

EU risk management plan for Aspaveli[®] (pegcetacoplan)

RMP version to be assessed as part of this application:

RMP version number:	6.0
Data lock point for this RMP:	13 November 2025
Date of final sign off:	30 April 2026

Rationale for submitting an updated RMP: Revision of target patient number in Category 3 PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301. Revision of deadline for provision of study report for the Category 3 study APL2-307. Update of data per PSUR DLP 13 November 2025.

Summary of significant changes in this RMP: Part I and Part II Modules SI, SIII, SIV, SVII, and SVIII were updated to add the C3G/primary IC-MPGN clinical trial data.

Details of the currently approved RMP:

RMP version number:	5.0
Approved with procedure:	EMA/VR/0000248937
Date of approval (opinion date):	11 December 2025

QPPV name: Martin Bowling

QPPV oversight declaration: The content of this RMP has been reviewed and approved by the MAH's QPPV. The electronic signature is available on file.

Table of contents

List of abbreviations	5
Part I: Product overview	7
Part II: Safety specification	10
Part II: Module SI - Epidemiology of the indication(s) and target population(s)	10
Part II: Module SII - Nonclinical part of the safety specification	20
Part II: Module SIII - Clinical trial exposure	22
Part II: Module SIV - Populations not studied in clinical trials	30
SIV.1 Exclusion criteria in pivotal clinical studies within the development program	30
SIV.2 Limitations to detect adverse reactions in clinical trial development programs	31
SIV.3 Limitations in respect to populations typically under-represented in clinical trial development programs	32
Part II: Module SV - Post authorization experience	34
SV.1 Postauthorization exposure	34
SV.1.1 Method used to calculate exposure	34
SV.1.2 Exposure	34
Part II: Module SVI - Additional EU requirements for the safety specification	35
Part II: Module SVII - Identified and potential risks	36
SVII.1 Identification of safety concerns in the initial RMP submission	36
SVII.1.1. Risks not considered important for inclusion in the list of safety concerns in the RMP	36
SVII.1.2. Risks considered important for inclusion in the list of safety concerns in the RMP	37
SVII.2 New safety concerns and reclassification with a submission of an updated RMP	37
SVII.3 Details of important identified risks, important potential risks, and missing information	38
SVII.3.1. Presentation of important identified risks and important potential risks	38
SVII.3.2. Presentation of the missing information	58
Part II: Module SVIII - Summary of the safety concerns	61
Part III: Pharmacovigilance plan	62
III.1 Routine pharmacovigilance activities	62
III.2 Additional pharmacovigilance activities	62
III.3 Summary table of additional pharmacovigilance activities	65
Part IV: Plans for post authorization efficacy studies	67

Part V: Risk minimization measures (including evaluation of the effectiveness of risk minimization activities)	68
V.1. Routine risk minimization measures	68
V.2. Additional risk minimization measures	69
V.3. Summary of risk minimization measures	72
Part VI: Summary of activities in the risk management plan by product.....	74
I. The medicine and what it is used for	74
II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimize or further characterize the risks.....	74
II.A. List of important risks and missing information.....	75
II.B. Summary of important risks	76
II.C Post authorization development plan	80
II.C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization	80
II.C.2 Other studies in post authorization development plan	81
Part VII: Annexes	82
Annex 1: EudraVigilance interface	83
Annex 2: Tabulated summary of planned, ongoing, and completed pharmacovigilance study program.....	84
Annex 3: Protocols for proposed, ongoing, and completed studies in the pharmacovigilance plan	87
Part A: Requested protocols of studies in the pharmacovigilance plan, submitted for regulatory review with this updated version of the RMP.....	87
Part B Requested amendments of previously approved protocols of studies in the pharmacovigilance plan, submitted for regulatory review with this updated version of the RMP.....	87
Part C Previously agreed protocols for ongoing studies and final protocols not reviewed by the competent authority	87
Annex 4 Specific adverse drug reaction follow-up forms.....	88
Annex 5 Protocols for proposed and ongoing studies in RMP Part IV	89
Annex 6 Details of proposed additional risk minimization activities (if applicable).....	90
Annex 7 Other supporting data (including referenced material).....	93
Annex 8 Summary of changes to the RMP over time	99

Table of tables

Table 1	Product overview	7
Table 2	Subject pegcetacoplan exposure in completed and ongoing PNH and other systemic-use studies as of 13 November 2025	24
Table 3	Duration of exposure—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (completed PNH studies only, systemic exposure)	26
Table 4	Age group and sex—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (completed PNH studies only, systemic exposure)	26
Table 5	Dose—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (completed PNH studies only, systemic exposure).....	27
Table 6	Ethnic origin—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (completed PNH studies only, systemic exposure)	27
Table 7	Duration of exposure—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies only, systemic exposure).....	28
Table 8	Age group and sex—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies only, systemic exposure).....	28
Table 9	Dose—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 20 June 2024, by duration of exposure (C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies only, systemic exposure)	29
Table 10	Ethnic origin—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 20 June 2024, by duration of exposure (C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies only, systemic exposure)	29
Table 11	Exposure of special populations included or not in clinical trial development programs	32
Table 12	Estimated cumulative patient exposure (as of 13 November 2025)	34
Table 13	Summary of safety concerns at the time of the initial RMP	37
Table 14	Summary of safety concerns.....	61
Table 15	Ongoing and planned additional pharmacovigilance activities	65
Table 16	Description of routine risk minimization measures by safety concern.....	68
Table 17	Summary table of pharmacovigilance activities and risk minimization activities by safety concern	72
Table 18	Planned and ongoing studies	84
Table 19	Completed studies.....	86

List of abbreviations

Abbreviation	Definition
ACIP	Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices
ADA	Antidrug antibody(ies)
AE	Adverse event
AH50	Mean 50% alternative hemolytic complement pathway activity
APL-2	Pegcetacoplan
ARC	Absolute reticulocyte count
ATC	Anatomical therapeutic chemical
BMF	Bone marrow failure
BMTx	Bone marrow transplantation
C5	Complement 5
C3G	Complement 3 glomerulopathy
C3NeFs/C5NeFs	C3/C5 nephritic factors
CFB	Change from baseline
CH50	50% classical hemolytic complement pathway activity
CHMP	Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use
CKD	Chronic kidney disease
C _{max}	Maximum serum concentration
CNS	Central nervous system
CVDs	Cardiovascular diseases
DCO	Data cut-off
DLP	Data lock point
EEA	European Economic Area
eGFR	Estimated glomerular filtration rate
ESRD	End-stage renal disease
EU	European Union
EVH	Extravascular hemolysis
FACIT	Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GPI	Glycosylphosphatidylinositol
GVP	Good pharmacovigilance practice
Hb	Hemoglobin
HCP	Healthcare provider
HSC	Hematopoietic stem cells
IC-MPGN	Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis
IgA	Immunoglobulin A
INN	International nonproprietary name
ISR	Injection site reaction

Abbreviation	Definition
IVH	Intravascular hemolysis
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase
LLN	Lower limit of normal
MAC	Membrane attack complex
MAH	Marketing authorization holder
MAVE	Major adverse vascular event
MMF	Mycophenolate mofetil
MPGN	Membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis
N/A	Not applicable
NAb	Neutralizing antibody
OLP	Open-label period
PASS	Postauthorization safety study
PEG	Polyethylene glycol
PEG40	Polyethylene glycol (40-kDa nominal molecular weight)
PIG-A	Phosphatidylinositol N acetylglucosaminyltransferase subunit A
PK	Pharmacokinetic(s)
PNH	Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria
PSUR	Periodic Safety Update Report
PT	Preferred term
Q	Quarter
QPPV	Qualified person for pharmacovigilance
RBC	Red blood cell
RCP	Randomized controlled period
RMP	Risk management plan
ROW	Rest of world
SAE	Serious adverse events
s.c.	Subcutaneous
SD	Standard deviation
SGLT2	Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2
SmPC	Summary of product characteristics
SMQ	Standardized medical dictionary for regulatory activities query
SOC	System organ class
TE	Thrombotic event
TEAE	Treatment-emergent adverse event
UK	United Kingdom
ULN	Upper limit of normal
uPCR	Urine protein creatinine ratio
US	United States

Part I: Product overview

Table 1 Product overview

Active substance(s) (INN or common name)	Pegcetacoplan
Pharmacotherapeutic group(s) (ATC Code)	L04AJ03
Marketing Authorization Holder	Swedish Orphan Biovitrum AB (publ)
Medicinal product(s) to which this RMP refers	1
Invented name(s) in the EEA	Aspaveli
Marketing authorization procedure	Centralized
Brief description of the product	Chemical class: Pegcetacoplan is a symmetrical molecule composed of 2 identical pentadecapeptides covalently bound to the ends of a linear 40-kDa PEG molecule. The peptide moieties bind to complement C3 and exert a broad inhibition of the complement cascade. The 40-kDa PEG moiety imparts improved solubility and longer residence time in the body after administration of the drug product. Summary of mode of action for PNH: Pegcetacoplan binds to complement protein C3 and its activation fragment C3b with high affinity, thereby regulating the cleavage of C3 and the generation of downstream effectors of complement activation. In PNH, EVH is facilitated by C3b opsonization, and IVH is mediated by the downstream MAC. Pegcetacoplan exerts broad regulation of the complement cascade by acting proximal to both C3b and MAC formation, thereby controlling the mechanisms that lead to EVH and IVH. These functions of pegcetacoplan underly the observed sustained reduction in complement-mediated hemolytic activity in patients with PNH. Summary of mode of action for C3G/primary IC-MPGN: C3G and primary IC-MPGN are highly debilitating and chronic rare diseases caused by uncontrolled excessive deposition of C3 fragments/breakdown products in the glomeruli, leading to renal injury and kidney failure. Pegcetacoplan selectively binds to C3 and C3b, preventing convertase-mediated cleavage of C3 to C3a and C3b and the generation of downstream effectors of complement activation that can lead to inflammation and renal injury. Important information about its composition: The pegcetacoplan bulk drug substance is manufactured as a white to off-white, porous, solid lyophilized material of low bulk density. Pegcetacoplan is very soluble in water and acetate buffer pH 5.0 containing sorbitol. Pegcetacoplan solution for s.c. infusion 1080 mg/20 mL is a sterile, aqueous, acetate-buffered sorbitol solution. The drug product is filled in 20-mL, single-use, clear Type I glass vials.
Hyperlink to the Product Information	Module 1.3.1

Indication(s) in the EEA	PNH Aspaveli is indicated as monotherapy in the treatment of adult patients with PNH who have hemolytic anemia. C3G and primary IC-MPGN Aspaveli is indicated for the treatment of adults and adolescents aged 12 to 17 years with C3 glomerulopathy (C3G) or primary immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (IC-MPGN) in combination with a renin-angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitor, unless RAS inhibitor treatment is not tolerated or contraindicated.																
Dosage in the EEA	PNH Pegcetacoplan is administered as twice weekly s.c. doses of 1080 mg. For patients switching from a C5 inhibitor, for the first 4 weeks, pegcetacoplan is administered in addition to the patient’s current dosage of C5 inhibitor treatment. After 4 weeks, the patient should discontinue C5 inhibitor and continue on monotherapy with pegcetacoplan. Switches from complement inhibitors other than eculizumab have not been studied. Discontinuing other complement inhibitors before reaching steady state of pegcetacoplan should be done with caution (see section 5.2). C3G and primary IC-MPGN Adult patients: pegcetacoplan is administered as twice-weekly s.c. doses of 1080 mg. Adolescent patients: the twice-weekly s.c. dosing regimen is based on the patient’s body weight and consists of the following: <table><tr><th>Body weight</th><th>First dose (infusion volume)</th><th>Second dose (infusion volume)</th><th>Maintenance dose (infusion volume)</th></tr><tr><td>≥50 kg</td><td colspan="3">1080 mg twice weekly (20 mL)</td></tr><tr><td>35 to <50 kg</td><td>648 mg (12 mL)</td><td>810 mg (15 mL)</td><td>810 mg twice weekly (15 mL)</td></tr><tr><td>30 to <35 kg</td><td>540 mg (10 mL)</td><td>540 mg (10 mL)</td><td>648 mg twice weekly (12 mL)</td></tr></table>	Body weight	First dose (infusion volume)	Second dose (infusion volume)	Maintenance dose (infusion volume)	≥50 kg	1080 mg twice weekly (20 mL)			35 to <50 kg	648 mg (12 mL)	810 mg (15 mL)	810 mg twice weekly (15 mL)	30 to <35 kg	540 mg (10 mL)	540 mg (10 mL)	648 mg twice weekly (12 mL)
Body weight	First dose (infusion volume)	Second dose (infusion volume)	Maintenance dose (infusion volume)														
≥50 kg	1080 mg twice weekly (20 mL)																
35 to <50 kg	648 mg (12 mL)	810 mg (15 mL)	810 mg twice weekly (15 mL)														
30 to <35 kg	540 mg (10 mL)	540 mg (10 mL)	648 mg twice weekly (12 mL)														
Pharmaceutical form(s) and strength(s)	Solution for infusion, 1080 mg																
Is/will the product be subject to additional monitoring in the EU?	Yes.																

Abbreviations: ATC, Anatomical therapeutic chemical; C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; EEA, European Economic Area; EU, European Union; EVH, Extravascular hemolysis; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis; INN, International nonproprietary name; IVH, Intravascular hemolysis;

MAC, Membrane attack complex; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria;
RMP, Risk management plan; s.c., Subcutaneous.

Part II: Safety specification

Part II: Module SI - Epidemiology of the indication(s) and target population(s)

Treatment of adult patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria

Pegcetacoplan (APL-2) is a C3 inhibitor that has been developed for the treatment, via s.c. infusion, of adults with PNH. PNH is a rare, chronic, acquired, potentially life-threatening hematologic disease characterized by debilitating complement-mediated hemolytic anemia as well as BMF and an increased risk of thrombosis.

Incidence:

PNH is rare, and although it has been reported globally, the exact worldwide incidence and prevalence remain unknown. In Europe, the annual incidence of PNH has been reported as 1.3 to 2.98 per 1 000 000 (1, 2).

Prevalence:

Evidence of the prevalence of PNH in the EU is very limited and dependent on methods for identifying cases and the definition of PNH. With a conservative approach using a very wide definition of PNH, the prevalence is estimated to be around 0.4/10,000 persons (3) with diagnosed PNH likely to be substantially lower (4).

Demographics of the population in the proposed indication and risk factors for the disease:

PNH is an acquired, chronic genetic disorder that affects all populations and both sexes.

The demographic data is largely based on data from the International PNH Registry (5).

Median age at disease onset for PNH was 35.5 years (mean age 39.3 years), and men and women were equally represented (female 53 %) within the registry (5).

PNH is associated with aplastic anemia and other bone marrow disorders. Almost 63 % of the patients who had not yet initiated eculizumab had a history of BMF, and about 53 % had a history of aplastic or hypoplastic anemia. The proportion of patients with BMF was highest among the patients with the smaller clone size (89.2 % of patients with a clone >10 %) and lowest in those with the larger clone size (46.0 % of patients with a clone >50 %) (5). In addition to BMF, other bone marrow disorders, including myelodysplastic syndromes, myelofibrosis, and/or acute myeloid leukemia, have been reported before or after diagnosis with PNH (5-8).

The main existing treatment options:

Historically, management of PNH was limited to the use of supportive measures, such as blood transfusions and anticoagulation therapy. The risk of thrombotic events (TEs) in patients with PNH remained high. Anticoagulation therapy could reduce the risk of thrombosis, but complications, such as hemorrhage, are frequent (8, 9).

Bone marrow transplantation (BMTx) and complement inhibitor therapies are the only effective therapies for the treatment of PNH. The only potentially curative therapy for PNH is allogeneic

BMTx; however, this procedure is associated with substantial morbidity and mortality (10-12). Although bone marrow function may be restored in up to half of patients receiving a transplant, considerable challenges and risks (e.g., graft failure and infection) reserve this option for patients with severe BMF, reoccurring life-threatening thromboembolic incidences, or refractory transfusion-dependent hemolytic anemia (13, 14).

Complement 5 (C5) inhibitors

In general, C5 inhibition is the current standard to treat PNH (15, 16). Eculizumab was authorized in the EU for use in adult patients with PNH in 2007 (17), and ravulizumab received market authorization in 2019 (18). Eculizumab and ravulizumab share a common mechanism of action in that they are humanized monoclonal antibodies that specifically bind to the complement protein C5 with high affinity, thereby inhibiting its cleavage to C5a and C5b and preventing the generation of the terminal complement complex C5b-9. A key structural difference between eculizumab and ravulizumab is the substitution of 4 amino acids in the complementarity-determining and Fc regions of eculizumab, which causes an enhanced endosomal dissociation of C5 and recycling to the vascular compartment through the neonatal Fc receptor pathway. This gives ravulizumab a terminal half-life that is 4 times that of eculizumab (19).

C5 inhibition effectively reduces IVH as evidenced by the reduction of LDH (11, 19-21). Treatment with C5 inhibitors results in improved outcomes of disease in patients with PNH (19-22). Eculizumab reduces hemolysis (i.e., IVH as measured by LDH), fatigue, transfusion requirements, and improves quality of life (20, 22). It is also associated with a 92 % reduction in the risk of TE and improved patient survival (23, 24).

Because of the terminal inhibition of complement, in most patients treated with eculizumab, surviving PNH erythrocytes are destined for EVH by accumulating C3 fragments (opsonins) on the surface (25-28). Ongoing EVH leading to continued anemia in patients treated with eculizumab is common (8, 27-29). In retrospective real-world studies of eculizumab, 72 % of patients with PNH remain anemic, 36 % require 1 or more transfusions per year (27), and up to 89 % of patients demonstrate evidence of ongoing IVH as well as EVH (29). In addition, 13 % were treated with higher doses (1200 mg) or with shorter administration interval (10 to 12 days) because of ongoing hemolysis (29).

In a phase 3 clinical study of patients with PNH previously treated with eculizumab and randomized to either ravulizumab or eculizumab, LDH normalization was achieved by 64 of 97 patients (66.0 %) treated with ravulizumab and 58 of 98 patients (59.2 %) treated with eculizumab, and similar proportions of patients on ravulizumab and eculizumab achieved Hb stabilization (~76 %) (19). Taken together, a proportion of patients with PNH still have underlying hemolysis, which may lead to clinically significant sequelae (8, 28).

The most serious risk of C5 inhibitors is a 1000- to 2000-fold increase in the risk of neisserial infections that can be life-threatening. Therefore, vaccination against *Neisseria meningitidis* should be administered prior to starting treatment with complement inhibitors; however, patients treated with C5 inhibitors remain at risk for meningococcal disease even after receipt of meningococcal vaccines (30-32).

Supportive therapy

Despite treatment with complement inhibitors, supportive therapy may still be needed to manage ongoing symptoms or manifestations of PNH; however, none of these supportive measures modify the course of hemolytic PNH, and patients without access to complement inhibition ultimately die from their disease. Management of PNH with supportive measures include RBC transfusions to lessen ongoing hemolysis and reduce anemia (33). In addition, folate supplementation remains necessary to support increased erythropoiesis in the bone marrow during ongoing hemolysis (13). Anticoagulant therapy has been used prophylactically (34) and in the management of thrombosis (13); however, the risk of thromboembolism remains high (11, 35). For events of breakthrough hemolysis, corticosteroids can be used but have a potential long-term toxicity (12, 13). Prior to complement inhibition, iron supplements were used because of renal losses (hemoglobinuria, hemosiderinuria) (12).

Natural history of the indicated condition in the PNH population, including mortality and morbidity:

The natural history of patients with PNH is highly variable. The disease can arise de novo or evolve from acquired aplastic anemia. No universally accepted classification scheme is available, but the IPiG classifies PNH into 3 categories (adopted from a classification first used by de Latour et al.) (8, 35): classical PNH (in which patients have clinical manifestations of hemolysis or thrombosis), PNH in the context of other primary bone marrow disorders (such as aplastic anemia or myelodysplastic syndromes), and subclinical PNH (in which patients have low proportions of PNH clones but no clinical or laboratory evidence of hemolysis or thrombosis) (8, 24).

