



EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY
SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTH

EMA multistakeholder workshop on Qualification of Novel Methodologies (QoNM) – Outcome report and Recommendations

R&D industry platform meeting, 4 December 2023

Jordanis Gravanis and Thorsten Vetter, Scientific Advice Office, EMA

An agency of the European Union





Reminder: Sessions at the Workshop on 17-18 April 2023

Session 1: From innovation to qualified tools – the scope of qualification of novel methodologies

Chairs: Marjon Pasmooij (CBG-MEB) and Falk Ehmann (EMA)

Session 2: Patient-, Observer- and Clinician-reported outcomes (PROs, ObsROs, ClinROs) – key elements of patient centred medicines development

Chairs: Elmer Schabel (BfArM) and Andreas Kirisits (AGES)

Session 3: Methods based on Modelling and Simulation, Digital Health Technologies and Artificial Intelligence/Machine Learning (AI/ML)

Chair: Joerg Zinserling (BfArM) and Thorsten Vetter (EMA)

Session 4: Real World Evidence – Qualification of data sources

Chairs: Peter Mol (CBG-MEB) and Juan Jose Abellan Andres (EMA)

Session 5: Using “Qualification” going forward – ways to optimise the platform

*Chairs: Paolo Foggi (AIFA), Pierre Demolis (AFSSaPS/ANSM)
and Iordanis Gravanis (EMA)*



Outcome report headlines

Session 1: From innovation to qualified tools – the scope of qualification of novel methodologies

- Regulatory interactions should be planned up-front and start early
 - Introduction of early interaction possibility and of scoping meetings
- Guidance revision should clarify scope on QoNM, importance of CoU and corresponding evidentiary requirements
- Importance of pre-competitive collaboration
 - Mandatory publication of high-level qualification advice information
 - Regulatory direction towards areas of unmet methodology needs
 - Monitoring of uptake and impact can inform whether needs are being met



Outcome report headlines

Session 2: PRO, ObsRO, ClinRO – key elements of patient centred medicines development

- Need to improve awareness of and familiarity with regulatory qualification for stakeholders and particularly patient organisations and professional/learned societies
- Involvement of patients in PRO development from its inception is pivotal
- Parallel qualification interactions with HTA bodies would be valuable given the importance of patient experience data in HTA assessments
- Public repositories of PRO/COA tools (qualified and not) and development by consortia could improve pre-competitive collaboration



Outcome report headlines

Session 3: Methods based on Modelling and Simulation, Digital Health Technologies and AI/ML

- Qualification is highly recommended for M&S methods including iterative interactions and submission of code and raw data
- DHT can offer more objective and patient-relevant measures of treatment benefit but sit at the intersection of different regulatory frameworks and require multi-decision-maker involvement; qualified methods should remain device-agnostic
- Collaboration during development and assessment as well as lifecycle management becomes critical
 - To allow extensions of context of use
 - (esp. for AI/ML-based methods) to define changes to be handled by Qual. mgmt. system



Outcome report headlines

Session 4: RWE – Qualification of data sources

- Clear definition of CoU (towards definition of essential data elements) and consideration of data quality frameworks are important reference points for qualification of registries and data sources
- Evolution of registries over time creates the need for lifecycle management to maintain or reconfirm registry validity
 - Would be facilitated by dialogues between registry holder, medicine developers performing studies based on registry data and regulators



Recommendations from workshop

- Recommendations to be presented in the following categories:
 - For direct implementation (information only)
 - For implementation after further development
 - For future consideration in collaboration with others (non-EMA/network stakeholders)



Guidance update

- One overarching guidance (procedural and general evidence considerations) to **clarify value, purpose** (for the common good) **and scope of QoNM** (e.g., QoNM is no substitute for MD/IVD conformity assessment as QoNM should be device/software agnostic and method publicly available and reproducible) and the role of **Context of Use**
- Additional modules/Q&As to be added later for specific methods (e.g. COAs; DHT/AI; RWE; data sources/registries; M&S, Statistical?)
- **Update and standardise templates** for Briefing Document, Qualification Advice Letter and Qualification Opinion

Define needs/criteria for life-cycle management of QO (for data sources, registries, DHT, AI/ML related methods, i.e., methods for which conditions and/or technologies change over time or if not used for evidence generation over a period)

- **re-validation or QO update**, if changes impact significantly on risks, functionality or performance specifications
- if impact is minor, changes may be managed by a **Quality Management System (QMS)**

Enhance early interactions - Create an early qualification support mini-team

- Cross-functional group reachable via dedicated mailbox
- Tasks
 - Identifying developments out of scope or immature for formal regulatory procedures
 - Helping improve briefing documents (e.g., develop checklists facilitating description of data quality in line with relevant data quality frameworks)
 - Offering early scoping meetings
 - Identifying necessary additional expertise
 - Clarifications/prep for updates following initial QO

Improve webpage presentation of procedural guidance, Qualification Opinions and Letters of Support to improve visibility, accessibility and uptake



- **In relation to RWE data source qualification**
 - What is the optimal forum/process for data source qualification beyond registries?
 - Offer post-qualification triangular interactions involving all stakeholders (registry holder, MAH, EMA) as part of life cycle management
- **(Mandatory) Publication of high-level Qualification Advice information:** value for scientific community, foster collaboration and avoid duplication of efforts
- **Dynamic presentation of information on webpage (e.g., versioning)** to reflect how tools evolve transparently and record life cycle management
- **Procedural aspects**
 - Maintain formal assessment procedure timelines as well as flexibilities (e.g., clock-stops, additional time for initial assessment or QO prep and publication) around it
 - Should qualifications be free-of-charge for applicants (coordinators to continue getting paid) coupled with a strict initial triaging process?

- **Training with public-private partnerships** (e.g., IHI, C-Path), **for patient representatives** (e.g., with EURORDIS patient training / PCWP / PED initiative)
- **Publishing specific unmet needs** for novel methodologies as identified by Committees or Working Parties by linking to **Regulatory Research Science Needs**
- **Workshops on emerging or complex technologies in the regulatory context** (e.g., AI-workshop, RNA workshop, etc.)
- **Workshops and/or Q&As on high-impact Qualification Opinions** to facilitate understanding by stakeholders, build trust and clarify the Qualification process
- Establish **active interaction with professional societies developing COAs**
- **Scientific publications on Qualification Opinions and Advice** – also in collaboration with consortia
- **Monitoring and reporting of evidence from qualified tools considered in regulatory decision making** (AI/LLM-based EPAR or scientific literature screening tool, updates from QO holders as part of post-Opinion commitment)



Draft recommendations for futureproofing the QoNM platform

- Next steps:
 - Industry sounding board TC end-November
 - SAWP presentation in November
 - Update R&D platform 4 Dec 2023
 - Implementation of comments and finalisation
 - Publication WS report in December
 - Involvement in RWE/Registries workshop in Feb 24 to discuss draft checklists for preparation of Qualification requests for data sources and registries
- Establishment of EMA+SAWP group (industry informed via sounding board) to start implementing recommendations