




CHMP work programme: practical aspects


Eric Abadie – CHMP Chairman

24th February 2009


CHMP workplan: what is it ?

- 
- A vertical blue bar with five yellow stars, positioned to the left of the list.
- Projects worth being pursued besides CHMP core business (product related)
 - Projects amenable to impact CHMP relation to its environment
 - Each project (N=24): sponsors (CHMP and EMEA)
 - Concept paper (objective, actions proposed, expected impact, delivery date) and priorities approved by CHMP

High priorities – ongoing projects

- 
- A vertical blue bar with five yellow stars, positioned to the left of the list of high priorities.
- Review and learning project on RMP
 - Advanced Therapies Regulation
 - Interaction with PhVWP and delegations of tasks
 - Evaluation of Benefit Risk
 - Antimicrobial resistance
 - Biomarkers Qualification

High priorities – projects to be initiated

- 
- A vertical blue bar on the left side of the list, containing five yellow stars, one for each item in the list.
- Revision of Role and Mandates of Working Parties
 - Paediatric Regulation and PDCO/CHMP interactions
 - Optimisation of consultation process of SAGs and Specialised Experts Groups
 - Outcome assessment research
 - Microbicides
 - New Statistical approaches
 - Variations Regulation revision




Moderate priorities

- 
- A vertical blue bar with five yellow stars, positioned to the left of the list items.
- Pharmacovigilance Legislation Review
 - Rolling Scientific Evaluation
 - Accelerated Review
 - Interaction with other Committees
 - CHMP/EMA publication policy
 - CHMP interactions with interested parties
 - International activities (IMI; WHO; CPATH; Bilateral agreements)
 - SPC Guideline revision (ongoing)
 - Translational Development and briefing meetings (ongoing)
 - Scientific Advice Peer review (ongoing)
 - ICH (ongoing)




Projects to be addressed today


Evaluation B/R: background

- 
- A vertical blue bar with five yellow stars arranged vertically on the left side of the slide.
- B/R: evaluation of extensive evidence and subjective judgment, a mix of qualitative and quantitative pieces of information
 - None of main Regulatory Authorities has issued a list of B/R assessment criteria
 - More transparency, better communication are needed
 - CHMP Working group set up in May 2006, final report (Reflection Paper) approved in March 08


Evaluation of B/R : workplan

- 
- A vertical blue bar with five yellow stars, positioned on the left side of the slide.
- Two main objectives following the Reflection Paper
 - To implement the CHMP A.R. new template incorporated in CHMP reflection paper.
 - To adapt or develop tools and processes that could be used to conceptualize and make explicit benefit-risk trade-offs.
 - Actions proposed to meet the objectives
 - Describe the current practice of benefit-risk assessment in the EU regulatory network.
 - Assess the applicability of current tools and processes for regulatory benefit-risk assessment.
 - Develop and field test tools and processes in order to demonstrate their usefulness.
 - Using information from the field test, synthesize a benefit-risk tool and process that can add value in other domains.
 - Development of a training programme for regulatory assessors.

Actions in 2009

- 
- A vertical blue bar with five yellow stars, positioned to the left of the list.
- To start the research program on quantitative aspects of B/R balance
 - To improve the readability of the EPAR
 - Incorporate the CHMP discussion
 - Detailing the process of reaching a positive opinion
 - Target population (when appropriate)
 - Relative efficacy (when appropriate)

SAG end of pilot phase: questions raised

- 
- A vertical blue bar with five yellow stars, positioned to the left of the list of questions.
- What do SAG members know/SAGs ?
 - What do SAG members know/procedures (e.g., appeals) ?
 - Could organisational procedures be improved ?
 - Are respective functions clearly defined (SAG members, EMEA, rapporteurs, CHMP as a whole) ?
 - Should we create new SAGs ?
 - Should we increase number of core members ?
 - ... last but not least : CONFLICTS of INTEREST!



Scientific Advisory Groups

SAG's	Core Members (n)	Chair
Cardiovascular	8	Dargie, H.
Anti-infective	8	Bannister, B.
Clinical Neurosciences	8	Donaghy, M.
Diabetes/ Endocrinology	9	Gale, E.
Diagnostics	6	Talbot, J.N.
HIV/Viral Diseases	8	Weller, I.
Oncology	9	Marty, M.



SAGs 2008 Meetings and Experts

Number of meetings: 15
6 Oncology
2 Endocrinology
2 Anti-infective
2 CNS
1 Cardiovascular
1 HIV
1 E + CNS
1 E + CVS

Number of experts: Core members (...) + 85 additional experts

Number of experts contacted: There is no complete and centralised tracking system, but it seems that the number is approx. 200



SAG WORKING GROUP

- Questionnaires for SAG members
CHMP Members
→ After analysis and discussion the Working Group will present results and proposals to CHMP
- Conflict of Interest



SAG WORKING GROUP

Topics included in both questionnaires

- Mandate and composition of SAGs
- Interaction with CHMP (eg feedback from CHMP) and contribution to marketing authorizations process (should SAG be consulted on B/R balance)
- Other ways for SAGs to contribute to CHMP work (eg guideline preparation)
- EMEA role, organisation and conduct of SAGs meetings and role of (co) Rapporteurs at SAGs meetings
- Relationship SAGs and WPs (drafting groups), PDCO and CAT
- Conflict of Interest



Further steps

- Meeting with SAG chairs (based on questionnaire results)
- Reflexion on management of Conflicts of Interest (Management Board June 2009)
- New procedures (training of core members, recruitment, meetings, feed back from CHMP)

Conclusion

- Other topics of the workplan should be mentioned !
 - Reorganisation of WPs
 - Review and learning project on RMP
 - Coordination CHMP/ other Committees
 - Innovation