Part VI: Summary of the risk management plan

Summary of risk management plan for Fotivda (Tivozanib Hydrochloride)

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Fotivda. The RMP details important risks of Fotivda, how these risks can be minimised, and how more information will be obtained about Fotivda's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Fotivda's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Fotivda should be used.

This summary of the RMP for Fotivda should be read in the context of all this information including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Fotivda's RMP.

I. The medicine and what it is used for

Fotivda is authorised for the first line treatment of adult patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC) and for adult patients who are VEGFR and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway inhibitor-naïve following disease progression after one prior treatment with cytokine therapy for advanced RCC (see SmPC for the full indication). It contains Tivozanib Hydrochloride as the active substance and it is given by oral administration.

Further information about the evaluation of Fotivda's benefits can be found in Fotivda's EPAR, including in its plain-language summary, available on the European Medicines Agency (EMA) website, under the medicine's webpage https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/fotivda.

II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimise or further characterise the risks

Important risks of Fotivda, together with measures to minimise such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Fotivda's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimise the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorised pack size the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;

• The medicine's legal status — the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimise its risks.

Together, these measures constitute routine risk minimisation measures.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analysed, including PSUR assessment so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute *routine pharmacovigilance activities*.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Fotivda is not yet available, it is listed under 'missing information' below.

II.A List of important risks and missing information

Important risks of Fotivda are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimise the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely taken. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Fotivda. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g. on the long-term use of the medicine);

List of important risks and missing information		
Important identified risks	Hypertension	
	Arterial embolic and thrombotic events	
	Venous embolic and thrombotic events	
	Congestive heart failure (CHF)	
	Haemorrhage	
	Proteinuria	
	Hand-foot skin reaction (HFSR)	
	Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES)	
Important potential risks	QT prolongation	
	Hepatic effects	
	GI perforation and fistula	
	Reproductive and developmental toxicity	
	Wound healing complications	
	Overdose	
Missing information	Use during lactation	

II.B Summary of important risks

Important identified risk: Hypertension

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Non-clinical: Transient increase in blood pressure after a single oral dose of 3 mg/kg/day was seen in monkeys. A maximum increase of 14 to 19 mmHg was seen 90 minutes post-dose. Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): The overall frequency of hypertension TEAEs among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies was 49.4%. UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: The overall frequency of hypertension TEAEs among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the TIVO-3 study was 40.5%. Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Cumulative data from the post-marketing experience revealed 31 reports of Hypertension (hypertension, n=22, blood pressure increased, n=3, blood pressure diastolic increased n=1, hypertensive crisis n=3, hypertensive heart disease n=1, and accelerated hypertension=1). No new safety issues have been identified from these post-marketing cases.	
	Class effect:	
	Sorafenib	 29% (all grades); 11% (Grade 3/4) (Rini et al., 2011; USPI NEXAVAR (sorafenib)) > 10% (all grades) (SmPC NEXAVAR (sorafenib)) 16-36% (all grades); 1-10% (Grade 3/4) (Beck et al., 2011; Di Lorenzo, Carteni, et al., 2009; Escudier, Eisen, et al., 2009; Garcia et al., 2010; Hutson et al., 2008; Kane et al., 2006; Procopio et al., 2011;
	Sunitinib	Procopio et al., 2007) 34% (all grades) (SmPC SUTENT (sunitinib)); 13%
	Summino	(Grade 3); (USPI SUTENT (sunitinib malate))
		22-61% (all grades); 5-18% (Grade ≥ 3) (Ansari et al., 2010; Escudier, Roigas, et al., 2009; Gore et al., 2009; Hutson et al., 2008; Motzer et al., 2009; Rini et al., 2008; Tomita et al., 2010; Uemura et al., 2010; Yildiz et al., 2011)
	Axitinib	■ 40% (all grades); 16% (Grade 3/4) (Rini et al., 2011; <u>USPI INLYTA (axitinib)</u>) 45-84% (all grades); 15- 70% (Grade ≥ 3) (Rini et al., 2009; Rixe et al., 2007; <u>Tomita et al., 2011</u>)
	Pazopanib	
		 40-41% (all grades); 4-9% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) (Hutson et al., 2010; Sternberg et al., 2010)
Risk factors and risk groups	with uncontr	al risk groups for hypertension or its complications are patients rolled hypertension and patients who may have ingested are tivozanib hydrochloride.
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk SmPC section PL section 2,	k minimisation measures: on 4.2, 4.4, 4.8, 4.9 2, 3, 4 only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced
	Additional r	risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures
Important identified risk: Arterial	embolic and tl	thrombotic events

