
211 recommendations in renal impairment will be developed by using a validated method for measuring  
212 GFR. Methods for estimating GFR using endogenous markers have drawbacks and are not as accurate  
213 as measured GFR. Furthermore, at time of revision of this guideline, the methods for estimation of GFR  
214 (or other estimates of renal function such as creatinine clearance) in clinical practice vary between and  
215 within EU member states and over time. Therefore, it is recommended that a method accurately  
216 measuring GFR using an exogenous marker is used in pharmacokinetic studies in subjects with  
217 decreased renal function.

218 In addition to measured GFR, presentation and modelling of data (see section 6.3) should preferably  
219 be made also using estimated GFR, e.g. from serum creatinine (by e.g. the MDRD or CKD-EPI  
220 formulas) or from Cystatin C, or an estimation of creatinine clearance (by e.g. the Cockcroft-Gault  
221 formula; see 6.2 Presentation of data and development of dosing recommendations).

222 GFR should be measured and expressed as ml/min. Dose adjustment in decreased renal function  
223 should be based on the subject's absolute GFR and not on a GFR adjusted to body surface area (BSA)  
224 of 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Hence for formulas providing BSA-adjusted GFR (ml/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>) this should be  
225 recalculated to the absolute GFR in ml/min in each individual.

226 Other measures of renal function that can provide differential characterisation of impairment of  
227 glomerular filtration and renal tubular secretion, respectively, may yield additional mechanistic  
228 understanding of the effect of decreased renal elimination capacity on the pharmacokinetics. Such  
229 methods are encouraged as useful additions in studies in subjects with decreased renal function.

## 230 **5.3. Drug administration**

231 If the drug and its active metabolites are expected to exhibit dose-linear and time-independent  
232 pharmacokinetics also at renal impairment, and steady state pharmacokinetics can be predicted from  
233 single-dose data, a single-dose study is sufficient. In single-dose studies, the same dose can in most  
234 cases be administered to all subjects in the study, regardless of renal function, since the peak  
235 concentration is generally not greatly affected by renal function.

236 If steady state pharmacokinetics of the drug or an active metabolite cannot be predicted from single-  
237 dose data due to non-linear pharmacokinetics, a multiple-dose study is desirable. If possible, the doses  
238 in a multiple-dose study should give drug concentrations that are within the clinical therapeutic  
239 concentrations range. For multiple-dose studies, lower or less frequent doses may be needed to  
240 prevent accumulation of drug and/or metabolites to unsafe levels in subjects with reduced renal  
241 function. The duration of dosing should in general be long enough to achieve a steady state. A loading  
242 dose strategy may be suitable to facilitate this, particularly if the elimination half-life is greatly  
243 prolonged in subjects with decreased renal elimination capacity. If a multiple-dose study is not  
244 feasible, e.g. for safety reasons, the Applicant should carefully discuss whether conclusions on dosing  
245 recommendations can be drawn from single-dose data, taking degree of non-linearity and therapeutic  
246 index of the drug into account.

#### 247 **5.4. Sample collection and analysis**

248 Plasma (or whole blood, as appropriate, and optionally urine) samples should be analysed for parent  
249 drug and any major metabolites with known or suspected activity (therapeutic or adverse). Metabolites  
250 that are excreted by the renal route will accumulate in patients with decreased renal elimination  
251 capacity. Also minor active/toxic metabolites and metabolites that are considered relatively inactive in  
252 patients with normal renal function may reach active/toxic levels if the accumulation of the metabolites  
253 is substantial. Hence, evaluation of inactive and minor active/toxic metabolites should be considered if  
254 they are predominantly eliminated via the kidney and if decreased renal elimination capacity is  
255 expected to increase their exposure to levels above those that have been toxicologically qualified.

256 For renally eliminated drugs, the half-life of parent and metabolites is expected to be prolonged with  
257 decreased renal elimination capacity, which needs to be taken into account when determining the  
258 duration of sampling. The frequency and duration of plasma sampling and urine collection should be  
259 sufficient to accurately estimate relevant pharmacokinetic parameters for the parent drug and  
260 metabolites.