Patients with hemolytic PNH tend to have near-physiological platelet and neutrophil counts, LDH levels more than 2 times the upper physiological limit (indicative of IVH), a normocellular bone marrow, an increased reticulocyte count, and a relatively large (usually >50 %) population of PNH granulocytes. Patients with aplastic anemia PNH (acquired aplastic anemia with a low-to-moderate proportion of a PNH clone) are severely pancytopenic. They tend to have hypocellular bone marrow, relatively low ARCs, and low percentages of PNH granulocytes. Patients who had a PNH clone identified by flow cytometry but did not fulfill the criteria of either category were classified as intermediate PNH (8). The proportion of patients with a history of BMF was lower in patients who had higher percentages of GPI-deficient granulocytes at baseline (5). The median granulocyte clone size at enrollment (for C5 inhibitor-untreated patients) or at the start of treatment with C5 inhibitors in the PNH International Registry was 31.8 % (5).

In patients with PNH untreated by complement inhibitors, 61.3 % (2219 of 3620) had a history of RBC transfusions, 20.2 % had a history of anticoagulation therapy, and 38.8 % had a history of immunosuppressive therapy at baseline. History of anticoagulant use was correlated with increasing clone size, and history of immunosuppressive therapy was negatively correlated with the clone size (both significantly) (5). Patients had a high burden of disease at baseline. A majority of the patients (55.8 %) had hemolysis, defined by an LDH ratio ≥ 1.5 times the ULN at baseline and impaired renal function (42.8 % with estimated glomerular filtration rate < 90 mL/min/1.73 m² and 15.0 % with estimated glomerular filtration rate < 60 mL/min/1.73 m²) (5). There was a significant increase in the percentage of patients with LDH levels $\geq 1.5 \times$ the

ULN as PNH clone size increased: in patients with a clone size less than 10 %, 9.7 % of the patients had LDH levels $\geq 1.5 \times$ the ULN; in patients with a clone size of 10 % to 49 %, 48.3 % had LDH levels $\geq 1.5 \times$ the ULN; and in patients with a clone size of 50 % or more, 89 % had LDH levels $\geq 1.5 \times$ the ULN (5).

A small proportion of patients have been observed to experience a spontaneous remission of their disease, usually many years after their initial diagnosis; however, for the majority of patients, PNH requires chronic management (9).

Morbidity, common symptoms, and AEs of PNH from large real-world PNH populations were studied in a UK-based cohort and in the International PNH Registry (5, 8, 9, 24).

Almost all patients who were enrolled in the International PNH Registry (93.3 %) reported at least 1 PNH-related symptom. 80 % reported fatigue, with 91.4 % reporting at least 1 additional symptom. Other commonly reported symptoms were dyspnea (64 %), headache (63 %), and hemoglobinuria (62 %); 38 % of men experienced erectile dysfunction (8).

Anemia in PNH is often multifactorial and can result from a combination of hemolysis and BMF. IVH with moderate-to-severe anemia, an increased ARC, a normal-to-increased mean corpuscular volume (the average volume of RBCs), and a markedly increased level of LDH are common in hemolytic PNH (8, 9, 24).

Disabling fatigue, a common feature of PNH, can be disproportionate to the degree of anemia. Fatigue is frequently most intense during a hemolytic attack but was commonly reported to be present at all times (8). Fatigue was reported by more than 80 % of the patients enrolled in the International PNH Registry (5).

Smooth muscle dystonia is also common. Abdominal pain (44 %), back pain, esophageal spasm, dyspnea (64 %), and erectile dysfunction (38 % of male patients) are common manifestations associated with hemolytic PNH and are often a direct consequence of IVH and the release of free Hb. Smooth muscle dystonias are more common in patients with large proportions of PNH granulocyte clones and patients with markedly increased levels of LDH (7, 8).

Episodes of jaundice and hemoglobinuria were reported by almost 50 % of patients. These signs and symptoms can be constant or paroxysmal and are often exacerbated by infections, surgery, exercise, pregnancy, or excessive alcohol intake. Hemoglobinuria (passing urine of a color ranging from dark tea to black to cherry red, owing to high levels of Hb in the urine) was reported by 62 % of patients with PNH (depending on the cohort or study) (8).

Patients with PNH have an increased risk of chronic kidney disease as a result of long-term IVH. Renal tubular damage can occur from microvascular thrombosis, accumulation of iron deposits, or both (8). 43 % of patients had a history of impaired renal function at enrollment in the registry (5).

Other commonly reported symptoms included headache (63 %), scleral icterus (~45 %), chest pain (33.5 %), and confusion (~30 %). Although each of the common PNH-related symptoms were reported in all categories of clone size, patients with clone sizes 50 % or over reported significantly more hemoglobinuria, dyspnea, abdominal pain, scleral icterus, erectile dysfunction, and dysphagia. Mild-to-moderate pulmonary hypertension has also been reported (5, 7, 8). Among PNH patients untreated with a C5 inhibitor, with the exception of fatigue, the

proportions of patients with each symptom were significantly correlated with increasing GPI-deficient granulocyte clone size category, although a large proportion of patients with clone size <50 % experienced each symptom (5).

In the 6 months prior to completion of the baseline questionnaire, 194 of 856 patients (22.7 %) reported being hospitalized because of their PNH. Patients were significantly more likely to be hospitalized if they had a history of thrombosis or had experienced self-reported PNH-related symptoms of scleral icterus, chest pain, dysphagia, abdominal pain, hemoglobinuria, dyspnea, or fatigue in the past 6 months (7).

Thrombosis is the most common cause of mortality in PNH (accounting for almost 50 % of deaths before complement inhibition therapy was introduced). Venous thrombosis tends to be more common than arterial thrombosis. Hepatic vein thrombosis (Budd-Chiari syndrome) is the most common occurrence; other sites frequently affected by thrombosis include abdominal (e.g., portal, mesenteric, and splenic) and cerebral (sagittal and cavernous sinus) veins. Deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary emboli, and dermal thrombosis are also relatively common. PNH-associated TEs occur in up to 30 % of patients in Western countries but only <15 % of patients in Asian countries. Of the patients enrolled into the International PNH Registry, 18.8 % had a history of MAVEs when enrolled or starting C5 inhibitor treatment, and 13.3 % specifically experienced TEs. The proportions of patients with a history of MAVEs or TEs at baseline correlated significantly with a larger clone size. Thrombosis might occur in aplastic anemia PNH but is less common than in hemolytic PNH (5, 8, 9, 24).

During follow-up of patients in the International PNH Registry, outcomes included TEs (2 %), of which 26.1 % were arterial or venous TE. Overall, 37.7 % needed RBC transfusion during follow-up. Before C5 inhibitors were available, the percentage of patients who suffered 1 or more episodes of venous TE was much higher (39 %), and it was often fatal or life-threatening. Hospitalization for PNH complications was reported for 23 % of patients, and 17 % attributed lack of ability to work to PNH (7-9, 24).

Morbidity and mortality in PNH have improved substantially over the past 30 years because of increased awareness, monitoring of disease, and improved treatment options for patients with PNH. Analyses of smaller and larger cohorts of patients with PNH show that life expectancy following diagnosis was about 10 and 20 years in the 1990s and 2000s, respectively (8, 9, 22, 23, 35). Mortality is mostly attributed to events of thrombosis; additional causes include hemorrhage and infection (9, 22, 23, 26, 35). In historical control of patients from a retrospective study who were treated with supportive care before the introduction of eculizumab, 20 % died within 6 years of diagnosis (23). In patients who were enrolled into the International PNH Registry, more than 15.5 % had a history of TEs at baseline. Although thrombosis might occur in patients with both aplastic anemia and PNH, it is less common than in patients with classic PNH (7-9, 24). With the introduction of the complement inhibitor eculizumab, life expectancy has increased with the reduction in the risk of TEs (22, 23).

For the 122 patients who have died since enrollment into the International PNH Registry, the highest risk of death (11.7 %) was in patients who met the diagnostic criteria for PNH and aplastic anemia (24).

Important comorbidities:

BMF is an associated disorder. BMF can occur independently of PIG-A mutations in patients with PNH and can contribute to the clonal expansion of PIG-A mutant HSCs. BMF in PNH might be caused by autoimmunity to HSCs, a mechanism similar to that observed in idiopathic aplastic anemia (8). In a cohort of patients with PNH who were referred to Hammersmith Hospital in London between 1940 and 1970 (80 patients), 29 % of patients received a diagnosis of aplastic anemia before the diagnosis of PNH. At the time of the PNH diagnosis, 80 % had thrombocytopenia, and 55 % had neutropenia (9).

Almost 63 % of the C5 inhibitor-naïve patients in the International PNH Registry had a history of BMF, and about 53 % (2206/4201) had a history of aplastic or hypoplastic anemia at baseline. The proportions of patients with BMF showed an inverse correlation with clone size (5). Many patients in the registry have aplastic anemia as their primary diagnosis because the registry allows inclusion of patients with ≥ 0.01 % PNH clone (8). Overall, 774 (48.1 %) of patients in the registry had been diagnosed with 1 or more types of bone marrow disease, including aplastic anemia or hypoplastic anemia (n=701; 43.5 %), myelodysplastic syndromes (n=93; 5.8 %), myelofibrosis (n=7; 0.4 %), and/or acute myeloid leukemia (n=6; 0.4 %) (7). Concomitant immunosuppressive therapy is administered if necessary (8). Of patients with aplastic anemia, 38.5 % were on immunosuppressive therapy when they enrolled in the International PNH Registry, 21 % were on anticoagulation therapy, and 5 % were on both (7).

Treatment of adult and adolescent patients with C3G and primary IC-MPGN

Pegcetacoplan (APL-2) is a C3/C3b inhibitor that has been developed for the treatment, via s.c. infusion, of patients aged 12 years and older diagnosed with C3 glomerulopathy (C3G) or primary immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (IC-MPGN). C3G and primary IC-MPGN are rare conditions that share an underlying mechanism of complement dysregulation in the fluid phase and glomerular microenvironment, which ultimately results in loss of kidney function (36). C3G and primary IC-MPGN are both diagnoses of exclusion, and both require histopathological analysis of a renal biopsy (37-39). Moreover, it has been reported that some patients transition between the 2 diagnoses from one renal biopsy to the next (40-42).

Incidence:

The rarity of C3G and primary IC-MPGN, the need for renal biopsy to confirm diagnosis, the evolution of diagnostic technology, and the historical lack of precision for ICD-10 diagnosis codes makes it difficult to derive precise incidence and prevalence figures for these conditions. In a comprehensive review of the incidence of primary glomerulonephropathy (including C3G, IC-MPGN, and other types of primary glomerulonephropathy), the reported incidence was in the range of 3 to 9 cases per 1 000 000 (43). Specifically for C3G, a number of small cohort studies have generated estimates of limited reliability, where patients have been identified through biopsy collection and counts related back to the referral population. In a review summarizing several European studies, estimates of ~0.2 to 1.0 cases per 1 000 000 of the population were reported for C3G (44-46). In the United States (US), the incidence of C3G is estimated to be between ~1 and ~2 to 3 cases per 1 000 000 on the basis of an analysis of C3G registry data (49 cases per year over the past 3 years) (47). The 2023 Orphanet Report Series on rare diseases collection assesses the incidence of C3G at the level of 1.5 cases per 1 000 000 (48).

Corresponding estimates of incidence for IC-MPGN were in the order of 0.9 to 2.0 cases per million population years (49, 50).

Prevalence:

A relatively broad range of prevalence estimates have been reported for C3G, from 0.14 to 1.4 per 10 000 in European countries including the UK and France, while the prevalence might be as low as 0.05 per 10 000 in the US (51, 52). As described for incidence above, the prevalence of primary IC-MPGN remains to be determined specifically in the literature. However, the prevalence of primary IC-MPGN was estimated in Orphan Drug Designation application EU/3/22/2716 by deriving primary MPGN incidence from publications stemming from European national kidney biopsy biobanks, where a combined prevalence for C3G with and without immune complexes of 1.3 per 10 000 in EU was agreed. In line with this estimate, the 2023 Orphanet Report Series on rare diseases collection presents the prevalence of the primary membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (MPGN) (C3G or IC-MPGN) in the EU at 1.6 cases per 10 000 (48).

Demographics of the population in the proposed indication and risk factors for the disease:

C3G and primary IC-MPGN are rare, chronic, and heterogeneous kidney diseases (52).

Primary IC-MPGN or C3G can occur at any age. Based on data reported in kidney biopsy databases, the average age of diagnosis is around 30 to 50 years, albeit this range may be artificially high given that many of the reports from renal biopsy registries excluded all or part of the pediatric patient population (53). Several of the case series reported to date and referenced below have been exclusively in, or have included, patients in the pediatric age range (e.g., (46, 54-56)). MPGN (primary IC-MPGN or C3G) occurs in the pediatric age range, generally from about age 4 years upwards (46, 57), with very rare cases having been reported in younger patients (58). At the other end of the age range, MPGN can also be first diagnosed in adults considerably older than the average; 2.3% of 352 renal biopsy diagnosis in individuals ≥ 65 years versus 3.0% of 2214 diagnoses in adults 18 to 64 years in 1 recent Polish series, albeit this series did not attempt to separate primary from secondary cases (59).

Primary IC-MPGN and C3G are both diseases of complement dysregulation. By definition, they have no identifiable external cause, so they have no pathognomonic clinical features (53). C3G and primary IC-MPGN share the same prevalence of low serum C3 levels and of alternative pathway abnormalities (41, 52). These include the autoantibodies C3 nephritic factors (C3NeFs) or C5 nephritic factors (C5NeFs), which stabilize the C3 and C5 complement convertases, anti-factor B and anti-factor H antibodies, and genetic abnormalities affecting complement regulators or the 2 components of the alternative pathway C3 convertase, C3 and factor B (36, 60, 61).

The main existing treatment options:

Renoprotective strategies

A universally effective treatment for C3G and primary IC-MPGN is lacking (62, 63). The only recommended indication for the management of patients with C3G and primary IC-MPGN with proteinuria and preserved renal function is an antiproteinuric therapy with inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin system, which has been shown to effectively slow renal disease progression in

other glomerular diseases (36, 64). Additional kidney protective measures include a low-salt diet and treatment of dyslipidemia (65). More recently, inhibitors of the sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) have been shown to slow renal disease progression in diabetic but also in nondiabetic proteinuric nephropathies (66, 67).

Immunosuppression

For patients with nephrotic syndrome or progressive deterioration of renal function despite renoprotective therapy, nonspecific immunosuppression is often prescribed to target the inflammatory component in the glomerular microenvironment and decrease the production of complement activating autoantibodies. Current guidelines suggest attempting a trial with oral prednisone and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) in adults and children (61, 68, 69) on the basis of retrospective multicenter studies in patients with C3G that have shown a higher rate of remission and lower risk of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) versus patients who received other immunosuppressives or conservative management alone (70, 71). The therapeutic effect of steroids plus MMF was observed in patients with autoantibody-mediated (C3NeFs) disease and to a lesser extent also in those with genetic causes; however, the response was not consistent, with only 36% of patients achieving a complete remission (70). The benefit of immunosuppression in primary IC-MPGN is even more uncertain. Calcineurin inhibitors, cyclophosphamide, oral and intravenous corticosteroids, and MMF have been attempted, but the data on outcome are scanty and controversial. While, in a few studies, a protracted course of oral prednisone in children with primary IC-MPGN showed some benefit in slowing disease progression (72), there is no evidence that steroids are effective in adults.

Complement C5 inhibition

The anti-C5 monoclonal antibody eculizumab has been tested in single C3G or primary IC-MPGN patients, small retrospective series and in an off-on-off-on study, the EAGLE (Evaluating the Morphofunctional Effects of Eculizumab Therapy in Primary Membranoproliferative Glomerulonephritis) trial (73-75). In some patients, proteinuria improved and renal function stabilized; however, the response to treatment was mostly partial in the long term and about half of patients did not benefit from the treatment. Interestingly, in a retrospective French study with 26 patients, the subgroup with the better clinical response to C5 inhibition had rapidly progressive disease and more intense glomerular inflammatory lesions before treatment (76). Altogether, published results are insufficient to recommend C5 inhibition as treatment of C3G and primary IC-MPGN, particularly for patients with subacute/chronic lesions which appear to be less prone to respond. This is not unexpected, as eculizumab targets the C5a and the assembly of the membrane attack complex (MAC) of the terminal complement cascade and does not interfere with the C3 convertase dysregulation.

Posttransplant recurrence

Recurrence of C3G or primary IC-MPGN in the kidney allograft represents an important cause of graft loss and morbidity (77) and, at present, no effective treatment is available to prevent or treat recurrences. A retrospective analysis of a multicenter cohort of kidney transplant patients included 34 patients with C3G and 132 patients with primary IC-MPGN, of whom 41 had recurrent disease in the graft, despite the fact that the large majority were receiving calcineurin inhibitors, steroids, and MMF as maintenance antirejection therapy. Intensified immunosuppression with corticosteroids and MMF dose increase and additional strategies to

remove autoantibodies (rituximab and plasma exchange) were rather ineffective. Indeed, only 5% to 6% of recurrent patients achieved complete remission, and 25 of 41 patients experienced kidney graft failure during follow-up (77). Results of prophylaxis with rituximab or anti-C5 therapy administered pretransplant have been variable, likely reflecting the heterogeneity of disease mechanisms (78).

Natural history of the indicated condition in the C3G or primary IC-MPGN population, including mortality and morbidity:

The clinical course of C3G and primary IC-MPGN in the native kidney or in the setting of posttransplant recurrence is characterized by significant hypertension, proteinuria, hematuria, nephrotic syndrome, and a high risk of progression to ESRD in adult and pediatric patients alike (79, 80). Up to 75% of patients with C3G or primary IC-MPGN will eventually reach ESRD over an average of ~25 years from diagnosis (46).

Kidney survival in C3G and primary IC-MPGN patients has been reported to be associated with eGFR at baseline and 12-month timepoint, time-averaged urine protein creatinine ratio (uPCR) and 50% reduction at 12 months, and varied by the CKD stage at presentation (81). A greater than 50% reduction in proteinuria at 12 months after the diagnosis has been independently reported for C3G patients from the GLOSEN registry as being associated with a lower risk of kidney failure (82).

ESRD is self-evidently both chronically debilitating in nature, given the need for highly invasive and intensive life-sustaining renal replacement therapy with all its associated complications (e.g., coronary heart disease, hypertension, mineral and bone disorders), and life-threatening in the absence of a kidney transplant (83, 84).

Average life expectancy from the onset of ESRD in the 60% of ESRD patients not eligible for, or who for other reasons do not receive a kidney transplantation, is around 6 years. Median survival in the 40% of transplanted patients is about 30 years, based on extrapolation from the data of Moroni et al, 2011 (85).

Important comorbidities:

Patients with C3G or primary IC-MPGN with progressive loss of kidney function develop clinical complications of CKD. These complications contribute to high morbidity and mortality and poor quality of life. Some of these complications can be readily defined and quantified (cardiovascular disease [CVD], hypertension, anemia, mineral bone disorder, volume overload, electrolytes, and acid-base abnormalities) and may require a specific management approach. Moreover, dysregulation of the alternative complement pathway with the presence of C3NeFs (autoantibodies to C3 complement convertase) has been described in acquired partial lipodystrophy (Barraquer-Simons syndrome), resulting in a decent degree of overlap between C3G and acquired partial lipodystrophy (86). Furthermore, deposits identical to those in glomerular tissue have been described to appear in the retina of C3G patients and can lead to vision impairment (87).

Hypertension: Hypertension remains one of the most damaging complications of CKD and is thought to contribute to the acceleration of progressive decline in kidney function, CVD, and related mortality. Up to 65% of C3G patients of the GLOSEN cohort were reported to have

hypertension (88). Renin-angiotensin-system inhibitors remain a major cornerstone in the blood pressure-lowering approach (62).

Cardiovascular complications: CVD represents the leading cause of mortality in CKD patients, and the prevalence and burden of this complication increases with declining kidney function (89). The control of blood pressure and the use of lipid-lowering therapies can lead to a global reduction in the burden of CVD attributable to CKD (90).

Anemia: Anemia complications in CKD patients have been well characterized and treated in many parts of the world with iron and erythropoiesis-stimulating agents.

CKD-related mineral and bone disorder: The syndrome of CKD-related mineral and bone disorder was defined by the Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes guidelines and encompasses traditional mineral biochemical abnormalities, the spectrum of renal osteodystrophy, and soft tissue calcification (91, 92).

