## **Module 1.8.2**

#### EU RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN

For KAFTRIO (elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor)

<b>RMP Version Number:</b>	9.2
Data lock for this RMP:	20 April 2024
Date of final sign off:	12 August 2024

**Rationale for submitting an updated RMP:** The RMP was updated to align with the conclusion of 192 weeks of treatment in Study VX19-445-107 (Study 107).

Study 107 was an open-label, extension study evaluating the long-term safety and efficacy of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment in cystic fibrosis (CF) subjects 6 years of age and older, homozygous or heterozygous for the *F508del-CFTR* mutation who participated in Study VX18-445-106 (Study 106).

Summary of significant changes in this RMP: The details of relevant important safety concerns were updated to align with the findings from 192 weeks of treatment in Study 107.

The clinical trial and post-authorisation exposures were updated to the data lock date of this RMP.

#### Other RMP versions under evaluation

<b>RMP</b> version number	Submitted on	Submitted within
9.1	27 March 2024	EMEA/H/C/005269/WS2551/0043

#### **Current approved RMP**

RMP version number	Date of opinion	Approved with procedure
8.2	30 November 2023	EMEA/H/C/005269/II/0104
8.1	23 November 2023 (EC decision)	EMEA/H/C/005269/X/33/G

QPPV Name: Jan Petráček, MD, MSc, DIC

**QPPV oversight declaration:** The content of this RMP has been reviewed and approved by the marketing authorisation holder's QPPV. The electronic signature is available on file.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TAB	LE OI	F CONTENTS	2
LIST	r of t	ABLES	5
LIST	r of a	BBREVIATIONS	6
PAR	T I Pr	oduct(s) Overview	8
PAR	T II Sa	afety Specification	9
SI	Er	pidemiology of Indication(s) and Target Population(s)	9
SI	.1	Incidence	9
SI	.2	Prevalence	9
SI	1.3	Demographics of the Population in the Authorised Indication and Risk Factors for the Disease	10
SI	.4	Main Existing Treatment Options	11
SI	.5	Natural History of the Indicated Condition in the Untreated Population	12
SI	.6	Important Comorbidities	13
	SI.6.1	Cystic Fibrosis Lung Disease	13
	SI.6.2	Cystic Fibrosis Liver Disease	14
	SI.6.3	Cystic Fibrosis-Related Diabetes	15
	SI.6.4	Cystic Fibrosis-Related Osteoporosis and Osteopenia	16
	SI.6.5	Pancreatic Insufficiency / Malnutrition	16
	SI.6.6	Anxiety and Depression	16
	SI.6.7	Cardiac Disease	17
SII	No	onclinical Part of the Safety Specification	17
SI	I.1	Toxicity	17
SI	I.2	Safety Pharmacology	18
SI	1.3	Pharmacokinetics	18
SI	I.4	Other Toxicity-Related Information or Data	19
SIII	Cl	inical Trial Exposure	19
SIV	Po	opulations Not Studied in Clinical Trials	26
SI	V.1	Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Programme	26
SI	V.2	Limitations to Detect Adverse Drug Reactions in Clinical Trial Developm Programmes	nent 28
SI	V.3	Limitations in Respect to Populations Typically Under-Represented in Clinical Trial Development Programmes	28
SV	Po	ost-authorisation Experience	29
S	V.1	Post-authorisation Exposure	29

	SV.1.1	Method Used to Calculate Exposure	29
	SV.1.2	Exposure	29
	SV.1.3 IV	A Monotherapy Post-authorisation Exposure	31
SVI	Addi	tional EU Requirements for Safety Specification	32
SVII	Ident	tified and Potential Risks	32
SV	VII.1 Ide	entification of Safety Concerns in the Initial RMP Submission	32
	SVII.1.1	Risks Not Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Con in the RMP	ncerns 32
	SVII.1.2	Risks Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concern RMP	ns in the 33
	SVII.1.2.	1 Important Identified Risk – Susceptibility for influenza virus infecti	ons33
	SVII.1.2.	2 Important Potential Risk – Hepatotoxicity	33
	SVII.1.2	3 Important Potential Risk – Cataract	33
	SVII.1.2.4	4 Missing Information – Use in Pregnant and Lactating Women	34
	SVII.1.2	5 Missing Information – Long-term Safety	34
	SVII.1.2.	6 Missing Information – Use in Patients With Moderate or Severe He Impairment	epatic 34
SV	VII.2 Ne RN	ew Safety Concerns and Reclassification With a Submission of an Upd	ated 34
	SVII.2.1	Reclassified to an Important Identified Risk – Hepatotoxicity	34
	SVII.2.2	Added Missing Information – Use in children aged 6 to 11 years	35
	SVII.2.2	Updated Missing Information – 'Use in children aged 6 to 11 years' t in children aged 2 to 11 years'	oʻUse 35
SV	VII.3 De Inf	etails of Important Identified Risks, Important Potential Risks, and Misformation	sing 35
	SVII.3.1	Presentation of Important Identified Risks	35
	SVII.3.1.	1 Important Identified Risk – Susceptibility for Influenza Virus Infect	ions35
	SVII.3.1.	2 Important Identified Risk – Hepatotoxicity	37
	SVII.3.2	Presentation of Important Potential Risks	40
	SVII.3.2.	1 Important Potential Risk – Cataract	40
	SVII.3.3	Presentation of the Missing Information	41
	SVII.3.3.	1 Missing Information – Use in Pregnant and Lactating Women	41
	SVII.3.3.	2 Missing Information – Long-term Safety	42
	SVII.3.3	<i>3 Missing Information – Use in Patients With Moderate or Severe He Impairment</i>	epatic 42
	SVII.3.3.4	4 Missing Information – Use in Children Aged 2 to 11 Years	42

SVIII	Summary of Safety Concerns	43	
PART I	III Pharmacovigilance Plan (Including Post-authorisation Safety Studi	ies)43	
III.1	Routine Pharmacovigilance Activities	43	
III.2	Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities	43	
III.3	Summary Table of Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities	44	
PART I	V Plans for Post-authorisation Efficacy Studies	45	
PART V	V Risk Minimisation Measures (Including Evaluation of the Effectiven Minimisation Activities)	ess of Risk	
V.1	Routine Risk Minimisation Measures	46	
V.2	Additional Risk Minimisation Measures	47	
V.3	Summary of Risk Minimisation Measures	47	
PART V	VI Summary of the RMP	49	
Sumr	nary of Risk Management Plan for KAFTRIO (Elexacaftor in Combinatio Tezacaftor and Ivacaftor)	n With 49	
I. The	e medicine and what it is used for	50	
II. Ri	sks associated with the medicine and activities to minimise or further char the risks	acterize	
II.	II.A List of important risks and missing information		
II.B Summary of important risks			
II.	II.C Post-authorisation development plan		
II.	II.C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorisation		
II.	C.2 Other studies in post-authorisation development plan	53	
PART V	VII Annexes to the Risk Management Plan	54	
Anne	ex 4 Specific adverse event follow-up form	55	
Anne	ex 6 Details of proposed additional risk minimisation activities (if applicab	le)56	
REFER	ENCES	57	

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by Dose20
Table 2	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by Duration
Table 3	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by Age 22
Table 4	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by Sex 24
Table 5	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by Race24
Table 6	Estimated Worldwide Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA From Marketing Experience
Table 7	Estimated Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA from Marketing Experience in the EEA and UK by Country
Table 8	Estimated Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA from Marketing Experience in the US by Age and Sex
Table 9	Estimated Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA from Marketing Experience in Canada by Age and Sex
Table 10	Planned and Ongoing Post-authorisation Studies in the Pharmacovigilance Plan44
Table 11	Routine Risk Minimisation Measures46
Table 12	Summary of Risk Minimisation Measures47

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Definition
AE	adverse event
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
AST	aspartate aminotransferase
AUCτ	area under the concentration versus time curve during a dosing interval
BCRP	breast cancer resistance protein
BP	blood pressure
CF	cystic fibrosis
CFRD	CF-related diabetes
CFTR	CF transmembrane conductance regulator gene
CFTR	CF transmembrane conductance regulator protein
Cmax	maximum observed concentration
СТ	computed tomography
CYP	cytochrome P450
DDI	drug-drug interaction
ECESPR	European CF Society Patient Registry
ECG	electrocardiogram
EEG FFA	Furonean Economic Area
FLX	elevacation
ELX ELX/TEZ/IVA	elevacattor/tezacattor/ivacattor
EMA	Europeon Medicines A consu
EDAR	European Nichennes Agency
EU	European Luion
EO E508del	an in frame deletion of a phenylologine coden corresponding to position 508 of the wild type
1 508del	CFTR protein
F/F	homozygous for the F508del mutation in the CFTR gene
<i>F</i> /Gating	heterozygous for the F508del mutation in the CFTR gene with a gating mutation
<i>F/</i> MF	heterozygous for the F508del mutation in the CFTR gene with a minimal function mutation
<i>F/</i> RF	heterozygous for the F508del mutation in the CFTR gene with a residual function mutation
IV	intravenous
IVA	ivacaftor
LFT	liver function test
N	number of subjects
n/a	not available
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
OAT	organic anion transporter
OATP	organic anion-transporting polypeptide
OCT	organic cation transporter
PASS	post-authorisation safety study
PD	pharmacodynamic(s)
P-gp	permeability glycoprotein
РК	pharmacokinetic(s)
PL	Package Leaflet
$ppFEV_1$	percent predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second
PV	Pharmacovigilance
PY	person-year, patient year
q12h	every 12 hours
Q3	Quarter 3
qd	daily
QPPV	Qualified Person for Pharmacovigilance
QT	QT interval represents the duration of ventricular depolarisation and subsequent repolarisation
QTc	QT interval corrected for heart rate
RMP	risk management plan
SAE	serious adverse event

Definition
Summary of Product Characteristics
triple combination
tezacaftor
TEZ in combination with IVA
Tumour necrosis factor
United Kingdom
upper limit of normal
United States
ultraviolet