261 If the drug or metabolites exhibit a relatively high extent of plasma protein binding or concentration-  
262 dependent protein binding in the therapeutic concentration range, the pharmacokinetics should be  
263 described and analysed with respect to the unbound concentrations of the drug and active metabolites  
264 in addition to total concentration. If plasma protein binding is concentration-dependent, unbound  
265 concentrations should be determined at as many plasma sampling time points as possible, preferably  
266 covering high as well as low plasma concentrations. In cases where plasma protein binding has been  
267 shown to be independent of concentration, it is sufficient to measure protein binding at one or two  
268 time points post-dose and use the determined unbound fraction to calculate unbound exposure. If it is  
269 not technically possible to determine protein binding *ex vivo*, an alternative could be an assessment of  
270 the effect of pre-dialysis plasma (plasma taken from a dialysis patient shortly before dialysis  
271 treatment) or plasma from ESRD patients not yet on dialysis treatment on plasma protein binding *in*  
272 *vitro*, as a worst-case assessment. If no change in *in vitro* protein binding is observed in pre-dialysis  
273 plasma, evaluation of unbound exposure in the pharmacokinetic study is not needed.

#### 274 **5.5. Dialysis**

275 The guidance in sections 5.2-5.4 generally applies also to patients with dialysis treatment. Some  
276 additional aspects of studying these patients are discussed below.

277 Dialysis treatment may significantly alter the pharmacokinetics of drugs. For drugs that may need to  
278 be administered to ESRD patients undergoing dialysis treatment and where the drug or active  
279 metabolites are likely to be extracted during dialysis to such an extent that supplementary dosing after

280 dialysis treatment may be required, pharmacokinetic evaluation of the contribution of dialysis  
281 treatment to the elimination of the drug and potentially active metabolites in ESRD patients is  
282 recommended.

283 Evaluation of plasma pharmacokinetics of the study drug should be made both at drug administration  
284 pre-dialysis and at administration post-dialysis i.e. under both dialysis and non- dialysis conditions.  
285 Dialysate should be collected in order to determine amount extracted during dialysis treatment.

286 Primary questions to be addressed are whether the dosage regimen should be adjusted as a  
287 consequence of dialysis treatment. The results of the study also provide valuable insight regarding the  
288 value of dialysis for treatment of overdose. The assessment of pharmacokinetics in patients on dialysis  
289 treatment may be integrated with the pharmacokinetics in the decreased renal function study, as  
290 described above.

291 Intermittent haemodialysis is usually the most important method to be evaluated, as it is the most  
292 commonly used in ESRD patients. Pharmacokinetic studies should, however, also be considered in  
293 patients on other dialysis regimens such as ambulatory peritoneal dialysis and continuous renal  
294 replacement therapy (CRRT) if the drug is likely to be used in such patients. In case pharmacokinetic  
295 studies are lacking for peritoneal dialysis or CRRT, and these regimens may be expected to be used in  
296 the intended target population, the Applicant should attempt to provide appropriate dosing  
297 recommendations based on available data (e.g. data from intermittent haemodialysis, data from  
298 similar drugs and measures of dialysis adequacy such as Kt/V, standardised Kt/V and Urea Reduction  
299 Ratio). It is, however, noted that extrapolation of the effect of intermittent haemodialysis on the  
300 pharmacokinetics of drugs to other dialysis regimens may be difficult.

301 A drug may not be expected to be largely affected by dialysis if it has high protein binding, a large  
302 volume of distribution or a high non-renal clearance, and for such drugs a study in patients on dialysis  
303 is not necessary.

## 304 **5.6. Population pharmacokinetic analysis of sparse data**

305 Based on regulatory experience at time of revision of this guideline, population pharmacokinetic  
306 analysis of sparse data has for several renally eliminated investigational drugs underestimated the  
307 effect of decreased renal elimination capacity compared with the results of the phase I renal study. The  
308 reason for this observation is unclear. Hence, if evaluation of effects of renal function on an  
309 investigational drug is indicated (see section 4), a phase I study should be conducted, if possible.

310 Only if a conventional study with rich data in subjects with decreased renal elimination capacity is not  
311 feasible (which should be justified), a population pharmacokinetic analysis of sparse data could be  
312 used as an alternative. A population pharmacokinetic analysis replacing a conventional study in  
313 decreased renal elimination capacity should be pre-planned and should include a sufficient number of  
314 patients and a representative range of renal function so that the study could detect relevant  
315 pharmacokinetic differences. As the relationship between renal function and drug clearance might not  
316 be the same over the full range of renal function, results of the population analysis should not be  
317 extrapolated outside the studied range. Simulation-based analysis of the study design with respect to  
318 power to detect an effect of decreased renal elimination capacity is recommended. In cases where  
319 levels of parent drug as well as of potentially active/toxic metabolites and/or unbound concentrations  
320 are of importance, these would need to be analysed.

