

Regulatory perspective on clinically relevant endpoints for COVID-19 and Influenza

Stephanie Buchholz

EMA workshop on primary efficacy endpoints for antivirals and monoclonal antibodies intended for the treatment of COVID-19 and Influenza



EU approved antivirals for treatment COVID-19

INN (Brand name)	MoA	Indication
Nirmatrelvir/Ritonavir (Paxlovid)	M ^{pro} inhibitor	Treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in adults who do not require supplemental oxygen and who are at increased risk for progressing to severe COVID-19
Remdesivir (Veklury)	RdRp inhibitor	 Treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in: adults and paediatric patients (at least 4 weeks of age and weighing at least 3 kg) with pneumonia requiring supplemental oxygen (low- or high-flow oxygen or other non-invasive ventilation at start of treatment) adults and paediatric patients (weighing at least 40 kg) who do not require supplemental oxygen and who are at increased risk of progressing to severe COVID-19



EU approved antivirals for treatment of Influenza

INN (brand name)	INN (brand	Indication	
Baloxavir Marboxil (Xofluza)	cap-dependent endonuclease (CEN) inhibitor	Treatment of uncomplicated influenza in patients aged 3 weeks and above. Post-exposure prophylaxis of influenza in individuals aged 3 weeks and above. Xofluza should be used in accordance with official recommendations	
Zanamivir IV (Dectova)	Neuraminidase inhibitors	 Treatment of complicated and potentially life-threatening influenza A or B virus infection in adult and paediatric patients (aged ≥6 months) when: The patient's influenza virus is known or suspected to be resistant to anti-influenza medicinal products other than zanamivir, and/or Other anti-viral medicinal products for treatment of influenza, including inhaled zanamivir, are not suitable for the individual patient. Dectova should be used in accordance with official guidance. 	
Oseltamivir (Tamiflu)	Neuraminidase inhibitors	 Treatment of influenza in adults and children including full term neonates who present with symptoms typical of influenza, when influenza virus is circulating in the community. Efficacy has been demonstrated when treatment is initiated within two days of first onset of symptoms. Post-exposure prevention in individuals 1 year of age or older following contact with a clinically diagnosed influenza case when influenza virus is circulating in the community. The appropriate use of Tamiflu for prevention of influenza should be determined on a case by case basis by the circumstances and the population requiring protection. In exceptional situations (e.g. in case of a mismatch between the circulating and vaccine virus strains, and a pandemic situation) seasonal prevention could be considered in individuals one year of age or older. Post-exposure prevention of influenza in infants less than 1 year of age during a pandemic influenza outbreak 	
Zanamivir inhaled (Relanza) (Approved at MS level)	Neuraminidase inhibitors	 Treatment of influenza A and B in adults and children (≥ 5 years) who present with symptoms typical of influenza when influenza is circulating in the community. Post-exposure prophylaxis of influenza A and B in adults and children (≥ 5 years) following contact with a clinically diagnosed case in a household (see section 5.1 for children aged 5-11 years). In exceptional circumstances, Relenza may be considered for seasonal prophylaxis of influenza A and B during a community outbreak (e.g. in case of a mismatch between circulating and vaccine strains and a pandemic situation). 	
Amatadine and rimantadine (Approved at MS level	M2 inhibitors	Chemoprophylaxis and chemotherapy against influenza type A. Resistance and ineffectiveness of rimatedine against influenza B \rightarrow no longer widely used	



Challenges on designing clinical studies

How to generate robust clinical data needed for regulatory approval?

How to define appropriate patient populations?

What are clinically feasible and relevant primary efficacy endpoints?



How to generate robust clinical data needed for regulatory approval?

- Well-designed, controlled randomised clinical trials to generate robust and reliable efficacy data needed for approval
- Feasibility issue concerning the conduct of randomised clinical trials (RCT) in a high-risk and the mild moderate population

Issues relate to:

- Feasibility of demonstrating efficacy due to overall low event rates for progression and decreased impact on symptoms resolution→ hamper enrolment in outpatient and in patient trials with established primary efficacy endpoints
- **Ethical concerns** of conducting placebo-controlled studies in high-risk patients due to available treatment options
- Difficulties of conducting non-inferiority studies with active comparator → challenge of deriving a reliable NI margin

What is the appropriate study population and study design?



Regulatory considerations - Define patient population

What is the **optimal patient population** to demonstrate efficacy of antivirals?

What are **risk factors** for severe disease?

