



25 November 2011 EMA/926027/2011

## EMA-EFPIA Modelling and Simulation Workshop

## Break Out Session 2 (BOS2)

Room 4B

### Organisers/Panellists:

L. Aarons, N. Benda, C. Benson, M. Maliepaard, F. Mentre, K. Prasad, A. Rostami, E. Rook, A. Staab, Prasad Krishna, Norbert Benda

Chairs: C. Benson, E. Rook, A. Staab

**Framework:** Use of M&S with existing information (data, physiological/ mechanistic knowledge) and reasonable assumptions will allow for improvements and efficiency in informed decision making to improve the outcomes for patient safety and efficacy in the clinical pharmacology arena

#### Theme 1 : Dose -exposure-response relationship

What is the minimum data needed and on which set of assumptions would every stakeholder be comfortable to agree on to make decisons on M&S results for dosing decisions? How do we provide a risk versus benefit approach based on M&S that demonstrates a probabilistic assurance of minimizing risk while maximizing benefit, knowing that risk will not be zero?

Differentiate into:

- When should M&S be the primary analysis to establish the doses for phase 2/3 rather than traditional statistical analysis?

- When and how should prior knowledge be used to establish the doses for phase 2/3?

- When can the M&S results be used to interpolate and extraploate for doses to be tested in future trials?

# Theme 2: The integration of data (e.g. across studies or clinical and in-vitro data) using M&S along with reasonable assumptions can provide evidence for evaluation of efficacy/safety risks without the need for a separate study.

What is the minimum data needed (in-vitro/human/physiologic) and on which set of assumptions would every stakeholder be comfortable to agree on to derive dosing recommendations for untested scenarios (how to deal with scientifically unknown things: transporter activities in different species, impact of renal impairment on hepatic impairment)

What is the minimum data needed (in-vitro/human/physiologic), that when combined with a M&S approach and reasonable assumptions, would stakeholders be comfortable to agree on the evaluation of TdP without a TQT study?

How do we provide a risk versus benefit approach based on M&S that demonstrates a probabilistic assurance of minimizing risk while maximizing benefit, knowing that risk will not be zero?

7 Westferry Circus • Canary Wharf • London E14 4HB • United Kingdom **Telephone** +44 (0)20 7418 8400 **Facsimile** +44 (0)20 7523 7040 **E-mail** info@ema.europa.eu **Website** www.ema.europa.eu



An agency of the European Union

 ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$  European Medicines Agency, 2012. Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

| Theme 1: Dose-Exposure-Response relationship |   | 08:30-10:15                  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|
| Time   | Title   | Presenter                    |  |
| 8:30-8:45                                    | Industry perspective  | M. Lobby / P. Miligan        |  |
| 8:45-9:00                                    | Regulatory perspective  | E. Rook                      |  |
| 9:00-9:10                                    | Dose finding under model uncertainty – A case study based on a multi-regional clinical trial                                  | C.H. Hsu                     |  |
| 9:10-9:20                                    | Design of a model based dose finding study in diabetes  | S. Friberg / M.<br>Sandstrom |  |
| 9:20-9:30                                    | Improvement of clinical benefit for a sub-group of pediatric sJIA patients utilizing model-based dose adjustment optimization | N. Frey                      |  |
| 9:30-10:15                                   | Discussion  |                              |  |

#### ~ Coffee Break ~

#### 10:15-10:45

| Theme 2: T<br>clinical and<br>assumption<br>efficacy/sa | 10:45-12:30  |               |            |
|---|--|---------------|------------|
| Time  | Title  | Presenter     |            |
| 10:45-11:00   | Industry perspective   | C. Benson     |            |
| 11:00-11:15   | Regulatory perspective   | M. Maliepaard |            |
| 11:15-11:25   | M&S for dose adjustment in renally impaired<br>patients  | M. Ed         | holm       |
| 11:25-11:35   | Modelling of drug interaction mechanism and<br>estimation of drug interaction in patients with<br>renal impairment                               | M. Ed         | holm       |
| 11:35-11:45   | Assessment of QTc liability using First Time in<br>Human data, based on PKPD modelling and a<br>more quantitative evaluation of preclinical data | O. De         | Ila Pasqua |
| 11:45-12:30   | Discussion   |               |            |