

# 2<sup>nd</sup> EMA Workshop on Biosimilar Monoclonal Antibodies, 24 October 2011

---

Session 1.1 Non-Clinical Issues:

*«Off-target toxicity – does it occur and how should we detect it?»*

Innovator Industry Presentation

Sven Kronenberg, F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd.  
Head Toxicology, Roche Penzberg

On behalf of EBE and EuropaBio

# Unexpected in-vivo findings

- ◆ Cases of “off-target” findings with mAbs have been published. Since cause may involve MoA, CDR/Fc characteristics and/or product attributes, the broader term “**unexpected in-vivo findings**” is used here
- ◆ **In-vivo assessment for potential unpredicted effects is an important part of non-clinical safety testing**
  - ❖ Unexpected binding, **not detected by in-vitro testing**, can significantly affect the **in-vivo behaviour and toxicity** of a mAb
  - ❖ **In-vitro assays will only address the known, but not the unknown**
- ◆ For addressing unexpected in-vivo findings, the following should be considered
  - ❖ Reference to **ICH S6 R(1)** (slide 3)
  - ❖ **Case example** (slide 4 )

# In-vivo studies to be considered to address potential for unexpected effects as per ICH S6 R(1): Section 2.1

- ◆ The text below was included in **ICH S6 R(1)** to address the potential for **unexpected in-vivo effects** with products directed at exogenous targets
  - ❖ This approach is considered **appropriate to support entry of biosimilar products into the clinic**
  - ❖ The choice of relevant species should be **justified by the sponsor**

For monoclonal antibodies and other related antibody products directed at foreign targets (i.e., bacterial, viral targets etc.), a short-term safety study (see ICH S6 Guideline) in one species (choice of species to be justified by the sponsor) can be considered; no additional toxicity studies, including reproductive toxicity studies, are appropriate. Alternatively, when animal models of disease are used to evaluate proof of principle, a safety assessment can be included to provide information on potential target-associated safety aspects. Where this is not feasible, appropriate risk mitigation strategies should be adopted for clinical trials.

# Unexpected in-vivo findings – Case mAb Y

## ◆ Antibody mAb Y – Target expression is highly **restricted**

- ❖ Finding: **rapid profound thrombocytopenia**; mild to marked decreases in red cell mass, after a single dose at  $\geq 50$  mg/kg via SC or IV route. After 40hrs, platelet decreased 92-99% from pretest (absolute counts  $4-50 \times 10^3/\text{ul}$ ).
- ❖ At 72 hours, increased numbers of **activated macrophages**, some with mitotic figures, and macrophages with phagocytized erythrocytes in the spleen.
- ❖ **No detectable binding of mAb-Y to cynomolgus peripheral blood or bone marrow cells** in-vitro. **In-vitro, mAb-Y induced cynomolgus peripheral blood monocytes (PBMs)**, but not human PBMs, to phagocytize platelets.
- ❖ The **F(ab)'<sub>2</sub>** portion of the molecule did **not induce phagocytosis** of platelets.
- ❖ Three mAbs sharing the same Fc framework as mAb-Y competitively bound to and had similar biological activity against the intended target. **None of these antibodies had the haematologic liability in-vitro or in-vivo.**
- ❖ **Modification of the Fc portion** of mAb-Y to decrease FcR binding **attenuated the in-vivo and eliminated the in-vitro** hematologic responses.
- ❖ Together, data demonstrate that the haematologic effects of mAb-Y in cynomolgus monkeys occurred through an mechanism involving **both the Fc and CDR** portions of this specific monoclonal Ab.

# Unpredicted in-vivo findings - Summary

- ◆ **Binding/interaction of mAbs to a single blood cell type** (eg, platelets, monocyte/ macrophage) has been shown
- ◆ Unexpected findings may, however, occur in **any** organ or tissue
- ◆ May be driven by **Fc** and **CDR**
- ◆ **In-vivo nonclinical studies were required** to reveal these findings – not clear why one mAb shows an effect whilst others do not.
- ◆ **Post-translational modifications can affect structure of mAbs and thus Fc interactions and function. Therefore, it is prudent to conduct limited in-vivo animal studies to provide assurance of PK/PD/safety for biosimilar molecules.**
- ◆ Findings are considered **uncertain** whether **translatable** to human in-vivo
- ◆ **In-vitro assays will only address the known, but not the unknown**

- BACKUP

# Unexpected in-vivo findings – Case AMGX

- ◆ **AMGX** (IgG2), used to treat autoimmune and inflammatory diseases
  - ❖ Finding: After 1<sup>st</sup> dose, “rapid **profound thrombocytopenia** with decreased platelet granularity, lowered mean arterial pressure, and transient loss of consciousness and flushing” after a single dose in monkeys. **Not seen with 3 other mAbs** against **same target**.
  - ❖ Platelet counts at 15 minutes after dosing were decreased 90-98% from pretest; less after subsequent doses; no ADAs, complement, nor vasculitis involved.
  - ❖ Subsequent *in vitro* tests revealed **activation and aggregation of platelets** in macaque monkey species, but not for baboon or human platelets (not seen for the other 3 mAbs). Intact AMGX with Fc and CDR domains required (F(ab)<sub>2</sub> didn't cause it).
  - ❖ Preincubation of AMGX with the intended target eliminated platelet activation.
  - ❖ Data support that activation of macaque platelets requires binding of AMGX by the **Fc portion to the FcγR1a** receptor and by the **CDR** to an as yet **undetermined epitope** (off-target). Platelet RNA and protein analysis data indicated target is not expressed on platelets.