Salt and water retention: In CKD stages 3 to 5, there is loss of defense against both sodium excess and sodium depletion. The mainstay of therapy is adherence to simple fluid balance (intake versus output) concepts, restriction of dietary salt intake, and use of natriuretic agents (93). Thiazides and loop diuretics are widely available at low cost and could be used more widely to alleviate symptomatic edema in CKD patients, with the potential to improve cardiovascular outcomes.

Metabolic acidosis and electrolyte disorders: Metabolic acidosis is common in CKD and is caused when the acid intake and generation exceed the renal acid excretion. Chronic metabolic acidosis contributes to skeletal muscle catabolism, insensitivity to endocrine hormones, and bone disease and may accelerate the progression of CKD (94, 95).

Uremic symptoms: The syndrome of uremia encompasses a variety of symptoms: anorexia, fatigue, cachexia, pruritus, nausea, restless legs syndrome, sleep disturbances, and sexual dysfunction.

Part II: Module SII - Nonclinical part of the safety specification

Key safety findings from nonclinical studies and relevance to human usage:

Key safety findings from nonclinical studies	Relevance to human usage
Toxicity	
- Pegcetacoplan evoked microscopic nonadverse epithelial vacuolation and infiltrates of vacuolated macrophages in multiple tissues in both species (rabbits and monkeys). - Observed with similar incidence and severity in PEG40-treated animals. - These findings were neither accompanied by abnormal clinical signs nor evidence of cellular distortion, necrosis, degeneration, inflammation, or disturbed body function. - No-effect dose not determined in rabbits, and 1 mg/kg/d in monkeys in chronic toxicity studies.	These findings are attributed to the PEG40 moiety of pegcetacoplan and have been reported with numerous other PEGylated peptide/protein pharmaceuticals, including marketed ones. They are widely considered to represent an adaptive tissue response to long-chain PEG and are regarded as nonadverse.
- Pegcetacoplan was associated with microscopic renal tubular degeneration in rabbits and monkeys. - Spatial association with vacuolation suggests that the degeneration may represent a response to locally extreme tissue concentrations of PEG. - Renal degenerative changes were considered adverse, although they did not rise to a level considered to be dose limiting. - Degeneration was minimal and nonprogressive between 1 month and 9 months of dosing and was not accompanied by increases in serum urea nitrogen or creatinine (markers of overt renal dysfunction) in either species. - No-effect dose not determined in rabbits, and 7 mg/kg/d in monkeys in chronic toxicity studies.	Significance to humans of the minimal renal degeneration observed in the animal studies is not known. The no-adverse-effect level for this finding in monkeys (the pharmacologically relevant species) was 7 mg/kg/d, with C_{max} exposure 1.45× that of the intended human clinical dose. No signal to suggest disturbed renal function has been detected in the current cumulative clinical safety database for pegcetacoplan. Overall, data from a phase 1 study to evaluate the effect of renal impairment had no effect on the PK of pegcetacoplan; therefore, no dose adjustment is required for patients with renal impairment.
Safety pharmacology	
- Pegcetacoplan does not inhibit the human ether-à-go-go gene-encoded ion channel. - Single s.c. doses up to 140 mg/kg in monkeys did not affect cardiac or respiratory function. - Pegcetacoplan effects on the CNS were not investigated because pegcetacoplan does not cross the blood-brain barrier. No abnormal CNS-related clinical signs were observed in repeat-dose toxicity studies.	Pegcetacoplan poses no significant risk for negative cardiopulmonary effects. Pegcetacoplan does not cross the blood-brain barrier, and the potential for negative CNS effects is low.

Key safety findings from nonclinical studies	Relevance to human usage
• Pegcetacoplan had no effect on embryofetal development (rats, rabbits, or monkeys). • In an enhanced prenatal/postnatal development study in monkeys, pegcetacoplan at the high dosage (28 mg/kg/day) was associated with an increase in abortions and stillbirths. This was not a predicted pharmacological effect of pegcetacoplan. • Placental transfer and excretion into milk were demonstrated but were minimal (<1 %) and not pharmacologically relevant. • No-effect dose for increased incidence of abortions and stillbirths 7 mg/kg/d in monkeys.	The clinical relevance of the increase in abortions and stillbirths observed in the monkeys is not known. The no-adverse-effect level for this finding in monkeys (the pharmacologically relevant species) was 7 mg/kg/d, with C_{max} exposure 1.34× that of the intended human clinical dose. The current weight of evidence suggests that complement cascade regulation is beneficial to pregnancy maintenance, and targeted complement therapeutics are used to control adverse pregnancy outcomes. Pregnant and breastfeeding women were excluded from clinical trials.
Other toxicity-related information	
• Pegcetacoplan is not genotoxic (neither mutagenic nor clastogenic) and was not teratogenic in animal studies. • Pegcetacoplan was weakly to moderately antigenic in rabbits but minimally antigenic in monkeys. • Rodent carcinogenicity studies of pegcetacoplan have not been conducted.	Pegcetacoplan is not a genotoxic hazard. There is no evidence from toxicity studies that pegcetacoplan is an endocrine disrupter, a cell-cycle dysregulator, or a proinflammatory agent. The current weight of evidence suggests complement activation enhances tumor growth and metastasis, and complement inhibition has been postulated as a potential oncology therapy.

Abbreviations: C_{max}, Maximum serum concentration, CNS, Central nervous system; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PEG40, Polyethylene glycol (40-kDa nominal molecular weight); PK, Pharmacokinetics; s.c., Subcutaneous.

Part II: Module SIII - Clinical trial exposure

The clinical development program for pegcetacoplan in PNH included 6 clinical pharmacology studies as well as the following 7 studies (5 completed and 2 ongoing) in adult and pediatric subjects with PNH:

- APL2-CP0514 (Pharoah): This is a completed phase 1b open-label, prospective, nonrandomized, single and multiple ascending dose study in 12 subjects (9 unique subjects). The objective was to assess the safety, tolerability, and PK of single and multiple s.c. doses of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH who were still anemic during treatment with eculizumab.
- APL2-202 (Palomino): This is a completed phase 2a, open-label, multidose study in 4 subjects. The objective was to assess the safety, tolerability, efficacy, and PK of multiple s.c. doses of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH who had not received treatment with eculizumab in the past.
- APL2-CP-PNH-204 (Paddock): This is a completed phase 1b, open-label, multiple ascending dose study in 23 subjects (22 unique subjects). The objective was to assess the safety, tolerability, preliminary efficacy, and PK of multiple s.c. doses of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH who had not received treatment with eculizumab in the past.
- APL2-302 (Pegasus): This is a completed global, phase 3, prospective, randomized, multicenter, open-label, active-comparator-controlled study in 80 subjects. The objective was to confirm treatment efficacy and safety of pegcetacoplan monotherapy for the treatment of PNH in subjects aged ≥ 18 years who were receiving eculizumab therapy but continued to have Hb levels < 10.5 g/dL.
- APL2-308 (Prince): This is a completed phase 3, randomized, open-label study in 52 subjects. The objective was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH who were naive to treatment with any complement inhibitor within 3 months prior to screening.
- APL2-307: This is an ongoing open-label extension study for subjects who have completed a previous PNH pegcetacoplan study. The objective of the study is to establish the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in the treatment of PNH.
- APL2-PNH-209: This is an ongoing open-label, single-arm, phase 2 study to evaluate the safety, PK, and biologic activity of pegcetacoplan in pediatric patients with PNH. The primary objectives of this study are to define the PK of pegcetacoplan in adolescents with PNH; evaluate the efficacy of pegcetacoplan based on Hb level, LDH level, and ARC; and assess the safety of pegcetacoplan as measured by the incidence and severity of TEAEs, including bacterial infections.

Through 13 November 2025, 175 subjects in PNH studies have been exposed to systemic pegcetacoplan for 596.63 person-years; this includes 159 subjects exposed for > 6 months and 147 subjects exposed for > 1 year ([Table 2](#)).

The clinical development program for pegcetacoplan in C3G/primary IC-MPGN included the following 4 studies in adult and adolescent subjects:

- APL2-201: This is a completed phase 2 study to evaluate the safety and biologic activity of pegcetacoplan in patients with immunoglobulin A (IgA) nephropathy, lupus nephritis, primary membranous nephropathy, or C3G (C3 glomerulonephritis and dense deposit disease).
- APL2-C3G-204: This is an open-label, randomized, controlled, phase 2 study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in the treatment of posttransplant recurrence of C3G or primary IC-MPGN. Part A of this study is completed, and Part B (long-term extension) is ongoing. The safety data included in this RMP is from Part A.
- APL2-C3G-310: This is a completed phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, multicenter study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of pegcetacoplan in patients with C3G or primary IC-MPGN.
- APL2-C3G-314: This is an ongoing phase 3, open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in patients with C3G or primary IC-MPGN who have completed their 52-week treatment period in Study APL2-C3G-310. Safety Data are presented from the DCO date of 20 June 2024.

Through 13 November 2025, 153 subjects in C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies have been exposed to systemic pegcetacoplan for 287.69 person-years; this includes 144 subjects exposed for >6 months and 131 subjects exposed for >1 year ([Table 2](#)).

Table 2 Subject pegcetacoplan exposure in completed and ongoing PNH and other systemic-use studies as of 13 November 2025

Category/study	Number of subjects with ≥ 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Duration of exposure category								Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan
		>3 months	>6 months	>1 year	>2 years	>3 years	>4 years	>5 years	>6 years	
All s.c. studies cumulative	597	537	479	400	206	123	89	42	13	1134.97
Exposure by study for completed and ongoing PNH studies (s.c.) as of 13 November 2025										
APL2-302	80	77	75	66	57	37	19	2	0	218.32
APL2-202	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	23.06
APL2-CP-PNH-204	22	18	18	18	14	14	14	14	11	92.89
APL2-CP0514	9	6	6	4	4	4	3	1	0	20.33
APL2-308	52	51	50	49	48	44	42	22	0	229.51
APL2-PNH-209	8	6	6	6	4	0	0	0	0	12.52
Cumulative	175	162	159	147	131	103	82	42	13	596.63
Exposure by study for completed and ongoing C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies (s.c.) as of 13 November 2025										
APL2-201	21	21	15	12	9	7	7	0	0	45.33
APL2-C3G-204	13	12	12	10	7	2	0	0	0	26.15
APL2-C3G-310	119 ^a	117	117	109	48	1	0	0	0	216.21
Cumulative	153	150	144	131	64	10	7	0	0	287.69

Category/study	Number of subjects with ≥1 pegcetacoplan dose	Duration of exposure category								Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan
		>3 months	>6 months	>1 year	>2 years	>3 years	>4 years	>5 years	>6 years	
Exposure for other systemic-use studies (s.c.) ^b as of 13 November 2025										
Cumulative	278	225	176	122	11	10	0	0	0	250.65

Abbreviations: C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis; IgA, Immunoglobulin A; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; s.c., Subcutaneous.

a In Study APL2-C3G-310, dosage information is missing for 1 subject and, therefore, that subject is not included for the calculation of duration of exposure.

b Exposure for other systemic-use studies represents the cumulative exposure of ongoing studies evaluating pegcetacoplan in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (APL2-ALS-206), cold agglutinin disease (Sobi.PEGCET-101), transplant-associated thrombotic microangiopathy after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (Sobi.PEGCET-201), and antibody autoimmune hemolytic anemia (APL2-CP-AIHA-208).

Note 1: Exposure in the long-term safety studies (APL2-307 and APL2-C3G-314) are included in the parent studies.

The following tables present duration of exposure for the 5 completed PNH studies.

Table 3 Duration of exposure—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (completed PNH studies only, systemic exposure)

Cumulative for all indications (person-time)		
Duration of exposure	Number of subjects with at least 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Person-years
>3 months	149	129.08
>6 months	134	123.09
>1 year	47	57.60
>2 years	2	4.12
Any duration	161	130.43

Abbreviations: PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria.

Note: Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan was calculated as duration in days between the first and last pegcetacoplan doses divided by 365.25, with long gaps in dosing subtracted for Study APL2-CP0514, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, and Study APL2-302. The 5 completed PNH studies included in this table are Study APL2-CP0514, Study APL2-202, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, Study APL2-302 and Study APL2-308.

Table 4 Age group and sex—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (completed PNH studies only, systemic exposure)

	Number of subjects with at least 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Person-years
Age group		
Adults (18 to 64 years)	137	112.17
Elderly (≥65 years)	24	18.26
Total	161	130.43
Sex		
Female	92	77.21
Male	69	53.22
Total	161	130.43

Abbreviations: PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria.

Note: Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan was calculated as duration in days between the first and last pegcetacoplan doses divided by 365.25, with long gaps in dosing subtracted for Study APL2-CP0514, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, and Study APL2-302. The 5 completed PNH studies included in this table are Study APL2-CP0514, Study APL2-202, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, Study APL2-302 and Study APL2-308.

Table 5 Dose—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (completed PNH studies only, systemic exposure)

Planned dosing regimen	Number of subjects with at least 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Person-years
5 mg daily	2	0.25
30 mg daily	2	1.07
180 mg daily	3	2.15
270 mg daily	24	25.68
360 mg daily	4	6.60
1080 mg twice weekly	126	94.67
Total	161	130.43

Abbreviations: PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria.

Note: Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan was calculated as duration in days between the first and last pegcetacoplan doses divided by 365.25, with long gaps in dosing subtracted for Study APL2-CP0514, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, and Study APL2-302. The 5 completed PNH studies included in this table are Study APL2-CP0514, Study APL2-202, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, Study APL2-302 and Study APL2-308.

Table 6 Ethnic origin—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (completed PNH studies only, systemic exposure)

Race	Number of subjects with at least 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Person-years
Asian	59	43.25
Black or African American	5	6.37
Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander	1	0.08
White	64	52.50
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	10.42
Other	5	4.22
Missing ^a	16	13.58
Total	161	130.43

Abbreviations: PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria.

^a Subjects with missing race are from sites in [REDACTED], where race was not collected because of privacy laws.

Note: Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan was calculated as duration in days between the first and last pegcetacoplan doses divided by 365.25, with long gaps in dosing subtracted for Study APL2-CP0514, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, and Study APL2-302. The 5 completed PNH studies included in this table are Study APL2-CP0514, Study APL2-202, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, Study APL2-302 and Study APL2-308.

The following tables present duration of exposure for the 4 C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies.

Table 7 Duration of exposure—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies only, systemic exposure)

Cumulative for all indications (person-time)		
Duration of exposure	Number of subjects with at least 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Person-years
>3 months	137	268.82
>6 months	136	268.34
>1 year	126	260.11
>2 years	61	159.28
>3 years	8	31.21
Any duration	141	269.35

Abbreviations: C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis.

Note: Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan was calculated as duration in days between first and last pegcetacoplan dose divided by 365.25.

The 4 C3G studies included in this table are Study APL2-201, Study APL2-C3G-204, Study APL2-C3G-310, and Study APL2-C3G-314.

Data cut-off dates: Study APL2-201: 11 October 2023; Study APL2-C3G-204, Study APL2-C3G-310 and Study APL2-C3G-314: 13 November 2025.

Table 8 Age group and sex—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 13 November 2025, by duration of exposure (C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies only, systemic exposure)

	Number of subjects with at least 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Person-years
Age group		
Adolescent (12 to 17 years)	56	106.67
Adults (18 to 64 years)	82	156.62
Elderly (≥65 years)	3	6.05
Total	141	269.35
Sex		
Female	79	150.73
Male	62	118.61
Total	141	269.35

Abbreviations: C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis.

Note: Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan was calculated as duration in days between first and last pegcetacoplan dose divided by 365.25.

The 4 C3G studies included in this table are Study APL2-201, Study APL2-C3G-204, Study APL2-C3G-310, and Study APL2-C3G-314.

Data cut-off dates: Study APL2-201: 11 October 2023; Study APL2-C3G-204, Study APL2-C3G-310 and Study APL2-C3G-314: 13 November 2025.

Table 9 Dose—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 20 June 2024, by duration of exposure (C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies only, systemic exposure)

Planned dosing regimen	Number of subjects with at least 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Person-years
360 mg daily	8	4.61
540 mg twice weekly	2	0.04
648 mg twice weekly	8	1.87
810 mg twice weekly	13	15.98
1080 mg twice weekly	132	246.86
Total	141	269.35

Abbreviations: C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis.

Note: Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan was calculated as duration in days between first and last pegcetacoplan dose divided by 365.25.

The 4 C3G studies included in this table are Study APL2-201, Study APL2-C3G-204, Study APL2-C3G-310, and Study APL2-C3G-314.

Patients may have multiple regimens (APL2-201, APL2-C3G-310/APL2-C3G-314) and may therefore occur in more than one dose group with the duration of that dose group.

Data cut-off dates: Study APL2-201: 11 October 2023; Study APL2-C3G-204, Study APL2-C3G-310 and Study APL2-C3G-314: 13 November 2025.

Table 10 Ethnic origin—pegcetacoplan number of subjects exposed and person-years of exposure as of 20 June 2024, by duration of exposure (C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies only, systemic exposure)

Race	Number of subjects with at least 1 pegcetacoplan dose	Person-years
Asian	18	35.21
Black or African American	2	3.87
White	106	198.71
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	2.52
Other	13	29.05
Missing	1	0.99
Total	141	269.35

Abbreviations: C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis.

Note: Cumulative years on pegcetacoplan was calculated as duration in days between first and last pegcetacoplan dose divided by 365.25.

The 4 C3G studies included in this table are Study APL2-201, Study APL2-C3G-204, Study APL2-C3G-310, and Study APL2-C3G-314.

Subjects with missing race are from sites in [REDACTED], where race was not collected because of privacy laws.
Data cut-off dates: Study APL2-201: 11 October 2023; Study APL2-C3G-204, Study APL2-C3G-310 and Study APL2-C3G-314: 13 November 2025.

Part II: Module SIV - Populations not studied in clinical trials

SIV.1 Exclusion criteria in pivotal clinical studies within the development program

Patients excluded from pivotal clinical studies

1. History of bone marrow transplantation

Reason for exclusion: Allogeneic BMTx is the only potentially curative treatment for adults with PNH, although it carries the attendant risks of immunosuppression and graft-versus-host disease. It is only indicated in patients with PNH-associated BMF. BMTx would have obfuscated the interpretation of clinical data from Study APL2-302.

Is it considered to be included as missing information? No.

Rationale: BMTx is a rarely used intervention for PNH, and if the graft were successful in replacing the hematopoietic PNH clones, patients would not be candidates for further disease-modifying therapy. It is much more likely that pegcetacoplan would be used prior to BMTx or to delay it, and therefore BMTx would not cause a drug safety risk.

2. Low platelet and neutrophil counts at screening ($\leq 50\,000/\text{mm}^3$ and $\leq 500/\text{mm}^3$, respectively)

Reason for exclusion: These patients were excluded because low platelet and neutrophil counts can be indicative of BMF. Exclusion of these patients prevents confounding efficacy outcomes because of the low production of hematopoietic cells.

Is it considered to be included as missing information? Yes.

3. Pregnant women

Reason for exclusion: These patients are almost invariably excluded from clinical trials to manage the investigational drug safety risk. However, the sponsor believes there is a compelling interest to facilitate childbearing in patients with PNH, while preventing IVH and EVH in the safest way possible, and to provide prescriber and patient information. See Section [SVII.1.2](#) for additional information.

Is it considered to be included as missing information? Yes

4. Children

Reason for exclusion: These patients are almost invariably excluded from clinical trials to manage the investigational drug safety risk. Sobi has an agreed pediatric investigational plan, including an ongoing open-label, single-arm, multicenter global study in adolescent subjects aged 12 to 17 years (Study APL2-PNH-209) which began in the Q3 of 2020.

Is it considered to be included as missing information? No.

Rationale: Pediatric patients are not part of the proposed target population.

Patients excluded from pivotal C3G/primary IC-MPGN clinical studies

1. Absolute neutrophil count <1000 cells/mm³ at screening

Reason for exclusion: These patients were excluded due to an increased risk of infections because of their low neutrophil counts, as complement inhibition may further compromise the immune response, potentially leading to severe or life-threatening infections.

Is it considered to be included as missing information? No.

Rationale: The mode of action of pegcetacoplan may be suspected to increase the risk of serious infections (in particular those caused by encapsulated bacteria).