The **population** chosen **impact** the choice of **endpoint**

Immunocompromised patients have an unmet medical need and may benefit most from an antiviral → how to design studies?

What is the appropriate study population?



Primary efficacy endpoints of approved drugs

29-day Mortality

Remdesivir

Hospitalisation and all cause mortality through D28

• Remdesivir and Paxlovid (different time on onset of symptoms ≤ 7 days and ≤3 days)

Time to clinical response

 Zanamivir iV (composite of viral sign stabilisation (temperature, oxygen saturation, respiratory status, heart rate and systolic blood pressure) or hospital discharge)

Time to recovery D28

 Remdesivir (discharged from hospital (with or w/o limitations of activity or home oxygen requirements) or hospitalised but not requiring supplemental oxygen and no longer requiring ongoing medical care

Clinical improvement

• Remdesivir: clinical status on Day 14 assessed on a 7-point ordinal scale ranging from hospital discharge to increasing levels of oxygen and ventilatory support to death

Time to resolution of symptoms (TTRS), Time to alleviation of symptoms

• **Baloxavir** (cough, sore throat, headache, nasal congestion, feverishness/chills, muscle or joint pain, and fatigue), 21.5 hours, all symptoms absent or mild

Incidence of laboratoryconfirmed, symptomatic influenza

- Zanamivir inhaled (time to alleviation of clinically significant signs and symptoms of influenza (fever/feverishness, headache, myalgia, cough and sore throat), 24 hours, all symptoms none or mild
- Oseltamivir (feverish feeling, myalgia, headache, sore throat, cough, overall discomfort, and nasal stuffiness or runny nose) 24 hours, all symptoms mild or none
- Oseltamivir, Baloxavir and Zanamivir inhaled



Do trial design issues impact the TTRS outcome?

	Nirmatrelvir/RTV EPIC-SR	Obeldesivir OAKTREE	Ensitrelvir SCORPIO-SR
Study design	Placebo controlled, double blinded RCT	Placebo controlled, double blinded RCT	Placebo-controlled, double-blinded RCT
Population • Symptomatic standard risk and vaccinated high-risk outpatients, • ≤ 5 days of positive test • At least one COVID-19 symptom		 Standard risk of developing severe illness outpatients ≤3 days of positive test ≥2 symptoms 	 Symptomatic patients (aged 12 to <70 years) with mild to moderate COVID-19 ≤ 120 hours of positive test At least one symptom
Number of participants enrolled	1296 participants enrolled	1900 patients were planned	1821 participants enrolled, 1030 randomised
Primary efficacy endpoint	Time to sustained alleviation of all targeted COVID-19 symptoms D28	Time to COVID-19 symptom alleviation by Day 29	Time to resolution of the composite of 5 COVID-19 symptoms D28
Time of study conduct/Variant	Aug 2021 – July 2022 Delta and Omicron	Feb. 2023 –Jan. 2024 Omicron XBB, JN.1	February 10 -July 10, 2022 Omicron, BA.1, BA.2, BA.4, BA.5
Number of symptoms	11	9	5
Definition of sustained alleviation	The first of four consecutive days during which all symptoms that have been scored: moderate or severe at baseline => mild or absent Mild or absent at baseline => absent	Change in severity of all targeted symptoms first of 48 consecutive hours that have been scored: moderate or severe at baseline => mild or none mild or none at baseline => none	Change of severe symptoms at baseline => have remained improved to moderate or better, moderate symptoms at baseline => have remained improved to mild or better, and mild symptoms at baseline =>have remained mild or better for 24 hours.
Statistics Log-rank test, Alpha 0.05 significance level, only if stat. significant		Stratified log-rank test with stratification factor included, 2-sided significance level of 0.05 to compare treatment differences Kaplan-Meier-method, median time to symptom alleviation per treatment group including 95% CI	Peto-Prentice generalized Wilcoxon test, with 80% power and a 2-sided significance level of 0.05. Primary analysis population: < 72 hours of positive viral test results

References: EPIC-SR: Nirmatrelvir for Vaccinated or Unvaccinated Adult Outpatients with Covid-19 | New England Journal of Medicine
OAKTREE: P-2036. Obeldesivir for Treatment of COVID-19 in Adults and Adolescents Without Risk Factors for Progression to Severe Disease: the OAKTREE Study - PMC
SCORPIO-SR: Efficacy and Safety of 5-Day Oral Ensitrelvir for Patients With Mild to Moderate COVID-19: The SCORPIO-SR Randomized Clinical Trial | Infectious Diseases | JAMA Network Open | JAMA Network
Classified as internal/staff & contractors by the European Medicines Agency