321 Obtaining a measurement of GFR using gold standard methods is likely not feasible in the phase II/III  
322 patients included in a population pharmacokinetic analysis. An acceptable alternative for determining  
323 renal elimination capacity in population analyses is estimation of GFR e.g. from serum creatinine and

324 demographic data. Preferably, renal elimination capacity should be estimated repeatedly during the  
325 study and as close in time as possible to the pharmacokinetic sampling timepoints. Renal elimination  
326 capacity could then be handled as a time-varying factor in the analysis.

327 The population pharmacokinetic analysis should be performed according to well-established scientific  
328 knowledge, the model should be qualified in relation to its purposes (e.g. predictive properties for the  
329 different sub-populations and analysis of precision using adequate methods) and the analysis needs to  
330 be reported appropriately (see Guideline on reporting the results of population pharmacokinetic  
331 analysis [CHMP/EWP/185990/06]).

332 The uncertainty in the estimated effect of decreased renal elimination capacity (95% confidence  
333 intervals) should be determined by adequate methods, preferably using methods not assuming  
334 symmetrical distribution of the confidence interval, e.g. bootstrapping or log-likelihood profiling.

### 335 **5.7. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic modelling (PBPK)**

336 At time of revision of this guideline, the experience of using PBPK to predict the effect of decreased  
337 renal elimination capacity on drug elimination is limited. However, the field of PBPK is evolving and it is  
338 foreseen that PBPK modelling may become useful for predicting effects of decreased renal elimination  
339 capacity on drug disposition, in particular for drugs that are predominantly renally eliminated. When  
340 more knowledge on the effect of renal impairment on e.g. drug metabolism, transport and protein  
341 binding has been gained, it may become possible to use PBPK also for non-renally eliminated drugs.

### 342 **5.8. Pharmacodynamic assessments**

343 The pharmacodynamics could be altered in renal impairment, which could lead to an altered PK/PD  
344 relationship. If that is the case, information regarding the PK/PD relationship in renal impairment or  
345 information regarding the effect of renal function on relevant biomarkers for efficacy and safety may  
346 be important for appropriate evaluation and development of dosing recommendations. Therefore, when  
347 possible, it is recommended that assessment of biomarkers for efficacy and/or safety is included within  
348 the specific pharmacokinetic study in subjects with decreased renal function. This is especially relevant  
349 when the mechanism of action is known to be related to the renal function.

## 350 **6. Data analysis**

351 The primary intent of the data analysis is to assess whether posology adjustment is required for  
352 patients with decreased renal function, and, if so, to develop dosing recommendations based on  
353 measures of renal function. The data analysis includes:

- 354 • Estimation of pharmacokinetic parameters
- 355 • Evaluation of the relationship between renal function and the pharmacokinetic parameters
- 356 • Assessment of whether posology adjustment is warranted in patients with decreased renal  
357 function and development of dosing recommendations.

### 358 **6.1. Parameter estimation**

359 Plasma concentration data (and urinary excretion data if collected) should be analysed to estimate  
360 various parameters describing the pharmacokinetics of the drug and its active metabolites. The  
361 pharmacokinetic parameters include the area under the plasma concentration curve (AUC), peak  
362 concentration ( $C_{max}$ ), and terminal half-life ( $t_{1/2}$ ) for both parent compound and metabolites. For

363 parent compound also apparent clearance (CL/F) should be presented. For multiple-dose studies  
364 trough concentration ( $C_{\min}$ ) and fluctuation should also be presented. When appropriate (i.e. when the  
365 drug or metabolites exhibit a relatively high extent of plasma protein binding), parameters should be  
366 expressed in terms of unbound as well as total concentrations. In cases when urinary excretion data  
367 have been collected, renal clearance ( $CL_R$ ) should be calculated. The choice of pivotal pharmacokinetic  
368 parameters to be used in dosage adjustment strategy should be justified by considering the available  
369 knowledge about the relationship between plasma concentrations or other pharmacokinetic parameters  
370 and efficacy or toxicity (see also 6.3).