2. Pregnant women

Reason for exclusion: These patients are almost invariably excluded from clinical trials to manage the investigational drug safety risk. However, the sponsor believes there is a compelling interest to facilitate childbearing in patients with C3G/primary IC-MPGN in the safest way possible, and to provide prescriber and patient information. See Section [SVII.1.2](#) for additional information.

Is it considered to be included as missing information? Yes

3. Children less than 12 years of age

Reason for exclusion: These patients were excluded due to a pediatric investigation plan commitment to study pegcetacoplan in children aged 2 to 11 years after the safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan have been established in adults and adolescents, and a juvenile toxicity study has been completed.

Is it considered to be included as missing information? No.

Rationale: Pediatric patients <12 years will be included in a specific study once the safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan have been established in adults and adolescents, and a juvenile toxicity study has been completed.

SIV.2 Limitations to detect adverse reactions in clinical trial development programs

The clinical development program is unlikely to detect certain types of adverse reactions such as rare adverse reactions, adverse reactions with a long latency, or those caused by prolonged or cumulative exposure.

SIV.3 Limitations in respect to populations typically under-represented in clinical trial development programs

Table 11 Exposure of special populations included or not in clinical trial development programs

Type of special population	Exposure
Pregnant women	Not included in the clinical development program.
Breastfeeding women	
Patients with relevant comorbidities: • Patients with hepatic impairment • Patients with renal impairment • Patients with cardiovascular impairment • Immunocompromised patients • Patients with a disease severity different from inclusion criteria in clinical trials	Study APL2-CP-PV-205 (a phase 1, single-dose, open-label study to evaluate the effect of renal impairment on the PK of APL-2) included 16 subjects. Study APL2-308 enrolled 53 subjects with PNH. This study permitted the inclusion of subjects with renal impairment. Of the 53 subjects enrolled, 35 were randomized to receive pegcetacoplan and 18 to receive standard of care (excluding complement inhibitors). 11 of the 18 subjects escaped to receive pegcetacoplan during the 26 week study. This study included previously untreated subjects with any Hb level less than the LLN at the screening visit (unlike Study APL2-302, which required subjects to have Hb level <10.5 g/dL at the screening visit). Study APL2-201 (a phase 2 study to evaluate the safety and biologic activity of pegcetacoplan in patients with IgA nephropathy, lupus nephritis, primary membranous nephropathy, or C3G [C3 glomerulonephritis and dense deposit disease]) included 21 subjects (Part A), with all subjects exposed to pegcetacoplan. Subjects with IgA nephropathy had a mean (SD) eGFR at baseline of 75.4 mL/min/1.73m² (36.09) and no subjects had immunosuppressants as a prior medication. Subjects with C3G had a mean (SD) eGFR at baseline of 95.4 mL/min/1.73m² (44.35) and 57.1% of subjects had immunosuppressants as a prior medication. Study APL2-C3G-204 (an open-label, randomized, controlled, phase 2 study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in the treatment of posttransplant recurrence of C3G or IC-MPGN) included 13 subjects, with 10 subjects exposed to pegcetacoplan (Part A). Subjects had a mean (SD) eGFR at baseline of 51.5 mL/min/1.73m² (12.01) and 100% of subjects had immunosuppressants as a prior medication. Study APL2-C3G-310 (a phase 3, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, multicenter study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of pegcetacoplan in patients with C3G or primary IC-MPGN) included 63 subjects exposed to pegcetacoplan during the RCP

Type of special population	Exposure
	and an additional 57 subjects (originally randomized to the placebo group during the RCP) were exposed to pegcetacoplan during the OLP. Subjects had a mean (SD) eGFR at baseline of 82.8 mL/min/1.73m² (35.72) and 75.8% of subjects had immunosuppressants as a prior medication. Study APL2-C3G-314 (an ongoing phase 3, open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in patients with C3G or primary IC-MPGN who have completed their 52-week treatment period in Study APL2-C3G-310) included 53 subjects who had previously completed participation in Study APL2-C3G-310, with all subjects exposed to pegcetacoplan. Subjects had a mean (SD) eGFR at baseline of 72.3 mL/min/1.73m² (37.58).
Population with relevant different ethnic origin (included)	Japanese subjects: Study APL2-102 (a phase 1 single ascending dose study) included 20 subjects. Study APL2-302 included 12 Asian subjects. Study APL2-201 (Part A) included 4 Black/African American subjects. Study APL2-C3G-310 (RCP) included 9 Asian subjects, 1 Black/African American subject, and 1 American Indian or Alaska Native subject.
Subpopulations carrying relevant genetic polymorphisms	N/A
Elderly patients (included)	24 subjects aged ≥65 years have been included in completed clinical studies of PNH. 3 subjects aged ≥65 years have been included in clinical studies of C3G.
Pediatric patients	Study APL2-PNH-209 (an open-label, single-arm, phase 2 study to evaluate the safety, PK, and biologic activity of pegcetacoplan in pediatric patients with PNH). 12 subjects (aged 12-17 years) planned. 7 subjects treated with pegcetacoplan. Study APL2-201 (Part A) included 3 adolescent subjects (all aged 17 years). Study APL2-C3G-310 (RCP) included 28 subjects between 12 and 17 years old.

Abbreviations: APL-2, Pegcetacoplan; C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; Hb, Hemoglobin; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis; IgA, Immunoglobulin A; LLN, Lower limit of normal; OLP, Open-label period; N/A, Not applicable; PK, Pharmacokinetics; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; RCP, Randomized controlled period.

Part II: Module SV - Post authorization experience

SV.1 Postauthorization exposure

SV.1.1 Method used to calculate exposure

Since the distribution of pegcetacoplan is controlled, it is possible to get actual patient numbers exposed post marketing. The estimated patient-years can also be calculated from the number of dispensed vials and assuming 2 vials/week per patient (i.e., 1 vial every 3.5 days or daily dose 0.286 vial/day). Therefore, the estimated patient-years equals (number of dispensed vials × 3.5)/365.25.

SV.1.2 Exposure

The numbers of patients exposed and patient-years of exposure post marketing in the different global territories are presented below.

Table 12 Estimated cumulative patient exposure (as of 13 November 2025)

Region	Patients exposed	Patient-years exposed
EEA ^a	722	721.61
ROW ^b	1062	1367.32
Total	1784	2088.93

Abbreviations: EEA, European Economic Area; ROW, Rest of world;

Part II: Module SVI - Additional EU requirements for the safety specification

Potential for misuse for illegal purposes

During the clinical development program there were no deaths or SAEs attributable to drug abuse or withdrawal, no overdoses, and no evidence of drug diversion or inappropriate self-administration. There is unlikely to be a potential for misuse with pegcetacoplan because it does not affect the CNS.

Evidence gathered to date does not suggest that pegcetacoplan has any risk of misuse for illegal purposes.

Part II: Module SVII - Identified and potential risks

SVII.1 Identification of safety concerns in the initial RMP submission

Summary of safety concerns	
Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	1. Serious infections 2. Serious hypersensitivity reactions 3. IVH after drug discontinuation 4. Immunogenicity 5. Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities 6. Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation

Abbreviations: IVH; Intravascular hemolysis; PEG, Polyethylene glycol.

SVII.1.1. Risks not considered important for inclusion in the list of safety concerns in the RMP

ISRs were reported in 37 % of subjects treated with pegcetacoplan during the RCP in the phase 3 pivotal clinical study, Study APL2-302. There were no ISR TEAEs that were serious or severe or led to study drug discontinuation.

Reason for not including an identified or potential risk in the list of safety concerns in the RMP:

Risks with minimal clinical impact on patients (in relation to the severity of the indication treated):

ISRs – There were 15 (of 41) subjects treated with pegcetacoplan during the RCP in Study APL2-302 who reported ISR TEAEs. Only 1 ISR was considered of moderate severity, and the rest were mild. There were no TEAEs of ISR that were serious or severe or led to study drug discontinuation.

The difference observed in drug-related TEAEs between the treatment groups in this study of s.c. administration is largely accounted for by ISRs, which were reported solely in the pegcetacoplan group. This was expected because subjects entering the study were already known to tolerate eculizumab by infusion administered every other week, and any preexisting AE related to eculizumab would be captured as medical history rather than a treatment-emergent AE.

Drug-related ISRs were also commonly reported in Study APL2-202, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, and Study APL2-CP0514, which included daily dosing. ISRs occurred in 2 subjects (50.0 %) in Study APL2-202, 8 subjects (40.0 %) in Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, and 5 of 6 subjects in Study APL2-CP0514. As with Study APL2-302, no ISRs were severe or led to discontinuation in these studies.

The observed ISRs in the C3G/IC-MPGN studies were in line with the findings in the PNH-studies.

SVII.1.2. Risks considered important for inclusion in the list of safety concerns in the RMP

At the time of the initial RMP, the following risks were included as important:

Table 13 Summary of safety concerns at the time of the initial RMP

Summary of safety concerns	
Important potential risks	Serious infections
	Serious hypersensitivity reactions
	IVH after drug discontinuation
	Immunogenicity
	Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities
	Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation
Missing information	Use in patients with BMF
	Use in pregnant women
	Long term safety (>1 year)

See Section [SVII.3](#) Details of important potential risks and missing information for further details.

SVII.2 New safety concerns and reclassification with a submission of an updated RMP

Not applicable.

SVII.3 Details of important identified risks, important potential risks, and missing information

SVII.3.1. Presentation of important identified risks and important potential risks

Important identified risk:

None.

Important potential risk 1:

Serious infections

Potential mechanisms:

The complement system is a crucial part of the innate immune system. It comprises over 30 membrane-bound and soluble components and has 3 major functions: (1) host defense by opsonization, chemotaxis, induction of inflammation, and lysis of targets; (2) interfacing between innate and adaptive immunity by augmenting the antibody response and immunological memory; and (3) the disposal of waste through the clearance of apoptotic cells and immune complexes (96).

The complement system protects against bacteria through separate and complementary mechanisms. The 2 main mechanisms are opsonization by anchoring C4b and C3b to a bacterial membrane that promotes phagocytosis and the formation of the MAC, which induces lysis of gram-negative bacteria through membrane pore formation (97). Bacterial labeling with C3-derived products also enhances antigen presentation to B cells and thereby triggers the development of an adaptive immune response.

Gram-negative bacteria are the main concern because they are the target of the MAC. Upon contact with bacterial cells, complement precursors are activated to act as the body's first line of defense through a variety of responses. The most rapid response is the formation of ring-structured pores, the MAC or C5b-9, that directly kills gram-negative bacteria within minutes. Gram-positive bacteria are resistant to the MAC, probably because their thick peptidoglycan outer layer prevents insertion of the MAC into the cell membrane (98).

The main effector functions of complement are driven by the cleavage of 2 central complement proteins: C3 and C5. The complement cascade is triggered by the recognition of bacteria via soluble pattern-recognition molecules or antibodies that bind both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria (separated on the basis of different cell wall composition). All recognition pathways converge in the formation of convertase enzymes on the surface of the bacterium. First, C3 convertases cleave complement protein C3 to generate C3b that exposes a reactive thioester bond; this can covalently attach to hydroxyl groups of carbohydrates on the bacterial surface. When C3b molecules are covalently deposited onto the bacterial surface, these efficiently trigger and facilitate phagocytosis by immune cells. C3b (and its breakdown product, iC3b) are recognized by complement receptors on myeloid (CR1, CR3, and CR4) and Kupffer cells (CRIg) and enhance the engulfment of opsonized particles, leading to intracellular (microbial) killing. The labeling of bacterial cells with C3 derived activation products also stimulates an adaptive immune response by directing the transport of bacteria to lymphoid organs and by enhancing antigen presentation to adaptive immune cells (98).

Another role of the deposited C3b molecules is to alter the specificity of the C3 convertase. At high local C3b densities, C3 convertases change into C5 convertases, meaning that they switch substrate from C3 to C5. Activation of C5 results in the release of peptide C5a, a strong chemoattractant that helps recruit phagocytes toward the site of infection and induces an oxidative burst. Additionally, C5a-mediated stimulation of basophils and mast cells triggers the production of histamine and subsequent vasodilatation (98).

Complement-dependent bacterial killing is one of the most rapid ways to kill an invading bacterium. Although both the labeling of bacteria with C3b and the MAC-dependent killing of gram-negatives occur within minutes, phagocyte attraction and subsequent intracellular killing takes longer (estimated as 30 minutes to 1 hour). The fact that pathogenic bacteria have evolved mechanisms to resist various steps in the complement cascade strongly supports the crucial role of complement in human defense against bacteria (98).

The ESID and ERN RITA Complement Guideline from 2020 summarizes primary immunodeficiencies and their consequences. Increased susceptibility to infection caused by encapsulated organisms is a key clinical consequence of inherited defects in the complement system (96). Specifically, deficiency of C3 and its regulators (factor H and factor I) has been associated with severe recurrent bacterial infections (99). Primary C3 deficiency is rare, with only about 20 cases reported in the literature. Because of its central position in the complement cascade and the variety of functions it serves, which include neutrophil chemotaxis, opsonophagocytosis, and serum bactericidal activity, these individuals suffer severe, recurrent infections caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae*, and *N. meningitidis* (99). Properdin and terminal component deficiencies result in an increased risk of neisserial infections (96); classical pathway deficiencies are often related to encapsulated bacteria such as *S. pneumoniae* (97).

The alternative pathway is a highly conserved surveillance system that is continuously turning over (undergoing tick-over) because of a labile thioester bond in C3 and thus does not require antibodies or lectins for activation. Properdin is a positive regulator of alternative pathway activity and works by stabilizing alternative pathway convertases. Properdin deficiency is a rare, hereditary, primary immunodeficiency (total number of known cases globally >100). Patients are unusually susceptible to neisserial infections. The deficiency manifests with either complete absence of the molecule (Type I), partial deficiency (Type II), or a normal level of dysfunctional protein (Type III). Properdin-deficient individuals are susceptible to meningococcal disease, which is frequently complicated by sepsis and most commonly occurs in adolescence (96). However, the presence of even small quantities of C3 tends to lessen the risk of infection in terms of frequency and severity (100).

Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence:

Increase in the incidence of infections and, specifically, meningococcal infections with the use of eculizumab has been established (30, 101). The rate of serious infection with eculizumab (a marketed C5 inhibitor) treatment in patients with PNH was 5.8 per 100 patient-years. Between 2007 and 2016, the rate of meningococcal infection in patients treated with eculizumab varied from 0.16 to 0.63 per 100 patient-years (101). 8 deaths (15.4 % of cases) occurred in PNH

patients treated with marketed C5 inhibitors with meningococcal infections, mostly because of delays in diagnosis and/or treatment of infection (101).

Inhibition of components of the complement system, including C3, might decrease innate immunity to encapsulated bacteria. This potentially increases the risk of serious infections from these bacteria in patients treated with pegcetacoplan. Studies have identified increased susceptibility to infection caused by encapsulated organisms as a key clinical consequence of congenital complement deficiency. Specifically, deficiency of C3 and its regulators (factor H and factor I) has been associated with severe recurrent bacterial infections caused by *S. pneumoniae*, *H. influenzae*, and *N. meningitidis* (99).

There have been no reports of infections by encapsulated meningococci attributable to pegcetacoplan through 1134.97 person-years of systemic pegcetacoplan exposure in ongoing and completed clinical trials and 2088.93 person-years of systemic pegcetacoplan exposure in the post-marketing setting.

Characterization of the risk:

There is no clear evidence so far that pegcetacoplan increases the risk of serious infections. Prior experience of PNH patients treated with C5 inhibitors and review of published data describing the risk of infection in patients with congenital complement deficiencies is the main reason for including serious infection as an important potential risk.

PNH studies

There were no events of sepsis reported in the RCP in Study APL2-302; however, 3 subjects experienced 3 severe SAEs in the OLP coded as postprocedural sepsis, biliary sepsis, and sepsis. The cases of postprocedural sepsis and sepsis (1 subject [1.3 %] each) were deemed by the investigator to be unrelated to pegcetacoplan. The case of biliary sepsis (1 subject [1.3 %]) was deemed by the investigator to be related to pegcetacoplan. Treatment dose in this subject was increased because of biliary sepsis. The 1 subject (1.3 %) who experienced sepsis withdrew from treatment due to the event. All 3 subjects recovered.

In Study APL2-308, there were no events of sepsis reported in the RCP; however, in the post-RCP, 1 subject (2.2 %) in the pegcetacoplan group and 1 subject (5.6 %) in the standard of care group experienced a severe serious TEAE of septic shock. Both events were fatal; however, neither event was deemed related to pegcetacoplan.

In Study APL2-302, at the end of 48 weeks, the types of events reported were generally consistent with those previously observed with pegcetacoplan treatment, and the incidence of infections was consistent with what was expected, given that the OLP was longer than the RCP. In Study APL2-308, there was no greater risk of infection seen in the pegcetacoplan group (8 subjects [17.4 %]) than the standard of care group (5 subjects [27.8 %]). Across all PNH studies (APL2-302, APL2-202, APL2-PNH-209, APL2-CP-PNH-204, APL2-CP0514, APL2-307, and APL2-308), the majority of AEs were not serious or severe, and there were no unexpected events and no AEs of meningitis.

There have been no reports of serious infections caused by encapsulated bacteria or meningococcal infections attributable to pegcetacoplan through 596.63 person-years of systemic pegcetacoplan PNH clinical trial exposure through the data cut-off date (13 November 2025).

The incidence rates for meningococcal infections are 7000- to 10 000-fold higher in patients with late complement component deficiency than in the general population (100) and 1000- to 2000-fold higher in patients receiving a marketed C5 inhibitor, eculizumab, under risk mitigation measures than in the general population (30). It should be noted that those with inherited complement deficiency are at risk from birth, whereas those treated with eculizumab are not at risk before they start complement inhibitor therapy. These findings suggest that the current mitigation measures are generally effective. Although approximately 95 % of patients with meningococcal infections were reported to have received vaccinations, patients were not vaccinated against all serotypes (101).

The risks of bacterial infections and sepsis in patients treated with eculizumab were reported on the basis of long-term post marketing safety monitoring in broader populations (101). Safety data were collected from spontaneous and solicited sources from 16 March 2007 through 01 October 2016. Cumulative exposure to eculizumab in patients with PNH was 21 016 patient-years. The incidence rate of any serious infections in patients treated with eculizumab was 5.8 per 100 patient-years for all infections; among all serious infections, sepsis was 11.7 % (101).

C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies

In Study APL2-C3G-310, TEAEs in the SOC of Infections and infestations were reported in 35 subjects (55.6%) in the pegcetacoplan group and 27 subjects (44.3%) in the placebo group during the RCP and 28 subjects (24.1%) treated with pegcetacoplan in the OLP. The majority of TEAEs were mild and moderate in severity. During the RCP, serious TEAEs in the SOC of Infections and infestations were reported in 3 subjects in the pegcetacoplan group (preferred terms [PTs]: COVID-19 pneumonia, influenza, and pneumonia) and 1 subject in the placebo group (PT: viral infection). All 4 serious events were considered by the investigator to be unrelated to the study drug. During the OLP, serious TEAEs in the SOC of Infections and infestations were reported in 3 subjects treated with pegcetacoplan (PTs: herpes zoster meningoencephalitis, pneumonia streptococcal, and viral infection).

In interim analysis of Study APL2-C3G-314, TEAEs in the SOC of Infections and infestations were reported in 10 subjects (18.9%). All TEAEs were mild and moderate in severity. No serious TEAEs in the SOC of Infections and infestations were reported.

In Part A of Study APL2-C3G-204, TEAEs in the SOC of Infections and infestations were reported in 9 subjects (69.2%). 4 subjects (40.0%) in Group 1 of the Part A Controlled Portion, 5 subjects (50.0%) in Group 1 of the Part A Non-Controlled Portion, and 2 subjects (66.7%) in Group 2 of the Part A Non-Controlled Portion reported TEAEs. The majority of AEs were mild or moderate in severity. There were 5 serious TEAEs in the SOC of Infections and infestations reported in 3 subjects. The PTs were genital herpes simplex (n=2), pneumonia, *pneumocystis jirovecii* infection, and arthritis bacteria. The serious TEAE of pneumonia was due to an encapsulated bacteria (*S. pneumoniae*) and was considered by the company as not related to pegcetacoplan.