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): The overall frequency of treatment-emergent arterial embolic and thrombotic events among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies was 3.3%, and the frequency of Grade ≥ 3 events was 2.5%. UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: The overall frequency of treatment-emergent arterial embolic and thrombotic events (ischemic stroke) among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the TIVO-3 study was 1.2%. Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Cumulative data from the post-marketing experience revealed 8 reports of arterial embolic and thrombotic events (myocardial infarction, n=2, acute myocardial infarction, n=3, retinal artery thrombosis, n=1, pelvic venous thrombosis, n=1, peripheral arterial occlusive disease n=1). The safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the reported safety data in clinical trials.	
	Class effect:	
	Sorafenib ATE: 1% (Grade 3/4) (<u>USPI NEXAVAR (sorafenib)</u>) Cardiac ischemia/infarction: 3-5% (all grades) (<u>Escudier, Eisen, et al., 2009; Hutson et al., 2008;</u> SmPC NEXAVAR (sorafenib))	
	Sunitinib Cerebrovascular accident (CVA), transient ischemic attack (TIA): <1%, MI: <10%, fatal ATE has been reported (SmPC SUTENT (sunitinib)) Treatment-related fatal MI: 1% (SmPC SUTENT (sunitinib)) MI: 3% (all grades); 3% (Grade ≥ 3) (Yildiz et al., 2011)	
	Axitinib ATE: 2% (all grades); 1% (Grade 3/4) Fatal CVA: < 1%	
	Pazopanib ATE: 3% (MI/ischemia 2%, CVA < 1%, TIA < 1% - 1%) (Sternberg et al., 2010; USPI VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) Ischemic stroke: < 1% (all grades); 0% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 4) TIA: < 1% (all grades); < 1% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) CVA: < 1% (all grades); < 1% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 4) Myocardial ischemia: < 1% (all grades); < 1% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) MI: < 1% (all grades); < 1% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 4) MI: < 1% (all grades); < 1% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 4) (SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib))	
Risk factors and risk groups	Risk factors for arterial embolic and thrombotic events include malignant disease, age > 65 years, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and prior	
Risk minimisation measures	thromboembolic disease. Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section 4.4, 4.8 PL section 2, 4 Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced in the treatment of RCC. Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures	
Important identified risk: Venous e		

