Part VI: Summary of the risk management plan

Summary of risk management plan for Truberzi

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Truberzi. The RMP details important risks of Truberzi, how these risks can be minimised, and how more information will be obtained about Truberzi's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Truberzi's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Truberzi should be used.

This summary of the RMP for Truberzi should be read in the context of all this information including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Truberzi's RMP.

I. The medicine and what it is used for

Truberzi is authorised for treatment of IBS-D in adults (see SmPC for the full indication). It contains eluxadoline as the active substance and it is given by oral administration.

Further information about the evaluation of Truberzi's benefits can be found in Truberzi's EPAR, including in its plain-language summary, available on the European Medicines Agency (EMA) website, under the medicine's webpage https://www.ema.europa.eu/medicines/human/EPAR/truberzi

II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimise or further characterise the risks

Important risks of Truberzi, together with measures to minimise such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Truberzi's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimise the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorised pack size the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;
- The medicine's legal status the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g. with or without prescription) can help to minimise its risks.

Together, these measures constitute routine risk minimisation measures.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analysed, including periodic safety updated report (PSUR) assessment so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute *routine pharmacovigilance activities*.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Truberzi is not yet available, it is listed under 'missing information' below.

II.A List of important risks and missing information

Important risks of Truberzi are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimise the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely administered. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Truberzi. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs

further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g. on the long-term use of the medicine);

List of important risks and Important identified risks	Decreased GI motility shown as constipation
The state recommend fibres	SO spasm (SOD)
	Pancreatitis
Important potential risks	Potential complications of decreased GI motility (e.g. serious FI,
	obstruction, ileus, secondary bowel ischemia, intestinal
	ulceration/perforation, or TM)
	Asthma exacerbation
	Abuse
	Use in patients ≥65 years of age
	CNS effects as a result of extended systemic exposure in patients
	with hepatic impairment or concomitant treatment with OATP1B1
	inhibitors
Missing information	Use in pregnancy and lactation
	Use in patients of ethnic origin other than whites
0/:	Use in patients with impaired intestinal barriers (IBD and Coeliac
4/0	Disease)
	<u>'</u> />
B Summary of important ri	sksQ/
	<i>O</i> .
Important identified risk 1	.~/`

Important identified risk 1: l	Important identified risk 1: Decreased GI motility shown as constipation	
Evidence for linking the risk	Truberzi carrinduce constipation by stimulating opioid receptors along	
to the medicine	the gut that play a key role in regulating the movement of the gut.	
	Clinical trials showed elevated incidence rate of constipation compared	
	to placebo with 50% of the constipation events occurring within the	
	first 2 weeks of treatment. Constipation is considered an important	
	identified risk as severe constipation for a prolonged duration can be a	
	serious condition that if left untreated may lead to further	
	complications and hospitalisation.	
Risk factors and risk groups	Constipation is a heterogeneous disorder, with multiple causes,	
	including an inadequate diet, medication use, concurrent diseases, and	
	disorders of bowel structure or function	
	Patient factors	
	Female gender, pregnancy, increasing age, history of chronic or severe	
	constipation, multiple sclerosis, Parkinsonism, and dementia were	
	found to be the most strongly associated with statistically independent	
	elevations in risk of chronic constipation. In addition, important risk	
	factor for mechanical small bowel obstruction is prior abdominal	
	surgery causing postoperative adhesions. Patients with a history of	
	prior abdominal or pelvic surgery, and particularly colorectal surgery,	
	appendectomy, gynaecologic surgery, prior adhesiolysis, and resection	
	of malignancy are prone to adhesive small bowel obstruction. For	
	patients with a history of prior bowel obstruction, whether managed	
	medically or surgically, the likelihood of recurrent obstruction	
	increases with an increasing number of episodes. Adhesive small	
	bowel obstruction can occur in the absence of prior surgery due to prior	
	intestinal inflammation, such as with prior bouts of diverticulitis or	
	Crohn's disease. Other pathologies that can cause extrinsic	
	compression leading to small bowel obstruction include hernia and	
	volvulus. Diseases intrinsic to the wall of the small intestine (eg,	
	tumour, stricture, intramural hematoma) can cause small bowel	