In Study APL2-201, TEAEs in the SOC of Infections and infestations were reported in 6 subjects (85.7%) in the C3G group and 2 subjects (50.0%) in the IgA nephropathy group. All TEAEs

were mild and moderate in severity. No serious TEAEs in the SOC Infections and infestations were reported.

There have been no reports of serious infections caused by encapsulated bacteria or meningococcal infections attributable to pegcetacoplan through 287.69 person-years of systemic pegcetacoplan C3G/primary IC-MPGN clinical trial exposure.

Postmarketing

As of 13 November 2025, a search of the safety database for any case with a PT in the SOC of Infections and infestations retrieved 383 serious infections in 284 cases, with a reporting rate of 0.18 (383/2088.93 patient-years; very common).

Reporter causality was not related for 68 (17.8%) events, related for 31 (8.1%) events, and unlikely related for 2 (0.5%); reporter causality was not provided for 282 (73.6%) events. The majority of events with outcome reported were resolved or resolving (183; 46.2%), with 31 (8.1%) reported as not resolved and 17 (4.4%) reported as fatal; outcome was unknown for 152 (39.7%) events.

As of 13 November 2025, there has been 1 case of sepsis caused by encapsulated bacteria (*S. pneumoniae*) attributable to pegcetacoplan. Medical history included complications with hyperlipidemia and Hashimoto's disease. Treatment with pegcetacoplan was temporarily withdrawn, and the event resolved. The reporter assessed the event as related to pegcetacoplan and, although limited information was available regarding the event, the company also considered the event related to pegcetacoplan. The company causality assessment for the event of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* test positive was updated from unlikely to not related in light of follow-up information in October 2024.

As of 13 November 2025, there has been 1 case reporting meningococcal infection (*N. meningitidis*), although this was reported as a capsule-free strain. The patient had a medical history of aplastic anemia and immunosuppressive treatment.

Review of the cases from postmarketing sources supports the categorization of this risk as an important potential risk.

Risk factors and risk groups:

Although C3 inhibition has the potential to impact an individual's ability to mount an adaptive immune response, therapeutic complement inhibition during adulthood is less likely to be detrimental than congenital C3 deficiency because adaptive immunity in older individuals has already been established and developed. Most of these effects can be managed with appropriate prophylactic measures, such as immunization and antibiotic therapy. C3 inhibition by pegcetacoplan may not result in broad adverse infection associated with C3 deficiency because the manifestations of primary complement deficiencies may not be adequate indicators of the safety of complement therapeutics.

In addition, alternative immune mechanisms to address infections in the absence of MAC deficiency associated with C3 inhibition are likely to exist, particularly in the inflammatory milieu of PNH. For example, although targeting C3 is likely to increase the risk of infections by encapsulated bacteria, such as *N. meningitidis*, because of downstream MAC deficiencies associated with C3 inhibition, activated phagocytes in the absence of the MAC have been shown

to contribute to the killing of *N. meningitidis*, albeit with less efficiency. This is often mediated by activated neutrophils and other immune cells (102).

Risk groups:

- Unvaccinated patients or patients who do not maintain sufficient antibodies to the vaccines given before or during treatment might have a higher risk of infection due to encapsulated bacteria.
- Patients with PNH-associated BMF (including aplastic anemia PNH and myelodysplastic syndrome) have a higher risk of serious infection due to neutropenia (24, 33, 101).
- For patients who had solid organ (renal) transplant or BMTx, receiving immunosuppressive treatment (e.g., high-dose steroids, mycophenolate mofetil, ciclosporin, and tacrolimus) is a risk factor (101).
- Individuals exposed to certain bacteria through work or travel might have a higher risk of infection. Groups at risk may include day-care workers, laboratory workers, military personnel, and other individuals with heightened levels of exposure to pathogenic bacteria.

Preventability:

Vaccinations against encapsulated organisms, including *S. pneumoniae*, *N. meningitidis*, and *H. influenzae* can help mitigate the risk of infection. 2 weeks prior to initiating treatment with pegcetacoplan, patients are required to be vaccinated against *S. pneumoniae*; *N. meningitidis* A, C, W, Y, and B; and *H. influenzae*. No vaccines are contraindicated in patients with complement deficiencies, meaning that live vaccines can be administered. The efficacy of vaccines in patients with complement deficiencies has not been evaluated in large studies (96). However, there is evidence that in a complement deficiency population, vaccine does not confer full protection (97).

In addition to vaccination, prophylactic antibiotic therapy can be administered at the discretion of the treating physician in accordance with local treatment guidelines for patients with PNH who are receiving treatment with a complement inhibitor. Broad-spectrum antibiotic coverage should be provided to patients who are not yet vaccinated and, where appropriate, the vaccine should be given time to work.

Vaccination boosters are required periodically as recommended by the ACIP for patients with complement deficiencies as determined by the healthcare professional or as required by Member States (103).

Sepsis is defined as a life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection according to the updated consensus definition by the Society of Critical Care Medicine and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine (104). For clinical operationalization, organ dysfunction can be represented by an increase in the Sequential (Sepsis-related) Organ Failure Assessment score of 2 points or more (104).

Sepsis cannot be predicted; thus, early identification is critical. Patients with suspected infection who are likely to have a prolonged stay in an intensive care unit or to die in the hospital can be promptly identified at the bedside with a quick Sequential Organ Failure Assessment clinical score (criteria are alteration in mental status, systolic blood pressure of 100 mmHg or less, or respiratory rate ≥ 22 /minute) (104).

To minimize the risk, patients should be monitored for early signs of meningococcal infections and other serious infections and evaluated immediately if infection is suspected. To that end, mitigation measures, including vaccinations, safety cards, and educational materials for patients and physicians will be implemented (see [Part V](#)).

Impact on the risk-benefit balance of the product:

In Study APL2-302, pegcetacoplan monotherapy provided sustained improvements in Hb across the entire study (mean CFB of 2.69 g/dL at Week 48 for all subjects on pegcetacoplan monotherapy). In Study APL2-308, pegcetacoplan demonstrated superiority with regard to Hb stabilization. The majority of subjects in the pegcetacoplan group (85.7 %) and no subjects in the standard of care group achieved Hb stabilization through Week 26 ($P < 0.0001$). Pegcetacoplan demonstrated superiority with regard to Hb response. The majority of subjects in the pegcetacoplan group (71.4 %) and 1 subject in the standard of care group (5.6 %) achieved an increase of ≥ 1 g/dL in Hb concentration ($P < 0.0001$).

In Study APL2-302, over 70 % of subjects were transfusion-free at Week 48 (73.2 % of subjects who continued on pegcetacoplan during the OLP and 71.8 % of subjects who switched to pegcetacoplan during the OLP). In Study APL2-308, pegcetacoplan demonstrated superiority with regard to the proportion of subjects who received a transfusion or had a decrease in Hb concentration of > 2 g/dL. The majority of subjects (91.4 %) in the pegcetacoplan group and 5.6 % of subjects in the standard of care group had transfusion avoidance ($P < 0.0001$).

In Study APL2-302, ARC, a marker of hematopoietic bone marrow compensatory activity in the setting of anemia, was improved and sustained with pegcetacoplan monotherapy across the entire study (CFB $-132.05 \times 10^9/L$ at Week 48). In Study APL2-308, pegcetacoplan demonstrated superiority with regard to CFB in ARC at Week 26, with LS mean changes of -123.26×10^9 cells/L in the pegcetacoplan group and -19.44×10^9 cells/L in the standard of care group ($P = 0.0002$).

In Study APL2-302, LDH level (a marker of IVH) was decreased from baseline on pegcetacoplan therapy, and control was maintained across the entire study; 56.1 % of subjects achieved LDH normalization in the absence of transfusion at Week 48. In Study APL2-308, pegcetacoplan demonstrated superiority with regard to CFB in LDH at Week 26, with LS mean changes of -1870.5 U/L in the pegcetacoplan group and -400.09 U/L in the standard of care group ($P < 0.0001$).

In Study APL2-302, pegcetacoplan monotherapy demonstrated clinically meaningful improvement in FACIT-Fatigue Scale score across the entire study, and a 10.12-point increase in FACIT-Fatigue Scale score was seen on pegcetacoplan monotherapy at Week 48. In Study APL2-308, the proportion of subjects achieving improvement of ≥ 3 points in FACIT-Fatigue Scale score, which is generally considered clinically meaningful, was greater in the pegcetacoplan group (60 %) than in the standard of care group (11.1 %) at Week 26.

The safety data in Study APL2-302 support the conclusion that the overall safety of pegcetacoplan is similar to that of eculizumab through Week 16. Continuation of pegcetacoplan monotherapy through Week 48 demonstrated a favorable safety profile. No unexpected safety concerns associated with the use of pegcetacoplan in patients with PNH were observed. In

Study APL2-308, pegcetacoplan was well tolerated, and the safety findings in this study were consistent with the known safety profile of pegcetacoplan.

In Study APL2-C3G-310, the treatment effect of pegcetacoplan has been demonstrated by a statistically significant and clinically relevant proteinuria reduction, with more participants achieving the composite renal endpoint, and achieving proteinuria reduction of at least 50% compared to baseline. In addition, there were robust improvements on the endpoints of reduction in C3c staining on kidney biopsy and stabilization of kidney function as measured by eGFR. The safety profile of pegcetacoplan was consistent with previously reported data. Pegcetacoplan was safe and well tolerated in participants with C3G or primary IC-MPGN. No new safety signals were identified.

In Study APL2-C3G-314, the efficacy results demonstrated that long-term treatment of pegcetacoplan led to sustained reduction of uPCR and stabilization of eGFR in patients with C3G or primary IC-MPGN. The safety data supported the conclusion that pegcetacoplan had an acceptable safety profile and was generally well tolerated.

The benefits of pegcetacoplan, therefore, outweigh the risks.

The risk will be further characterized in the Sobi.PEGCET-301 PASS (see [Part III: Pharmacovigilance plan](#), Section III.2). This will allow better quantification of the risk in a real-world population.

Public health impact:

No impact from this risk is expected on public health at the population level.

Important potential risk 2

Serious hypersensitivity reactions

Potential mechanisms:

The risk of serious hypersensitivity reactions is a risk that is based on the potential of any medicinal product and, specifically, a product structure including a PEG molecule. Drug hypersensitivity reactions are unpredictable adverse drug reactions. They manifest either within 1 to 6 hours following drug intake (immediate reactions) with mild to life-threatening symptoms of serious hypersensitivity reactions or several hours to days later (delayed reactions), primarily as exanthematous eruptions (105).

Pegcetacoplan, the active ingredient in pegcetacoplan solution for s.c. infusion 1080 mg/20 mL, is a symmetrical molecule composed of 2 pentadecapeptides covalently bound to the ends of a linear 40-kDa PEG molecule. The peptide moieties bind to complement C3 and exert a broad inhibition of the complement cascade. The 40-kDa PEG moiety imparts improved solubility and longer residence time in the body after administration of the drug product.

PEG has very low toxicity and, because of its simple structure, is assumed to be of low immunogenicity (106).

Recently, several reports correlated the generation of anti-PEG antibodies with loss of therapeutic efficacy, and there has been an increase of reported adverse effects after repeated administrations (107). However, there are conflicting reported results on both the level of anti-PEG antibodies found and the level of correlation (if any) with efficacy and AEs (106).

A study reported a high occurrence (22 % to 25 %) of anti-PEG IgM antibodies in normal donors. These IgM antibodies were also identified with a hemagglutination assay using PEG-coated erythrocytes. This higher incidence of anti-PEG antibodies in the normal population compared with the incidence found in an earlier study is often cited in the literature as evidence of an increasing incidence of preexisting anti-PEG antibodies, which may compromise the use of PEGylated biopharmaceuticals and other PEGylated forms of therapy. However, although comparable assay technologies were used, other limitations make it impossible to directly compare results and draw the conclusion of an increasing incidence of anti-PEG antibodies in the healthy donor population. These numbers contrast with a report in which about 4 % of 350 healthy blood donors were found antibody-positive using a commercially available ELISA assay. The animal data also show conflicting results concerning the immunogenicity of PEG. In all cases, a PEGylated product was necessary to induce an antibody response; PEG alone was not immunogenic. The immunogenic properties were dependent on the protein and the size and chemical composition of the terminal part of the PEG moiety (106).

Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence:

Events of hypersensitivity have been reported in the PNH clinical studies, including serious events. In addition, cases of anaphylactic reaction and anaphylactic shock have been reported in the post marketing setting. Based on the currently available evidence, “serious hypersensitivity reactions” is considered as an important potential risk.

Characterization of the risk:

To date, in 606 patients and 1134.97 person-years of systemic pegcetacoplan exposure in ongoing and completed clinical trials, no reported cases of anaphylaxis have occurred.

As of 13 November 2025, there have been 6 post-marketing reports of serious hypersensitivity reactions (3 events of anaphylactic reaction, 2 events of anaphylactic shock and 1 event of hypersensitivity) assessed as related in 2088.93 patient years of systemic pegcetacoplan exposure.

Risk factors and risk groups:

Patients with a history of hypersensitivity to PEG are considered to have an increased risk of being hypersensitive to pegcetacoplan.

Preventability:

Any hypersensitivity to pegcetacoplan should be evaluated carefully, and continuation of treatment plan assessed carefully. Prior hypersensitivity puts patients at risk for serious hypersensitivity reactions.

In the case of a serious hypersensitivity reaction, pegcetacoplan infusion should be immediately discontinued, and appropriate treatment needs to be instituted.

Impact on the risk-benefit balance of the product:

No impact from this risk is expected on the risk-benefit balance.

Public health impact:

No impact from this risk is expected on public health at the population level.

Important potential risk 3:

IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only)

Potential mechanisms:

The mechanism of IVH after drug discontinuation is the result of the activity of pegcetacoplan in controlling the PNH disease process. Once pegcetacoplan is not present, the complement system attack of PNH RBCs can lead to hemolysis. PNH is characterized by the clonal expansion of HSCs and their progeny, mature blood cells, which carry an acquired somatic mutation in the PIG-A gene. PIG-A codes for an enzyme that is essential for the biosynthesis of the GPI anchor, a protein modification allowing the attachment of proteins to the cell membrane. The preferential expansion of these PIG-A mutated HSCs leads to the release of RBCs into the circulation that lack, among other GPI-anchored proteins, the 2 key complement regulators CD55 and CD59. As a result of this deficiency, PNH erythrocytes are incapable of withstanding physiologic complement activation (because of spontaneous C3 tick-over or bystander activation) and undergo persistent C3 opsonization and terminal pathway activation that culminate in MAC-mediated IVH (108).

Pegcetacoplan binds to human C3 and C3b, resulting in proximal inhibition of the complement cascade. It inhibits the activity of the alternative complement pathway, which protects the PNH RBCs from hemolysis. The PNH erythrocytes cannot properly curb complement activation on their surface that leads to IVH and EVH (108).

This was demonstrated in the clonal distribution data for pegcetacoplan in the pivotal clinical study, Study APL2-302.

The bone marrow of patients with PNH contains 2 distinct populations of clonal HSCs (PIG-A deficient and PIG-A competent) that give rise to all blood elements, namely RBCs, white blood cells, and platelets. RBCs issued from the PIG-A deficient mutant clonal population within the bone marrow are particularly sensitive to complement-mediated lysis (109).

Measurement of the proportion of monocytes lacking a GPI anchor (i.e., fluorescein-labeled proaerolysin negative) is believed to correlate with the proportion of the bone marrow populated by PIG-A deficient stem cell clones (i.e., PNH clonal population). However, measurement of the proportion of RBCs lacking a GPI anchor (i.e., CD59 dim [Type II] and CD59-deficient [Type III]; PNH RBCs) normally shows a disproportionally reduced proportion of PNH RBCs because of the ongoing hemolysis that destroys these cells in the interval between their production by the bone marrow and their measurement by flow cytometry. An intervention that protects PNH RBCs from hemolytic destruction will cause the proportion of PNH RBCs to increase. A proportion of PNH RBCs (Type II and Type III) that matches the proportion of PNH monocytes indicates that little hemolysis is taking place and that the proportion measured is likely to reflect the production of both types of RBCs by the bone marrow (normal Type I RBCs versus PNH [Type II and Type III] RBCs) (109).

In Study APL2-302, as expected, the proportions of PNH granulocytes and monocytes did not change during the course of the study in either treatment group. The percentages of clonal distribution of PNH Type II and Type III RBCs increased from 66.80 % at baseline to 93.85 % at Week 16 with pegcetacoplan treatment, which was maintained to Week 48. PNH Type II and Type III RBCs was close to 90 % at Week 48 for subjects in both the pegcetacoplan/pegcetacoplan group and the eculizumab/pegcetacoplan group, indicating similar efficacy in both treatment arms. This increase in Type III PNH RBCs after pegcetacoplan indicates a reduction in hemolysis and protection of the PNH RBCs, corresponding to the rise in Hb levels. The sum of mean clonal distribution of PNH RBCs (percentage PNH Type II + Type III) was close to 90 % of overall PNH RBCs at Week 48 for subjects in both the pegcetacoplan group and the eculizumab group. This is similar to the percentage of FLAER observed for PNH monocytes, suggesting that repeated dosing of pegcetacoplan can preserve PNH Type II and Type III cells in PNH patients by preventing hemolysis. The percentage of PNH Type II and III cells with C3d decreased from 17.73 % at baseline to 0.20 % at Week 16 with pegcetacoplan treatment, which was maintained to Week 48. The eculizumab/pegcetacoplan group had a consistently high percentage of PNH Type II and III cells with C3d deposition at baseline (19.82 %) and at Week 16 (16.94 %) during treatment with eculizumab, consistent with ongoing IVH despite C5i-therapy. This was reduced to 0.07 % after treatment with pegcetacoplan monotherapy. For subjects in the eculizumab/pegcetacoplan group, there was a decrease in C3 deposition on Type II and III RBCs after switching to dosing of pegcetacoplan at Week 17. At Week 48, the percentages of C3 deposition on Type I PNH, Type II PNH, and Type III PNH RBCs for subjects in eculizumab/pegcetacoplan group were similar to those in pegcetacoplan group. C3 deposition is an indicator of opsonization and EVH, suggesting that pegcetacoplan protects Type II and III PNH cells from complement-mediated attack and EVH.

Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence:

The PNH disease process and mechanism of control for it by complement inhibition is the source of this risk. Inhibition of complement C3 protects circulating RBCs, produced by mutant stem cell clones, from hemolysis. Discontinuation of treatment risks acute hemolytic crisis because of these RBCs becoming vulnerable to destruction in patients with PNH (28).

Characterization of the risk:

IVH is a known potential risk when complement inhibition is stopped in patients with PNH.

Hemolysis occurring in study subjects after sudden pegcetacoplan withdrawal has been observed. In Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, 1 subject had pegcetacoplan administration withheld by the investigational site for 8 days, without consultation with the study sponsor, because of a herpes zoster infection. The subject was instructed by the investigator to resume administration immediately and received pegcetacoplan on the next 2 days. On the following day, the subject withheld pegcetacoplan dosing because of abdominal discomfort and was subsequently diagnosed with severe hemolysis. The gap in this subject's pegcetacoplan dosing was associated with the onset of hemolysis.

In Study APL2-CP0514, pegcetacoplan treatment was temporarily ceased for 1 subject following an SAE of alanine aminotransferase increased. 20 days later, the subject had an SAE of anemia that was attributed to rebound hemolysis following cessation of pegcetacoplan treatment.

Overall, 22 subjects (27.5 %) treated with pegcetacoplan had an event in the SMQ of Haemolytic disorders (1 subject [1.3 %] had a mild event, 9 subjects [11.3 %] had moderate events, and 12 subjects [15.0 %] had severe events), including 8 subjects (10.0 %) experiencing serious hemolytic events. Hemolysis (in 19 subjects [23.8 %]) was the most common TEAE in the SMQ of Haemolytic disorders. 3 subjects (3.8 %) experienced hemolysis that was deemed by the investigator to be related to pegcetacoplan. As a result of the hemolytic events, the dose of pegcetacoplan was increased in 10 subjects, and the study drug was withdrawn in 5 subjects. In the RCP, TEAEs of hemolysis occurred less frequently in the pegcetacoplan group (5 subjects [12.2 %]) than in the eculizumab group (14 subjects [35.9 %]). This suggests that no additional risk for hemolysis is associated with pegcetacoplan treatment.