## PART I Product(s) Overview

Active substance(s)	KAFTRIO (elexacaftor/tezacaftor/ivacaftor [ELX/TEZ/IVA])
Pharmacotherapeutic group(s) (ATC Code)	Other respiratory system products (R07AX32)
Market Authorisation Applicant	Vertex Pharmaceuticals (Ireland) Limited
Medicinal products to which this RMP refers	ELX/TEZ/IVA
Invented name(s) in the European Economic Area (EEA)	KAFTRIO
Market authorisation procedure	centralised
Brief description of the product	ELX is N-(1,3-dimethyl-1 <i>H</i> -pyrazole-4-sulfonyl)-6-[3-(3,3,3-trifluoro-2,2- dimethylpropoxy)-1 <i>H</i> -pyrazol-1-yl]-2-[(4S)-2,2,4-trimethylpyrrolidin- 1-yl]pyridine-3-carboxamide. TEZ is 1-(2,2-difluoro-2H-1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-N-{1-[(2R)- 2,3-dihydroxypropyl]-6-fluoro-2-(1-hydroxy-2-methylpropan-2-yl)- 1Hindol-5-yl}cyclopropane-1-carboxamide IVA is N-(2,4-di-tert-butyl-5-hydroxyphenyl)-1,4-dihydro-4-oxoquinoline-3-carboxamide ELX is a cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) corrector that facilitates the callular processing and trafficking of multiple multiple multiple
	that facilitates the cellular processing and trafficking of multiple mutant forms of CFTR (including <i>F508del-CFTR</i> ) to increase the amount of functional CFTR protein delivered to the correct location in the cell surface, resulting in increased chloride transport. The effect of TEZ (another CFTR corrector) administered in combination with ELX is additive to the effect of ELX alone. IVA is a CFTR potentiator that increases the channel open probability (or gating) of CFTR at the cell surface to enhance chloride transport. For IVA to function, CFTR protein must be present at the cell surface. IVA can potentiate the CFTR protein delivered to the cell surface by ELX and TEZ, leading to a further enhancement of chloride transport than achieved with dual therapy alone.
Hyperlink to the Product Information	(Current) Summary of Product Characteristics for KAFTRIO (Proposed) Summary of Product Characteristics for KAFTRIO
Indication(s) in the EEA	<b>Current (if applicable):</b> KAFTRIO is indicated in a combination regimen with IVA tablets or granules for the treatment of CF in patients aged 2 years and older who have at least one <i>F508del</i> mutation in the <i>CFTR</i> gene.
	Proposed (if applicable): Not applicable
Dosage in the EEA	<ul> <li>Current (if applicable): For patients aged ≥12 years and older, and patients aged 6 to &lt;12 years weighing ≥30 kg: In a combination regimen with IVA 150 mg tablets, the recommended dose is 2 KAFTRIO tablets (each containing ELX 100 mg/ TEZ 50 mg/IVA 75 mg) taken in the morning and 1 IVA 150 mg tablet taken in the evening, approximately 12 hours apart.</li> <li>For patients aged 6 to &lt;12 years weighing &lt;30 kg: In a combination regimen with IVA 75 mg tablets, the recommended dose is 2 KAFTRIO tablets (each containing ELX 50 mg/ TEZ 25 mg/IVA 37.5 mg) taken in the morning and 1 IVA 75 mg tablet taken in the evening, approximately 12 hours apart.</li> <li>For patients aged 2 to &lt;6 years weighing ≥14 kg: In a combination regimen with IVA 75 mg granules, the recommended dose is 1 KAFTRIO granule sachet (each containing ELX 100 mg + TEZ 50 mg + IVA 75 mg)</li> <li>For patients aged 2 to &lt;6 years weighing 10 to &lt;14 kg: In a combination regimen with IVA 59.5 mg granules, the recommended dose is 1 KAFTRIO granule sachet (each containing ELX 80 mg + TEZ 40 mg + IVA 60 mg)</li> </ul>
	Proposed (if applicable): Not applicable
Pharmaceutical form(s) and strengths	<b>Current (if applicable):</b> ELX 100 mg/TEZ 50 mg/IVA 75 mg tablets: Each film coated tablet contains ELX 100 mg/TEZ 50 mg/IVA 75 mg as a fixed dose combination tablet, which is orange, capsule-shaped, and debossed with "T100" on one side and plain on the other (dimensions: 7.85 mm × 15.47 mm).
	ELA 50 mg/TEZ 25 mg/TVA 37.5 mg tablets: Each film coated tablet contains ELX 50 mg/TEZ 25 mg/TVA 37.5 mg as a fixed dose combination tablet, which

	<ul> <li>is light orange, capsule-shaped, and debossed with "T50" on one side and plain on the other (dimensions: 6.4 mm × 12.2 mm).</li> <li>ELX 100 mg/TEZ 50 mg/IVA 75 mg granules: Each sachet of film coated oral granules contains ELX 100 mg/TEZ 50 mg/IVA 75 mg as fixed-dose combination oral granules, which are white to off-white, sweetened, unflavoured granules approximately 2 mm in diameter.</li> </ul>
	<b>ELX 80 mg/TEZ 40 mg/IVA 60 mg granules</b> : Each sachet of film coated oral granules contains ELX 80 mg/TEZ 40 mg/IVA 60 mg as fixed-dose combination oral granules, which are white to off-white, sweetened, unflavoured granules approximately 2 mm in diameter.
	Proposed (if applicable): Not applicable
Is/will the product be subject to additional monitoring in the EU	Yes

## **PART II Safety Specification**

## SI Epidemiology of Indication(s) and Target Population(s)

### **CYSTIC FIBROSIS**

The target population for elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor (ELX/TEZ/IVA) is patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) who have at least one *F508del* mutation in the *CFTR* gene.

#### SI.1 Incidence

<u>Europe</u>: The incidence of CF in Europe varies markedly, ranging from 1:1,353 in Ireland to 1:25,000 in Finland.<sup>1</sup> Annual cumulative incidence estimates reported for other European countries include: Austria 1 in 3,500, Belgium 1 in 2,850, Bulgaria 1 in 3,250, Cyprus 1 in 7,914, Czech Republic 1 in 2,833, Denmark 1 in 4,700, France 1 in 4,348, Germany 1 in 3,300, Hungary 1 in 4,000, Italy 1 in 4,238, Netherlands 1 in 4,750, Norway 1 in 8,642, Slovakia 1 in 1,800, Slovenia 1 in 3,000, Spain 1 in 3,750, and Sweden 1 in 5,600.<sup>1</sup>

<u>UK:</u> In the UK, the incidence of CF is 1 in  $2,381.^{1}$ 

<u>US:</u> In the US, among white persons, CF occurs in approximately 1 in 3,000 to 4,000 live births. In other races and ethnicities, CF occurs less commonly, including approximately 1 in 4,000 to 10,000 Latin Americans, 1 in 15,000 to 20,000 African Americans, and even less commonly in Asian Americans.<sup>2</sup> In 2017, 880 new cases of CF were diagnosed in the US.<sup>3</sup>

<u>Canada</u>: In Canada, based on CF patient registry data in 2000, approximately 1 in 3,600 children were born with CF.<sup>4</sup> In Canada in 2017, 115 patients were newly diagnosed with CF, including 66 patients diagnosed through newborn screening programmes.<sup>5</sup>

Australia: Approximately 1 in 3,700 children in Australia were born with CF in 2017.<sup>6</sup>

#### SI.2 Prevalence

#### **General CF Population**

CF affects more than 70,000 individuals worldwide.<sup>7</sup>

<u>Europe</u>: A survey of standards of care in Europe conducted from 2007 to 2009, EuroCare CF, estimated there were 39,897 patients with CF who were treated in 32 European countries.<sup>8</sup> According to another survey performed by Orphanet, the prevalence of CF from European data is 0.74 per 10,000.<sup>9</sup>

Overall, the prevalence of CF in 27 countries in the EU was estimated at 0.737 per 10,000 people, ranging from 0.10 in Latvia to 2.98 in Ireland.<sup>1</sup>

<u>US:</u> In the US in 2017, there were 29,887 patients with CF in the US CF Foundation patient registry; an overall prevalence of 0.9 per 10,000 people.<sup>3, 10</sup>

<u>Canada:</u> In Canada in 2017, there were 4,309 patients with CF in the Canadian CF Registry; an overall prevalence of 1.18 per 10,000 people.<sup>5, 10</sup>

<u>Australia</u>: In Australia in 2017, there were 3,151 patients with CF in the Australian CF Data Registry; an overall prevalence of 1.3 per 10,000 people.<sup>6, 11</sup>

#### **Target Population**

<u>Europe</u>: Based on the 2017 report from the European CF Society Patient Registry (ECFSPR) that included data on 48,204 patients with CF from 35 countries in the EU, 81.1% of the patients with available genotype data and who were seen in 2017 had at least one *F508del* allele.<sup>12</sup>

<u>US</u>: Based on the 2017 report from the US CF Foundation patient registry that included data on 29,887 patients with CF, 98.6% of the patients in the registry had been genotyped. Of the patients with available genotype data, 85.8% had at least one *F508del* allele.<sup>3</sup>

<u>Canada</u>: Based on the 2017 report from the Canadian CF Registry that included data on 4,309 patients with CF, 98.3% of the patients in the registry had at least 1 CF mutation genotyped. Of those with available genotype data, 89.1% had at least one *F508del* allele.<sup>5</sup>

<u>Australia</u>: Based on the 2017 report from the Australian CF registry that included data on 3,151 patients with CF, 94.1% of the patients in the registry had been genotyped. Of those with available genotype data, 89.2% had at least one *F508del* allele.<sup>6</sup>

## SI.3 Demographics of the Population in the Authorised Indication and Risk Factors for the Disease

#### **Risk Factors for the disease**

CF is an autosomal recessive genetic disorder. To have CF, a person must inherit 2 copies of the defective *CFTR* gene (1 copy from each parent) that lead to dysfunctional CFTR protein.