## 371 **6.2. Presentation of data and development of dosing recommendations**

### 372 **Presentation of data**

373 Data should be presented in several ways:

- 374 • Graphical description of the relationship between renal elimination capacity and  
375 pharmacokinetics
- 376 • Modelling of the relationship between renal elimination capacity and pharmacokinetics
- 377 • Descriptive statistics (e.g. mean, SD, range, median) of the pharmacokinetic parameters  
378 according to renal function group (normal, mild, moderate, severely decreased renal  
379 elimination capacity and end stage renal disease)
- 380 • For a reduced-design study, the geometric mean ratios of the pharmacokinetic parameters in  
381 severe renal impairment versus control group should be presented with confidence intervals at  
382 the chosen significance level.

383 GFR should be expressed as the absolute value (ml/min). The graphical presentation should describe  
384 the relationship between individual pharmacokinetic parameters and renal elimination capacity (e.g.  
385 measure of GFR) as a continuous variable. This is important for the assessment of variability at normal  
386 and reduced renal function and facilitates the identification of cut-off GFR values for posology  
387 adjustment. The pharmacokinetic parameters of interest are usually CL/F, AUC,  $C_{\max}$  and, when  
388 appropriate,  $C_{\min}$ . If relevant, the pharmacokinetic parameters should be expressed in terms of  
389 unbound concentrations (see section 5.4).

### 390 **Defining target exposure**

391 For drugs where an effect of decreased renal function on drug exposure has been identified, the clinical  
392 relevance of the increased drug exposure or concentrations needs to be evaluated to determine if dose  
393 adjustment is needed. The aim is to develop dosing recommendations that will ensure that the patients  
394 will obtain treatment that is effective and safe. Factors that should be taken into account are the  
395 pharmacokinetic characteristics of the drug at decreased renal function and the PK/PD relationship  
396 regarding efficacy and safety, including a potentially altered PK/PD relationship in subjects with renal  
397 impairment.

398 Based on available information regarding PK/PD for efficacy and safety and/or the exposure at the  
399 therapeutic dose in the phase III population, a target exposure or target concentration range  
400 (whichever is more relevant for efficacy and safety) should be defined, within which no clinically  
401 relevant difference in efficacy and safety is expected. A thorough discussion of and justification for the  
402 chosen target as well as a description of how it was determined should be provided. The dosing  
403 recommendations should aim at allowing a majority of the patients to obtain exposure/concentrations  
404 within the defined target range.

405 The recommendations of posology adjustment should be based on comparison with subjects with renal  
406 function that is typical of the phase III patient population where efficacy and safety has been  
407 established, taking into account the major concern (side effects or lack of efficacy) for the specific  
408 product. In case the phase III patient population has decreased renal elimination capacity (e.g. elderly  
409 patients with  $GFR < 90$  ml/min) the need for a posology adjustment should be evaluated in patients  
410 with normal renal function as well as in patients with lower GFR than the phase III population.  
411 Depending on when the decreased renal function study is performed during the clinical development,  
412 the distribution of renal elimination capacity in the phase III clinical trial patient population may not be  
413 known at the time of conducting and evaluating the decreased renal function study. Although a  
414 preliminary dosage recommendation at decreased renal function can be made based on the data  
415 obtained in the decreased renal function study, the final evaluation and development of dosage  
416 recommendations at decreased renal function may need to await finalisation of the phase III studies.

#### 417 ***Constructing the mathematical model***

418 If a clinically relevant effect of decreased renal elimination capacity is observed, mathematical models  
419 should, if possible, be constructed to evaluate the relationship between measures of renal elimination  
420 capacity as a continuous variable and relevant pharmacokinetic parameters. The intended result is a  
421 model that can successfully predict the pharmacokinetic behaviour, given information about renal  
422 elimination capacity. Generally, this involves a regression approach in which measures of renal  
423 elimination capacity and the pharmacokinetic parameters are treated as continuous variables. One  
424 commonly used model is a linear relationship between GFR and CL/F of the drug. Other models (e.g.  
425 more mechanistic models) can be used if adequately supported by the data. Based on the estimated  
426 model, the predicted mean values of CL/F and AUC should be calculated and plotted against GFR in a  
427 graph with their associated confidence intervals as well as the prediction intervals. The method  
428 described above should also be used to describe exposure to major and/or active metabolites of the  
429 drug given renal elimination capacity.

430 For non-renally eliminated drugs, there might not be a linear relationship between GFR and drug  
431 clearance. When a staged design study has been performed, the decision how to analyse the results  
432 therefore needs to be made on a case-by-case basis. If the analysis is based on comparison of group  
433 means, the difference between group means should be presented with confidence intervals to aid  
434 interpretation of the data.