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): The overall frequency of treatment-emergent venous embolic and thrombotic events among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies was 2%, and the frequency of Grade ≥ 3 events was 0.9%. UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: The overall frequency of treatment-emergent venous embolic and thrombotic events (pulmonary embolism) among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the TIVO-3 study was 2.9%.	
	Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Data from the post-marketing experience revealed 3 reports of venous embolism and thrombotic events (Pulmonary embolism n=3). The safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the reported safety data in clinical trials.	
	Class effect:	
	Sorafenib Thromboembolism <1% (<u>USPI NEXAVAR</u> (sorafenib))	
	Sunitinib Venous thromboembolic event (VTE): 3% (all grades) 2% pulmonary embolism (PE) all grades, 2% deep venous thrombosis (DVT) (all grades) (USPI SUTENT (sunitinib malate))	
	Axitinib ■ VTE: 3.9% (all grades), 3.1% (Grade ≥3) ■ PE: 2.2%, fatal PE 0.3%, DVT: 0.6%, Retinal vein occlusion/thrombosis: 0.6% (SmPC INLYTA (axitinib))	
	Pazopanib VTE: 1% (all grades), <1% (Grade ≥ 3) (SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib))	
Risk factors and risk groups	Risk factors for venous embolic and thrombotic events include advanced age, race (higher prevalence in Caucasians and African Americans), and presence of risk factors, such as cancer, surgery, trauma, inherited thrombophilic states, prior VTE or ATE, obesity, cardiac or respiratory failure, and immobilisation (Connelly-Frost et al., 2013; Weber, 2014). A number of factors for VTE in malignancy have been implicated, including tumour-induced hypercoagulability, vascular injury from surgical treatment, chemotherapy, radiation, and venous stasis due to immobilisation. The risk did not appear to increase with added co-morbidity burden (A. B. Smith et al., 2014).	
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section 4.4, 4.8 PL section 2, 4	
	Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced in the treatment of RCC.	
Important identified risk: Congesti	Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): Among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies, the overall frequency of CHF TEAEs was 1% (7/674).	
	UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: There was no new data pertaining to clinical trials since the product approval.	
	Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Data from the post-marketing experience revealed no report of CHF. Class effect:	
	Sorafenib • CHF: 2% (all grades) (SmPC NEXAVAR (sorafenib))	
	Sunitinib • CHF: <1% (all grades) (SmPC SUTENT (sunitinib))	

Risk factors and risk groups	Grade 3 LVEF dysfunction and/or CHF: 7% (Di Lorenzo, Autorino, et al., 2009). CHF: 4% (all grades); 2% (Grade ≥ 3) (Richards et al., 2011). Axitinib No information found Pazopanib Cardiac dysfunction such as decreased LVEF and CHF: 0.6% (USPI VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) CHF: 0.5% (SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) Cardiotoxicity from TKI treatment is a risk factor for CHF. History of
Nisk factors and fisk groups	coronary artery disease (OR=18; 95% CI=4-160) and history of hypertension (OR=3; 95% CI=1.5-80) are significant predictors of CHF (Di Lorenzo, Autorino, et al., 2009).
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section 4.4, 4.8 PL section 2, 4 Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced in the treatment of RCC. Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures
Important identified risk: Haemore Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): The overall frequency of haemorrhage TEAEs among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies was 10.4%. UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021:
	Clinical trials: There was no new data pertaining to clinical trials since the product approval. Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Cumulative data from
	the post-marketing experience revealed 6 reports of haemorrhage (haemorrhage n=1, anal haemorrhage and skin haemorrhage n=1, subdural haematoma n=1, post-procedural haemorrhage n=1, gastrointestinal haemorrhage n=1, and epistaxis n=1). The safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the reported safety data in clinical trials.
	Class effect: Sorafenib Haemorrhagic events: 18% (all grades); 3% (Grade 3/4); 1% (Grade 5) (USPI NEXAVAR (sorafenib)) Haemorrhage (including GI, respiratory tract, and cerebral) (all grades): Very common (> 10%) (SmPC NEXAVAR (sorafenib)) Bleeding (all grades): 12-21% (Di Lorenzo, Carteni, et al., 2009; Hutson et al., 2008; Je et al., 2009).
	 Sunitinib 26-39% (all grades); 5% (Grade ≥ 3) (SmPC SUTENT (sunitinib)) 19-26% (all grades); 3% (Grade ≥ 3) (Je et al., 2009) Epistaxis: 6-42% (all grades); 0-1% (Grade ≥ 3) (Ansari et al., 2010; Gore et al., 2009; Motzer et al., 2009; Tomita et al., 2010; Uemura et al., 2010; Yildiz et al., 2011)
	Axitinib 16% (all grades); 1% (Grade 3/4); < 1% (Grade 5) (USPI INLYTA (axitinib)) Epistaxis: 10-16% (all grades); 0% (Grade 3/4) (Rini et al., 2009; Rixe et al., 2007) Cerebral haemorrhage: 3% (all grades) (Tomita et al., 2011) Haematuria 6%; rectal haemorrhage 4%, GI haemorrhage 2% (Rixe et al., 2007)