#### <u>Age at diagnosis</u>

With increased rates of neonatal screening, the age at CF diagnosis is decreasing. The median age at diagnosis was approximately 2, 4, and 2 months, as reported by the CF registries in UK<sup>13</sup>, Ireland<sup>14</sup>, and France<sup>15</sup>, respectively. Across 35 countries that contributed data to ECFSPR in 2017, the median age at diagnosis was 4.0 months.<sup>12</sup>

Similarly, in the US, the median age at diagnosis was 3 months according to a 2017 registry report.<sup>3</sup>

In Canada, newborn screening is performed in all provinces (Quebec added CF testing to their newborn screening in 2018). In 2017, the majority (59.9%) of individuals with CF were diagnosed by 1 year of age.<sup>5</sup>

### Age distribution among prevalent patients

Of the 48,204 CF patients from 35 countries in the ECFSPR (including non-EU member states) in 2017 and with a patient encounter in that year, the mean age was 20.8 years and of the total population 51.3% were older than 18 years of age.<sup>12</sup>

In the US, 53.5% of all CF patients were adults 18 years or older based on the 2017 annual registry report.<sup>3</sup> Despite the gains in median survival, the age distribution remains markedly skewed to the young. While the age of US CF patients ranged from birth to a maximum age of 87.7 years, the mean age was 21.7 years in 2017.<sup>3</sup>

In Canada in 2017, CF patients ranged from birth to more than 70 years old, with the median age being reported as 22.8 years and 60.9% of patients with CF were 18 years or older, with 15.2% being over 40 years of age, and 0.5% over the age of  $70.^{5}$ 

### <u>Sex</u>

Commonly, women with CF have been described to have worse outcomes than males.<sup>16-18</sup>

Among CF children in Europe, a male preponderance exists at birth and persists and is reflected at all ages.<sup>19</sup> Based on the ECFSPR report for 2017, 52.6% out of 48,204 CF patients from 35 countries were males.<sup>12</sup> In the 2018 annual report for the UK, 53% of patients were males.<sup>13</sup>

In the US 2017 annual registry report, 51.6% of all CF patients were males.<sup>3</sup>

In Canada, based on 2017 annual patient registry report, 53.9% of patients with CF were males.<sup>5</sup>

## **Race / ethnic origin**

CF affects all racial and ethnic groups, but is more common among Caucasians.<sup>20</sup> Among CF patients in the US, 93.6% were Caucasians, 4.6% African Americans, and 3.6% other races, with 8.7% being identified as Hispanic (any race) (races are not mutually exclusive as recorded in US CF Foundation).<sup>3</sup>

### SI.4 Main Existing Treatment Options

With the exception of drugs that target the CFTR function, such as Orkambi<sup>™</sup> (lumacaftor in combination with IVA), Kalydeco<sup>™</sup> (IVA monotherapy), and Symdeko<sup>™</sup>/Symkevi<sup>™</sup> (TEZ in combination with IVA), the main existing treatment options for CF comprise drugs or physiotherapy for the co-morbidities of CF, which may encompass the following:

Comorbidity	Treatment Options
CF lung disease	• Airway hydration (hypertonic saline nebulisation)
	• Mucolytics (dornase alfa)
	<ul> <li>Oral antibiotics (amoxicillin clavulanate, ciprofloxacin, azithromycin, clarithromycin)</li> </ul>
	• Inhaled antibiotics (tobramycin, aztreonam, colistin)
	<ul> <li>IV antibiotics (ceftazidime, meropenem, piperacillin-tazobactam, tobramycin, amikacin)</li> </ul>
	• Bronchodilators (albuterol, salmeterol)
	• Oxygen
	• Inhaled corticosteroids (budesonide, fluticasone)
	• Systemic corticosteroids (prednisolone, prednisone)
CF liver disease	• Oral bile acid therapy (ursodeoxycholic acid)
CFRD	• Insulin

Main Existing Treatment Options in Patients With Cystic Fibrosis

CF-related osteoporosis and osteopenia	• Vitamin D and calcium supplementation
Pancreatic insufficiency / Malnutrition	<ul> <li>Pancreatic enzyme replacement</li> <li>Acid reduction therapy (H2-blockers: proton-pump inhibitors)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Supplementation of fat-soluble vitamins (A, D, E, and K) and zinc</li> </ul>
	• Appetite stimulation (hydroxyzine, cyproheptadine, megestrol acetate, dronabinol)
CF arthropathy	• Systemic corticosteroids (prednisolone, prednisone)
	• Methotrexate
	• TNF blockers, TNF receptor blockers
Anxiety and depression	• Anxiolytics
	• Antidepressants
Cardiac disease	• Digitalis and tolazoline hydrochloride have been reported as treatments for heart failure secondary to CF; however, no clear benefit of these treatments has been identified and they remain controversial. <sup>21</sup>

Main	Existing	Treatment	<b>Options</b> i	n Patients	With (	Cystic	Fibrosis
						•/	

CF: cystic fibrosis; CFRD: CF-related diabetes; IV: intravenous; TNF: tumor necrosis factor

## SI.5 Natural History of the Indicated Condition in the Untreated Population

#### **Mortality**

EuroCare CF reported median age at death for 14 European countries varied between 18.7 years in Poland and 33.0 years in the Netherlands, with the exception of Macedonia where the median age at death was 9.5 years.<sup>8</sup> A female survival disadvantage exists.<sup>12</sup>

A patient with CF born in the last 2 decades of the 20th century (in an economically developed nation) is now expected to have a greater-than-50% chance of survival to 40 years of age.<sup>19</sup> In an international cohort of 366 patients with CF aged 40 years or older from Canada, UK, US, and Italy, the estimated annual mortality rate was 3.4%.<sup>18</sup>

The reported median age at death, median predicted survival, and mortality rates across select European countries, the US, Canada, and Australia are summarised as follows:

	Median age at death	Median predicted	
Country, Year	(years)	survival (years)	Annual Mortality rate
UK, 2018 <sup>13</sup>	32.0	47.3	1.3%
Ireland, 2017 <sup>14</sup>	32.0	45.7	1.2%
France, 2016 <sup>15</sup>	28.0	n/a	0.8%
Germany, 2016 <sup>22</sup>	33.0	n/a	1.1%
US, 2017 <sup>3</sup>	30.6	43.6	1.3%
Canada, 2017 <sup>5</sup>	33.6	52.3	1.0%
Australia, 2017 <sup>6</sup>	35.6	n/a	0.6%
/			

n/a: not available

### **Morbidity**

While mutations in the *CFTR* gene affect secretory glands, the most affected organs are lungs, pancreas, liver/gallbladder, intestines, sinuses, vas deferens, and sweat glands. Other complications of CF include CF-related diabetes (CFRD), bone disease, CF-related arthropathy, and anxiety and depression.

## SI.6 Important Comorbidities

The important comorbidities of CF include CF lung disease, CF liver disease, CFRD, CF-related osteoporosis and osteopenia, pancreatic insufficiency/malnutrition, anxiety and depression, and cardiac disease.

## SI.6.1 Cystic Fibrosis Lung Disease

CF lung disease is the most prevalent manifestation of CF. The natural history of CF lung disease is one of chronic airway infection and gradual progression driven by intermittent episodes of acute pulmonary exacerbations. This progression typically starts with mucus plugging of peripheral airways and concomitant air trapping. Retained mucus plugs and plaques within the airway serve as a nidus of chronic infection by certain pathogens, such as *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which are particularly well adapted to surviving in retained airway secretions. Inflammation and scarring associated with this chronic airway infection results in bronchial wall thickening and progressive bronchiectasis. Recent studies indicate that the episodes of acute pulmonary exacerbation, representing infectious flares, drive these later stage findings, reflecting overall lung disease progression.

In 2017, 46.4% of US CF patients had at least one positive culture for *P aeruginosa* as characterised by the Leeds criteria, with 28.6% being categorised as having chronic infection and 17.8% as intermittent infection, and for methicillin-resistant *S aureus, Burkholderia cepacia complex, S aureus*, the prevalence is 25.9%, 2.5%, and 70.7%, respectively.<sup>3</sup> Pulmonary infection is the most pronounced clinical issue and the progressive pulmonary dysfunction is the main prognostic factor for patients with CF.

According to the 2017 report from the CF Registry of Ireland, 34.6% of patients had at least one pulmonary exacerbation requiring intravenous (IV) antibiotics; 24.4% of children (<18 years, n=130) and 42.3% of adults ( $\geq$ 18 years; n=298).<sup>14</sup> In 3 studies of children and adults with CF that used computed tomography (CT) to detect lung disease, bronchiectasis was the most common lung abnormality. In cohorts in Italy, Austria, and the Netherlands, bronchiectasis was identified in 89%, 80%, and 76% of patients, respectively; similarly, bronchial wall thickening was identified in 48%, 76%, and 85% of patients with CF, respectively. Mucous plugging was identified in 29%, 51%, and 79%, respectively.<sup>23-25</sup> The relative prevalence of CT findings in these populations reflects the sampling of relatively older CF patients in these studies.

Lung disease severity tends to increase with age among those with moderate and poor lung function.<sup>3</sup>

CF lung disease is characterised by progressive airway obstruction as measured by percent predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second (ppFEV<sub>1</sub>). The European Epidemiologic Registry of CF collected FEV<sub>1</sub> data for 7,010 patients aged 6 years and older. Cross-sectional analysis of the 3 age groups in the study demonstrated a progressively lower ppFEV<sub>1</sub> with advancing age. Whereas children aged 6 to 12 years have mean values of ppFEV<sub>1</sub> (79.1) reflecting mild airway obstruction, patients in the 13 to 17 years and 18 years and older age groups showed mean values of 67.8 and 54.1, respectively, reflecting progression to moderate airway obstruction.<sup>26</sup> Taken together, these values reflect progressive lung disease from the earliest age at which lung function is measurable.