#### 435 ***Developing dosing recommendations***

436 Dosing recommendations should be based on absolute and not body-surface area-adjusted GFR. If  
437 there are active metabolites, the increase in total active moiety (sum of clinically relevant active  
438 entities, taking into account the potency and unbound exposure of each active entity) should guide the  
439 dosing recommendation. Based on the mathematical model, calculations can be made to identify doses  
440 and dosing intervals that will lead to exposure or concentrations within the target range in patients  
441 with decreased renal function. This may be achieved by a reduced dose, prolonged dose interval or a  
442 combination of both. The cut-offs for dose adjustments do not need to be the same cut-offs that were  
443 used for defining renal elimination capacity at recruitment to the study, but cut-offs for dose  
444 adjustments should be set to obtain optimal target attainment and reduction of the overall  
445 pharmacokinetic variability. With the aim to ensure that the major part of the patients will meet the  
446 selected target criteria, the dose could for example be adjusted to produce a comparable range of a  
447 pharmacokinetic parameter (e.g. AUC,  $C_{max}$ , or  $C_{min}$ ) for the drug or active metabolites in both the  
448 typical patient and patients with decreased renal function.

449 In order to confirm the proposed dose recommendations, simulations of the steady state exposure at  
450 the recommended dose(s) should be provided. The simulations should preferably include graphical

451 description of (total and, when relevant, unbound) concentration over time, also showing the predicted  
452 variability in the population. Graphical description of relevant steady state pharmacokinetic parameters  
453 (e.g. AUC,  $C_{max}$ , or  $C_{min}$ ) versus renal elimination capacity including appropriate measures for  
454 variability should also be supplied. It should be shown whether subjects with GFR just above and just  
455 below the cut-offs for dose adjustment obtain exposure within the target range. If estimates of  
456 pharmacokinetic variability in phase III are available, additional simulations using this information may  
457 be useful to assess the possible extremes of the distribution of the pharmacokinetic parameters. For an  
458 example of development of dosing recommendations for subjects with decreased renal function based  
459 on modelling and simulation of pharmacokinetic data, see Edholm *et al* 2008 (1).

460 For drugs with a narrow therapeutic index it should be considered whether specific dosing  
461 recommendations for decreased renal function are sufficient or whether also therapeutic monitoring of  
462 drug concentrations (TDM) or other types of monitoring should be recommended.

463 For patients with dialysis treatment, data should be used to determine a potential dose reduction as  
464 well as how/when the dose should be administered in relation to dialysis treatment. If possible, dosing  
465 recommendations should be developed also for not studied dialysis methods based on available data  
466 from one dialysis method, measures of dialysis adequacy (such as Kt/V, standardised Kt/V and Urea  
467 Reduction Ratio) and e.g. data from similar drugs. When no study has been performed in patients with  
468 dialysis treatment, the Applicant should discuss the potential for dialysis to influence the  
469 pharmacokinetics (taking into account potential differences between dialysis methods) and should  
470 include relevant information in the SmPC.

471 In the pharmacokinetic study, GFR should preferably be determined using an exogenous marker (e.g.  
472 iohexol), as discussed in section 5.2. However, it is recommended to present data and evaluate dosing  
473 recommendations also applying other methods such as estimation of GFR from serum creatinine (by  
474 e.g. the MDRD or CKD-EPI formulas) or from Cystatin C, or estimation of creatinine clearance (by e.g.  
475 the Cockcroft-Gault formula). Thereby it may be confirmed whether the dosage recommendations  
476 developed based on measured GFR (e.g. which GFR cut offs that should be used for dose adjustment)  
477 can be applied also using estimated GFR or estimated creatinine clearance. The cut-offs for dose  
478 adjustment should preferably be suitable regardless of which method for estimating renal function is  
479 used in clinical practice.

#### 480 ***Other recommendations/warnings to consider***

481 Consideration should be given to possible consequences of altered importance of other elimination  
482 pathways. For example, for a drug that is mainly eliminated by renal excretion and for which  
483 metabolism accounts for a minor part of the elimination, inhibition of the metabolic pathway or  
484 pharmacogenetic differences may not be an issue in patients with normal renal function. However, in  
485 severely decreased renal elimination capacity, the metabolic pathway becomes the major elimination  
486 route and e.g. inhibition by concomitant medication could result in large increases in exposure.

487 Consideration should also be given to the risk for an increase of inactive metabolites to potentially  
488 toxic levels, as this cannot be handled by dose reductions. Recommendations must then be developed  
489 on a case-by-case basis.