In Study APL2-308, no hemolytic disorders were reported during the RCP. In the post-RCP, 2 subjects (5.7 %) treated with pegcetacoplan had an event in the SMQ of Haemolytic disorders (1 subject [2.2 %] had a moderate event of hemolysis and 1 subject [2.2 %] had a severe event of breakthrough hemolysis). 1 additional subject (9.1 %) in the standard of care to pegcetacoplan group experienced a moderate event of hemolysis. In all 3 instances, the events resulted in a dose increase.

In the post marketing setting, there have been reports of hemolysis that occurred after pegcetacoplan discontinuation, several missed doses, delayed dosing, or lower than prescribed dosing. These observations are in line with the data from the clinical studies. In conclusion, the review of these cases did not reveal any new information that would change the conclusions regarding the important potential risk of discontinuation-related intravascular hemolysis.

Risk factors and risk groups:

Patients with PNH who are being treated with a complement inhibitor and who have not been established on an effective alternative therapy at the time of discontinuation of a complement inhibitor are at higher risk for IVH after drug discontinuation.

Preventability:

If patients with PNH discontinue treatment with pegcetacoplan, they should be closely monitored for signs and symptoms of serious IVH. Serious IVH is identified by elevated LDH levels along with a sudden decrease in PNH clone size or Hb, or reappearance of symptoms such as fatigue, hemoglobinuria, abdominal pain, dyspnea, MAVE (including thrombosis), dysphagia, or erectile dysfunction. If discontinuation of this medicinal product is necessary, alternate therapy should be considered. If serious hemolysis occurs after discontinuation, consider the following procedures/treatments: blood transfusion (packed RBCs), exchange transfusion, anticoagulation, and corticosteroids. Patients should be closely monitored for at least 8 weeks from the last dose, representing more than 5 half-lives of pegcetacoplan, to allow for pegcetacoplan washout and to detect serious hemolysis and other reactions after discontinuation. In addition, slow weaning should be considered.

Impact on the risk-benefit balance of the product:

No impact from this risk is expected on the risk-benefit balance.

Public health impact:

Pegcetacoplan adds an efficacious treatment option for PNH in controlling both IVH and EVH. No impact from this risk is expected on public health at the population level.

Important potential risk 4:*Immunogenicity*Potential mechanisms:

As with all therapeutic proteins, autoantibodies may develop against pegcetacoplan. The exact mechanism is not known.

Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence:

Immunogenicity is a known potential of all medicinal products and is a class effect of all therapeutic peptides and proteins. No significant data have been identified for risk factors for immunogenicity in patients with PNH or C3G/IC-MPGN, neither within the conducted clinical trials for PNH or C3G/IC-MPGN, nor identified in further publicly available articles or literature related to immunogenicity or antibodies to drug.

Characterization of the risk:

Infrequent and generally transient anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibody responses have been detected in pegcetacoplan-treated subjects across all clinical studies. The incidence of anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibodies was low; when it occurred, the titer value was low. A high percentage of preexisting anti-PEG antibody responses has been reported in the predose samples. However, low incidences of treatment-emergent or treatment-boosted anti-PEG antibody response were observed across all clinical studies, and many of those responses were transient. These ADA responses had no noticeable impact on the PK/PD, efficacy, or safety profile of pegcetacoplan.

An NAb assay was not developed to test samples positive for anti-PEG antibody because the PEG portion of the molecule is not the active moiety for mechanism of action. Furthermore, ADA to either the PEG or the active moiety of pegcetacoplan were selected as covariates of interest for evaluation of PK parameters of pegcetacoplan in population PK analysis. The results demonstrated that ADA (to either PEG or the active moiety) had no statistically significant impact on the PK parameters of pegcetacoplan.

PNH studies

In Study APL2-308, of the 46 subjects who received at least 1 dose of pegcetacoplan, 38 tested positive for anti-PEG antibodies. Of these, 7 developed a treatment-emergent response, and 5 developed a treatment-boosted response. Of the subjects treated with standard of care, 1 subject developed a treatment-emergent anti-PEG response, and 3 subjects developed a treatment-boosted anti-PEG response.

In Study APL2-302, all subjects received 4 weeks of s.c. dosing of pegcetacoplan during the run-in period. At Week 16, no subjects randomized to the pegcetacoplan group tested positive for anti pegcetacoplan peptide during the RCP. No trend of reduction in systemic pegcetacoplan exposure or clinical efficacy was observed in both subjects. The therapeutic effect of pegcetacoplan was maintained through Week 16. There were no reports of anti pegcetacoplan antibody during the OLP. The results indicate that there was no discernible effect of the neutralizing capability of the ADAs on PK or clinical efficacy. Testing for anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibody response in all other visits prior to and after Week 16 was negative for both subjects.

No samples tested positive for anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibodies in Study APL2-202 and Study 102.

2 samples tested positive for anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibodies in Study APL2-CP-PNH-204. Both samples were negative for NAb analysis.

1 sample tested positive for anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibodies in Study 205. The sample was the Day 1 predose sample before the 1st administration of pegcetacoplan. Because this Day 1 predose sample was the only sample positive for ADA in this study, NAb testing was not performed for this study. However, the PK profile for this subject was similar to those of other subjects in the study.

3 samples tested positive for anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibodies in Study 101:

- The Day 84 sample for a subject tested positive for ADA with a titer of <1:10 and also tested positive in the NAb analysis. Day 84 was the last regular visit for the study. A follow-up sample obtained 550 days after End of Visit (Day 84) tested negative for anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibody. The PK profile for this subject was similar to those of others in the same cohort.
- The Day 84 sample for another subject tested positive for ADA with a titer of 1:10 and also tested positive in the NAb analysis. A follow-up sample could not be obtained because the subject moved geographically, and it was not practical to obtain a poststudy blood sample. The PK profile for this subject was similar to others in the same cohort.
- The Day 1 predose sample in a third subject tested positive for ADA with a titer of 1:10 but subsequently tested negative in the NAb analysis. The assessment of NAb results on clinical efficacy is N/A to Study 101 because it is a healthy volunteer study.

C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies

In Study APL2-C3G-310, ADA incidence (seroconverted ADA or boosted ADA from preexisting level) was 23.6% for anti-PEG and 16.3% for antipegcetacoplan peptide. Based on population PK and pharmacodynamic analysis, ADAs had no clinically meaningful impact on efficacy, safety, or PK/PD in a pooled analysis population. 5 patients also tested positive for NAb. NAb response had no apparent impact on PK or clinical efficacy. 29 out of 123 patients developed anti-PEG antibodies; 14 were seroconversions and 15 were treatment-boosted.

No samples tested positive for antipegcetacoplan peptide antibodies in Study APL2-C3G-204 (Part A).

In Study APL2-201, of the 7 subjects in the C3G group, 3 tested positive for anti-PEG antibodies. All 3 developed a treatment-emergent response. 2 subjects developed neutralizing antibodies.

In the APL2-201 clinical study report, results of a preliminary exploratory analysis suggest that there is no correlation between antipegcetacoplan peptide ADA status or titer and pegcetacoplan clearance in the limited number of participants in this study. No conclusions can be made regarding the effect of antipegcetacoplan peptide neutralizing antibodies on the safety or efficacy of pegcetacoplan due to the small number of patients who developed neutralizing antibodies (2 participants in the C3G cohort).

In the post marketing setting, there have been no cases reported involving anti pegcetacoplan antibodies and/or anti-PEG antibodies.

Risk factors and risk groups:

In the pegcetacoplan clinical development program, the immunogenicity potential of pegcetacoplan was assessed by evaluation of samples using validated assays for assessment of anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibody and anti-PEG antibody in human serum samples. There was no apparent correlation of antibody development to an altered PK profile. There has been no observed correlation of ADA development to clinical response or AEs in healthy subjects or subjects with PNH, or subjects with C3G/primary IC-MPGN.

Preventability:

Due to the nature of these events, it is unlikely that they can be prevented. Appropriate information is included in the proposed SmPC.

Impact on the risk-benefit balance of the product:

Patients with persistent high-titer ADAs may be at risk for loss of efficacy. There has been no observed correlation between ADA development and loss of efficacy or AEs in pegcetacoplan studies in healthy, PNH subjects, or subjects with C3G/primary IC-MPGN.

No impact from this risk is expected on the risk-benefit balance.

Public health impact:

There has been no observed correlation of ADA development to clinical response or to AEs in healthy subjects, subjects with PNH, or subjects with C3G/primary IC-MPGN.

No impact from this risk is expected on public health at the population level.

Important potential risk 5:*Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities*Potential mechanisms:*Malignancies*

The complement system is involved in the immune editing of malignancies and can play an active protumorigenic role in tumor progression. Chronic inflammation promoted by complement proves to be protumorigenic at different levels – promoting cell death and compensatory proliferation; inducing Tregs, which impair cancer immunity; and promoting immune-suppressive myeloid environment (myeloid-derived suppressor cells, neutrophils, etc). However, the complement system may also promote acute inflammation and participate in mechanisms of immune surveillance directly targeting tumor cells at the early stages of tumor development. It may also be a key player in promoting complement-dependent cytotoxicity-mediated killing of cancer cells (110).

Hematologic abnormalities

PNH is an acquired, clonal, nonmalignant hematologic disease characterized by complement-mediated RBC hemolysis with or without hemoglobinuria, an increased susceptibility to thrombotic episodes, and/or some degree of bone marrow dysfunction (8). PNH is caused by complement-mediated lysis of erythrocyte clones lacking functional CD55 and CD59 on their surface to protect them against this process. As such, these erythrocytes are particularly susceptible to the formation of the MAC and have been shown to lyse readily in the presence of complement activation (8).

No mechanism is known regarding pegcetacoplan as a cause of hematologic abnormalities in the C3G or primary IC-MPGN indication.

Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence:

Prior experience of PNH patients treated with C5 inhibitors and review of published data describing the risk of malignancies and hematologic abnormalities in patients with congenital complement deficiencies is the main reason for including this as an important potential risk.

In clinical trials of eculizumab, the blood and lymphatic system disorders leukopenia and anemia were common ($\geq 1/100$ to $< 1/10$), and thrombocytopenia and lymphopenia were uncommon ($\geq 1/1000$ to $< 1/100$). Malignancies have been reported in patients with PNH at a rate of 2.6 reports per 100 patient-years (101). However, increase in the incidence of malignancies with the use of eculizumab has not been established. Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified were rare ($\geq 1/10\ 000$ to $< 1/1000$; Soliris, Alexion Pharmaceuticals) with eculizumab treatment. In post marketing assessments of the safety of eculizumab, the reporting rate for solid tumors remained stable over time at approximately 0.6 per 100 patient-years (101).

Characterization of the risk:*Malignancies**PNH studies*

The occurrence of all TEAEs in the SOC of Neoplasms, Benign, Malignant and Unspecified (including Cysts and Polyps) was low for pegcetacoplan-treated subjects in the PNH studies.

No malignancies were reported in Study APL2-308.

In Study APL2-302, 2 subjects (2.5 %) experienced a moderate TEAE of basal cell carcinoma. 1 subject experienced a severe TEAE of acute myeloid leukemia, and 1 subject experienced a severe TEAE of diffuse large B cell lymphoma. These events were not deemed by the investigator to be related to pegcetacoplan. 1 subject experienced a mild TEAE of skin papilloma, which was deemed by the investigator to be related to pegcetacoplan.

In Study APL2-CP-PNH-204 Cohort 2, 1 (5.0 %) subject experienced a severe SAE of abdominal neoplasm, deemed by the investigator to be unrelated to pegcetacoplan. The event of abdominal neoplasm led to withdrawal of pegcetacoplan. Neither event was resolved by the end of the treatment period.

C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies

In Study APL2-C3G-310 (RCP and OLP), 1 subject experienced a moderate TEAE of skin papilloma in the SOC of Neoplasms, benign, malignant and unspecified (including cysts and polyps), which was deemed by the investigator to be unrelated to pegcetacoplan.

In Study APL2-C3G-314, no subjects experienced a TEAE in the SOC of Neoplasms, benign, malignant and unspecified (including cysts and polyps).

In Study APL2-C3G-204 (Part A), 1 subject (7.7%) experienced a moderate TEAE of anogenital warts and a mild TEAE of skin papilloma. Neither TEAE was deemed by the investigator to be related to pegcetacoplan.

No malignancies were reported in Study APL2-201.

In the post marketing setting, no new information on the risk of malignancies has been revealed.

*Hematologic abnormalities**PNH studies*

A review of all TEAEs in the SOC of Blood and Lymphatic System Disorders in Study APL2-302, Study APL2-202, Study APL2-CP-PNH-204, and Study APL2-CP0514 revealed an incidence of 0 % in Study APL2-202 (n=4), an incidence of 30.0 % in Study APL2-CP-PNH-204 (n=20), an incidence of 16.7 % in Study APL2-CP0514 for pegcetacoplan, an incidence of 38.8 % for pegcetacoplan in Study APL2-302, and an incidence of 21.7 % for pegcetacoplan in Study APL2-308.

During Study APL2-302, 22 subjects (27.5 %) had a hemolytic disorder (12 subjects had severe events, 9 subjects had moderate events, and 1 subject had at least 1 mild event). 8 subjects experienced an SAE within the SMQ of Haemolytic disorders. Hemolysis was the most common TEAE (19 subjects [23.8 %]). 3 subjects each had an event of hemolysis that was determined to

be related to the study drug. As a result of the hemolytic events, the dose of pegcetacoplan was increased in 10 subjects, and the study drug was withdrawn in 5 subjects. Subjects also had events of hemolytic anemia (2 subjects [2.5 %]; 1 SAE that was determined to be possibly related to the study drug), hemoglobin, anemia, hemoglobinuria, and IVH (all in 1 subject).

In Study APL2-308, no hemolytic disorders were reported during the RCP. In the post-RCP, 2 subjects (5.7 %) treated with pegcetacoplan had an event in the SMQ of Haemolytic disorders (1 subject [2.2 %] had a moderate of hemolysis and 1 subject [2.2 %] had a severe event of breakthrough hemolysis). 1 additional subject (9.1 %) in the standard of care to pegcetacoplan group experienced a moderate event of hemolysis. In all 3 instances, the events resulted in a dose increase.

C3G/primary IC-MPGN studies

In Study APL2-C3G-310, 5 subjects (7.9%) in the pegcetacoplan group and 7 subjects (11.5%) in the placebo group experienced TEAEs in the SOC of Blood and lymphatic system disorders during the RCP. The most frequently reported PT in the pegcetacoplan group was lymphadenopathy (2 subjects), and all other events occurred in 1 subject each. In the OLP, 7 subjects (6.0%) treated with pegcetacoplan experienced TEAEs in the SOC of Blood and lymphatic system disorders. The most frequently reported PTs were anaemia (4 subjects), lymphadenopathy (3 subjects), leukopenia, and neutropenia (2 subjects each). In both the RCP and OLP, all events were mild or moderate and no events were serious.

In Study APL2-C3G-314, 3 subjects (5.7%) experienced TEAEs in the SOC of Blood and lymphatic system disorders. All events were mild or moderate. No events were serious. The PTs were anaemia, leukopenia, and thrombocytopenia (1 subject each).

In Study APL2-C3G-204 (Part A), 4 subjects (30.8%) experienced TEAEs in the SOC of Blood and lymphatic system disorders. The PTs were anaemia (3 subjects) and neutropenia (1 subject). All events of anaemia were mild, and the event of neutropenia was severe.

In Study APL2-201, of the 7 subjects in the C3G group, 2 subjects (28.6%) experienced TEAEs in the in the SOC of Blood and lymphatic system disorders. The PTs were anaemia of chronic disease (1 subject) and leukopenia (1 subject). Both events were moderate.

In the post marketing setting, no new information on the risk of hematological abnormalities has been revealed.

Risk factors and risk groups:

None identified.

Preventability:

Not known.

Impact on the risk-benefit balance of the product:

In Study APL2-302 RCP, the safety profile of pegcetacoplan was similar to eculizumab. Pegcetacoplan has the potential to address the underlying disease pathophysiology of PNH and provide benefit in this disease, which has a high unmet medical need. In Study APL2-308, pegcetacoplan was well tolerated, and safety findings in this study were consistent with the known safety profile of pegcetacoplan. Overall, the clinical development program for PNH has shown that pegcetacoplan produces consistent and meaningful effects on relevant clinical

efficacy measures and has a manageable safety profile. Therefore, the benefits of pegcetacoplan outweigh the risks.

In Study APL2-C3G-310 RCP, the safety profile of pegcetacoplan was consistent with the known safety profile of pegcetacoplan. Overall, the clinical development program for C3G/primary IC-MPGN has shown that pegcetacoplan produces consistent and meaningful effects on relevant clinical efficacy measures and has a favorable safety profile. Therefore, the benefits of pegcetacoplan outweigh the risks.

Public health impact:

There is no public health impact because there is no evidence of an increased risk.

Important potential risk 6:

Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation

Potential mechanisms:

The risk of potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation is based on the inclusion of a PEG molecule in the product structure (see [Chemical class](#)).

There are hypothetical concerns regarding potential long-term risks associated with PEG exposure and related vacuolation in certain vital tissues/structures such as CNS neurons, circumventricular organs, or the choroid plexus ([111](#), [112](#)).

PEG has very low toxicity and, because of its simple structure, is assumed to be of low immunogenicity ([106](#)).

Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence:

Preclinical findings from nonclinical studies of pegcetacoplan in rabbits and monkeys are the main reasons for including this as an important potential risk.

In general, PEG-associated cytoplasmic vacuolation has been considered an adaptive tissue response to long-chain PEG, which is widely considered a nonadverse finding, if not accompanied by evidence of cellular distortion, necrosis, degeneration, inflammation, or disturbed body function ([112](#)). The only exception is represented by the kidney, in which epithelial degeneration was observed. Short-term non-clinical safety of PEG has been studied extensively without identification of toxicity beyond reports of renal tubular cell vacuolation and degeneration at very high-dose levels. In some instances, vacuolation was significant, thus leading to tissue distortion, but yet without demonstrated adverse functional outcomes.

Characterization of the risk:

The effects of the PEG moiety in pegcetacoplan were carefully evaluated in the nonclinical studies in rabbits and monkeys. Pegcetacoplan evoked microscopic epithelial vacuolation and infiltrates of vacuolated macrophages in multiple tissues (including kidney and CNS) in both species. Vacuolation was seen at doses ≥ 1 mg/kg/day in rabbits and ≥ 7 mg/kg/day in monkeys, and incidence tended to increase with dosage. They are attributed to the PEG40 moiety of pegcetacoplan because (1) they occurred at similar degrees and incidences in parallel animal groups given an equivalent dose of PEG40 alone and (2) their appearance and distribution closely match effects as described for other long-chain PEGs and PEGylated proteins ([112](#), [113](#)).

These changes have been reported with numerous other PEGylated peptide/protein pharmaceuticals, including marketed ones. They are widely considered to represent an adaptive tissue response to long-chain PEG and are regarded as nonadverse, provided that they are not accompanied by evidence of cellular distortion, necrosis, degeneration, inflammation, or disturbed body function (112). In the pegcetacoplan toxicology studies, there was no evidence of these features in any of the tissues in which vacuolation was observed (excepting degeneration in the kidney), and no abnormal clinical signs suggestive of disturbed function were observed.

Renal degeneration was noted in the chronic nonhuman primate study; it was minimal and nonprogressive but considered adverse at 28 mg/kg/day. The severity and extent of the renal degeneration noted did not change when the 28-day study and the 9-month studies were compared. Importantly, the foci of renal tubular degeneration are spatially associated with, and thus considered related to, the renal vacuolation. In further support of that, such foci were also observed co-located with vacuolation in the PEG40-alone groups in the chronic studies, implicating the PEG40 moiety in these microscopic changes. Therefore, consistent with the literature on PEG-related vacuolation, the foci of renal degeneration are considered likely to resolve with resolution of the vacuolation.

Regarding the choroid plexus (ependymal) epithelium, it is also noteworthy that there were no clinical signs suggestive of disturbed neurobehavioral function. Accordingly, the tissue vacuolation observed with pegcetacoplan is considered nonadverse, except for the kidney, in which epithelial degeneration was observed. Overall, the chronic rabbit and nonhuman primate studies demonstrated expected PEG-related findings, which are anticipated to be reversible, given sufficient time, and which were not associated with any functional alterations.