Lung disease is the most serious complication of CF, causing the majority of mortality in patients with CF. In the US, 62.9% of all 2017 deaths were due to respiratory/cardiorespiratory and 16.1% were transplant-related.<sup>3</sup> Of all the 380 deaths which occurred in 2017, 47.6% occurred in people who were *F508del* homozygotes.<sup>3</sup>

## SI.6.2 Cystic Fibrosis Liver Disease

While there is no standard, universally-accepted definition of what constitutes CF liver disease, the literature is generally in agreement that the majority of CF patients will at some time have evidence of a wide range of liver abnormalities, including those in liver biochemistry, changes on ultrasound and/or hepatomegaly, or other abnormalities as follows:<sup>27-30</sup>

Liver Abnormalities in Cystic Fibrosis Patients	
Hepatic abnormalities	
Asymptomatic liver function test elevations	Common (estimates vary widely)
Hepatomegaly	Common (estimates vary widely)
Steatosis and steatohepatitis	Common (23% to 67%)
Neonatal cholestasis	Not common (<2%)
Focal biliary cirrhosis	Common (11% to 72%)
Multilobular cirrhosis	Less common (up to 15%)
Portal hypertension	Less common (up to 5%)
Synthetic liver failure	Rare
Biliary abnormalities	
Microgallbladder	Common (30%)
Cholelithiasis and cholecystitis	Less common (up to 15%)
Bile duct stenosis	Not common (<2%)
Sclerosing cholangitis	Not common (<1%)
Cholangiocarcinoma	Rare

As defined by a combination of any 2 signs (i.e., hepatomegaly/splenomegaly, increased liver function tests (LFTs), and/or ultrasound), the cumulative incidence of CF liver disease based on long-term follow-up was 18% in the US, 27% in Italy, and 28% in Israel.<sup>31-33</sup>

The incidence rate of CF liver disease was reported as 3.61 per 100 person-years (PY) in a cohort in Montreal, Canada, and 1.8 per 100 PY in a cohort from Milan, Italy.<sup>32, 34</sup>

#### Liver function test abnormalities

Liver abnormalities are very common among CF infants with up to 53% having elevated LFTs by 3 years of age.<sup>35</sup> In 2 large CF patient registries in UK (2018) and Australia (2017), prevalence of abnormal LFTs in the overall CF population (all ages) was reported at 9.0% and 10.2%, respectively.<sup>6, 13</sup>

Based on the analysis of data from 376 participants of 3 multi-centre CF studies with an average follow-up of 8.3 months, the incidence rate for developing: any alanine aminotransferase (ALT) increase was 2 per 100 person-months, any LFT abnormality was 3.4 per 100 person-months, and any clinically significant LFT abnormality was 0.4 per 100 person-months.<sup>36</sup>

If followed for 5 to 10 years, about 35% to 50% of CF patients would have LFT elevations on at least 1 occasion,<sup>32, 34</sup> with up to 93% of patients with LFT abnormalities over 20 years of follow-up.<sup>37</sup>

Of note, while transient or even persistent LFT increases are frequent in CF, they have a low sensitivity and specificity in predicting clinically significant CF liver disease. For instance, in 1 study among patients with abnormal liver enzyme testing, 25% went on to develop CF liver disease during follow-up (mean of 8 years).<sup>31</sup>

#### Clinically significant liver disease

While biochemical or ultrasound liver abnormalities are commonly observed in CF patients, clinically significant CF liver disease (such as multilobular cirrhosis and/or portal hypertension) affects a much smaller percentage of the CF population.

The literature suggests that up to 10% of patients with CF develop cirrhosis, with most of these patients having signs of portal hypertension.<sup>32, 35, 38</sup> In the US patient registry in 2017, prevalence of cirrhosis in the CF population was 3.1%. In the UK patient registry in 2018, prevalence in the CF population for cirrhosis without portal hypertension was 0.7% and prevalence of cirrhosis with portal hypertension was 1.1%.<sup>3,11</sup>

Two prospective studies<sup>32, 34</sup> reported sufficient data to estimate the incidence rates of clinically significant liver disease. Using the reported case counts and person-time from these 2 studies, the rates were estimated at:

- 7 to 8 per 1,000 patient years for cirrhosis,
- 5.3 to 5.5 per 1,000 patient-years for cirrhosis with portal hypertension,
- 1.6 per 1,000 patient-years for cirrhosis with varices, and
- 3.4 per 1,000 patient-years for hepatic failure.

Liver disease, including liver failure, remains an important non-pulmonary cause of death, accounting for about 3.4% of overall CF mortality in the US.<sup>3</sup>

In Ireland, among all deaths recorded between 2002 and 2014, 4.0% were caused by liver disease.  $^{39}$ 

During 7-year follow-up of 36 children with CF liver disease, 3 (8%) patients died from liver failure and 1 (3%) patient received a liver transplant. Another 3 (8%) patients with CF liver disease died from pulmonary failure. Overall, the mortality at 7 years was 19.4% in the cohort of patients with CF liver disease.<sup>40</sup>

## SI.6.3 Cystic Fibrosis-Related Diabetes

Three analyses estimated the prevalence of CFRD at approximately 30%. A cohort of children and adults with CF in the Netherlands reported a prevalence of CFRD of 31%<sup>41</sup>, and 2 cohorts from Georgia and Minnesota, US, reported 31.2% and 33% CFRD, respectively.<sup>42, 43</sup> The prevalence increased with age, reaching 31% to 50% in adults.<sup>41-43</sup>

In the 2 largest CF patient registries (US and UK), prevalence of CFRD in the US in 2017 was 18.5% (overall) and in the UK in 2018 was 26.6% (ages  $\geq 10$  years old).<sup>3, 13</sup> CFRD increases with age, with prevalence of 31.0% among those 18 years and older versus 5.3% among those younger than 18 years in US (2017)<sup>3</sup>, and 31.1% among those  $\geq 16$  years of age versus 7.4% among those 10 to 15 years of age in UK (2018).<sup>13</sup>

The annual incidence of CFRD was estimated at 3.8% in a Danish cohort<sup>44</sup>, 3.5% in a British cohort<sup>45</sup>, and 2.7% in a US cohort.<sup>43</sup> In the Danish study, the annual incidence increased with age and was 5% for patients aged 10 years or older and 9.3% for patients aged 20 years or older.<sup>44</sup>

It is believed that CFRD causes a more rapid decline in pulmonary function and nutrition status, particularly in female patients, compared to CF patients without CFRD. There is also evidence that CFRD is associated with increased mortality.<sup>46</sup>

Data from the UK CF Registry showed the age-adjusted mortality rate among patient with CFRD was 4.2 (95% CI: 3.4 to 5.1) per 100 PY, while the rate in patients with CF but without CFRD was only 1.5 (95% CI: 1.3 to 1.7) per 100 PY.<sup>47</sup> In a US study, the overall mortality rate for patients with CFRD was 1.8 per 100 PY, compared with 0.5 in patients with CF without diabetes.<sup>48</sup>

## SI.6.4 Cystic Fibrosis-Related Osteoporosis and Osteopenia

Bone mass is abnormally low in patients with CF, even when their treatment includes large supplements of vitamin D and calcium.<sup>49</sup> Low bone mineral density value was found even with daily calcium dosages of 1200 or 1500 mg.<sup>50-53</sup>

A meta-analysis of osteoporosis, osteopenia, and vertebral and non-vertebral fractures among adults with CF reported pooled prevalence of 23.5%, 38%, 14%, and 19.7%, respectively.<sup>54</sup> In 2017, about 3.8% and 10.4% of people with CF in the US were reported to have osteoporosis and osteopenia, respectively.<sup>3</sup> In the UK in 2018, 4.7% and 10.3% of all CF patients had osteoporosis and osteopenia, respectively.<sup>13</sup>

Fractures are very common in patients with CF, and often more than 1 fracture occurs during the life of a patient with CF.<sup>49</sup> Studies estimated that fracture rate was increased 2-fold in women aged 16 to 34 years and in men aged 25 to 45 years, as compared to the general population.<sup>50</sup>

## SI.6.5 Pancreatic Insufficiency / Malnutrition

Pancreatic insufficiency predisposing to fat malabsorption, steatorrhoea, and failure to thrive affects greater than 90% of patients with CF, and is present at the time of diagnosis in the majority of patients who are diagnosed on the basis of symptoms. Patients diagnosed through newborn screening may not be symptomatic at the time of diagnosis; however, a significant proportion are pancreatic insufficient at birth and early treatment with pancreatic enzymes and close attention to nutritional management have been shown to result in improved growth.<sup>55</sup>

Two studies independently found that among cohorts of patients with CF in Canada and the US, 87% had pancreatic insufficiency.<sup>56, 57</sup> In a cohort of patients with CF over 40 years of age in the UK, 82% had pancreatic insufficiency as identified in clinical notes.<sup>58</sup>

## SI.6.6 Anxiety and Depression

Estimates of prevalence of depression and anxiety in CF patients vary by the method of ascertainment, geography, and age.

