

Beta-lactamase Inhibitors – Topic 5, Section 4.6 Shampa Das, on behalf of the EFPIA team



Topic 5 – Beta-lactamase Inhibitors



Defining PD Index of Inhibitor

- Section 4.6.1 and 4.6.2
 - PK/PD (and hence dose regimen) needs to be defined for each BL
- EFPIA recommendation: Agree, and propose the following modification to line 549-551:
 - A PK-PD index that expresses the relationship between drug exposures and antibacterial effects in preclinical models should be established for each BLI. The PK-PD index should be established using bacterial strains that have been characterized for type of beta-lactamases and other relevant resistant mechanisms to the beta-lactam and/or inhibitor (e.g., permeability-based) to understand the impact of varying organisms and beta-lactamase types on the PDT for the BLI.







Defining PD Index of Inhibitor

Section 4.6.2 Line 552-554:

• In non-clinical infection models the BL/BLI should be administered to mimic the anticipated mode(s) of clinical use

• EFPIA Comment: Agree, however:

 Some studies used during development of PK/PD understanding may not represent mode of clinical use (e.g. continuous infusion) for dose fractionation, keeping one component as continuous dosing etc)

• **EFPIA** recommendation:

- Modify of lines 552 to 554 to read:
- "In establishing the PK-PD index, studies should be included in non-clinical infection models wherein the BL/BLI should be administered to mimic the anticipated mode(s) of clinical use....."







Defining PD Index of Inhibitor

Section 4.6.2 line 554

 The BLI PK parameters of potential interest should be indexed to the potentiated MICs

• EFPIA comment:

etpia

- PK/PD index for BLI should be based on data and may not be indexed to **MIC** of the combination
 - Eg: PDT for avibactam (T>threshold concentration) and relebactam (AUC) is directly a PK parameter not linked to MIC, whereas for tazobactam (T>threshold) which is indexed to the MIC of the combination (potentiated MIC)
 - MIC is not only a function of the amount of beta lactamase produced, there are other resistance mechanisms
 - The PD Index should cover extremes of MICs that the BL-BLI is intended to treat
- EFPIA Suggestion: Change language on lines 554 to allow for exploration of whether PK/PD index of BLI is linked to MIC, and remove reference to "potentiated" MIC
 - For example: How the BLI PK parameters of interest (e.g. C_{max}, AUC, T>threshold) should be indexed to in vitro data should be driven by the data.





Susceptibility Testing and PK/PD Target Concentrations

Currently no mention in the guidance document

- The concentration used to create stable susceptibility testing does not necessarily map to threshold concentrations that are derived from PK/PD studies and lead to dose selection in man
- EFPIA Suggestion: Include text on this in background section to make the principle clear
 - For example: The fixed concentration of BLI used in in vitro susceptibility testing does not necessarily relate to target threshold concentrations from PK/PD experiments that describe the PDT.





Non-clinical activity data

Section 4.6.1 and 4.6.3

- Confirmation of activity across multiple strains/enzyme types from nonclinical data (in vitro and in vivo models)
 - Supports use when you have limited clinical data (e.g. little or no pathogens that are BL-R but BL/BLI-S) [4.6.3 of guidance]
 - In the setting of robust nonclinical data, limited clinical data can support extrapolation to other pathogens
- EFPIA recommendation: This information should be reflected in the SmPC. A section to say what preclinical data are available - examples of US labels shown on next slide.







As clinical data will not provide data on everything, non-clinical data is needed in the label

Examples from AVYCAZ and ZERBAXA labels in the US:

- Example of animal efficacy and PK/PD data
 - Avibactam restored activity of ceftazidime in animal models of infection (e.g. thigh infection, pyelonephritis, systemic infection induced by intraperitoneal injection) caused by ceftazidime non-susceptible betalactamase producing (e.g., ESBL, KPC and AmpC) gram-negative bacteria

• Examples of in vitro data across multiple strains/enzymes:

 ZERBAXA demonstrated in vitro activity against Enterobacteriaceae in the presence of some extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) and other beta-lactamases of the following groups: TEM, SHV, CTX-M, and OXA. ZERBAXA is not active against bacteria that produce serine carbapenemases [K. pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC)], and metallo-beta lactamases





PK/PD target attainment simulations

Section 4.6.2 line 559-566

- Joint target attainment for inhibitor and beta lactam
 - Different approaches can be taken (e.g. deriving a relationship between BLI PK and the MIC, resulting in a time-varying MIC that the PTA of the BL can be assessed against)
- Accounting for correlation between beta lactam and inhibitor random effects and other dependencies
 - Simultaneous model of BL and BLI
 - Parallel models with joint covariate structures
 - May be covered in popPK guidances, avoid being too prescriptive here \bullet
- EFPIA Comment: We agree with the points above and acknowledge that different approaches can be taken to address them. No change is suggested.







Renal dose adjustments

Section 4.6.2 lines 567-572

- Renal dose adjustments for fixed dose combinations
 - Important to understand PK of both BL and BLI as a function of degree of renal impairment
 - Different renal clearance of BL/BLI combination **should not** preclude use of a fixed dose combination, especially if combination remains within therapeutic window
 - Appropriate guidance on antibiotic dosing should be available, where justifiable, for treatment of subjects with renal impairment
- EFPIA Recommendation: Modify lines 570-572 to indicate that renal dose adjustments should be based on the therapeutic window and change "will preclude" to "may preclude"









PK/PD and Clinical studies

Section 4.6.3

- PK/PD in nonclinical models is used to predict what we expect to see in humans
- Data from clinical studies may be limited for the most relevant pathogens (BL resistant, BL-BLI susceptible)
- Different clinical study types:
 - Traditional pivotal non-inferiority study design
 - Demonstrates efficacy/safety in a large-powered study ullet
 - Provides limited data on BL-resistant organisms
 - Small study in patients with confirmed BL non-susceptible pathogens
 - Not powered for statistical analyses, long time to enroll ullet
 - Specifically shows contribution of BLI to efficacy of BL lacksquare
 - Generates clinical data that can be used to relate efficacy to PD results from nonclinical ${\bullet}$ studies
- EFPIA Recommendation: Reinforce that the list of isolates in the label shouldn't be limited to what was in clinical studies, and that nonclinical data could be leveraged to include additional pathogens efpia







- Draft guidance provides valuable information on selecting dose regimens for BL/BLI combinations and recognizes that limited clinical data may be available for the most relevant pathogens (BLresistant, BL-BLI susceptible)
- Dose regimen should take into consideration unique characteristics of each combination (e.g. PD driver, renal dose adjustments)
- Even if limited, data (nonclinical and clinical) on pathogens that produce specific beta-lactamases is useful to include in labelling









Thank you!



Topic 5 – Beta-lactamase Inhibitors