	Pazopanib Haemorrhagic events: 13% (all grades) (Sternberg et al., 2010; USPI VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) Epistaxis: 4% (all grades); <1 % (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) Other events (all grades) each reported < 1%: oesophageal haemorrhage, GI haemorrhage, hematemesis, haematochezia, haemoptysis (1%), haemorrhage, haemorrhage urinary tract, haemorrhagia, mouth haemorrhage, pulmonary haemorrhage, rectal haemorrhage, retroperitoneal haemorrhage, upper GI haemorrhage, vaginal haemorrhage. Grade 3 events (each reported < 1%): GI haemorrhage, upper GI haemorrhage. Grade 4 events: no events in RCC patients; oesophageal haemorrhage in soft tissue sarcoma
Risk factors and risk groups	patients (< 1%) (SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) The potential risk groups for haemorrhage include subjects with prior
Kisk factors and risk groups	history of bleeding or subjects receiving anti-coagulant therapy or anti-platelet treatments including aspirin. Risk of bleeding might be higher and more relevant in the older and frailer population, in whom even a Grade 2 bleed is of clinical importance (Je et al., 2009).
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures:
	SmPC section 4.4, 4.8 PL section 2, 4 Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced in the treatment of RCC.
I dil de la	Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures
Important identified risk: Proteinu Evidence for linking the risk to the	Non-clinical: Increases in urinary albumin, urobilinogen and other
medicine medicine	proteins were observed in rats. Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): The overall frequency of proteinuria TEAEs among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies was 8.9%.
	UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: The overall frequency of proteinuria TEAEs among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the TIVO-3 study was 6.4%.
	Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Cumulative data from the post-marketing experience revealed 2 reports of proteinuria (proteinuria, n=2). The safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the reported safety data in clinical trials.
	Class effect: Sorafenib
	Sunitinib ■ 1-10% (all grades) (SmPC SUTENT (sunitinib)) Axitinib ■ 11% (all grades); 3% (Grade 3) (SmPC INLYTA (axitinib)) ■ 8-58% (all grades); 0-9% (Grade ≥ 3) (Rixe et al., 2007; Tomita et al., 2011) Pazopanib ■ 12% (all grades); 3% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) (SmPC
Diele feetons and mile	VOTRIENT (pazopanib))
Risk factors and risk groups	Risk factors for proteinuria include high systolic and diastolic blood pressure.
	Routine risk minimisation measures:

