



EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY
SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTH

7 October 2016
EMA/199522/2016
Human Medicines Evaluation Division

Pre-submission checklist for annual re-assessment of a marketing authorisation under exceptional circumstances application

The purpose of this checklist is facilitating submission of complete and correct Annual Re-Assessment Applications by marketing authorisation holders (MAHs).

Guidance for Marketing Authorisation Holders

The Agency strongly recommends that this checklist is used in advance of submission of Annual Re-Assessment Applications. You should be able to answer "Yes" to every item listed below unless a specific point is not applicable ("n/a") to the application in question. Please note that this checklist should not be included in the submission.

Upon receipt of an Annual Re-Assessment Application, the procedure manager proceeds to validate the documentation submitted in accordance with the checklist included below.

Issues identified during validation will be notified to the MAH via email. The MAH will be requested to provide responses to the issues raised within 5 working days. Delayed or insufficient responses may affect the timely start of the procedure.

Reference documents for further information:

- [Directive 2001/83/EC](#), Article 22 and its Annex I, Part II.6
- [Regulation \(EC\) No 726/2004](#), Article 14(8)
- [CHMP Guideline on procedures for the granting of a marketing authorisation under exceptional circumstances](#)
- [Post-authorisation guidance on annual re-assessment procedures](#)



1.3.1 Product Information	Yes	N/A	
<u>If changes proposed:</u>			
PDF version of EN annexes in the eCTD sequence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>[If changes are proposed, updated PI should be provided: - WORD version (track-changes and 'clean') - pdf version]</i>
Word version of EN annexes (Clean)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Word version of EN annexes in tracking mode	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<u>Affected Annexes:</u>			
SmPC	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Annex II	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Labelling	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Package Leaflet	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<u>If no changes are proposed:</u>			
Word version of EN annexes (Clean)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>[Check that reference is made in the cover letter that no changes to the PI are proposed.]</i>
1.4 Information about the experts			
1.4.3 Information about the Expert – Clinical (incl. Signature + CV).	<input type="checkbox"/>		
1.8.2 EU-RMP (Risk Management Plan)			<i>[If SOB data submitted with the annual re-assessment warrant an RMP update, an updated RMP should be submitted.]</i> <Updated RMP: Version XX>
Updated EU-RMP provided as part of the submission	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>An RMP is not systematically required as part of Annual re-assessment applications. Two scenarios are possible: - Where there are no new data in the dossier justifying changes to the latest approved RMP, the RMP update should not be included in the submission. In such case, the MAH should specify this in the cover letter and declare in the clinical overview that the current approved RMP does not require changes and remains applicable. - If an update of the RMP is proposed by the MAH as a consequence of data submitted with the application, section 1.8.2 should contain the updated RMP ('clean' version). In this case, a version of the RMP, highlighting the changes proposed by the MAH should be provided in Word format.</i>
<u>Changes proposed</u>			
EU-RMP provided as clean PDF version in the eCTD sequence	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
EU-RMP provided in track-changes as Word document	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Module 2			
	Yes	N/A	Comments
2.5 Addendum to Clinical Overview			
The Expert report addressing the data submitted as well as the status of fulfilment of the SOBs and their impact on the overall benefit/risk balance of the medicinal product, in the form of a Clinical Overview update or addendum, with the following structure (headings):			
Summary of information previously submitted to address ongoing SOBs	<input type="checkbox"/>		<i>[This pertains to SOBs that are still in place. Information submitted previously that led to a complete fulfilment of a SOB should not be re-submitted.]</i>
Data submitted with the Annual Re-Assessment to address outstanding SOBs	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>[New summaries should be submitted in section 2.7 and clinical study reports in section 5.3.5 - see below]</i>
Critical evaluation of status of fulfilment of each pending SOB	<input type="checkbox"/>		
2.7 Clinical Summary			
Updated clinical summaries provided with the application	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>[Clinical summaries will generally need updating, as appropriate, when new clinical study reports are submitted.]</i>
Module 5			
	Yes	N/A	Comments
5.3 Clinical study reports (Reports of Efficacy and Safety Studies, as appropriate, submitted to fulfil SOs)			
			<i>[If data from a specific obligation is available in the form of a clinical study report for submission at the time of an annual re-assessment application, this should be submitted in Module 5 of such an application.]</i>
5.3.5.1 Study Reports of Controlled Clinical Studies Pertinent to the Claimed Indication	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.3.5.2 Study Reports of Uncontrolled Clinical Studies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.3.5.3 Reports of Analyses of Data from More Than One Study	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.3.5.4 Other Clinical Study Reports	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

This checklist is published for transparency purposes and to facilitate submission of complete and correct annual re-assessment applications. This does not preclude that, during the actual validation of the submitted application, the Agency may identify other issues that could impact the validation outcome.