Important identified risk 1: l	Important identified risk 1: Decreased GI motility shown as constipation	
	obstruction by encroaching on the lumen of the bowel because of	
	oedema, infiltration of the bowel wall, or from progressive stricture	
	formation. Processes that block an otherwise normal bowel lumen	
	(e.g., intussusception, gallstones, foreign body) can also cause	
	mechanical bowel obstruction (Bordeianou & Yeh, 2016).	
	Risk period	
	In clinical trials, constipation AEs were commonly reported early in	
	the course of treatment (within the first 13 weeks of initial treatment).	
	Approximately 50% of constipation events occurred within the first 2	
	weeks of treatment.	
	Additive or synergistic factors	
	Many medications, particularly aluminium-containing antacids,	
	diuretics, opioids, antidepressants, antispasmodics, and anticonvulsants	
	were associated with a higher risk of chronic constipation (<u>Talley et al.</u> ,	
	<u>2003</u>).	
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures:	
	SmPC section: 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.8	
0/.	PL section: 2 and 4	
*(C)	Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician	
	experienced in diagnosis and management of GI disorders	
•	9/	
	Additional risk minimisation measures:	
	None	

Important identified risk 2: SO spasm (SOD)	
Evidence for linking the risk	The SO, a small round muscle in the upper intestine, normally lets the
to the medicine	digestive juices flow from the liver and pancreas into the intestines.
	Truberzi may induce spasm of this muscle which in turn can prevent
	the flow of digestive juices leading to pancreatitis and liver enzyme
	elevations associated with pain in the upper abdomen near the
	stomach. In clinical trials, 13 cases (0.51%) that were adjudicated as
	SO spasm were reported. SO spasm is considered an important
	identified risk that if left untreated may lead to complications such as
	pancreatitis and hospitalisation.
Risk factors and risk groups	Patient factors O
	Patients with known or suspected biliary tree and/or pancreatic duct
	obstruction (e.g. gallstones, tumour, periampullary duodenal
	diverticulum) or SO disease or dysfunction are at increased risk of SO
	spasm.In addition, prior cholecystectomy (or the absence of a gall
	bladder) was a highly predictive risk factor for cases of SO spasm
	during the clinical trial programme.
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures:
	SmPC section: 4.3, 4.4 and 4.8
	PL section: 2 and 4
	Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician
	experienced in diagnosis and management of GI disorders
	Additional risk minimisation measures:
	None
Additional	Additional pharmacovigilance activities:
pharmacovigilance activities	DUS

Important identified risk 3: Pancreatitis

Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	There were three cases of pancreatitis that were secondary to SO spasm and additional 6 cases of pancreatitis that were independent of SO spasm in the overall development programme. All of the cases (9) were rated as mild based on the Atlanta criteria. Pancreatitis can be serious and is likely to lead to hospitalisation and hence is an important identified risk. Further evaluation of frequency, severity, seriousness and outcome of this risk in the post-marketing period is warranted.
Risk factors and risk groups	Patient factors
Tusk racions and risk groups	In most series, the vast majority of patients have gallstones- or alcohol-induced pancreatitis (Vidarsdottir et al., 2013). The following groups of patients are considered to be at risk for acute pancreatitis:
	 patients suffering from alcoholism, alcohol abuse or alcohol addiction or patients with chronic or acute excessive alcohol use; patients with a history of pancreatitis; patients having structural diseases of the pancreas, including known or suspected pancreatic duct obstruction.
1/2	
Ojc _{ij}	known or suspected pancreatic duct obstruction. Additive or synergistic factors Drug-induced pancreatitis is relatively rare; however, 525 different drugs are listed in the WHO database suspected to cause acute pancreatitis as a side effect. Many of them are widely used to treat highly prevalent diseases. The true incidence is not entirely clear since only few systematic population-based studies exist. In a recent cohort study, 34% of the patients had drug-induced pancreatitis. Some drugs have pancreatitis documented as a side effect such as azathioprine, and opiates have also been shown to lead to pancreatitis in most series
	investigating this Vidarsdottir et al., 2013).
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section: 4.3, 4.4 and 4.8 PL section: 2 and 4 Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician experienced in diagnosis and management of GI disorders
	Additional risk minimisation measures:
	None DUS
Additional	DUS
pharmacovigilance activities	
pharmacovignance activities	Ÿ

Important potential risk 1: Potential complications of decreased GI motility (e.g. serious FI,			
obstruction, ileus, secondary	obstruction, ileus, secondary bowel ischemia, intestinal ulceration/perforation, or TM)		
Evidence for linking the risk	Truberzi can induce decreased GI motility by stimulating opioid		
to the medicine	receptors along the gut that play a key role in regulating the movement		
	of the gut. During the clinical development programme there were two		
	events suggestive of complications of decreased GI motility in patients		
	exposed to eluxadoline; one case of faecaloma and one case of serious		
	ileus that required hospitalisation.		
	Potential complications of decreased GI motility is considered an		
	important potential risk as due to the paucity of cases there is currently		
	insufficient evidence to conclude that these cases are associated with		
	eluxadoline use. These complications can be serious and if left		
	untreated may lead to hospitalisation.		
Risk factors and risk groups	Patient factors		