SmPC section 4.4, 4.8 PL section 2, 4 Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced in the treatment of RCC. Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures Important identified risk: Hand-foot skin reaction (HFSR) Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): Among tivozanib Evidence for linking the risk to the hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies, the medicine overall frequency of HFSR TEAEs was 11%; the frequency of Grade ≥ 3 HFSR TEAEs was 1.5%. **UPDATE** as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: The overall frequency of HFSR TEAEs among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the TIVO-3 study was 15.6%. Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Cumulative data from the post-marketing experience revealed 16 reports of HFSR (palmarplantar erythrodysaesthesia syndrome, n=16). The safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the reported safety data in clinical trials. Class effect: Sorafenib 37-51% (all grades); 16% (Grade 3/4) (Massey et al., 2015; Rini et al., 2011; USPI NEXAVAR (sorafenib)) 21-79% (all grades); 4-31% (Grade \geq 3) (Beck et al., 2011; Di Lorenzo, Carteni, et al., 2009; Escudier, Eisen, et al., 2009; Garcia et al., 2010; Hutson et al., 2008; Procopio et al., 2011; Procopio et al., 2007) Sunitinib 29% (all grades); 8% (Grade \geq 3) (USPI SUTENT (sunitinib malate)) $\overline{21-53}\%$ (all grades); Grade ≥ 3 : 5-18% (Ansari et al., 2010; Escudier, Roigas, et al., 2009; Gore et al., 2009; Hutson et al., 2008; Motzer et al., 2009; Rini et al., 2008; Tomita et al., 2010; Uemura et al., 2010; Yildiz et al., 2011) 32% (all grades); 8% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) (SmPC Axitinib **INLYTA** (axitinib)) 27% (all grades); 5% (Grade 3/4) (Rini et al., 2011; USPI INLYTA (axitinib)) 7-75% (all grades); 16-22% (Grade \geq 3) (Rini et al., 2009; Rixe et al., 2007; Tomita et al., 2011) 9-18% (all grades); 3% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) Pazopanib (Massey et al., 2015; SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) 11% (all grades); 2% (Grade \geq 3); 0% (Grade 4) (Hutson et al., 2008) The risk group might include patients receiving concomitant therapy with Risk factors and risk groups agents known to cause HFS, such as capecitabine, 5-FU, doxorubicin, and IL-2. However, according to a meta-analysis of 33 randomised studies of TKIs with VEGFR inhibitory activity, the risk of HFSR when such TKIs were used as a component of a combination regimen involving a conventional chemotherapeutic agent was not different from TKI use as monotherapy (Massey et al., 2015). The risk for developing HFSR or HFS depends on patient social, work and home life. Patients whose jobs require a significant amount of walking or hand friction are at greater risk of developing these skin toxicities and use of caustic cleaning solutions and hot water are also contributors (W. Smith & Abou-Alfa, 2010). Experience from clinical practice has shown that HFSR tends to be more severe in younger, 'more-active' patients (Edmonds et al.,

2012).

Routine risk minimisation measures:

Risk minimisation measures

	SmPC section 4.4, 4.8	
	PL section 2, 4	
	Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced	
	in the treatment of RCC.	
Increased and identified violat Destanta	Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures	
	reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES)	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 23 Feb 2019): Data from clinical trials revealed 4 reports of PRES.	
medicine	UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021:	
	Clinical trials: There was no new data pertaining to clinical trials post the	
	DLP of 23 Feb 2019.	
	Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021) : Cumulative data from the post-marketing experience revealed 4 reports of PRES. The safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the reported safety data in clinical trials.	
	data in crimical triais.	
	Class effect:	
	Sorafenib Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome	
	(RPLS): uncommon (0.1-1%, all grades)	
	Encephalopathy: frequency not known, reported	
	during post-marketing	
	(SmPC NEXAVAR (sorafenib))	
	Sunitinib PRES: rare (0.01-0.1%) in gastrointestinal stromal	
	tumour (GIST), metastatic RCC, and pancreatic	
	neuroendocrine tumour (pNET) clinical trials (SmPC	
	SUTENT (sunitinib))	
	Axitinib RPLS: < 1% (all grades) (<u>USPI INLYTA (axitinib)</u>) PRES (including leukoencephalonathy): 0.3% (all	
	PRES (including leukoencephalopathy): 0.3% (all grades); 0.1% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4)	
	Pazopanib PRES/RPLS: frequency not known, reported during	
	post-marketing (SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib))	
Risk factors and risk groups	Hypertension is one of the most common conditions associated with PRES,	
The second with the groups	being present in 6-72% of cases (Legriel et al., 2011). Therefore, risk factors	
	for PRES/RPLS include uncontrolled hypertension and non-compliance	
	with anti-hypertensive treatment.	
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures:	
	SmPC section 4.4, 4.8	
	PL section 2, 4	
	Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced	
	in the treatment of RCC.	
	Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures	
Important potential risk: QT prolo		
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): Among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies, 1	
medicine	patient (0.1%) experienced an event of QT prolongation.	
	UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021:	
	Clinical trials: There was no new data pertaining to clinical trials since the	
	product approval.	
	Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Cumulative data from	
	the post-marketing experience revealed 2 reports of QT prolongation	
	(electrocardiogram QT prolonged, n=1 and Ventricular tachycardia, n=1).	
	The safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the	
	reported safety data in clinical trials.	
	Class effect:	
	Sorafenib rare (0.01-0.1%) (all grades) (SmPC NEXAVAR	
	(sorafenib)) 5% (all grades) (Schmidinger et al., 2008)	
1	1 - 370 (an grades) (Schindinger et al., 2006)	