In the US in 2017, a clinical diagnosis of depression was reported in 15.5% and anxiety disorder was reported in 12.5% of all CF patients.<sup>3</sup> In 2017, depression or anxiety was diagnosed in 12.6% of CF patients in Canada.<sup>5</sup>

In the CF population, the prevalence of depression increases in adolescents and in young adults and remains high through older ages. In the US in 2017, depression was reported in 3.6% of patients <18 years of age and 26.8% of patients  $\ge18$  years of age; anxiety disorder was reported in 4.1% of patients  $\ge18$  years of age and 20.6% of patients  $\ge18$  years of age.<sup>3</sup> In Canada in 2017, depression or anxiety was clinically diagnosed in 4.7% of patients <18 years of age and in 17.6% of patients  $\ge18$  years of age.<sup>5</sup>

In 2014, Quittner et al. published results from a large international study across 154 centres in Europe and the US that screened for depression and anxiety in a sample of 6,088 CF patients, as well as a community sample of 4,102 caregivers who have children with CF. The prevalence of depressive symptoms was 2 times higher in the sample of CF patients compared to the community sample, highlighted in higher burden of these conditions in the CF population.<sup>59</sup>

Within this same study by Quittner et al., the sample of CF patients included 1,286 adolescents (aged 12 to 17 years) and 4,739 adults (aged  $\geq$ 18 years). Depending on age and the screening tool used, rates of depression varied across countries, ranging from

5 to 19% in adolescents and 13 to 29% in adults. Furthermore, 4% of the adolescent patients with CF and 10% of the adult patients with CF reported currently taking a psychiatric medication for depression/anxiety, while 6% of the adolescent CF patients and 8% of the adult CF patients reported currently receiving psychotherapy.<sup>59</sup>

In 2015, the CF Foundation and the European CF Society published recommendations for annual screening and treatment of depression and anxiety in all individuals with CF who are 12 years of age and older. As a steady increase is being observed in the number of patients screened for anxiety and depression, the prevalence of diagnosed anxiety and depression is also increasing over time.<sup>3</sup>

## SI.6.7 Cardiac Disease

Cardiac disease as a result of progressive hypoxia due to severe lung disease is usually described as right ventricular dysfunction and cor pulmonale. Cor pulmonale, as defined by hypertrophy of the right ventricle resulting from diseases affecting the function and/or structure of the lung, except when these pulmonary alterations are the result of diseases that primarily affect the left side of the heart or of congenital heart disease<sup>60</sup>, has been reported for patients with CF since 1946. The prevalence of cor pulmonale has varied from 6% to 70% when based on postmortem studies.<sup>61</sup>

Heart failure has been reported at a prevalence of 8.3% among a cohort of patients with CF in Ohio, US.<sup>21</sup> Ischemic heart disease has not historically been reported for patients with CF. However, given the high-fat diet and comorbid CFRD, there is speculation that as more patients with CF live into adulthood there may be an increase in ischemic heart disease. A case report for the first symptomatic myocardial infarction in a patient with CF has been published.<sup>62</sup> However, there are no estimates for incidence or prevalence of ischemic heart disease.

Of 170 patients who died at a CF clinic in Ohio, US, 55 (32%) had overt right heart failure at least 2 weeks before death. Among 61 patients with CF and heart failure, the mean survival was 8 months with a median survival of 4 months.<sup>21</sup>

## SII Nonclinical Part of the Safety Specification

Nonclinical studies were conducted to evaluate the pharmacologic activity of ELX and to characterise its pharmacokinetic (PK) and toxicity profile (Module 2.4). TEZ and IVA were previously evaluated in similar sets of studies to support the clinical development of Symdeko/Symkevi and Kalydeco, respectively. Several studies involving the co-administration of combination therapy with ELX, TEZ, and IVA were also conducted.

## SII.1 Toxicity

The nonclinical safety programme supports the chronic administration of the triple combination (TC) regimen of ELX/TEZ/IVA in humans at the intended clinical doses.

In repeat-dose toxicity studies in rats, key findings included gastric erosions and/or ulcerations, hypocellularity of the bone marrow, seminiferous tubule degeneration and/or testicular atrophy, persistent corpus luteum in the ovaries, and reduced fertility. There was at least a 2× exposure margin (based on no-observed-adverse-effect level [NOAEL]) for these rat-specific findings. Similar findings were not observed in the 28-day and 39-week dog studies even though markedly higher systemic exposures were achieved (19× exposure margin at NOAEL for 39-week study). The Phase 3 clinical data suggest that these nonclinical findings do not translate to humans; therefore, based on this and the established

exposure margins, these findings are considered rat-specific and are not anticipated to present a risk to humans at the therapeutic dose (ELX 200 mg/day).

Combination repeat-dose toxicity studies up to 3 months in duration in rats and 28 days in duration in dogs did not result in any new or synergistic toxicities following co-administration with ELX, TEZ, and IVA. Based on these findings, there are no anticipated risks associated to humans with co-administration of the TC therapy.

ELX was not teratogenic in rat and rabbit embryo-foetal development studies. No adverse findings were identified in the rat pre- and post-natal development and juvenile toxicity studies. Therefore, there is minimal human risk of developmental toxicity with ELX.

Placental transfer of <sup>14</sup>C-ELX, <sup>14</sup>C-TEZ, and <sup>14</sup>C-IVA associated radioactivity was observed in pregnant rats. After oral administration to lactating female rats, <sup>14</sup>C-ELX, <sup>14</sup>C-TEZ, and <sup>14</sup>C-IVA were excreted in the milk.

ELX was non-genotoxic, did not cause the formation of pre-neoplastic or other proliferative lesions in any tissue in the 28-day, 3-month, and chronic toxicity studies in rats and dogs, and was non-carcinogenic in a 26-week Tg.rasH2 transgenic mouse carcinogenicity assay. In the 2-year oral carcinogenicity study in rats evaluating the carcinogenic potential of ELX, administration of ELX up to 10 mg/kg/day by oral gavage in male and female rats for up to 93 weeks did not results in neoplastic or non-neoplastic findings.

Similarly, TEZ and IVA were non-genotoxic, non-carcinogenic in a 26-week Tg.rasH2 transgenic mouse carcinogenicity assay (TEZ), non-carcinogenic in a 2-year carcinogenicity bioassay in mice (IVA), and non-carcinogenic in a 2-year bioassay in rats (TEZ and IVA). Based on the available data, the overall carcinogenic risk associated with the TC regimen is low.

## SII.2 Safety Pharmacology

Results from safety pharmacology studies and secondary pharmacodynamic (PD) screening evaluating ELX, TEZ, and IVA suggest a high degree of selectivity and a low potential for adverse biological or physiological effects when ELX, TEZ, and IVA are administered in combination. Furthermore, in a thorough QT interval corrected for heart rate (QTc) study, there were no clinically important trends attributable to ELX dosing identified in vital sign assessments, blood pressure (BP), heart rate, and no effects were noted in ECG data, including QTc prolongation or arrhythmias.

## SII.3 Pharmacokinetics

ELX, TEZ, and IVA absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion and PK properties have been adequately characterised to support the registration of the ELX, TEZ, and IVA TC therapy.

ELX was orally bioavailable in all species tested. Systemic exposures to ELX, TEZ, and IVA in combination studies in rats and dogs were similar to the exposures observed when these compounds were dosed individually. Adequate exposures of ELX, TEZ, and IVA were achieved for nonclinical safety evaluation.

After oral administration of <sup>14</sup>C-ELX, <sup>14</sup>C-TEZ, or <sup>14</sup>C-IVA, radioactivity was rapidly distributed across most tissues in rats. Protein binding is high for ELX (>98.9%), TEZ ( $\geq$ 98.0%), and IVA (>99.3%) in mouse, rat, dog, monkey, and human plasma, and is similar across species.

ELX metabolism was similar between nonclinical species and humans. M23-445 is a major circulating metabolite observed for ELX in humans. M1-TEZ and M5-TEZ are major circulating metabolites of TEZ in humans and rats. M2-TEZ is a major disproportionate circulating metabolite of TEZ in humans. M1-IVA and M6-IVA are the major circulating metabolites of IVA in all species studied. All major metabolites of ELX, TEZ, and IVA have been adequately qualified in nonclinical toxicity studies.

ELX, TEZ, and IVA are substrates of CYP3A. Concomitant use of CYP3A inducers or inhibitors may affect their exposures. ELX, TEZ, IVA and their metabolites are predicted to have low potential to cause drug-drug interactions (DDIs) via CYP inhibition except that IVA may inhibit CYP2C9.

Based on in vitro results, ELX and M23-445 have a low potential to inhibit efflux transporter permeability glycoprotein (P-gp), but they have the potential to inhibit hepatic uptake transporters organic anion-transporting polypeptides (OATP) 1B1 and OATP1B3 at the clinical daily dose of 200 mg. TEZ and its major metabolites have a low potential to inhibit major efflux (P-gp, breast cancer resistance protein [BCRP]), hepatic uptake (OATP1B1, OATP1B3, organic cation transporter [OCT] 1), and renal uptake (OCT2, organic anion transporter [OAT] 1 and OAT3) transporters. IVA has been shown to be a weak P-gp inhibitor in a clinical DDI study with digoxin. IVA has the potential to inhibit efflux transporter BCRP. IVA is not expected to inhibit OATP1B1, OATP1B3, OCT1, OCT2, OAT1, or OAT3.

Overall, based on in vitro transporter inhibition studies, ELX/TEZ/IVA TC therapy has the potential to alter PK of co-medications or endogenous substrates (such as bilirubin) that are eliminated via OATP1B1/1B3 hepatic uptake transport. The mild isolated increases in serum bilirubin observed in clinical studies with TC therapy are consistent with OATP1B1/1B3 transporter inhibition.

## SII.4 Other Toxicity-Related Information or Data

The photosafety of ELX was evaluated and determined not to have phototoxicity potential based on nonclinical study results in rats.

## SIII Clinical Trial Exposure

Cumulatively, an estimated 3,461 subjects have been exposed to at least 1 dose of ELX (as either monotherapy or combination therapy) in clinical studies: 3,214 were subjects with CF and 247 were healthy subjects. Additionally, 432 subjects have been exposed to at least 1 dose of placebo (378 were CF subjects and 54 were healthy subjects), and a total of 271 subjects (all CF subjects) have been exposed to an active comparator (e.g., TEZ/IVA alone) (Table 1).

Exposure in the ELX development clinical studies was calculated using patient years (PY). As shown in Table 1 subjects were exposed to ELX treatment during clinical studies for approximately 5463.82 PY, in comparison to 184.56 PY for the placebo subjects and 68.78 PY for the active comparator subjects.

The cumulative subject exposure by duration, age, sex, and race are provided in Table 2, Table 3, Table 4, and Table 5, respectively. Overall, of the 3,461 subjects exposed to ELX treatment, the were aged  $\geq 18$  years, and the maximum subjects on ELX treatment.