Results of studies

	Nirmatrelvir/RTV EPIC-SR	Obeldesivir OAKTREE	Ensitrelvir SCORPIO-SR
PEP	Time to sustained symptom resolution of all targeted symptoms: Nirmatrelvir/RTV: 12 days (11-13) Placebo: 13 days (12-14) P=0.60	Median time to symptom alleviation: Obeldesivir: 5.9 days (95% CI, 5.4-6.1) Placebo: 6.0 days (95% CI, 5.8-6.3) P = 0.068	Time to resolution of 5 symptoms (72h randomisation): Ensitrelvir: 167.9 hours Placebo: 192.9 hours -24.3 hours, p = 0.04 No statistical significance in patients randomized within 120 hours of disease onset
SEP	Hospitalisation and Death at D28 Nirmatrelvir/RTV: N=5 Placebo: N=10	Time to symptom resolution: Obeldesivir: 9.2 days [95% CI 8.9-10.0] PBO: 9.3 days [95% CI 8.9-10.1] P = 0.56. Viral load reduction: Obeldesivir: viral load reduction with least squares mean treatment differences of D3: -0.31 (P < 0.0001) log10 copies/mL	Time to resolution of 12 symptoms: Ensitrelvir 125 mg: 179.2 hours (-34 hours, p = 0.07) 250 mg: 184.9 (-28.3 hours, p=0.08) Placebo: 213.2 hours Not significant Time to resolution of 14 symptoms: Ensitrelvir 125 mg: 187.8 hours (-44.1 hours, p = 0.03)
	Study failed	D5: -0.18 (P = 0.0037) log10 copies/mL	250 mg: 190.3 (-41.5 hours, p=0.05) Placebo: 231.8 hours No statistical significance in in those enrolled within 120 hours

TTRS endpoint for COVID-19 does not seem to work anymore



Regulatory considerations on TTRS endpoints

Clinical relevance/impact of different definitions?

Clinical relevance of a 24 hours reduction in symptoms?

TTRS **worked** for Influenza antivirals

TTRS <u>does not work</u> for COVID antivirals in current epidemiological situation

What endpoint to use for treatment options?



Regulatory considerations on virological endpoints

Issue on viral load:

- •Magnitude of response is dependent on several factors, including host-factors, virus types/variants and time from onset of symptoms prior to treatment initiation.
- •Clinical evidence of correlation of viral load with clinical benefit not consistently shown

For COVID-19:

- Lack of data
- **Divergent evidence** of correlation between viral load reduction and clinical efficacy, e.g. remdesivir, nirmatrelvir/ritonavir and molnupiravir

For Influenza:

• **Divergent evidence** of correlations between viral load reduction and clinical efficacy, e.g. outpatients and hospitalised patients

Presently <u>not sufficient evidence</u> to support the use of viral load reduction as surrogate endpoint for the confirmation of clinical efficacy for regulatory decision making



Pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis

• Influenza antivirals have been approved for post-exposure prophylaxis based on a primary efficacy endpoint of:

Incidence of laboratoryconfirmed, symptomatic influenza

 Mabs for COVID-19 have been approved for pre-exposure prophylaxis based on a primary efficacy endpoint of:

> Incidence of laboratoryconfirmed, symptomatic COVID-19

No COVID-19 antiviral has to date been approved for pre-postexposure prophylaxis

Having approved antivirals for pre- and post exposure prophylaxis for Influenza and COVID-19 is important



Potential new endpoints -Blocking viral transmission as in CENTERSTONE study

Potential public health benefit of blocking viral transmission

Clinical relevance?

→ Health benefit not related to the treated patient

Relevance of **not blocking** symptomatic transmission?

Relevance of **baseline immunity?**

Could this be an interesting endpoint also in terms of pandemic preparedness?



Regulatory considerations to be addressed

Need of well-designed, controlled randomised clinical trials to generate robust and reliable efficacy data for approval of treatment and prevention options

Need for **alignment on outcome measures**, e.g.
development of Core
outcome Sets?

Context of use of antivirals

Relevance and usefulness
of established and
alternative primary
efficacy endpoints for
regulatory approval

How to define appropriate patient population for clinical trials?

How to address feasibility concerns on conducting RCTs?

Outcomes of the workshop will inform EMA guidelines on COVID-19 and Influenza





Thank you

Stephanie.buchholz@ema.europa.eu

Follow us







