



GCP inspections and Phase I (F.I.M.) clinical trials

**Pierre Henri BERTOYE
Clinical Trials Inspection Unit
French Health Products Safety Agency**



GCP and Phase I (FIM) clinical trials

Safety of clinical trial subjects

- **4 main parts:**
 - Preparation of the protocol
 - Clinical environment for conducting the study
 - Investigator's team
 - Clinical trial Unit organisation
 - IMPs : quality and proper use in the trial
 - Rising dose design :
 - Further subjects cohort safety depends on :
 - the collected and reported safety results from the previous cohort(s) => then the availability and credibility of these results
 - Suitability of the dose escalation scheme (...)



GCP and Phase I (FIM) clinical trials

Conducting inspections in Phase I units

- **2 types of inspections**
 - **System inspections**
 - **To give confidence on the system**
 - **Authorization / accreditation of the site**
 - Authorisation system : 3 M.S.
 - Accreditation system : 2 M.S.
 - **Acceptance of data submitted in M.A. dossiers without requesting trial inspection**
 - **Trial inspections**
 - **Specific questions on a trial / safety or quality of data**

EMEA GCP Inspection Services Group

Guidance for conducting GCP inspections in phase I units

Guidance no.: INS/GCP/3/V

ANNEX V

TO GUIDANCE FOR CONDUCTING GCP INSPECTIONS REQUESTED BY THE EMEA: PHASE I UNITS

GCP Inspection Services Group

Applies to: EMEA, EU/EEA Inspectorates

Summary of scope: This guidance compiles the main aspects that are to be verified at phase I units during a GCP inspection requested by the EMEA

Keywords: GCP Inspections, Phase I

Restricted

Supersedes: N/A

Finalisation	Date
Adoption by the Ad Hoc Meeting of GCP Inspections Services	2 March 2005
Revision	09 November 2006
GCP Inspection Services Group	

Contents

1. **Purpose**
2. **Responsibilities**
3. **Definitions**
4. **Procedures / requirements**
 - 4.1. Ethics and Reg. approval
 - 4.2. Q Assurance and SOPs
 - 4.2. Investigator Master File
 - 4.4. **Personnel**
 - 4.5. **Facilities**
 - 4.6. Sampling
 - 4.7. I.M.P.s
 - 4.8. Recruitment and const
 - 4.9. Contracts
 - 4.10. Insurance and indemnity
 - 4.11. Confidentiality
 - 4.12. **Adverse events**



GCP and Phase I (FIM) clinical trials

Considerations and concerns

Clinical environment for conducting the study

Personnel and facilities

- Investigator's team
 - Qualification, experience, agreements (GCP § 4.1.)
 - Experience to assume responsibility for the proper conduct
 - Protocol and contract understood and agreed*
 - France : PTCL also reviewed by a pharmacologist*
 - With basic and advanced life support training
 - Adequate resources (GCP § 4.2.)
 - Adequate staff rota, medical and ancillary-medical cover
 - France : PTCL review by a MD qualified / resuscitation*
 - Adequate medical care of Trial Subjects (GCP § 4.3.)
 - Management of adverse events, maintenance of subjects



GCP and Phase I (FIM) clinical trials

Consideration and concerns

Clinical environment for conducting the study
Personnel and facilities

- Clinical trial Unit organisation: Emergency
 - Procedures and equipment
 - Alarm points and procedures; contact numbers to subjects
 - Emergency trolley(s)
 - Beds: tilted / adjusted for height
 - Immediate access to I.C.U. facilities
 - France : Existing agreement CT unit and I.C.U.*
 - Dosing periods to be known by the I.C.U.*
 - Adequate training and experience to manage emergencies: simulation*



GCP and Phase I (FIM) clinical trials

Conclusions

- **No current EU guidance on**
 - Investigator's team qualification, experience
 - Adequate resources (medical/ancillary cover)
 - Emergency procedures
- **National initiatives**
 - Authorisation (3 M.S.) / accreditation (2 M.S.) of phase I units
 - Categorisation of phase I units
 - CT with Potential HRMPs / other trials
- **Guidance on Phase I unit / trial inspections**
 - To be revised to differentiate system and trial inspections
 - Specifications for specific phase I: F.I.M. / HRMPs trials
- **No GCP inspection program coordinated at EU level**
 - Except for BE studies in the context of evaluation