	Healthy Subjects <sup>a</sup>		Subject	s With CF <sup>b</sup>	Overall	
		Total Exposure		Total Exposure	N = 353	Total Exposure
Dose	N = 301	(PY)	N = 3232	(PY)	3	(PY)
Total	301	6.62	3232	5710.54	3533	5717.16
Active (combination and monotherapy)	247	4.61	3214	5459.21	3461	5463.82
Combination (ELX TC)	146	2.38	3214	5459.21	3360	5461.59
ELX 200 mg qd/TEZ 100 mg qd/IVA 150 mg q12h	34	0.73	3031	5068.83	3065	5069.56
ELX 100 mg qd/TEZ 50 mg qd/IVA 75 mg q12h	22	0.65	231	366.88	253	367.54
ELX 80 mg qd/TEZ 40 mg qd/IVA 60 mg qAM + 59.5 mg qPM	0		16	18.29	16	18.29
Other ELX combination	90	1.00	68	5.20	158	6.20
Monotherapy (ELX)	101	2.23	0		101	2.23
ELX <200 mg	38	0.48	0		38	0.48
ELX ≥200 mg	63	1.75	0		63	1.75
Placebo	54	2.01	378	182.55	432	184.56
Active Comparators in ELX Studies	0		271	68.78	271	68.78
TEZ/IVA	0		226	61.57	226	61.57
IVA	0		45	7.21	45	7.21

Table 1	Summary of Ex	posure in the ELX	K Clinical Developme	nt Program by Dose
	•/	•		

CF: cystic fibrosis; ELX: elexacaftor, also known as VX-445; IVA: ivacaftor, also known as VX-770 or Kalydeco<sup>TM</sup>; PY: person-years; q12h: every 12 hours; qd: once daily; TC: triple combination; TEZ: tezacaftor, also known as VX-661; TEZ/IVA: TEZ in combination with IVA, also known as Symdeko<sup>TM</sup> or Symkevi <sup>TM</sup>

Notes: Safety Set includes all subjects who received any amount of elexacaftor alone (monotherapy), elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor (ELX/TEZ/IVA) or VX-561 (ELX/TEZ/VX-561), or placebo, or active comparator (TEZ/IVA or IVA) and had the dosing information included in the clinical database by 20 April 2024. A subject may be counted in multiple rows but only once in each row.

<sup>a</sup> Include the following completed healthy volunteer studies with the final CSR TFLs available: 445-001 (Parts A, B, C), 445-002, 445-003, 445-005, 445-006, 445-007, 445-009, 445-011, and 445-012. In groups 2A and 2B in Study VX445-009, moxifloxacin/placebo on Day 1 and Day 16 are regarded as ELX matching placebo. Study 445-007 contains 11 subjects with hepatic impairment.

<sup>b</sup> Include the following completed cystic fibrosis patient studies: 445-001 (Parts D, E, F), 445-102, 445-103, 445-104, 445-105, 445-106, 445-109, 445-110, 445-111, 445-113, 445-115, 445-116, 445-117, 445-121, 445-124, 445-126 and the following ongoing studies: 445-107, 445-112, 445-122, 445-125, 121-102, 121-103, and 121-105 (121 studies were considered ongoing for clinical trial exposures).

## Table 2Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by<br/>Duration

	Healthy	Subjects <sup>a</sup>	Subject	s With CF <sup>b</sup>	Ov	verall
Durichan	N - 201	Total Exposure	N -2222	Total Exposure	N - 2522	Total Exposure
	N = 301	$(\mathbf{P}\mathbf{Y})$	N =3232	(PY)	N = 3533	(PY)
lotal	301	6.62	3232	5/10.54	3533	5/1/.16
1 day	49	0.15	3	0.01	52	0.15
>1 day to $\leq$ 4 weeks	252	6.47	594	46.90	846	53.37
>4 weeks to ≤8 weeks	0		58	5.86	58	5.86
$>8$ to $\leq 24$ weeks	0		71	23.45	71	23.45
>24 to $\leq$ 48 weeks	0		133	100.96	133	100.96
>48 to $\leq$ 72 weeks	0		706	874.37	706	874.37
>72 to ≤96 weeks	0		461	830.69	461	830.69
>96 to ≤144 weeks	0		696	1649.07	696	1649.07

	Healthy	Subjects <sup>a</sup>	Subject	ts With CF <sup>b</sup>	0	verall
		Total		Total		Total
		Exposure		Exposure		Exposure
Duration	N = 301	(PY)	N =3232	(PY)	N = 3533	(PY)
>144 to ≤192 weeks	0		85	297.55	85	297.55
>192 weeks	0		425	1881.68	425	1881.68
Active (combination and	247	4.61	3214	5459.21	3461	5463.82
monotherapy)						
1 day	40	0.12	3	0.01	43	0.13
>1 day to $\leq 4$ weeks	207	4.49	591	46.62	798	51.11
$>4$ weeks to $\leq 8$ weeks	0		51	5.24	51	5.24
$>8$ to $\leq 24$ weeks	0		78	25.35	78	25.35
$>24$ to $\leq 48$ weeks	0		195	161.22	195	161.22
>48 to $\leq$ 72 weeks	0		712	859.74	712	859.74
>72 to ≤96 weeks	0		449	832.60	449	832.60
>96 to ≤144 weeks	0		642	1492.11	642	1492.11
>144 to ≤192 weeks	0		169	635.30	169	635.30
>192 weeks	0		324	1401.02	324	1401.02
Combination (ELX TC)	146	2.38	3214	5459.21	3360	5461.59
1 day	3	0.01	3	0.01	6	0.02
>1 day to ≤4 weeks	143	2.38	591	46.62	734	49.00
>4 weeks to ≤8 weeks	0		51	5.24	51	5.24
>8 to ≤24 weeks	0		78	25.35	78	25.35
>24 to $\leq$ 48 weeks	0		195	161.22	195	161.22
>48 to $\leq$ 72 weeks	0		712	859.74	712	859.74
>72 to ≤96 weeks	0		449	832.60	449	832.60
>96 to ≤144 weeks	0		642	1492.11	642	1492.11
>144 to ≤192 weeks	0		169	635.30	169	635.30
>192 weeks	0		324	1401.02	324	1401.02
Monotherapy (ELX)	101	2.23	0		101	2.23
1 day	37	0.11	0		37	0.11
>1 day to ≤4 weeks	64	2.12	0		64	2.12
>4 weeks to $\leq 8$ weeks	0		0		0	
$>8$ to $\leq 24$ weeks	0		0		0	
$>24$ to $\leq 48$ weeks	0		0		0	
>48 to $\leq$ 72 weeks	0		0		0	
$>72$ to $\leq 96$ weeks	0		0		0	
>96 to ≤144 weeks	0		0		0	
>144 to ≤192 weeks	0		0		0	
>192 weeks	0		0		0	
Placebo	54	2.01	378	182.55	432	184.56
1 day	9	0.03	0		9	0.03
$>1$ day to $\leq 4$ weeks	45	1.98	7	0.43	52	2.40
>4 weeks to $\leq 8$ weeks	0		9	0.79	9	0.79
$>8$ to $\leq 24$ weeks	0		232	114.71	232	114.71
>24 to $\leq$ 48 weeks	0		130	66.63	130	66.63
>48 to $\leq$ 72 weeks	0		0		0	
>72 to $\leq 96$ weeks	0		0		0	
>96 to ≤144 weeks	0		0		0	
>144 to $\leq$ 192 weeks	0		0		0	
>192 weeks	0		0		0	

Table 2	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by
	Duration

	Healthy	<sup>y</sup> Subjects <sup>a</sup>	Subject	s With CF <sup>b</sup>	0	verall
Duration	N = 301	Total Exposure (PY)	N =3232	Total Exposure (PY)	N = 3533	Total Exposure (PY)
Active Comparator in ELX Studies	0		271	68.78	271	68.78
1 day	0		0		0	
>1 day to ≤4 weeks	0		36	2.71	36	2.71
>4 weeks to ≤8 weeks	0		101	14.86	101	14.86
$>8$ to $\leq 24$ weeks	0		113	40.57	113	40.57
$>24$ to $\leq 48$ weeks	0		21	10.64	21	10.64
>48 to $\leq$ 72 weeks	0		0		0	
>72 to ≤96 weeks	0		0		0	
>96 to ≤144 weeks	0		0		0	
>144 to ≤192 weeks	0		0		0	
>192 weeks	0		0		0	

Table 2	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by
	Duration

CF: cystic fibrosis; ELX: elexacaftor, also known as VX-445; IVA: ivacaftor, also known as VX-770 or Kalydeco<sup>™</sup>; PY: person-years; q12h: every 12 hours; qd: once daily; TC: triple combination; TEZ: tezacaftor, also known as VX-661; TEZ/IVA: TEZ in combination with IVA, also known as Symdeko<sup>™</sup> or Symkevi <sup>™</sup>

Notes: Safety Set includes all subjects who received any amount of elexacaftor alone (monotherapy), elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor (ELX/TEZ/IVA) or VX-561 (ELX/TEZ/VX-561), or placebo, or active comparator (TEZ/IVA or IVA) and had the dosing information included in the clinical database by 20 April 2024. A subject may be counted in multiple rows but only once in each row.

<sup>a</sup> Include the following completed healthy volunteer studies with the final CSR TFLs available: 445-001 (Parts A, B, C), 445-002, 445-003, 445-005, 445-006, 445-007, 445-009, 445-011, and 445-012. In groups 2A and 2B in Study VX445-009, moxifloxacin/placebo on Day 1 and Day 16 are regarded as ELX matching placebo. Study 445-007 contains 11 subjects with hepatic impairment.

<sup>b</sup> Include the following completed cystic fibrosis patient studies: 445-001 (Parts D, E, F), 445-102, 445-103, 445-104, 445-105, 445-106, 445-109, 445-110, 445-111, 445-113, 445-115, 445-116, 445-117, 445-121, 445-124, 445-126 and the following ongoing studies: 445-107, 445-112 445-122, 445-125, 121-102, 121-103, and 121-105 (121 studies were considered ongoing for clinical trial exposures).

