#### EMA EFPIA workshop Break-out session no. 2

## **Case Study Title:** Design of a model based dose-finding study in diabetes

Marie Sandström, Global Director Clinical Pharmacometrics, AstraZeneca Sofia Friberg Hietala, Senior Pharmacometrician, AstraZeneca

#### **Disclaimer**

The view and opinions expressed in these slides are my own and do not necessarily represent the views of AstraZeneca



#### **BOS2: Position statement**

M&S analysis results together with prior knowledge (e.g. literature/other data) should be the the primary basis to decide on doses for phase II/III

AstraZeneca 🚸

### **Background & Rationale**

- The long term effect of an antidiabetic drug is best described through monitoring of glycosylated hemoglobin, HbA1c, which reflects the average glucose exposure during the last months prior to sampling.
- Given the slow turnover of HbA1c and the fact that the required change in HbA1c is quite small relative to its variability, dose-ranging studies based on traditional pairwize comparisons need to be long (>3 months) and large (50 /group).
- We propose to use a model based approach in the assessment of the dose-response correlation based on:
  - There is a well established model describing the glucose driven effect on HbA1c (Benincosa 2000, Rohatagi 2008)
  - With a model based approach all available data are used to evaluate the dose- response correlation, thus maximizing the use of the collected data
  - Models describing the time-course of the effect in individual patient allow for a better understanding of the sources of variability (interand intraindividual differences)

#### **Objectives of the M&S work**

#### To identify the dose-exposureresponse and allow for the choice of optimal doses in Phase III

AstraZeneca

## **Available Data / Prior Models**

#### Available models:

 Litterature model of FPG/HbA1c (Benincosa 2000, Rohatagi 2008)

#### Populating the model:

- Target specific data for a competitor compound describing the time-course of the effect
- In-house data from previous Phase II and Phase III studies in similar patient populations describing the variability in disease parameters (FPG, HbA1c)



### **M&S** Assumptions:

The change in the primary variable, HbA1c, is driven by FPG



## AstraZeneca 🚸

## Methods

## Evaluation of study designs using simulation & estimation to determine:

- What is the power to detect a pre-specified, minimal relevant effect?
- What is the precision in parameter estimates (Emax, EC50)?
- What percentage of studies are likely to result in correct Go vs No Go depending on:
  - Study design
  - Prior assumptions

## **M&S Results**

5 doses	Traditional design (t-test/ANCOVA)	Model based design
Information generated	Statistical significant dose	Statistical significant dose
		Allows prediction of efficacy/safety for •other duration •other doses and formulations •other populations Optimised Phase III study design
Sample size	270/140	115
Cost	12/6 million US\$	5 million US\$



# AstraZeneca

## Conclusions

- With a model based approach all time points are used to evaluate the effect, adding strength to the analysis, and reducing the required sample size
- The model based analysis would allow for the prediction of efficacy/safety for:
  - different treatment durations
  - different doses and formulations
  - other populations
- The dose-exposure-response model would facilitate an optimised Phase III study design
- Potential draw-back:
  - How should a reduced sample size in Phase II be addressed from the perspective of achieving an adequate number of exposed patients prior to entering Phase III?

#### **Acknowledgements**

AstraZeneca:

Catarina Nilsson, Clinical Pharmacology Scientists Tore Persson, Statistical Science Director Jan Eriksson, Medical Science Director Johan Holmberg, Clinical Programme Director

Uppsala University: Mats Karlsson, Professor Martin Bergstrand, PhD







## **M&S Pre-specification**

The basic structural model was pre-specified, but all parameters would be reestimated using the study data.



## Sensitivity to assumptions

Assumptions based on known Physiology and Pharmacology no sensitivity analysis conducted

