

Joint EMEA (NRG)/EFPIA Workshop

11 September 2006 in London

FDA Practices

Introduction

- **Rejection rate FDA (2005) = 35 – 40 %**
- **No written Guidelines**
 - **But Guidances to be released in 2006 =**
 - . *Good Naming, Labeling and Packaging*
 - . *Selecting and Submitting Proprietary Names for Evaluation*

Advance approval of Proprietary Names

Timing to submit

- **Possibility to submit up to 2 names (by order of preference) per NDA**
- **Review performed by FDA until one name is found acceptable**
- **FDA Review = timing not predictable**
 - **submission as early as end of Phase II**
 - **target review cycle = 90 days**

Advance approval of Proprietary Names

Timing to submit

- **Final review of proprietary names = 90 days prior to the approval letter for the compound => risk of late rejection**

FDA Assessment process

Teams involved

- Applicant submits request for proprietary name review to Reviewing Division
- Project manager at the Reviewing Division forwards the request to the Project Manager in DMETS (Division of Medication Errors and Technical Support) = in charge of proprietary names Safety Assessment

FDA Assessment process

Teams involved

- **DMETS data collection process**

- **Expert Panel Review**

Chaired by DMETS staffer – 12 people

- . **Composition :**

1. **DMETS Medication Errors Prevention Staff**
2. **Representative from DDMAC (Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising and Communications) = in charge of Promotional Assessment (and misleading aspects)**

FDA Assessment process

Teams involved

. Primary self-evaluation

- 1. Independent evaluation**
- 2. Use of Orange Book, IMS Database, Merck Index, USPTO Database ...**

FDA Assessment process

Teams involved

– Use of computer program = POCA

(Phonetic and Orthographic Computer Analysis)

- Search for Look-alike / Sound Alike
- Evaluation of orthographic and phonetic similarity of new proprietary names with the similarity of those contained in the database

(Orange Book and FDA proprietary databases)

FDA Assessment process

Teams involved

– Prescription simulations

- **Oral and written prescription**
- **Simulations involving 100 volunteers from the FDA staff (including pharmacists, physicians and nurses)**

FDA Assessment process

Teams involved

- **DMETS Safety Evaluator Risk Assessment**
Safety Evaluator
 - Takes the results of Expert Panel analysis, Poca analysis and Prescription simulations
 - Prepares a Recommendation (proprietary name acceptable / not acceptable)
 - After approval by DMETS Director, Recommendation (including DDMAC opinion) is sent to ODS (Office of Drug Safety) for review and if acceptable ODS will send it to the Reviewing Division

FDA Assessment process Teams involved

- **Reviewing Division action**
 - **Decision to accept or not to accept DMETS Recommendation**
 - **Decision forwarded to Applicant
(with potential grounds for rejection, if any)**

FDA Assessment process

Teams involved

- **No submission of the proprietary names to WHO / Usan Council**

Criteria applied by the FDA

- Proprietary name should not be misleading
(therapeutic or pharmaceutical connotations, composition of the product)
- Proprietary name should not contribute to potential confusion errors with marketed, recently approved, pending and withdrawn proprietary names of other pharmaceutical products
 - Contributing factors for name confusion = similar indications, same patient population, identical formulations, overlapping strengths, stores in the same areas

Criteria applied by the FDA

- Proprietary name should not be similar to INN and should not include the stem of an INN in a stem position
 - Exception for short stems of 2 or 3 letters (ex. : - ac)
- Modifiers = *qualifiers or suffixes in the EU* currently permissible

Ex. : CIPRO® XR (Extended Release)

ZOFRAN® ODT (Orally Disintegrating Tablets)

WELLBUTRIN® SR (Sustained Release)

Criteria applied by the FDA

- Proprietary names should not be laudatory or over promising (claims not accepted) unless substantiated by data
- No recognition of legal trademark registration process

Industry / FDA dialogue

- **Good Naming Practices (GNPs)**
= help in the names assessment

Work in progress by PhRMA – Not yet approved by the FDA

- Describes all necessary steps to obtain trademark registration
(legal clearance, USPTO examination, opposition procedure)

+

Industry / FDA dialogue

- Risk Assessment / Medication Errors
Potential Analysis = not mandatory
(Health care providers input – use of third party services mimicking DMETS process)
 - . USA = 1 language / 1 territory
 - . EU = 20 languages / 25 territories (+2 / +2)
// different alphabets and scripts
- => Issue = interpretation of the results

Reconsideration Process

- Applicant requests reconsideration to the Reviewing Division
- Applicant provides justification / arguments in writing to retain the rejected proprietary name
- Dialogue / Face to face meetings with Reviewing Division + DMETS
- Remedies = variations, new proprietary name or use of INN + Company Name

Comparison of EMEA / FDA practice

Similarities

- **High Rejection rate**
- **Criteria applied in the names evaluation :**
 - Names :**
 - **Should not be misleading**
 - **Should not cause confusion (other proprietary names / INN)**
 - **Should not be promotional**
- **No recognition of legal trademark registration process**
- **Continuous dialogue with Industry**

Comparison of EMEA / FDA practice

Differences

EMA

- Guidelines
- Possible submission of 3 names per MA
- Review of all names submitted
- Names submission : 12 to 4-6 months prior to submission date to MAA
- 30 days review cycle
- Final review of names prior to granting of MA : no specific timing

FDA

- -----
- Possible submission of 2 names per NDA
- Review of names until 1 is found acceptable
- Names submission : end of Phase II
- Target review cycle : 90 days but effective duration = longer
- Final review of names : 90 days prior to final opinion

Comparison of EMEA / FDA practice

Differences

EMA

- Names reviewed by NRG Group + Representatives of Member States + WHO + European Commission
- Final validation by CHMP
- No prescription simulation
- No use of phonetic and orthographic computer analysis
- Suffixes : usually not accepted
- No direct dialogue / face to face meeting with NRG

FDA

- Names reviewed solely within FDA (DMETS, DDMAC, ODS) - No external stakeholder
- Final validation by Reviewing Division
- Prescription simulations (oral + written)
- Use of phonetic and orthographic computer analysis
- Modifiers : recommended
- Dialogue / face to face meetings with the Reviewing Division + DMETS

Thank you for your attention !