



1 20 March 2014  
2 EMA/CHMP/151853/2014  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **The role of the pathological Complete Response as an**  
5 **endpoint in neoadjuvant breast cancer studies**  
6

7 Condition - specific guidance, Appendix 4 to the guideline on the evaluation  
8 of anticancer medicinal products in man

Agreed by ONCWP	25 November 2013
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	20 March 2014
Start of public consultation	28 April 2014
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 July 2014

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to [ONCWPsecretariat@ema.europa.eu](mailto:ONCWPsecretariat@ema.europa.eu)

Keywords	Breast cancer, pCR, neoadjuvant treatment, surrogate endpoint
----------	---

Background	Concept paper on the need to revise Condition – Specific guidance, Appendix 4 to the guideline on the evaluation of anticancer medicinal products in man
------------	--



## 17 Introduction

18 Neoadjuvant chemotherapy is commonly used in locally advanced breast cancer (LABC) patients to  
19 facilitate breast conserving surgery (*Romero et al. Annals of Oncology 24: 655-661, 2013*). Currently,  
20 disease-free survival (DFS) is considered to be an appropriate endpoint for treatment effect and as a  
21 surrogate endpoint for overall survival (OS) (*EMA/CHMP/205/95/Rev.4*). As new therapies have  
22 emerged, the DFS and ultimately the OS of patients with breast cancer has increased, and thereby the  
23 time needed to procure confirmatory data. A new surrogate endpoint for efficacy that would allow the  
24 assessment of time-to-event for a given therapy at an earlier point in time would therefore be  
25 valuable, as it could potentially bring novel therapies faster to the market for the benefit of the  
26 patients and society in general.

## 27 Definition of pCR

28 Pathologic complete response (pCR) has been proposed as a surrogate endpoint for the evaluation of  
29 the efficacy of novel therapies for invasive breast cancer without distant metastasis. pCR is defined as  
30 the absence of any residual invasive cancer on hematoxylin and eosin evaluation of the resected breast  
31 specimen and all sampled ipsilateral lymph nodes following completion of the neoadjuvant systemic  
32 therapy (ypT0/cis ypN0). Eradication of tumour from both breast and lymph nodes has been shown to  
33 be associated with better event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) compared with  
34 eradication in only the breast (*Cortazar et al. Cancer Research: December 15, 2012; Volume 72, Issue*  
35 *24, Supplement 3*) and (*Von Minckwitz et al. Journal of Clinical Oncolog: May 20, 2012 vol. 30 no.*  
36 *15 1796-1804*).

## 37 The relationship between pCR and OS/EFS

38 Recently a number of randomised trials have shown that pCR in relation to primary chemotherapy was  
39 associated with long-term survival. Consequently, it has been proposed that pCR in the neoadjuvant  
40 setting could serve as a surrogate endpoint for treatment effect in neoadjuvant trials (*Romero et al.*  
41 *Annals of Oncology 24: 655-661, 2013*). However, it seems that the pCR rate differs according to  
42 molecular subtypes. A meta-analysis of neoadjuvant studies in breast cancer has shown, that pCR was  
43 uncommon in patients with low-grade hormone receptor-positive (HR+) tumours, and more common in  
44 the following tumour subtypes in increasing order: high-grade HR+, HR+/HER2+, triple negative, and  
45 hormone receptor-negative (HR-)/HER2+. Thus, patients with more aggressive tumour subtypes who  
46 achieved pCR seems to have greater EFS compared to patients who did not achieve pCR as follows:  
47 HR+ high grade, HR+/HER2+, HR-/HER2+ and triple negative. In conclusion, there seems to be a  
48 stronger association between pCR and EFS in patients with aggressive tumour subtypes compared to  
49 patients with less aggressive tumours (*Cortazar et al. Cancer Research: December 15, 2012; Volume*  
50 *72, Issue 24, Supplement 3*).

## 51 pCR as endpoint in neoadjuvant breast cancer studies from a licensure 52 perspective

53 Currently available data do not allow a prediction of DFS/OS effect from a certain pCR effect.

54 From an efficacy perspective it is therefore foreseen that only add-on randomised trials to established  
55 neoadjuvant treatment regimens will provide sufficiently convincing data. The mechanism of action  
56 should be well-known and there should be no reason to suspect an adverse interaction with the  
57 established treatment regimen based on PK/PD data.

58 As the magnitude of the effect in terms of DFS/OS cannot be estimated, only minor add-on changes in  
59 toxicity are acceptable. In addition there should be no concerns related to an increased risk for  
60 secondary tumours on theoretical grounds. The safety data base should therefore be sufficiently large  
61 to capture relevant increases in common adverse reactions and follow-up should be sufficiently long to  
62 assess reversibility of known side effects, such as neuropathy and cardiomyopathy.

63 Studies conducted with the regimen in the metastatic setting may provide important safety data and  
64 supportive evidence of efficacy.

65 Extrapolation from the neoadjuvant setting to an indication of use as adjuvant therapy is considered  
66 acceptable provided that the background regimen is an established adjuvant regimen.

67 Therefore, approval based on pCR may be acceptable for patients with aggressive (high-risk) early  
68 stage breast cancer as add-on to an established (neo) adjuvant regimen, if there is a well-  
69 characterised mechanism of action and provided the results show major increase in pCR with only  
70 minor changes in toxicity. Such results may lead to an approval with agreed conditions for  
71 confirmatory study data in terms of DFS/OS.