	To
Risk factors and risk groups	Sunitinib OT prolonged: < 1% (all grades) Torsade de pointes: < 0.1% (SmPC SUTENT (sunitinib)) <1%-4% (Hutson et al., 2010; Tomita et al., 2010; Uemura et al., 2010; Yildiz et al., 2011) 5% (all grades) (Schmidinger et al., 2008) Axitinib No information found Pazopanib OT prolonged: < 1% (all grades); <1% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) (SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) Torsade de pointes < 1% (all grades) (USPI VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) Patients with a history of QT prolongation or using any other medication
	that may affect the QT interval (Bronte et al., 2015).
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section 4.4, 4.8, 5.1 PL section 2, 4 Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced in the treatment of RCC. Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures
Important potential risk: Hepatic e	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): The overall frequency of hepatic effects TEAEs among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies was 9.5%. Hepatic effects TEAEs of Grade ≥ 3 were reported in 4.2% of tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients. UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: There was no new data pertaining to clinical trials since the product approval. Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021): Cumulative data from
	the post-marketing experience revealed 4 reports of hepatic effects (gamma-glutamyltransferase increased and blood alkaline phosphatase increased, n=1, hepatic infection bacterial, n=1, hepatotoxicity, n=1, and Aspartate aminotransferase increased, n=1). The safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the reported safety data in clinical trials.
	Class effect: Sorafenib ALT increased: 22% (all grades); 2% (Grade 3/4) AST increased: 25% (all grades); 1% (Grade 3/4) ALP increased: 34% (all grades); 1% Grade 3/4 (USPI NEXAVAR (sorafenib)) Drug induced hepatitis: rare (0.01-0.1%) (all grades) Increase in transaminases (all grades): Common (1-10%) Increase in bilirubin and jaundice (all grades): Uncommon (< 1%) Increase in blood ALP (all grades): Uncommon (< 1%) (SmPC NEXAVAR (sorafenib)) Transaminase elevation: 5-6% (all grades); 0-2% (Grade 3/4) (Di Lorenzo, Carteni, et al., 2009; Procopio et al., 2011) Sunitinib ALT increased: 51%% (all grades); 3% (Grade 3/4) AST increased: 56% (all grades); 2% (Grade 3/4)
	ALP increased: 46% (all grades); 2% (Grade 3/4) Hepatic function abnormal/hepatic failure: < 1% (all grades), hepatitis <0.1% (all grades) (<u>USPI SUTENT</u> (<u>sunitinib malate</u>))

