EUROPA-PARLAMENTET EUROPAISCHES PARLAMENT EYPΩΠΑΊΚΟ ΚΟΙΝΟΒΟΥΛΙΟ EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT







## Dagmar Roth-Behrendt

Vice-President of the European Parliament

## Herbal medicinal products: new Committee & European dimension

Meeting on 23 September 2004 at the European Medicines Agency (EMEA) in London

**Panel Paper** 

## The spoken word applies

The European Parliament very much appreciates the establishment of

the new Committee for Herbal Medicinal Products at the European

Medicines Agency (EMEA) and wishes to the Committee and its new

members all success for the important work ahead.

The establishment of this committee has been a long term request of

the European Parliament which came up in parliamentary debate

already shortly after the creation of the EMEA in 1995. It has not been

an easy development as it was not always evident to convince all

stakeholders to support the establishment of such a committee. Even

today, not everyone might be fully convinced of its value and therefore,

the new committee is facing the challenge to prove its capability to

adequately address all relevant issues around the assessment of

herbal medicinal plants, and in particular those which have an interest

beyond a single national or local market. I am personally convinced

that the work of the new committee will be successful and that there

will be a much wider availability of safe herbal medicinal products of

high quality in the years to come. Let me briefly explain where my

optimism is coming from.

In light of the strong interest to have European coordination in the

assessment of herbal medicinal products, the EMEA established in

1997 an ad-hoc working group on herbal medicines which later on got

a permanent status. This working group did an excellent job by

completely reviewing the quality standards for herbal medicinal

products. It got wide appreciation from the scientific communities for

their achievements. Important work has also been done with regard to

the safety of herbal medicines, an area the European Parliament has

always followed with particular interest. Constructive debates were also

held concerning the area of efficacy, which is maybe the most difficult

one in light of the frequent reference to bibliographic material and the

evident lack of new clinical studies.

As the debate on the new legislative framework for herbal medicines

showed, the European Parliament believes that there are many

medicinal plants which are worthwhile being full part of the medicines

system and by that deserve indications like any other medicine. It is not

up to the European Parliament to make suggestions concerning the

detailed assessment but we agreed with the Council of Ministers that a

separation in those herbal medicines with full indications, often referred

to as well established and those of traditional use is reasonable.

The overall political support from all institutions in the European Union

should be an encouragement for those in charge of carrying out the

detailed scientific tasks to work in this direction.

Let me just remind you of a few other issues which were important for

the European Parliament and which are now part of the new legislation

for traditional herbal medicines:

Concerning the composition of a traditional herbal medicine, the

European Parliament advocated wider combination possibilities

than originally proposed. The Council agreed on the principle

and wished to permit the possibility to include vitamins and

minerals provided that the action of the vitamins and minerals

was ancillary to that of the herbal active ingredients. As most of

the traditional combination products between a herbal and a non-

herbal ingredient are indeed combinations with vitamins and

minerals, this was an acceptable position from the viewpoint of

the European Parliament.

- o The structure of the legal provisions made it clear that there are no EU wide legislative provisions which prohibit or restrict the use of herbals in food respectively food supplements. By July 2007, the European Commission will present a report on the advisability to include additional categories of substances into the existing legal provisions for food supplements. Possibly this will be combined with a proposal for extended legislation which may then cover categories such as herbals, amino acids and fatty acids. Until that time, the national legal provisions continue to prevail. Provided that the respective legal provisions are respected, this will allow the use of plants in medicines as well as in food respectively food supplements.
- A particularly sensitive topic during the first reading at the European Parliament was the acceptance of non-European tradition when deciding on the status of traditional herbal medicinal products. The European Parliament agreed that a minimum term of use was needed within the European Community (10 years according to the European Parliament). This is supposed to be part of a time period of use of at least 30 years preceding the date of application. The Council in line with

the European Commission insisted on a minimum term of 15 years, however, following the concerns expressed by the European Parliament, the Council could agree to open up an additional route for products with less than 15 years of use in the European Community. After an application for a traditional use registration has been submitted, Member States may refer such an application to the Committee for Herbal Medicinal Products. The Committee shall consider the relevant documentation and decide on the establishment of a community herbal monograph, which then would allow the acceptance on the national markets. This was an acceptable compromise in a very difficult debate and will ensure an open attitude towards tradition from outside the European Union while applying the general quality, safety and efficacy standards as established for this kind of medicines in the European Union.