Table .	3
---------	---

Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by Age

	Healthy Subjects <sup>a</sup> Subj		Subject	ts With CF <sup>b</sup>	0	Overall		
		Total Exposure		Total Exposure		Total Exposure		
Age (Years)	N = 301	(PY)	N =3232	(PY)	N = 3553	(PY)		
Total	301	6.62	3232	5710.54	3533	5717.16		
$\geq 1$ to $\leq 2$ years	0		15	0.68	15	0.68		
$\geq 2$ to <6 years	0		83	182.61	83	182.61		
$\geq 6$ to <12 years	0		241	583.44	241	583.44		
$\geq 12$ to $< 18$ years	0		523	1075.67	523	1075.67		
$\geq 18$ to <65 years	300	6.59	2355	3845.64	2655	3852.23		
≥65 years	1	0.03	15	22.51	16	22.54		
≥18 years	301	6.62	2370	3868.15	2671	3874.76		
Active (combination and	247	4.61	3214	5459.21	3461	5463.82		
monotherapy)								
$\geq 1$ to <2 years	0		15	0.68	15	0.68		
$\geq 2$ to <6 years	0		83	182.61	83	182.61		
$\geq 6$ to <12 years	0		241	548.88	241	548.88		
$\geq 12$ to $< 18$ years	0		521	1024.43	521	1024.43		
$\geq 18$ to <65 years	246	4.58	2339	3681.09	2585	3685.67		
≥65 years	1	0.03	15	21.51	16	21.54		

	Healthy Subjects <sup>a</sup>		Subjec	ts With CF <sup>b</sup>	Overall		
		Total Exposure		Total Exposure		Total Exposure	
Age (Years)	N = 301	(PY)	N =3232	(PY)	N = 3553	(PY)	
≥18 years	247	4.61	2354	3702.60	2601	3707.21	
Combination (ELX TC)	146	2.38	3214	5459.21	3360	5461.59	
$\geq 1$ to <2 years	0		15	0.68	15	0.68	
$\geq 2$ to <6 years	0		83	182.61	83	182.61	
$\geq 6$ to <12 years	0		241	548.88	241	548.88	
$\geq 12$ to $< 18$ years	0		521	1024.43	521	1024.43	
$\geq 18$ to <65 years	145	2.35	2339	3681.09	2484	3683.45	
≥65 years	1	0.03	15	21.51	16	21.54	
≥18 years	146	2.38	2354	3702.60	2500	3704.99	
Monotherapy (ELX)	101	2.23	0		101	2.23	
$\geq 1$ to <2 years	0		0		0		
$\geq 2$ to <6 years	0		0		0		
$\geq 6$ to <12 years	0		0		0		
$\geq 12$ to $< 18$ years	0		0		0		
$\geq 18$ to <65 years	101	2.23	0		101	2.23	
≥65 years	0		0		0		
≥18 years	101	2.23	0		101	2.23	
Placebo	54	2.01	378	182.55	432	184.56	
$\geq 1$ to $\leq 2$ years	0		0		0		
$\geq 2$ to <6 years	0		0		0		
$\geq 6$ to <12 years	0		69	34.56	69	34.56	
$\geq 12$ to $< 18$ years	0		72	35.54	72	35.54	
$\geq 18$ to <65 years	54	2.01	236	111.96	290	113.97	
≥65 years	0		1	0.49	1	0.49	
≥18 years	54	2.01	378	182.55	432	184.56	
Active Comparator in ELX	0		271	68.78	271	68.78	
$\geq 1$ to $\leq 2$ years	0		0		0		
$\geq 1$ to $\leq 2$ years	0		0		0		
$\geq 6$ to $< 12$ years	0		0		0		
>12 to <18 years	0		50	15.69	50	15.69	
$\geq 12$ to $\leq 65$ years	0		218	52.58	218	52 58	
>65 years	0		3	0.51	3	0.51	
>18 years	0		221	53 09	221	53.09	

Table 3	Summary of E	xposure in the	e ELX Clinical	Development	Program	by Age
---------	--------------	----------------	----------------	-------------	---------	--------

CF: cystic fibrosis; ELX: elexacaftor, also known as VX-445; IVA: ivacaftor, also known as VX-770 or Kalydeco<sup>™</sup>; PY: person-years; q12h: every 12 hours; qd: once daily; TC: triple combination; TEZ: tezacaftor, also known as VX-661; TEZ/IVA: TEZ in combination with IVA, also known as Symdeko<sup>™</sup> or Symkevi <sup>™</sup>

Notes: Safety Set includes all subjects who received any amount of elexacaftor alone (monotherapy), elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor (ELX/TEZ/IVA) or VX-561 (ELX/TEZ/VX-561), or placebo, or active comparator (TEZ/IVA or IVA) and had the dosing information included in the clinical database by 20 April 2024. A subject may be counted in multiple rows but only once in each row.

<sup>a</sup> Include the following completed healthy volunteer studies with the final CSR TFLs available: 445-001 (Parts A, B, C), 445-002, 445-003, 445-005, 445-006, 445-007, 445-009, 445-011, and 445-012. In groups 2A and 2B in Study VX445-009, moxifloxacin/placebo on Day 1 and Day 16 are regarded as ELX matching placebo. Study 445-007 contains 11 subjects with hepatic impairment.

<sup>b</sup> Include the following completed cystic fibrosis patient studies: 445-001 (Parts D, E, F), 445-102, 445-103, 445-104, 445-106, 445-109, 445-110, 445-111, 445-113, 445-115, 445-116, 445-117, 445-119, 445-121, 445-124, 445-126 and the following ongoing studies: 445-107, 445-112, 445-122, 445-125, 121-102, 121-103, and 121-105 (121 studies were considered ongoing for clinical trial exposures).

	Healthy	Subjects <sup>a</sup>	Subject	s With CF <sup>b</sup>	Overall		
- -	N 201	Total Exposure	NI 2222	Total Exposure	NI 2552	Total Exposure	
Sex	N = 301	(PY)	N = 3232	(PY)	N = 3553	(PY)	
Total	301	6.62	3232	5710.54	3533	5717.16	
Active (combination and monotherapy)	247	4.61	3214	5459.21	3461	5463.82	
Combination (ELX TC)	146	2.38	3214	5459.21	3360	5461.59	
Monotherapy (ELX)	101	2.23	0		101	2.23	
Placebo	54	2.01	378	182.55	432	184.56	
Active Comparator in ELX Studies	0		271	68.78	271	68.78	

Table 4	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by Sex

CF: cystic fibrosis; ELX: elexacaftor, also known as VX-445; IVA: ivacaftor, also known as VX-770 or Kalydeco<sup>™</sup>; PY: person-years; q12h: every 12 hours; qd: once daily; TC: triple combination; TEZ: tezacaftor, also known as VX-661; TEZ/IVA: TEZ in combination with IVA, also known as Symdeko<sup>™</sup> or Symkevi <sup>™</sup>

Notes: Safety Set includes all subjects who received any amount of elexacaftor alone (monotherapy), elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor (ELX/TEZ/IVA) or VX-561 (ELX/TEZ/VX-561), or placebo, or active comparator (TEZ/IVA or IVA) and had the dosing information included in the clinical database by 20 April 2024. A subject may be counted in multiple rows but only once in each row.

<sup>a</sup> Include the following completed healthy volunteer studies with the final CSR TFLs available: 445-001 (Parts A, B, C), 445-002, 445-003, 445-005, 445-006, 445-007, 445-009, 445-011, and 445-012. In groups 2A and 2B in Study VX445-009, moxifloxacin/placebo on Day 1 and Day 16 are regarded as ELX matching placebo. Study 445-007 contains 11 subjects with hepatic impairment.

<sup>b</sup> Include the following completed cystic fibrosis patient studies: 445-001 (Parts D, E, F), 445-102, 445-103, 445-104, 445-105, 445-106, 445-109, 445-110, 445-111, 445-113, 445-115, 445-116, 445-117, 445-119, 445-121, 445-124, 445-126 and the following ongoing studies: 445-107, 445-112, 445-122, 445-125, 121-102, 121-103, and 121-105 (121 studies were considered ongoing for clinical trial exposures).

Table 5	Summary of Exposure in the ELX Clinical Development Program by Race					
	Healthy	/ Subjects <sup>a</sup>	Subject	s With CF <sup>b</sup>	0	verall
Page	N - 201	Total Exposure	N -2222	Total Exposure	N — 3553	Total Exposure
Total	301	6.62	3232	5710 54	3533	5717.16

		Healthy Subjects <sup>a</sup>		Subject	s With CF <sup>b</sup>	Overall		
			_ Total		_ Total		_ Total	
Deer		N - 201	Exposure	NI _2222	Exposure	N - 2552	Exposure	
		N = 301	(PY) 0.07	N =3232	(PY) 40.08	N = 3553	(PY) 41.05	
		4	0.07	23	40.98	27	41.05	
Active (combination a	and	247	4.61	3214	5459.21	3461	5463.82	
monother apy)								
Combination (ELX TC)		146	2.38	3214	5459.21	3360	5461.59	
Monotherany (FLX)		101	2 23	0		101	2 23	
Monotherapy (LLAY)		101	2.23	0		101	2.23	

Table 5	Summary of Ex	posure in the El	LX Clinical Develo	pment Program by Race
		1		

	Healthy Subjects <sup>a</sup>		Subjects	s With CF <sup>b</sup>	Overall		
		Total Exposure		Total Exposure		Total Exposure	
Race	N = 301	(PY)	N =3232	(PY)	N = 3553	(PY)	
Placebo	54	2.01	378	182.55	432	184.56	

	Table 5	Summary	of Ex	posure in	the l	ELX	Clinical	Develo	pment	Program	by	Race
--	---------	---------	-------	-----------	-------	-----	----------	--------	-------	---------	----	------