		- ATT: 1.510/ (11 1.) 2.60/ (C. 1.2)
		ALT increased: 51% (all grades); 2-6% (Grade 3)
		AST increased: 43-67% (all grades); 2-10% (Grade
		3/4)
		ALP increased: 33-57% (all grades); 2-5% (Grade 3/4) Bilirubin increased: 20-31% (all grades); 1-2% (Grade
		3/4)
		(Ansari et al., 2010; Motzer et al., 2009; Tomita et al.,
		2010; Uemura et al., 2010)
	Axitinib	Hyperbilirubinemia: 1.3% (all grades); 0.1%
		(Grade 3); 0.1% (Grade 4)
		■ ALT increased: 7-22% (all grades); 1.2% (Grade 3);
		< 1% (Grade 4)
		AST increased: 6-20% (all grades); ≤ 1% (Grade 3/4)
		ALP increased: 5-30% (all grades); ≤1% (Grade 3/4)
		(SmPC INLYTA (axitinib); USPI INLYTA (axitinib))
		■ ALT increased: 23% (all grades); 3% (Grade ≥ 3).
		AST increased: 23% (all grades); 2% (Grade ≥ 3)
		ALP increased 17% (all grades); 0% (Grade ≥ 3)
		Lactate dehydrogenase increased 13% all grades; 0%
	Dogge 11	(Grade ≥ 3) (Tomita et al., 2011) ■ Haratetovicity 20/ (all grades) < 10/ (Grade 2) < 10/
	Pazopanib	Hepatotoxicity: 2% (all grades); < 1% (Grade 3); < 1%
		(Grade 4) ALT increased: 21% (all grades); 7% (Grade 3); 1%
		(Grade 4)
		AST increased: 18% (all grades); 4% (Grade 3); < 1%
		(Grade 4)
		Hepatic function abnormal: 3% (all grades); 1%
		(Grade 3); < 1% Grade 4
		Hyperbilirubinemia: 3% (all grades); < 1% (Grade 3);
		< 1% (Grade 4)
		Blood bilirubin increased: 5% (all grades); <1%
		(Grade 3); <1% (Grade 4)
		Hepatic enzyme increased: < 1% (all grades); < 1%
		(Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 4)
		Gamma glutamyltransferase (GGT) increased: 3% (all
		grades); < 1% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 4) Transaminase increased: < 1% (all grades); < 1%
		(Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4)
		Liver function test (LFT) abnormal: 1% (all grades);
		<1% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 4)
		(SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib))
		ALT increased: 53% (all grades); 10% (Grade 3); 2%
		(Grade 4)
		AST increased: 53% (all grades); 7% (Grade 3); <1%
		(Grade 4)
		Bilirubin increased: 36% (all grades); 3% (Grade 3);
		<1% (Grade 4)
		(Sternberg et al., 2010; USPI VOTRIENT
Diele footone and nich	A 14h or -1- 41	(pazopanib))
Risk factors and risk groups		e risk groups and risk factors for hepatic effects are unknown, iting agents, both TKIs and antibodies have been found to
		uminase elevations and sometimes dose limiting hepatotoxicity
		erweij, 2006).
Risk minimisation measures		minimisation measures:
		n 4.2, 4.4, 4.8, 5.2
	PL section 2,	3, 4
		only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced
	in the treatme	
T		isk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures
Important potential risk: GI perfora	ation and fist	ula