• While the original approach of the European Commission in relation to traditional herbal medicines was primarily looking at the acceptance on national markets, the European Parliament insisted on the possibility of mutual recognition by other Member States also for this kind of products. Both the European Commission and the Council took this request of the Parliament into account and the common position included the possibility of a mutual recognition for all products which are covered by a community monograph respectively a list of herbal substances. This will allow applying the general principles of mutual recognition to a large part of traditional herbal medicines and is therefore a very positive result.

Again in line with the spirit of the amendment adopted in the European Parliament in first reading, both the Commission and the Council agreed on a far more reasonable wording with regard to labelling and advertising of traditional herbal medicines. Contrary to the original proposal advocating a quite negative disclaimer, the final agreement requires a statement on the labelling and in advertising that the product is for use in the specified indication which is exclusively based upon long standing use. This is an appropriate reflection of the reality and allows the patient and consumer to have the necessary background information on the product concerned. Such a message does not frighten the individual unnecessarily and again it is with a considerable level of satisfaction to note the move of

the other European institutions in the direction advocated by the

European Parliament.

o Finally, the Council also took into account the considerable

concerns the European Parliament with regard to products not

covered by the scope of the traditional herbal medicines

directive. It is appreciated that the Commission will present not

later than 3 years after the date of entry into force of this directive

a report to the European Parliament and the Council including an

assessment on the possible extension of traditional use

registration to other categories of medicinal products. Further on

the Council included a transition period of 7 years which allows

manufacturers to make appropriate adjustments.

It is not up to the European Parliament to be involved in details of the

implementation process, but we expect that the EMEA will provide all

necessary support to the new committee for herbal medicinal products.

This evidently starts with the appropriate resources and by that

sufficient financing for the infrastructure but in particular also the

provision of adequately trained staff and experts. To ensure the right

communication between the new committee for herbal medicinal

products and other committees within the agency, including in

particular the committee for human medicinal products, is another

important task. In all matters related to herbal medicines, the new

committee is the one of primary competence. There are, however,

overlapping issues which may require the need for proper coordination;

in particular with regard to a possible arbitration for herbal medicines of

well-established use or for herbal medicines going through the

centralized procedure. It is important that the persons with the right

understanding of herbal medicines are those which should have the

primary say when it comes to the final assessment.

Looking at implementing measures to be developed by the European

Commission in the context of the new pharmaceutical legislation, there

are two areas which seem to me particularly important in the context of

herbal medicines:

The envisaged guideline on serious risks to public health should

make sure that the mutual recognition process works better for all

medicines including, in particular, those with well known

ingredients.

Many manufacturers of herbal medicines are small and medium enterprises and there indeed is the need for support of these manufacturers to better cope with the European authorisation procedures. The new pharmaceutical legislation addresses this

point and I am confident that the European Commission will soon

come up with constructive proposals.

The EMEA will soon celebrate its 10<sup>th</sup> anniversary and it can be proud of its achievements. The 20<sup>th</sup> anniversary should be the occasion to look back at the establishment of a much more harmonized herbal medicine market ensuring a wide availability of safe herbal medicines of high quality in the European Union. It will hopefully also allow looking at progress in other therapeutic areas such as homeopathics, which have so far not received the attention on the European level which they deserve. I would encourage all those in charge to address this point, taking into account the strong support homeopathic medicinal products have in all relevant political groups of the European Parliament.

I am sure that as part of the annual reporting of the EMEA to the European Parliament and the other institutions, all interested parties will be permanently updated on the progress of the work. This should then allow us to identify, if necessary, any deficits in the new legislation and to address it in future debates. The European Parliament will certainly very carefully monitor the developments and is looking forward to make its contribution to the further developments of the right legislative and regulatory framework for herbal medicinal products which make an important contribution to the public health of the European citizens.