	otential complications of decreased GI motility (e.g. serious FI,
obstruction, ileus, secondary	bowel ischemia, intestinal ulceration/perforation, or TM)
obstruction, ileus, secondary	Patients with chronic constipation, IBS-C, or mechanical GI obstruction are at special risk of developing severe complications of bowel obstruction. The aetiology of chronic constipation that could lead to chronic constipation is associated with low fibre intake, inadequate hydration, reduced mobility as the result of general functional decline and institutionalisation, reduced sensation of thirst, electrolyte disturbances (hypercalcemia, hypokalaemia, hypermagnesaemia), endocrine and metabolic disorders (eg, diabetes mellitus, hyperparathyroidism, hypothyroidism, chronic renal failure), neurological disorders (eg, dementia, Parkinson disease, neuropathies, multiple sclerosis, spinal cord injuries, cauda equine syndrome), and psychological comorbidities (eg, depression, distress, personality disorders, or history of abuse) (Chang et al., 2010; Leung et al., 2011; Palmer et al., 2008). Children, incapacitated patients, and the institutionalised elderly are considered the highest at-risk populations for experiencing FI (Hussain et al., 2014). Processes that block an otherwise normal bowel lumen (e.g., intussusception, gallstones, foreign body) can also cause mechanical bowel obstruction (Bordeianou & Yeh, 2016). **Additive or synergistic factors** Patients receiving concomitant medication that may cause constipation are at mereased risk of developing complications of decreased GI motility (eg, anticholinergics, diuretics, b-blockers, opiates, iron supplements, valcium channel blockers, antidepressants,
	antipsychotics, acetaminophen, aspirin and NSAIDs all are said to contribute to chronic constipation, especially in the elderly (Chang et
	al., 2010; Leung et al. 2011; Palmer et al., 2008).
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section: 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 4.8 PL section: 2 and 4 Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician experienced in diagnosis and management of GI disorders
	Additional risk minimisation measures:
	None
	Y

Important potential risk 2	: Asthma exacerbation
Evidence for linking the	Cases of asthma exacerbation were reported in clinical trials. Most of the
risk to the medicine	cases were evaluated as mild to moderate. However, there is the potential
	for these to be serious.
Risk factors and risk	Patient factors
groups	Analysis of the European Community Respiratory Health Survey cohort
	(18,156 subjects; age: 0 to 44) showed that a family history of asthma or
	allergy was associated with a higher risk of developing asthma (HR, 1.89;
	95% CI, 1.67-2.13). Early, acute respiratory infections were associated
	with an increased lifelong risk of asthma onset (pooled HR, 3.19; 95% CI,
	2.75-3.69) (de Marco et al., 2004). Anto and colleagues reported that the
	following risk factors were found to increase the risk of new-onset
	asthma: female gender (OR: 1.97; 95% CI: 1.38,2.81), bronchial hyper-
	responsiveness (3.25; 2.19,4.83), atopy (1.55; 1.08,2.21), FEV ₁ < 100 %
	predicted (1.87;1.34,2.62), nasal allergy (1.98;1.39,2.84) and maternal

	asthma (1.91;1.13;3.21) (<u>Anto et al., 2010</u>). A later study from the same cohort further confirmed that female sex is an independent risk factor for non-allergic asthma (<u>Leynaert et al., 2012</u>).
	Additive or synergistic factors
	The role of infection in asthma is complex and still not fully understood.
	Although viral infections, and especially those caused by rhinovirus are
	now well established as being associated with acute asthma exacerbations
	(Kurai et al., 2013; Saraya et al., 2014), there is increasing evidence from
	controlled studies to support an association between atypical bacterial
	infection, particularly with <i>C. pneumoniae</i> and <i>M. pneumonia</i> , and both
	chronic stable asthma and acute exacerbations of asthma (<u>Johnston &</u>
	<u>Martin, 2005</u>).
Risk minimisation	Routine risk minimisation measures:
measures	SmPC: Not applicable
	PL section: Not applicable
1	Treatment should be initiated and supervised e by a physician experienced
.7	in diagnosis and management of GI disorders
4/	Additional risk minimisation measures:
	None
	9/

