

Does the Level of Evidence depend on Randomization?

Ralf-Dieter Hilgers Nicole Heussen, Diane Uschner

Department of Medical Statistics, RWTH Aachen University

FP7 Small-population research methods projects and regulatory application workshop, 2017, Mar 29th





Study Design in Practice

- What the theory tells us:
 - no randomization procedure performs best with all criteria
- What applied scientist mostly feel:
 - scepticism to randomization
 - do not well understand randomization principle
 - select a procedure by opinion or software availability
- What the literature mirrors:
 - no training in randomization
 - no recommendation to give scientific arguments for the choice of randomization procedure, neither ICH Guidelines nor CONSORT
- What regulators want:
 - show impact of bias on the test decison (ICH E9)
 - analyses lead to consistent findings, e.g. thoughtfully constructed sensitivity analysis (ICH E9 (R1) Add)







Randomzation Procedure: PBR(4), selection bias effect $\eta = 0.25 \times \delta$









Evaluation of Randomization Procedures for Trial Design Optimization



4 / 8







- treatment effect could be hidden by bias depending on randomization sequence (Kennes 2011, Tamm 2011, Langer 2014)
- *ERDO* framework for scientific evaluation of randomization procedures (*Hilgers, submitted*)
- *randomizeR* to assess randomization procedures (Uschner 2017, accepted)
- time to event model (Rückbeil 2017, accepted)
- understanding effects in multifactorial designs (Tasche 2016)
- bias corrected test (Kennes 2015)





Conclusion



- Level of Evidence in terms of preserving the type I error probability is affected by selection and time trend bias
- the effect may be that conservative or anticonservative test decisions occure
- in the context of rare diseases or orphan drugs, this implies the risk to overlook an effective treatment

preserve the Level of Evidence with the ...

- proposed procedure (ERDO) and toolbox (randomizeR) to assess randomization procedures
- proposed sensitivity analysis procedure to reflect estimands in the presence of selection and time trend bias





References





Kennes, L. N., Cramer, E., Hilgers, R. E., and Heussen, N. (2011). The impact of selection bias on test decisions in randomized clinical trials *Statistics in Medicine* 2011; **30**:2573-2581.



Kennes, L. N. (2012). The impact of selection bias on test decisions in randomized clinical trials *Master Thesis* Mathematics RWTH Aachen



Kennes, L. N., Rosenberger William F., Hilgers, R.-D., (2015). Inference for blocked randomization under a selection bias model *Biometrics* 2015; **71**:y 979?984. doi.org/10.1111/biom.12334.



Langer S. The modified distribution of the t-test statistic under the influence of selection bias based on random allocation rule *Master Thesis, RWTH Aachen University, Germany,* 2014



Rückbeil M. The impact of selection bias on test decisions in survival analysis Master Thesis, RWTH Aachen University, Germany, 2015

Tasche A. Selection Bias bei mehr als zwei Behandlungsgruppen Studienarbeit, RWTH Aachen University, Germany, 2016



Tamm M, Cramer E, Kennes LN, Heussen N Influence of Selection Bias on the Test Decision - A Simulation Study Methods of Information in Medicine 2012; 51:138-143. DOI: 10.3414/ME11-01-0043.



Tamm M, Hilgers RD. Chronological Bias in Randomized Clinical Trials Arising from Different Types of Unobserved Time Trends *Methods of Information in Medicine* 2014; **53**:501-510. DOI: 10.3414/ME14-01-0048.



Rückbeil, M., Hilgers, R.-D., Heussen, N. Assessing the impact of selection bias on test decisions in trials with a time-to-event outcome. *Statistics in Medicine*, accepted.



Uschner, D., Schindler, D., Hilgers, R.-D., Heussen, N. randomizeR: An R Package for the Assessment and Implementation of Randomization in Clinical Trials. *Journal of Statistical Software*, accepted.