A distribution and excretion study was conducted in monkeys using radiolabeled pegcetacoplan (Study 17MTX-001). This study showed broad distribution to multiple tissues and renal excretion. The radiolabel was attached to the peptide portion of the drug, so this study does not specifically inform distribution and tissue kinetics of the PEG portion. Therefore, an estimation of specific tissue accumulation and clearance of PEG cannot be estimated from this study.

Safety signals that could arise from exposure to PEG40 moiety of pegcetacoplan have had special focus in the safety assessment of pegcetacoplan and have been investigated in clinical studies. The intention has been to identify adverse findings that, theoretically, could be related to the function of excretory organs, such as the kidney, liver, and choroid plexus. Throughout the clinical development of pegcetacoplan, no increase of potentially PEG-associated events (nervous system, renal, and hepatic disorders) was noted over time. Additionally, creatinine levels have been monitored in the clinical trials of pegcetacoplan because creatinine level is a commonly used endogenous marker for the assessment of glomerular function.

In Study APL2-302, mean values and CFB in serum creatinine concentrations were also similar in the eculizumab and pegcetacoplan groups during the RCP. Mean creatinine values showed no meaningful changes over time and generally stayed within the normal range in both groups at all time points during the study up to 48 weeks. There was no meaningful change in mean creatinine levels in PNH subjects after treatment with pegcetacoplan for 48 weeks. In Study APL2-308, mean creatinine values showed no meaningful changes over time and generally stayed within the normal range in both groups at all time points during the study. No other signal with regard to

renal function has been detected in the current cumulative clinical safety database for pegcetacoplan.

In Study APL2-C3G-310, pegcetacoplan treatment resulted in statistically significantly more patients meeting the composite renal endpoint ($\geq 50\%$ reduction in uPCR and $\leq 15\%$ reduction in eGFR) at Week 26 (49.21% in the pegcetacoplan group vs 3.28% in the placebo group).

In Study APL2-C3G-310, mean creatinine values showed no meaningful changes over time and generally stayed within the normal range in both groups at all time points during the study up to 26 weeks. There was no meaningful change in mean creatinine levels in C3G subjects after treatment with pegcetacoplan for 26 weeks.

In Study APL2-C3G-204 (Part A), 7 participants (77.8%) assessed at Week 52 in Group 1 had potentially clinically significant high creatinine.

In the C3G cohort in Study APL2-201, 2 participants (28.6%) in the C3G cohort had at least 1 AEs of blood creatinine increased during Part B of the study. 1 participant had a moderate, nonserious AE and the other participant had 2 AEs of blood creatinine increased. One was mild and nonserious, and the other was moderate and nonserious. None of the 3 AEs of blood creatinine increased was assessed as related to pegcetacoplan.

In the post marketing setting, there is no evidence of the effects of the long-term accumulation of PEG in pegcetacoplan impacting the liver or kidneys.

Risk factors and risk groups:

None identified.

Preventability:

PEG-related microscopic vacuolation is generally considered reversible over a period of months. Reversibility was not demonstrated in the pegcetacoplan animal studies after 1 month and was not evaluated for a longer duration.

Impact on the risk-benefit balance of the product:

No impact from this risk is expected on the risk-benefit balance as there is no evidence of an increased risk.

Public health impact:

Public health impact from this risk is low.

SVII.3.2. Presentation of the missing information

Missing information:

Use in patients with BMF (PNH indication only)

Evidence source:

Use of pegcetacoplan in patients with BMF (low population of blood stem cells) has not been evaluated because these subjects were excluded from PNH clinical trials in the clinical development program. Therefore, the risk for this population is unknown, and there is currently no evidence that could rule out a potential risk.

In the post marketing setting, there have been 17 cases in subjects with aplastic anemia who experienced adverse events. The reported events were reflective of the underlying disease of aplastic anemia and PNH.

Population in need of further characterization:

Limited information is available on the risk profile of individuals with BMF who are treated with pegcetacoplan; therefore, this population is in need of further characterization.

Use in pregnant women

Evidence source:

Use of pegcetacoplan in pregnant women has not been evaluated because these subjects were excluded from in the clinical development program.

Cumulatively, 7 pregnancies have been reported from clinical trials; 1 pregnancy has been reported in female patients and 6 pregnancies have been reported in the female partners of male patients.

In the post marketing setting, 22 pregnancies have been reported (19 in female patients and 3 in female partners of male patients). Cumulatively, pregnancy outcome has been reported in 19 pregnancies (8 from clinical trials and 11 from postmarketing). 4 pregnancies resulted in full-term healthy infants, 3 pregnancies resulted in premature but healthy infants, 5 pregnancies resulted in spontaneous abortion, 1 pregnancy resulted in elective abortion, and 1 case reported an ectopic pregnancy; in 5 pregnancies, the health of the infant was not reported

There are insufficient data on pegcetacoplan use in pregnant women to inform a drug associated risk of major birth defects, miscarriage, or adverse maternal or fetal outcomes up to the data cut-off date (13 November 2025).

Therefore, pegcetacoplan should not be given to pregnant women at this time. Women of childbearing potential should use effective contraception methods to prevent pregnancy during treatment with pegcetacoplan and for at least 8 weeks after the last dose of pegcetacoplan.

There are no data on the effects of pegcetacoplan on human milk production through the data cut-off date (13 November 2025). In a pregnant patient treated with pegcetacoplan for PNH pegcetacoplan was not detected in breast milk with concentrations below the lower limit of quantitation ($<20 \mu\text{g/mL}$) in samples obtained postpartum days 1 and 2.

Minimal (less than 1 %, not pharmacologically significant) pegcetacoplan excretion in milk has been demonstrated in monkeys; therefore, the probability of clinically relevant exposure of breastfed infants through breastmilk is considered minimal. However, because human complement is present in human milk and the potential for absorption and harm to the infant is unknown, physicians should consider the benefits of breastfeeding along with the mother's clinical need for pegcetacoplan and potential risks for the breastfeeding child.

Population in need of further characterization:

Limited information is available on the risk profile of pregnant women being treated with pegcetacoplan; therefore, this population is in need of further characterization.

Any pregnant woman exposed to pegcetacoplan.

Long-term safety (>1 year)

Evidence source:

APL2-C3G-204 is an open-label, randomized, controlled, phase 2 study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in the treatment of posttransplant recurrence of C3G or primary IC-MPGN. As of 13 November 2025, there were 10 patients in the safety dataset who completed 52 weeks and entered Part B with a mean duration of treatment of 905.5 days (SD 210.58 days).

Study APL2-307 is an ongoing study and, as of 13 November 2025, there were 137 patients in the safety dataset with a mean duration of treatment of 1182.0 days (standard deviation 488.17 days).

APL2-C3G-314 is an ongoing, open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in participants with C3G or primary IC-MPGN who were previously enrolled in Study APL2-C3G-310. As of 13 November 2025, there were 113 subjects in the safety dataset with a mean duration of treatment of 411.5 days (SD 183.13 days). Treatment duration was calculated from the start of Study APL2-C3G-314 and not continued from Study APL2-C3G-310.

The events seen with long-term treatment are consistent with the known safety profile of pegcetacoplan. No new safety signals from long-term treatment have been identified. The number of cases with documented pegcetacoplan treatment duration exceeding 1 year is limited, and the nature of reported events is reflective of the known high morbidity of the diseases and known frequent complications; no particular pattern of events was observed.

There are limited data on long-term safety of pegcetacoplan in patients with PNH.

Population in need of further characterization:

Limited information is available on the risk profile of long-term use of pegcetacoplan in patients with PNH or C3G/primary IC-MPGN; therefore, this population is in need of further characterization. The long-term safety of pegcetacoplan in patients with PNH will be monitored in the Sobi.PEGCET-301 PASS and Study APL2-307 (see [Part III](#)).

Part II: Module SVIII - Summary of the safety concerns

Table 14 Summary of safety concerns

Summary of safety concerns	
Important identified risks	None
Important potential risks	1. Serious infections 2. Serious hypersensitivity reactions 3. IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only) 4. Immunogenicity 5. Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities 6. Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation
Missing information	1. Use in patients with BMF (PNH indication only) 2. Use in pregnant women 3. Long-term safety (>1 year)

Abbreviations: BMF, Bone marrow failure; IVH, Intravascular hemolysis; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria.

Part III: Pharmacovigilance plan

III.1 Routine pharmacovigilance activities

There are no routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting or signal detection.

III.2 Additional pharmacovigilance activities

Study short name and title:

PASS of pegcetacoplan in patients with PNH (Study Sobi.PEGCET-301)

Rationale and study objectives:

The purpose of this study is to gain more data on the long-term safety profile of pegcetacoplan and evaluate if the use of pegcetacoplan in adult patients with PNH increases the risk of certain adverse outcomes.

The primary objective is to evaluate the occurrence of serious infections in patients with PNH treated with pegcetacoplan.

Secondary objectives are:

- To characterize the long-term safety profile of pegcetacoplan in patients with PNH.
- To evaluate additional risk minimization measures (guide for healthcare professionals, patient card, patient/carer guide, prescriber checklist, and annual revaccination reminders to the prescribers).
- To assess adherence to label requirements regarding routine risk minimization measures for the important potential risk “serious infections”.
- To characterize safety profile in patient with BMF (as available).
- To evaluate long-term potential effects of PEG accumulation in kidney and liver.

Study design:

Sobi.PEGCET-301 will use data extracted from the Sobi.PEGCET-304 study database.

Sobi.PEGCET-304 is an observational study designed to describe the real-world effectiveness of pegcetacoplan in patients with PNH. Sobi.PEGCET-304 is currently ongoing and is collecting both retrospective and prospective data with the main part being prospective, collecting data on effectiveness, safety (all adverse events), patient- and clinician-reported outcomes and health care resource use.

Sobi.PEGCET-304 is observational and will not affect the patient and investigator relationship, nor influence the investigator’s drug prescription or therapeutic management of the patient. The decision to treat patients with pegcetacoplan will be independent from the decision to enroll patients in the study.

Study population:

All patients included in study Sobi.PEGCET-304.

Milestones:

Submission of protocol: Within 6 months of approval of synopsis (submitted 13 June 2022)

Submission of protocol amendment: Q4 2024

Start of data collection: Q2 2023

End of data collection: Q3 2029

Progress report: Within the Periodic Safety Update Report (PSUR)

Final study report: estimated Q1 2030

Study short name and title:

A long-term extension study for patients with PNH (Study APL2-307)

An open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in the treatment of PNH

Rationale and study objectives:

This extension study protocol was developed to continue evaluation of the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH.

The objectives of this study are to:

- Establish the long-term safety of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH
- Establish the long-term efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH

Study design:

This study is an open-label, non-randomized, multicenter extension phase 3 study.

Study population:

Subjects who have completed other pegcetacoplan PNH clinical trials are eligible to participate in this trial.

Milestones:

Final report: Q4 2026

Study short name and title:

A long-term extension study for patients with C3G or IC-MPGN (Study APL2-C3G-314)

An open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in participants with C3 glomerulopathy or immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis

Rationale and study objectives:

This extension study protocol was developed to continue evaluation of the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with C3G or primary IC-MPGN.

The objectives of this study are to:

- Establish the long-term safety of pegcetacoplan in subjects with C3G or primary IC-MPGN.
- Establish the long-term efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with C3G or primary IC-MPGN.

Study design:

This study is an open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension phase 3 study.

Study population:

Subjects who have completed Study APL2-C3G-310 are eligible to participate in this trial.

Milestones:

Final report: Q4 2027

III.3 Summary table of additional pharmacovigilance activities

Table 15 Ongoing and planned additional pharmacovigilance activities

Study Status	Summary of objectives	Safety concerns addressed	Milestones	Due dates
Category 1 – Imposed mandatory additional pharmacovigilance activities which are conditions of the marketing authorization				
Category 2 – Imposed mandatory additional pharmacovigilance activities which are specific obligations in the context of a conditional marketing authorization or a marketing authorization under exceptional circumstances				
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Category 3 - Required additional pharmacovigilance activities (<i>by the competent authority</i>)				
PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301	To evaluate the occurrence of serious infections in patients with PNH treated with pegcetacoplan	• Serious infections • Serious hypersensitivity reactions • IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only) • Immunogenicity • Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities • Potential long-term of effects of PEG accumulation • Use in patients with BMF (PNH indication only) • Long-term safety (>1 year)	Submission of final protocol: Submission of protocol amendment: Start of data collection: End of data collection: Progress report: Final study report:	Within 6 months of synopsis approval (submitted 13 June 2022) Q4 2024 June 2023 Q3 2029 Within the PSUR Q1 2030

Study Status	Summary of objectives	Safety concerns addressed	Milestones	Due dates
Study APL2-307 Ongoing	To evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH	• Serious infections • Serious hypersensitivity reactions • IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only) • Immunogenicity • Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities • Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation • Long-term safety (>1 year)	Final report:	Q4 2026
Study APL2-C3G-314 Ongoing	To evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with C3G or primary IC-MPGN	• Serious infections • Serious hypersensitivity reactions • Immunogenicity • Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities • Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation • Long-term safety (>1 year)	Final report	Q4 2027

Abbreviations: BMF, Bone marrow failure; C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis; IVH, Intravascular hemolysis; N/A, Not applicable; PASS, Postauthorization safety study; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; PSUR, Periodic Safety Update Report: Q, Quarter.

Part IV: Plans for post authorization efficacy studies

No post authorization efficacy studies are planned.

Part V: Risk minimization measures (including evaluation of the effectiveness of risk minimization activities)

Risk minimization plan

The safety information in the proposed product information is aligned to the reference medicinal product.

V.1. Routine risk minimization measures

Table 16 Description of routine risk minimization measures by safety concern

Safety concern	Routine risk minimization activities
Important potential risks	
Serious infections	- SmPC: Section 4.3, Section 4.4, and Section 4.8 - Package Leaflet: Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4 Recommendation for monitoring patients and informing them of signs and symptoms is included in the SmPC under Section 4.4.
Serious hypersensitivity reactions	- SmPC: Section 4.3, and Section 4.4 - Package Leaflet: Section 2. - Recommendation for discontinuation of pegcetacoplan and instituting appropriate treatment is included in the SmPC Section 4.4.
IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only)	- SmPC: Section 4.2 and Section 4.4 - Package Leaflet: Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4 Recommendation for monitoring patients for signs and symptoms is included in the SmPC. If discontinuation of pegcetacoplan is necessary, alternate therapy should be considered because PNH is life-threatening if untreated. In addition, slow weaning should be considered, and patients should carefully be monitored for at least 8 weeks to detect serious hemolysis and other reactions as alternative complement inhibitors may not prevent hemolysis as efficiently.
Immunogenicity	SmPC: Section 4.8
Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities	None
Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation	SmPC: Section 4.4 and Section 5.3
Missing information	
Use in patients with BMF (PNH indication only)	None
Use in pregnant women	- SmPC: Section 4.4, Section 4.6 and Section 5.3 - Package Leaflet: Section 2
Long-term safety (>1 year)	- SmPC: Section 4.2, Section 4.4, Section 4.6, Section 4.8, and Section 5.2 - Package Leaflet: Section 4

Abbreviations: BMF, Bone marrow failure; IVH, Intravascular hemolysis; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; SmPC, Summary of product characteristics.

V.2. Additional risk minimization measures

Guide for healthcare professionals

Objectives:

Reduce and mitigate the risks of serious infection with encapsulated bacteria, serious hypersensitivity reactions, IVH after drug discontinuation and postponement of administration (PNH indication only), and the potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation by enhancing the awareness of the healthcare professionals regarding these potential risks, by supporting knowledge on early detection of serious infections, and by emphasizing the importance of vaccination and/or antibiotic treatment in pegcetacoplan-treated patients with PNH, C3G or primary IC-MPGN.

Rationale for the additional risk minimization activity:

Enhance healthcare professionals' awareness on the risks of serious infection with encapsulated bacteria, serious hypersensitivity reactions, IVH after drug discontinuation and postponement of administration (PNH indication only), and the potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation.

Target audience and planned distribution path:

The guide for healthcare professionals will be provided to all potential prescribers. It will be distributed through country-specific method directly to prescribers and provided upon request.

Plans to evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions and criteria for success (PNH indication only):

Information collected from the PNH Post Authorization Safety Study (PASS) (Sobi.PEGCET-301) will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the system for controlled distribution and additional RMMs in reducing and mitigating the risk of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria. A review of individual cases will provide the incidence and reporting of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria.

Patient card

Objectives:

Reduce and mitigate the risk of serious infection with encapsulated bacteria by listing signs and symptoms of serious infections and warning to seek immediate medical attention.

Rationale for the additional risk minimization activity:

Enhance patients' awareness on the risk of serious infection with encapsulated bacteria by providing a list of signs and symptoms of serious infections.

Target audience and planned distribution path:

The patient card will be provided to all potential prescribers. It will be distributed through country-specific method directly to prescribers and provided upon request.

Plans to evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions and criteria for success (PNH indication only):

Information collected from the PNH PASS (Study Sobi.PEGCET-301) will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the system for controlled distribution and additional RMMs in reducing and mitigating the risk of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria. A review of individual cases will provide the incidence and reporting of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria.

Patient/carer guide

Objectives:

Reduce and mitigate the risks of serious infection with encapsulated bacteria, serious hypersensitivity reactions, and discontinuation-associated IVH (PNH indication only) by enhancing patients' awareness and knowledge on the risks and associated signs and symptoms.

Rationale for the additional risk minimization activity:

Support and educate patients on the risks associated with pegcetacoplan.

Target audience and planned distribution path:

The patient/carer guide will be provided to all patients treated with pegcetacoplan/their caregivers. It will be distributed through country-specific method directly to patients/caregivers (e.g., via pharmacy) and provided upon request.

Plans to evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions and criteria for success (PNH indication only):

Information collected from the PNH PASS (Study Sobi.PEGCET-301) will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the system for controlled distribution and additional RMMs in reducing and mitigating the risk of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria. A review of individual cases will provide the incidence and reporting of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria.

Annual reminder of mandatory revaccinations (in accordance with current national vaccination guidelines)

Objectives:

Reduce and mitigate the risks of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria by providing annual reminders to review mandatory revaccinations for patients in accordance with current national vaccination guidelines.

Rationale for the additional risk minimization activity:

Annual reminders will emphasize the importance of vaccination and/or antibiotic treatment in pegcetacoplan-treated patients with PNH, C3G or primary IC-MPGN.

Target audience and planned distribution path:

Annual reminders will be sent to prescribers or pharmacists who prescribe or dispense pegcetacoplan.

Plans to evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions and criteria for success (PNH indication only):

Information collected from the PNH PASS (Study Sobi.PEGCET-301) will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the system for controlled distribution and additional RMMs in reducing and mitigating the risk of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria. A review of individual cases will provide the incidence and reporting of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria.

System for controlled distribution

Objectives:

Reduce and mitigate the risks of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria by implementation of a system for controlled distribution.

Rationale for the additional risk minimization activity:

A controlled distribution system ensures that pegcetacoplan is only dispensed after written confirmation that the patient has received vaccination against encapsulated bacteria and/or is receiving prophylactic antibiotic according to national guidelines.

Target audience and planned distribution path:

Information regarding the requirement for a controlled distribution system will be provided to all prescribers of pegcetacoplan.

Plans to evaluate the effectiveness of the interventions and criteria for success (PNH indication only):

Information collected from the PNH PASS (Study Sobi.PEGCET-301) will be used to evaluate the effectiveness of the system for controlled distribution and additional RMMs in reducing and mitigating the risk of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria. A review of individual cases will provide the incidence and reporting of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria.