490 For drugs that are likely to be administered to patients with acute kidney injury/disease/failure and  
491 rapidly changing renal function, e.g. patients in intensive care units, dosing might need to be based on  
492 plasma concentration measurements or efficacy markers. For such drugs it is therefore recommended  
493 to develop clinically feasible methods for drug monitoring.

#### 494 ***Extrapolation to elderly and paediatric patients***

495 Results from renally impaired, otherwise healthy, adult volunteers can likely be extrapolated to elderly  
496 patients with similar absolute GFR. The relative effect of an altered GFR on the pharmacokinetics of a  
497 drug, as compared with normal GFR for the patient population, may in most cases also be extrapolated  
498 from adults to the paediatric population. However, due to ongoing maturation of the kidney in the very  
499 young children, special consideration should be given to drugs that are metabolised or renally  
500 transported to a major extent (see also Guideline on the role of pharmacokinetics in the development  
501 of medicinal products in the paediatric population [CHMP/EWP/14701372004] and Guideline on the  
502 investigation of medicinal products in the term and preterm neonate [EMA/536810/2008]).

## 503 **7. Labelling issues**

504 The information in the SmPC should follow the guidance on the Summary of Product Characteristics in  
505 the Notice to Applicant, Volume 2C.

506 Specific dosing recommendations should be given in section 4.2 with cross-reference to section 5.2,  
507 and, when relevant, to sections 4.3 and/or 4.4. Also when no posology adjustment is needed, this  
508 should be stated in section 4.2. Preferably, renal elimination capacity should be expressed as GFR  
509 (ml/min), but if creatinine clearance (measured or estimated) has been used to estimate renal  
510 elimination capacity in the pharmacokinetic study, this should be made clear in section 4.2.

511 Information on which methods for estimating GFR (or creatinine clearance) that have been shown to  
512 be appropriate to use for dose adjustments should be provided in section 4.2. If dose  
513 recommendations (e.g. which cut off GFR values should be used for dose adjustment) may differ to a  
514 clinically relevant extent depending on which method is used for measuring or estimating GFR, this  
515 should be described.

516 Lack of information regarding influence of decreased renal function on the pharmacokinetics could  
517 result in a contraindication (section 4.3) or warning (section 4.4) regarding e.g. several renal  
518 impairment, depending on the characteristics of the drug. Lack of data should generally not lead to a  
519 contra-indication unless there is a specific safety concern. For drugs with a narrow therapeutic index  
520 the possibility of therapeutic drug monitoring or monitoring of exposure based on clinical markers for  
521 efficacy and/or safety may be considered.

522 Information regarding the influence of decreased renal function on the pharmacokinetics should be  
523 given in the *Special populations* sub-section of section 5.2. The information should include effects on  
524 parent compound and metabolites and when relevant include effects on protein binding and unbound  
525 exposure. Information on which method was used to measure (or estimate) GFR in the study in  
526 decreased renal function should also be provided. When pharmacokinetics in patients with decreased  
527 renal function has not been evaluated, this should be mentioned in section 5.2. This section could  
528 include information that decreased renal function is unlikely to affect the pharmacokinetics to a  
529 clinically relevant extent, if this has been well justified.

530 In case a clear relationship is found between renal function and one of the relevant pharmacokinetic  
531 variables, the formula can be included in section 5.2.

532 The Elimination sub-section of section 5.2 should include information regarding extent of renal  
533 elimination of parent compound and metabolites and mechanism of renal elimination (e.g. extent of  
534 filtration and active secretion). Available information on which transporters are involved in the active  
535 secretion should also be provided.

536 In case the drug has been shown to be removed by dialysis treatment, this information may be given  
537 in section 4.9 (Overdose).

538 **Definitions**

539	GFR	glomerular filtration rate
540	absolute GFR	GFR in ml/min
541	renal elimination capacity	is in this guideline defined as GFR in ml/min, and may not necessarily
542		be directly related to renal disease
543	renal impairment	is in this guideline generally used to indicate renal disease
544	renal function	is in this guideline used as a comprehensive term. 'Decreased renal
545		function' may indicate physiologically decreased renal elimination
546		capacity as well as renal disease

547 **References**

548 1. Edholm M *et al*: Regulatory aspects of pharmacokinetic profiling in special populations. Clin  
549 Pharmacokinet 2008; 47(11), 693-701