

### A Common Data Model- Why? Strengths and limitations of a common data approach

Patrick Ryan, PhD Janssen Research and Development Columbia University Medical Center



### Odyssey (*noun*): \oh-d-si\

#### 1. A long journey full of adventures





## The journey to real-world evidence

Patient-level data in source system/schema





#### Different types of observational data:

- Populations
  - Pediatric vs. elderly
  - Socioeconomic disparities
- Care setting
  - Inpatient vs. outpatient
  - Primary vs. secondary care
- Data capture process
  - Administrative claims
  - Electronic health records
  - Clinical registries
- Health system
  - Insured vs. uninsured
  - Country policies



One-time Repeated

Patient-level

data in source

system/schema



#### Types of evidence desired:

- Cohort identification
  - Clinical trial feasibility and recruitment
- Clinical characterization
  - Treatment utilization
  - Disease natural history
  - Quality improvement

#### Population-level effect estimation

- Safety surveillance
- Comparative effectiveness
- Patient-level prediction
  - Precision medicine
  - Disease interception



Patient-level data in source system/schema



# Opportunities for standardization in the evidence generation journey

- **Data structure** : tables, fields, data types
  - **Data conventions** : set of rules that govern how data are represented
  - Data vocabularies : terminologies to codify clinical domains
- **Cohort definition** : algorithms for identifying the set of patients who meet a collection of criteria for a given interval of time
- **Covariate construction** : logic to define variables available for use in statistical analysis
- Analysis : collection of decisions and procedures required to produce aggregate summary statistics from patient-level data
- **Results reporting** : series of aggregate summary statistics presented in tabular and graphical form



# Desired attributes for reliable evidence

Desired attribute	Question	Researcher	Data	Analysis		Result
Repeatable	Identical	Identical	Identical	Identical	=	Identical
Reproducible	Identical	Different	Identical	Identical	=	Identical
-		0				
Replicable	Identical	Same or different	Similar	Identical	=	Similar
Generalizable	Identical	Same or different	Different	Identical	=	Similar
Robust	Identical	Same or different	Same or different	Different	=	Similar
Calibrated	Similar (controls)	Identical	Identical	Identical	=	Statistically consistent



# Minimum requirements to achieve reproducibility

Desired attribute	Question	Researcher	Data	Analysis		Result
Reproducible	Identical	Different	Identical	Identical	=	Identical



- Complete documented specification that fully describes all data manipulations and statistical procedures
- Original source data, no staged intermediaries
- Full analysis code that executes end-to-end (from source to results) without manual intervention



### How a common data model + common analytics can support reproducibility

Desired attribute	Question	Researcher	Data	Analysis		Result
Reproducible	Identical	Different	Identical	Identical	=	Identical



- Use of common data model splits the journey into two segments: 1) data standardization, 2) analysis execution
- ETL specification and source code can be developed and evaluated separately from analysis design
- CDM creates opportunity for re-use of data step and analysis step



## Challenges to achieve replication

Desired attribute	Question	Researcher	Data	Analysis		Result
Replicable	Identical	Same or different	Similar	Identical	=	Similar
Source 1	B					Similar evidence Reliable

Source n

...

If analysis procedure is not identical across sources, how do you determine if any differences observed are due to data vs. analysis?



## How a common data model + common analytics can support replication





### How a common data model + common analytics can support robustness



One-time Repe



## How a common data model + common analytics can support calibration

Desired attribute	Question	Researcher	Data	Analysis		Result
Calibrated	Similar (controls)	Identical	Identical	Identical	=	Statistically consistent



 With a defined reproducible process, you can measure a system's performance and learn how to properly interpret the system's outputs



# Flavors of validation throughout the evidence generation journey

Validation: "the action of checking or proving the accuracy of something"







Types of 'validation' required: Data validation, software validation (ETL)



# Structuring the journey from a common data model to evidence



Types of 'validation' required:

Software validation (analytics), Clinical validation, Statistical validation



# Motivations for developing different common data models

	Collaboration type	Data type(s)	Analytic use cases			
I2b2	Grant -> Open- source project	EHR, 'omics cohorts	<ul><li>Cohort identification</li><li>Translational research</li></ul>			
Sentinel	Contract	US private-payer claims	<ul><li>Clinical characterization</li><li>Safety surveillance</li></ul>			
PCORNet	Grant	US EHR	<ul><li>Cohort identification</li><li>Comparative effectiveness</li></ul>			
EU-ADR (Jerboa)	Grant	European EHR, claims	<ul><li>Clinical characterization</li><li>Safety surveillance</li></ul>			
OHDSI (OMOP)	Open-science community	International claims, EHR, hospital, registries	<ul> <li>Cohort identification</li> <li>Clinical characterization</li> <li>Population-level estimation (safety + effectiveness)</li> <li>Patient-level prediction</li> </ul>			



# Balancing tradeoffs in data management vs analysis complexity







# **Concluding thoughts**

- On the journey from source data to reliable evidence, think about where you are starting and where you want to end up
- Common data model + common analytics can help standardize parts of the journey
- The decision of whether (and which) CDM to apply to a EU network should be driven by the requirements around the reliability of the evidence and the efficiency of the evidence generation process





### Join the journey!

ryan@ohdsi.org