V.3. Summary of risk minimization measures

Table 17 Summary table of pharmacovigilance activities and risk minimization activities by safety concern

Safety concern	Risk minimization measures	Pharmacovigilance activities
Important potential risks		
Serious infections	Routine risk minimization measures: - SmPC Section 4.3, Section 4.4, and Section 4.8 - Package Leaflet - Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4 Additional risk minimization measures: - Guide for healthcare professionals - Patient card - Patient/carer guide - Annual reminder of mandatory revaccinations (in accordance with current national vaccination guidelines) - System for controlled distribution	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: - Collection of safety data from long-term extension study APL2-307 - PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301 - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-C3G-314
Serious hypersensitivity reactions	Routine risk minimization measures: - SmPC Section 4.3 and Section 4.4. - Package Leaflet Section 2. Additional risk minimization measures: - Guide for healthcare professionals - Patient/carer guide	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 - PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301 - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-C3G-314
IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only)	Routine risk minimization measures: - SmPC Section 4.2 and Section 4.4 - Package Leaflet Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4 Additional risk minimization measures: - Guide for healthcare professionals - Patient/carer guide	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 - PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301
Immunogenicity	Routine risk minimization measures: - SmPC Section 4.8 Additional risk minimization measures: - None	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 - PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301 - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-C3G-314
Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities	Routine risk minimization measures: - None. Additional risk minimization measures: - None	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 - PASS Study Sobi.PEGCET-301

Safety concern	Risk minimization measures	Pharmacovigilance activities
Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation	Routine risk minimization measures: - SmPC Section 4.4 and Section 5.3 Additional risk minimization measures: - Guide for healthcare professionals	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 - PASS Study Sobi.PEGCET-301 - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-C3G-314
Missing information		
Use in patients with BMF (PNH indication only)	Routine risk minimization measures: - None Additional risk minimization measures: - None	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: PASS Study Sobi.PEGCET-301
Use in pregnant women	Routine risk minimization measures: - SmPC Section 4.4, Section 4.6 and Section 5.3 - Package Leaflet Section 2 Additional risk minimization measures: - None	
Long-term safety (>1 year)	Routine risk minimization measures: - SmPC Section 4.2, Section 4.4, Section 4.6, Section 4.8, and Section 5.2 - Package Leaflet Section 4 Additional risk minimization measures: - None	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 - PASS (Study Sobi.PEGCET-301) - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-C3G-314

Abbreviations: BMF, Bone marrow failure; IVH, Intravascular hemolysis; PASS, Post authorization safety study; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; SmPC, Summary of product characteristics.

Part VI: Summary of activities in the risk management plan by product

Summary of risk management plan for Aspaveli (pegcetacoplan)

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for Aspaveli. The RMP details important risks of Aspaveli, how these risks can be minimized, and how more information will be obtained about Aspaveli's risks and uncertainties (missing information).

Aspaveli's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how Aspaveli should be used.

This summary of the RMP for Aspaveli should be read in the context of all this information, including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all of which is part of the European Public Assessment Report.

Important new concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of Aspaveli's RMP.

I. The medicine and what it is used for

Aspaveli is authorized for paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria (PNH), complement 3 glomerulopathy (C3G), and primary immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (IC-MPGN) (see SmPC for the full indication). It contains pegcetacoplan as the active substance, and it is given by subcutaneous infusion. Further information about the evaluation of Aspaveli's benefits can be found in Aspaveli's European Public Assessment Report, including in its plain-language summary, available on the European Medicines Agency website, under the medicine's webpage:

<https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/aspaveli>

II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimize or further characterize the risks

Important risks of Aspaveli, together with measures to minimize such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about Aspaveli's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimize the risks identified for medicinal products can be:

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the package leaflet and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorized pack size – the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly; and
- The medicine's legal status – the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g., with or without prescription) can help to minimize its risks.

Together, these measures constitute **routine risk minimization** measures.

In the case of Aspaveli, these measures are supplemented with **additional risk minimization measures** mentioned under relevant important risks and are listed below.

In addition to these measures, information about adverse reactions is collected continuously and regularly analyzed, including periodic safety update report assessment, so that immediate action can be taken as necessary. These measures constitute **routine pharmacovigilance activities**.

If important information that may affect the safe use of Aspaveli is not yet available, it is listed under 'missing information' below.

II.A. List of important risks and missing information

Important risks of Aspaveli are risks that need risk management activities to further investigate or minimize the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely administered. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of Aspaveli. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible according to available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g., on the long-term use of the medicine).

List of important risks and missing information		
Important identified risks	None	
Important potential risks	1.	Serious infections
	2.	Serious hypersensitivity reactions
	3.	IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only)
	4.	Immunogenicity
	5.	Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities
	6.	Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation
Missing information	1.	Use in patients with BMF (PNH indication only)
	2.	Use in pregnant women
	3.	Long-term safety (>1 year)

Abbreviation: BMF, Bone marrow failure; IVH, Intravascular hemolysis; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria

II.B. Summary of important risks

Important potential risk 1: Serious infections	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Inhibition of components of the complement system, including C3, might decrease innate immunity to encapsulated bacteria. This potentially increases the risk of serious infections from these bacteria in patients treated with pegcetacoplan. Studies have identified increased susceptibility to infection caused by encapsulated organisms as a key clinical consequence of congenital complement deficiency. Specifically, deficiency of C3 and its regulators (factor H and factor I) has been associated with severe recurrent bacterial infections caused by <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>, <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>, and <i>Neisseria meningitidis</i>. There have been no reports of encapsulated meningococcal infections through 1134.97 person-years of systemic pegcetacoplan exposure in ongoing and completed clinical trials and 2088.93 person-years of systemic pegcetacoplan exposure in the post marketing setting.
Risk factors and risk groups	1. Unvaccinated patients or patients who do not maintain sufficient antibodies to the vaccines given before or during treatment might have a higher risk of infection due to encapsulated bacteria. 2. Patients with PNH-associated BMF (including aplastic anemia PNH and myelodysplastic syndrome) have a higher risk of serious infection due to neutropenia. 3. For patients who had solid organ (renal) or BMTx, receiving immunosuppressive treatment (e.g., high-dose steroids, mycophenolate mofetil, ciclosporin, and tacrolimus) is a risk factor. 4. Individuals exposed to certain bacteria through work or travel might have a higher risk of infection. Groups at risk may include day-care workers, laboratory workers, military personnel, and other individuals with heightened levels of exposure to pathogenic bacteria.
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: • SmPC Section 4.3, Section 4.4, and Section 4.8 • Package Leaflet Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4 Additional risk minimization measures: • Guide for healthcare professionals • Patient card • Patient/carer guide • Annual reminder of mandatory revaccinations (in accordance with current national vaccination guidelines) • System for controlled distribution
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: Short study names • Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 • PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301 • Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-C3G-314 See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post authorization development plan.

Abbreviations: BMF, Bone marrow failure; BMTx, Bone marrow transplantation; C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative

glomerulonephritis; PASS, Postauthorization safety study; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; SmPC, Summary of product characteristics.

Important potential risk 2: Serious hypersensitivity reactions	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	The risk of serious hypersensitivity is potential based on the potential of allergic reactions of many medicinal products, reports of potential immunogenicity from the PEG-moiety, as well as reported cases.
Risk factors and risk groups	Patients with a history of hypersensitivity to PEG are considered to have an increased risk of being hypersensitive to pegcetacoplan.
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: • SmPC Section 4.3 and Section 4.4. • Package Leaflet Section 2. Additional risk minimization measures: • Guide for healthcare professionals • Patient/carer guide
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: • Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 • PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301 • Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-C3G-314 See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post authorization development plan.

Abbreviations: ADA, Antidrug antibodies; ISR, Injection site reaction; PASS, Post authorization safety study; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PK, pharmacokinetic; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; SAE, Serious adverse event; SmPC, Summary of product characteristics; TEAE, Treatment-emergent adverse event.

Important potential risk 3: IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only)	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	The PNH disease process and mechanism of control for it by complement inhibition is the source of this risk. Inhibition of complement C3 protects circulating RBCs, produced by mutant stem cell clones, from hemolysis. Discontinuation of treatment risks acute hemolytic crisis because of these RBCs becoming vulnerable to destruction in patients with PNH.
Risk factors and risk groups	Patients with PNH who are being treated with a complement inhibitor and who have not been established on an effective alternative therapy at the time of discontinuation of a complement inhibitor are at higher risk for IVH after drug discontinuation.
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: • SmPC Section 4.2 and Section 4.4 • Package Leaflet Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4 Additional risk minimization measures: • Guide for healthcare professionals • Patient/carer guide

Important potential risk 3: IVH after drug discontinuation (PNH indication only)	
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: Short study names - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 - PASS Sobi.PEGCET-301 See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post authorization development plan.

Abbreviations: IVH, Intravascular hemolysis; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; RBC, Red blood cell; SmPC, Summary of product characteristics; TEAE, Treatment-emergent adverse event.

Important potential risk 4: Immunogenicity	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Immunogenicity is a known potential of all medicinal products and is a class effect of all therapeutic peptides and proteins. No significant data have been identified for risk factors for immunogenicity in patients with PNH or C3G/primary IC-MPGN, neither within the conducted clinical trials for PNH or C3G/primary IC-MPGN nor identified in further publicly available articles or literature related to immunogenicity or antibodies to drug.
Risk factors and risk groups	In the pegcetacoplan clinical development program, the immunogenicity potential of pegcetacoplan was assessed by evaluation of samples using validated assays for assessment of anti pegcetacoplan peptide antibody and anti-PEG antibody in human serum samples. There was no apparent correlation of antibody development to an altered PK profile. There has been no observed correlation of ADA development to clinical response or AEs in healthy subjects or subjects with PNH, or subjects with C3G/primary IC-MPGN.
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: - SmPC Section 4.8 Additional risk minimization measures: - None
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: - Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 - PASS (Sobi.PEGCET-301) - Collection of safety data from long-term extension study APL2-C3G-314 See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post authorization development plan.

Abbreviations: AE, Adverse event; ADA, Antidrug antibody(ies); C3G, Complement 3 glomerulopathy; IC-MPGN, Immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis; PASS, Postauthorization safety study; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; PK, pharmacokinetic; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria; SmPC, Summary of product characteristics.

Important potential risk 5: Malignancies and hematologic abnormalities	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Prior experience of PNH patients treated with C5 inhibitors and review of published data describing the risk of malignancies and hematologic abnormalities in patients with congenital complement deficiencies is the main reason for including this as an important potential risk.
Risk factors and risk groups	None identified.
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: • None Additional risk minimization measures: • None
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: • Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 • PASS (Sobi.PEGCET-301) • Collection of safety data from long-term extension study APL2-C3G-314 See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post authorization development plan.

Abbreviations: PASS, Post authorization safety study; PNH, Paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria.

Important potential risk 6: Potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation	
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Preclinical findings from nonclinical studies of pegcetacoplan in rabbits and monkeys are the main reasons for including this as an important potential risk. In general, PEG-associated cytoplasmic vacuolation has been considered an adaptive tissue response to long-chain PEG, which is widely considered a non-adverse finding, if not accompanied by evidence of cellular distortion, necrosis, degeneration, inflammation, or disturbed body function. The only exception is represented by the kidney, in which epithelial degeneration was observed. Short-term safety of PEG has been studied extensively without identification of toxicity beyond reports of renal tubular cell vacuolation and degeneration at very high-dose levels. In some instances, vacuolation was significant, thus leading to tissue distortion, but yet without demonstrated adverse functional outcomes.
Risk factors and risk groups	None identified.
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: • SmPC Section 4.4 and Section 5.3 Additional risk minimization measures: • Guide for healthcare professionals
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: • Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 • PASS (Sobi.PEGCET-301) • Collection of safety data from long-term extension study APL2-C3G-314 See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post authorization development plan.

Abbreviations: PASS, Post authorization safety study; PEG, Polyethylene glycol; SmPC, Summary of product characteristics.

Important missing information 1: Use in patients with BMF (PNH indication only)	
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: • None Additional risk minimization measures: • None
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: • PASS (Sobi.PEGCET-301) See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post authorization development plan.

Abbreviations: BMF, Bone marrow failure; PASS, Post authorization safety study.

Important missing information 2: Use in pregnant women	
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: • SmPC Section 4.4, Section 4.6 and Section 5.3 • Package Leaflet Section 2 • Additional risk minimization measures: • None

Abbreviations: SmPC, Summary of product characteristics.

Important missing information 3: Long-term safety (>1 year)	
Risk minimization measures	Routine risk minimization measures: • SmPC Section 4.2, Section 4.4, Section 4.6, Section 4.8, Section 5.2 • Package Leaflet Section 4 Additional risk minimization measures: • None
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	Additional pharmacovigilance activities: • Collection of safety data from long-term extension Study APL2-307 • PASS (Sobi.PEGCET-301) • Collection of safety data from long-term extension study APL2-C3G-314 See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post authorization development plan.

Abbreviations: PASS, Post authorization safety study; SmPC, Summary of product characteristics.

II.C Post authorization development plan

II:C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization

There are no studies which are conditions of the marketing authorization or specific obligation of Aspaveli.

II.C.2 Other studies in post authorization development plan

PASS of pegcetacoplan in patients with PNH (Study Sobi.PEGCET-301)

This is a multinational, multicenter, observational PASS to assess the long-term safety of pegcetacoplan in a real-world setting. The purpose of this study is to gain more data on the long-term safety profile of pegcetacoplan and evaluate if the use of pegcetacoplan in adult patients with PNH increases the risk of certain adverse outcomes. The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the occurrence of serious infections in patients with PNH treated with pegcetacoplan. Patient data in this study will be extracted from the database of the ongoing observational study Sobi.PEGCET-304 which is collecting all AEs. This study is observational and will not affect the patient and investigator relationship, nor influence the investigator's drug prescription or therapeutic management of the patient. The decision to treat patients with pegcetacoplan will be independent from the decision to enroll patients in the study.

An open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in the treatment of PNH (Study APL2-307)

An open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension phase 3 long-term extension study for patients with PNH. This extension study protocol was developed to continue evaluation of the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH. The objectives of this study are to establish the long-term safety of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH and to establish the long-term efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with PNH. Subjects who have completed other pegcetacoplan PNH clinical trials are eligible to participate in this trial.

An open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension study to evaluate the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in participants with C3 glomerulopathy or immune-complex membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis (Study APL2-C3G-314)

An open-label, nonrandomized, multicenter extension phase 3 long-term extension study for patients with C3G or primary IC-MPGN. This extension study protocol was developed to continue evaluation of the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with C3G or primary IC-MPGN. The objectives of this study are to establish the long-term safety and efficacy of pegcetacoplan in subjects with C3G or primary IC-MPGN. Subjects who have completed Study APL2-C3G-310 are eligible to participate in this trial.

Part VII: Annexes

Table of contents

Annex 1: EudraVigilance interface

Annex 2: Tabulated summary of planned, ongoing, and completed pharmacovigilance study program

Annex 3: Protocols for proposed, ongoing, and completed studies in the pharmacovigilance plan

Annex 4 Specific adverse drug reaction follow-up forms

Annex 5 Protocols for proposed and ongoing studies in RMP Part IV

Annex 6 Details of proposed additional risk minimization activities (if applicable)

Annex 7 Other supporting data (including referenced material)

Annex 8 Summary of changes to the RMP over time

Annex 4 Specific adverse drug reaction follow-up forms

N/A

Annex 6 Details of proposed additional risk minimization activities (if applicable)

Key messages of the additional risk minimization measures

Prior to the launch of Aspaveli in each Member State, the MAH must agree about the content and format of the educational and controlled distribution program, including communication media, distribution modalities, and any other aspects of the program, with the National Competent Authority.

The educational and controlled distribution program is aimed at:

- Ensuring patients receive vaccinations against *N. meningitidis*, *S. pneumoniae*, and *H. influenzae* at least 2 weeks before starting treatment with Aspaveli.
- Ensuring that patients who cannot wait 2 weeks before starting treatment with Aspaveli receive broad-spectrum antibiotics until 2 weeks after receiving the vaccines.
- Ensuring that Aspaveli is only dispensed after written confirmation that the patient has received vaccination against *N. meningitidis*, *S. pneumoniae*, and *H. influenzae* and/or is receiving prophylactic antibiotic according to national guidelines.
- Ensuring prescribers or pharmacists receive annual reminders of mandatory revaccinations in accordance with current national vaccination guidelines (including *N. meningitidis*, *S. pneumoniae*, and *H. influenzae*).
- Providing information about the signs and symptoms of serious infections to healthcare providers and patients.
- Ensuring that prescribers provide patients with the package leaflet and patient card and explain the main risks of Aspaveli using these materials.
- Ensuring that patients who experience symptoms of serious infections seek emergency medical treatment and present their patient card to the emergency care provider.
- Educate prescribers and patients about the risk of IVH after discontinuation of the medicinal product and postponement of administration and the need to maintain effective complement inhibitor treatment (PNH indication only).
- Educate prescribers about the risk of potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation and the recommendation to monitor as clinically indicated, including through laboratory testing.

The MAH shall ensure that in each Member State where Aspaveli is marketed, all healthcare professionals and patients/carers who are expected to prescribe and use Aspaveli have access to/are provided with the following educational package:

- Physician educational material
- Patient information pack

Physician educational material:

1. The SmPC
2. Guide for healthcare professionals
3. Patient card
 - **Guide for healthcare professionals:**
 - Treatment with Aspaveli may increase the risk of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria.
 - The need for patients to be vaccinated against *N. meningitidis*, *S. pneumoniae*, and *H. influenzae* and/or receive antibiotic prophylaxis.
 - Annual reminder of mandatory revaccinations (in accordance with current national vaccination guidelines).
 - Risk of IVH after discontinuation and postponement of administration of the medicinal product, its criteria, the required posttreatment monitoring, and its proposed management (PNH indication only).
 - Risk of potential long-term effects of PEG accumulation and the recommendation to monitor as clinically indicated, including through laboratory testing.
 - The need to educate patients/carers of the following:
 - The risks of treatment with Aspaveli
 - Signs and symptoms of serious infections, hypersensitivity reactions, and what action to take
 - The patient/carer guides and its content
 - The need to carry the patient card and to tell any healthcare practitioner that he/she is receiving treatment with Aspaveli
 - The requirement for vaccinations/antibiotic prophylaxis
 - Enrollment in PASS (where available)
 - Instructions on how to handle possible AEs.
 - Information about PASS (where available), the importance of contributing to such a study, and how to enter patients.
 - Remarks on the importance of reporting on specific adverse reactions, namely: serious infections, serious hypersensitivity reactions, and risk of IVH after discontinuation of the medicinal product.
 - **Patient card:**
 - A warning message for healthcare professionals treating the patient at any time, including in conditions of emergency, that the patient is using Aspaveli.
 - Signs or symptoms of the serious infections and warning to seek immediate attention from a healthcare professional if above is present.
 - Contact details of the Aspaveli prescriber.

The patient information pack:

1. Patient information leaflet
2. Patient/carer guide
 - **Patient/carer guide:**
 - Treatment with Aspaveli may increase the risk of serious infections with encapsulated bacteria, serious hypersensitivity reactions, and the PNH-specific risk of IVH after discontinuation of the medicinal product.
 - A description of the signs and symptoms of serious infections, hypersensitivity reactions, IVH after discontinuation of the medicinal product, and the need to seek emergency care at the nearest hospital.
 - The importance of vaccination prior to treatment with Aspaveli and/or to receive antibiotic prophylaxis.
 - Annual reminder of mandatory revaccinations (in accordance with current national vaccination guidelines).
 - Detailed description of the modalities used for the self-administration of Aspaveli.
 - Recommendation for use of effective contraception in women of childbearing potential.
 - Remarks on the importance of reporting on specific adverse reactions, namely: serious infections, serious hypersensitivity reactions, and risk of IVH after discontinuation of the medicinal product.
 - Instructions on how to view the patient self-treatment video on any internet-connected device.
 - Enrollment in PASS (where available).

Annual reminder of mandatory revaccinations

The MAH shall send a reminder annually to prescribers or pharmacists who prescribe/dispense Aspaveli in order that the prescriber/pharmacist checks if a revaccination against *N. meningitidis*, *S. pneumoniae*, and *H. influenzae* is required for his/her patients on treatment with Aspaveli, in accordance with national vaccination guidelines.

System for controlled distribution

The MAH shall ensure that in each Member State where Aspaveli is marketed, a system aimed to control distribution beyond the level of routine risk minimization measures is in place. The following requirement needs to be fulfilled before the product is dispensed.

- Submission of written confirmation, or equivalent as permitted by national legislation, of the patient's vaccination against *N. meningitidis*, *S. pneumoniae*, and *H. influenzae* and/or prophylactic antibiotic treatment according to national vaccination guidelines.