T	
Important potential risk 3	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	There were no reports of drug abuse and dependence during clinical development
Risk factors and risk groups	There are a number of subject factors, which are thought to be risk factors for drug abuse. These include a positive family history, male gender, concomitant mental health disorders including anxiety and depression, social and family difficulties, peer pressure, abuse starting at an early age. The risk of abuse of eluxadoline is considered to be low based upon the following factors: • It is not a selective μOR but a mixed μOR and κOR agonist and δOR antagonist • Its structure is dissimilar to marketed opioids • It has limited solubility in small volumes • IV or intranasal insufflations administration is difficult and unlikely • Oral bioavailability is low (estimated at < 2%) • Eluxadoline is not an immediate precursor of another controlled substance The results of a clinical oral abuse potential study in nondependent recreational opioid users confirmed that eluxadoline was liked similarly to placebo even at doses of 1000 mg (10 times the recommended therapeutic dose) and demonstrated that pupil diameter was not affected. In an intranasal abuse potential study where higher systemic exposures were achieved and central effects were confirmed by pupillary constriction, eluxadoline was disliked compared to placebo and also associated with dysphoric feelings. A review of the AEs in the pooled Phase 2 and 3 studies revealed a low incidence of AEs potentially related to abuse. Additionally, in the Phase 3 studies, no evidence of withdrawal from eluxadoline was detected based on the SOWS. Median overall SOWS total scores were very low and similar across the 75-mg, 100-mg, and placebo treatment groups (3.0, 4.0, and 4.0, respectively) indicating no evidence of withdrawal.

Important potential risk 3: Abuse	
Risk minimisation	Routine risk minimisation measures:
measures	SmPC section: 4.4 and 5.1
	PL section: Not applicable
	Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician experienced
	in diagnosis and management of GI disorders
	Additional risk minimisation measures:
	None

Important potential risk 4: Use in patients ≥65 years of age	
Evidence for linking the	Clinical studies showed an increased incidence of AEs in patients aged
risk to the medicine	≥65 years compared to those <65 years however it is not clear if the
	increased incidence of AEs simply reflects a poorer health status among
	elderly patients. A higher proportions of older patients experienced SAEs
	compared to the younger patients in the 75mg group (6.2% and 4.0%) that
1-	was more notable in the 100mg group (10.7% vs. 3.4).
Risk factors and risk	Not applicable
groups	
Risk minimisation	Routine risk minimisation measures:
measures	SmPC section: 4.2, 4.4, 4.8 and 5.2
	PL section: 2
	Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician experienced
	in diagnosis and management of GI disorders
	Additional risk minimisation measures:
	None

Important potential risk 5: CNS effects as a result of extended systemic exposure in patients with				
hepatic impairment or concomitant treatment with OATP1B1 inhibitors				
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Studies in hepatic impaired patients or concomitant use with cyclosporine (OATP1B1 inhibitor) did not show elevated risk of CNS effects. However, data from the clinical studies suggested a trend towards an increase in CNS effects, especially dizziness and somnolence with increasing doses. CNS effects as a result of extended systemic exposure in patients with hepatic impairment or concomitant treatment with OATP1B1 inhibitors is considered an important potential risk but due to the paucity of cases, there is currently insufficient evidence to conclude that these cases are associated with eluxadoline use. Impaired mental or			
	physical abilities can be dangerous while performing activities such as driving a car or using machines.			
Risk factors and risk groups	Not applicable			
Risk minimisation measures	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC section: 4.3, 4.4, 4.5 and 5.2 PL section: 2 and 4 Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician experienced in diagnosis and management of GI disorders Additional risk minimisation measures: None			

Missing information 1: Use in pregnancy and lactation

Risk minimisation	Routine risk minimisation measures:	
measures	SmPC section: 4.6 and 5.3 PL section: 2	
	Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician experienced	
	in diagnosis and management of GI disorders	
	Additional risk minimisation measures:	
	None	

Missing information 2: Use in patients of ethnic origin other than whites		
Risk minimisation	Routine risk minimisation measures:	
measures	SmPC section: Not applicable	
	PL section: Not applicable	
	Treatment should be initiated and supervised by a physician experienced	
	in diagnosis and management of GI disorders	
	Additional risk minimisation measures:	
	None	

Q	: Use in patients with impaired i	intestinal barriers (IBD and Coeliac		
Disease) Risk minimisation	Routine risk minimisation	measures.		
measures	SmPC section: Not applicab			
measures	PL section: Not applicable	ie		
		d and are amigad by a plantician comprise and		
		d and supervised by a physician experienced		
	in diagnosis and managemen	nt of GI disorders		
	Additional risk minimisation measures:			
None				
I.C Post-authorisation d I.C.1 Studies which are	evelopment plan conditions of the marketing aut	horisation		
		norisation or specific obligation of Truberzi.		
I.C.2 Other studies in post-authorisation development plan				
Study name	Ratio	onale and study objectives		
DUS	Defir	Define the compliance of health care providers to		

Study name	Rationale and study objectives
DUS	Define the compliance of health care providers to
	eluxadoline contraindications (i.e., history of
	cholecystectomy, pancreatitis or SOD) over time
	and the number of subjects diagnosed with
	pancreatitis after eluxadoline treatment.