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Non-clinical: Slight to moderately severe gastric changes were seen in the 1.0 mg/kg/day group in monkeys. These gastric changes were characterised microscopically by dilated gastric glands, acute inflammation, hyperplasia of fundic and pyloric columnar epithelium, and decreased numbers of parietal and chief cells. Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): Among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies, the overall frequency of GI perforation and fistula TEAEs was less than 1% (1/674 [0.1%], PT = abdominal abscess); the frequency of Grade ≥ 3 GI perforation and fistula TEAEs was also less than 1% (1/674 [0.1%], PT = abdominal abscess). UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: There was no new data pertaining to clinical trials since the product approval.	
	Post-marketing experience (DLP of 23 Feb 2021) : Cumulative data frethe post-marketing experience revealed 6 reports of GI perforation and fistula, including duodenal ulcer n=1, gastrointestinal haemorrhage, gast ulcer, haematemesis n=1, gastric ulcer n=1, intestinal obstruction n=1, diverticular perforation, diverticulitis n=1, and abdominal abscess n=1. Safety information from post marketing data is consistent with the report safety data in clinical trials.	tric The
	Class effect: Sorafenib GI perforation: < 1% (all grades) including fatalities	
	Sorafenib GI perforation: < 1% (all grades), including fatalities (SmPC NEXAVAR (sorafenib))	'
	Sunitinib Intestinal perforation: < 1% (all grades), including fatalities Anal fistula: < 1% (all grades)	
	(SmPC SUTENT (sunitinib)) Axitinib GI perforation and fistula: 1.9% (all), 0.9% (Grade 3) 0.3% (Grade 4) (SmPC INLYTA (axitinib)) GI perforation: < 1% (all grades) (USPI INLYTA (axitinib))),
	(axitinib)) Pazopanib Large intestine perforation: < 1% (all grades); < 1% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4)	
	Enterocutaneous fistula <1% (all grades); 0% (Grade 3); 0% (Grade 4) Ileal perforation < 1% (all grades); 0% (Grade 3); < 1% (Grade 4)	
	(SmPC VOTRIENT (pazopanib)) GI perforation or fistula: 0.9%; 0.3% (Grade 5) (USF VOTRIENT (pazopanib))	
Risk factors and risk groups	The risk for GI perforation and fistula may be dose-dependent, as report for bevacizumab (Hapani et al., 2009). Risk factors postulated to increase the risk of spontaneous bowel fistula include the history of peptic ut disease, diverticulitis, colitis, intestinal obstruction, tumour necrosis, recasigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy, intact primary tumour, radiotherapy, hig cumulative dose, or emergency surgery while receiving bevacizumab (A Hejleh et al., 2012).	ease lcer cent gher
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section 4.4, 4.8 PL section 2, 4 Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced	
Immoutant nataritial visits Borne	in the treatment of RCC. Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures the and developmental toxicity.	es
Important potential risk: Reproduc	tive and developmental toxicity	

Evidence for linking the risk to the	Non-clinical: An increased incidence of early and late foetal resorptions,
medicine	reduced foetal body weight and gross external and skeletal malformations was observed at doses ≥ 0.03 mg/kg in rats.
Risk factors and risk groups	Sexually active pre-menopausal female subjects.
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures:
	SmPC section 4.6, 5.3 PL section 2
	Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced
	in the treatment of RCC.
	Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures
Important potential risk: Wound he	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 20 January 2015): Among tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients in the core RCC monotherapy studies, the overall frequency of wound healing complication TEAEs was 0.1%. There
	were no wound healing complication TEAEs was 0.170. There were no wound healing complication TEAEs of Grade ≥ 3 in tivozanib hydrochloride-treated patients.
	UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021:
	Clinical trials: There was no new data pertaining to clinical trials since the product approval.
	Post-marketing experience : Cumulative data from the post-marketing experience revealed 1 case of wound healing complications. The safety
	information from post marketing data is consistent with the reported safety
	data in clinical trials.
	Class effect: Cases reported with Sunitinib.
Risk factors and risk groups	The potential risk group for wound healing complications includes patients undergoing major surgical procedures.
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures:
	SmPC section 4.4
	PL section 2 Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced
	in the treatment of RCC.
	Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures
Important potential risk: Overdose	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Clinical trials (DLP of 20 Jan 2015):
medicine	There was a low incidence (1 patient, 0.1%) of tivozanib hydrochloride treated patients with an overdose.
	UPDATE as of DLP 23 Feb 2021: Clinical trials: There was no new data pertaining to clinical trials since the
	product approval
	Post-marketing experience:
	Data from the post-marketing experience revealed no reports of overdose.
Risk factors and risk groups	 Patients at increased risk of a severe outcome following overdose include patients with uncontrolled hypertension
	Patients with moderate and severe hepatic impairment
	Elderly patients
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section 4.4
	PL section 2 Propagation only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced
	Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced in the treatment of RCC.
	Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures
Missing information: Use during la	ctation
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures:

SmPC section 4.6
PL section 2
Prescription only medication and use is restricted to physicians experienced
in the treatment of RCC.
Additional risk minimisation measures: No risk minimisation measures

II.C Post-authorisation development plan

II.C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorisation

There are no studies which are conditions of the marketing authorisation or specific obligation of Fotivda.

II.C.2 Other studies in post-authorisation development plan

There are no studies required for Fotivda.