- CF: cystic fibrosis; ELX: elexacaftor, also known as VX-445; IVA: ivacaftor, also known as VX-770 or Kalydeco<sup>TM</sup>; PY: person-years; q12h: every 12 hours; qd: once daily; TC: triple combination; TEZ: tezacaftor, also known as VX-661; TEZ/IVA: TEZ in combination with IVA, also known as Symdeko<sup>TM</sup> or Symkevi <sup>TM</sup>
- Notes: Safety Set includes all subjects who received any amount of elexacaftor alone (monotherapy), elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor (ELX/TEZ/IVA) or VX-561 (ELX/TEZ/VX-561), or placebo, or active comparator (TEZ/IVA or IVA) and had the dosing information included in the clinical database by 20 April 2024. A subject may be counted in multiple rows but only once in each row.
- <sup>a</sup> Include the following completed healthy volunteer studies with the final CSR TFLs available: 445-001 (Parts A, B, C), 445-002, 445-003, 445-005, 445-006, 445-007, 445-009, 445-011, and 445-012. In groups 2A and 2B in Study VX445-009, moxifloxacin/placebo on Day 1 and Day 16 are regarded as ELX matching placebo. Study 445-007 contains 11 subjects with hepatic impairment.
- <sup>b</sup> Include the following completed cystic fibrosis patient studies: 445-001 (Parts D, E, F), 445-102, 445-103, 445-104, 445-106, 445-109, 445-110, 445-111, 445-113, 445-115, 445-116, 445-117, 445-121, 445-124, 445-126 and the following ongoing studies: 445-107, 445-122, 445-122, 445-125, 121-102, 121-103, and 121-105 (121 studies were considered ongoing for clinical trial exposures).

## SIV Populations Not Studied in Clinical Trials

#### SIV.1 Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Programme

Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Programme						
Acute upper or lower respiratory infections, pulmonary exacerbation, or changes in therapy for sinopulmonary disease within 28 Days before Day 1						
Reason for exclusion	Acute respiratory infections or any adverse pulmonary conditions may alter the results of clinical studies by introducing variability into baseline assessments.					
Is it to be considered missing information?	No					
Rationale	ELX/TEZ/IVA did not show unfavourable effects on these conditions during study. ELX/TEZ/IVA improves overall pulmonary condition (ppFEV <sub>1</sub> and rate of pulmonary					

Exclusion Criteria in Pivo	tal Clinical Studies Within the Development Programme
	exacerbations, particularly those requiring IV antibiotic treatment and hospitalisation) in patients with CF.
Pregnancy, planning of pr	egnancy, or lactation
Reason for exclusion	As a standard precautionary measure, pregnant and lactating women were excluded from clinical studies.
Is it to be considered missing information?	Yes
Rationale	Not applicable
Abnormal liver function	
Reason for exclusion	As a precautionary measure, subjects with abnormal liver function at screening were excluded from clinical studies.
Is it to be considered missing information?	Yes
Rationale	Hepatoxicity during treatment with ELX/TEZ/IVA is addressed as an important identified risk. Use in subjects with moderate or severe hepatic impairment is considered missing information.
Abnormal renal function	
Reason for exclusion	As a precautionary measure, subjects with abnormal renal function at screening were excluded from clinical studies.
Is it to be considered missing information?	No
Rationale	Renal excretion is not a major pathway of ELX, TEZ, and IVA elimination, and renal clearance is not expected to play a significant role in the elimination of ELX/TEZ/IVA.
History of prolonged QT i	nterval (>450 ms)
Reason for exclusion	As a precautionary measure, patients with history of prolonged QT interval were excluded from clinical studies.
Is it to be considered missing information?	No
Rationale	Based on the ECG results from the thorough QT study and other clinical experience, ELX/TEZ/IVA did not demonstrate any meaningful change in QTc intervals or any risk of cardiac arrhythmias.
History of solid organ or h	naematological transplantation
Reason for exclusion	CF patients who have undergone lung transplantation were excluded from clinical studies as the transplanted lungs have normal CFTR. CF patients with other transplanted organs (e.g., lungs, heart, liver) were also excluded from clinical studies as these patients have significantly different baseline characteristics in terms of disease severity, concomitant therapy, and, in particular, immunosuppression.
Is it to be considered	No
missing information?	
Rationale	ELX, TEZ, and IVA have a low potential to cause clinically significant DDIs with the commonly-used immunosuppressants in organ transplantation. In addition, no specific safety concerns are anticipated with the use of ELX/TEZ/IVA in patients with organ transplant.
Colonisation with organism	ms associated with a more rapid decline in pulmonary status
Reason for exclusion	Conditions described in this exclusion criterion, as with any other pulmonary-related adverse condition, may interfere with study results.
Is it to be considered missing information?	No
Rationale	ELX/TEZ/IVA improves overall pulmonary condition in CF patients. ELX/TEZ/IVA is not anticipated to have an unfavourable effect on these conditions.
Subjects taking any inhibi grapefruit/grapefruit juice	tors or inducers of CYP3A, including consumption of herbal medications and e, or sensitive substrates of OATP1B1
Reason for exclusion	ELX, TEZ, and IVA are CYP3A substrates and co-administration with inhibitors or inducers of CYP3A may modify the exposure of ELX/TEZ/IVA and alter study results. ELX is a potential inhibitor of the hepatic transporter OATP1B1 and co-administration with ELX/TEZ/IVA may increase the exposure of substrates of this transporter.
Is it to be considered missing information?	No

Exclusion Criteria in Pivotal Clinical Studies Within the Development Programme							
Rationale	The potential interactions between ELX/TEZ/IVA and CYP3A inducers or inhibitors and						
OATP1B1/B3 substrates have been adequately characterised.							
CF: cystic fibrosis; CFTR: cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator protein; CYP3A: cytochrome P450							
10 1 24 1							

subfamily 3A; ECG: electrocardiogram; ELX: elexacaftor; ELX/TEZ/IVA: ELX in combination with TEZ and IVA; IVA: ivacaftor; LFT: liver function test; OATP1B1/B3: organic anion transporting polypeptides 1B1/1B3; ppFEV1: forced expiratory volume in 1 second; QT: QT interval; QTc: QT interval corrected; TEZ: tezacaftor

#### SIV.2 Limitations to Detect Adverse Drug Reactions in Clinical Trial Development Programmes

The clinical development programme is unlikely to detect certain types of adverse reactions, such as rare adverse reactions, adverse reactions with a long latency, or those caused by prolonged or cumulative exposure.

#### SIV.3 Limitations in Respect to Populations Typically Under-Represented in Clinical Trial Development Programmes

Type of Special Population	Exposure
Pregnant and Breastfeeding	Pregnant and breastfeeding women were not included in the clinical
women	development programme.
Patients with hepatic impairment	A dedicated study (Study 007) evaluating the safety and PK of ELX/TEZ/IVA in 11 subjects without CF who have moderate hepatic impairment has been conducted. Final safety data from this study were generally consistent with the safety data in other subjects treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA. No studies in patients with severe hepatic impairment are planned. In the controlled, Phase 3 studies (Studies 102 and 103) and the open-label extension study (Study 105) in CF subjects 12 years of age and older, 12 subjects with a medical history of portal hypertension, hepatic cirrhosis, and/or portal/hepatic fibrosis received ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment. The safety data in these 12 subjects were generally consistent with the safety data in other subjects treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA. Overall, the safety experience in patients with moderate or severe hepatic
	impairment is limited.
Patients with renal impairment	In the controlled, Phase 3 studies (Studies 102 and 103) and the open-label extension study (Study 105) in CF subjects 12 years of age and older, 2 subjects with a medical history of chronic kidney disease received ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment. Overall, the safety data in these 2 subjects were consistent with the safety data in other subjects treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA.
Patients with a disease severity different from inclusion criteria in clinical studies	Clinical studies excluded subjects who had very severe lung dysfunction at Screening (i.e., $ppFEV_1 < 40$ ); however, a small subset of subjects who had $ppFEV_1 < 40$ at baseline (predose) were enrolled. In the controlled, Phase 3 studies (Studies 102 and 103) and the open-label extension study (Study 105) in CF subjects 12 years of age and older, 44 subjects with $ppFEV_1 < 40$ at baseline received ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment. Overall, the safety data in these 44 subjects were consistent with the safety data in other subjects treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA.
Population with relevant different ethnic origin	CF is a disease occurring primarily in Caucasians, and the population studied in the clinical studies was racially and ethnically representative of the CF population in general.
AUC : area under the concentratio	n versus time curve: CE: eventic fibrosis: C : maximum observed concentration:

AUC<sub>τ</sub>: area under the concentration versus time curve; CF: cystic fibrosis; C<sub>max</sub>: maximum observed concentration; ELX/TEZ/IVA: elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor; ppFEV<sub>1</sub>: percent predicted forced expiratory volume in 1 second; Study 007: VX18-445-007; Study 102: VX17-445-102; Study 103: VX17-445-103; Study 105: VX17-445-105

## SV Post-authorisation Experience

## SV.1 Post-authorisation Exposure

## SV.1.1 Method Used to Calculate Exposure

Cumulative post-authorisation exposures were estimated using data at the time of distribution, not necessarily the time of usage. There might be a delay between the time a medication was distributed and the time a medication was used by a patient. Caution must be exercised when using post-authorisation exposure estimates to evaluate spontaneous reports. The methodology used for estimating post-authorisation exposure may vary by geographic region, as described below.

### European Economic Area (EEA) and Great Britain

Kaftrio was first approved in the EEA on 21 August 2020 for the treatment of CF in patients aged 12 years and older who are homozygous for the *F508del* mutation in the *CFTR* gene or heterozygous for *F508del* in the *CFTR* gene with a minimal function mutation.

The exposure estimate from the EEA and Great Britain includes patients who initiated ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment via commercial supply only. The patient-years estimate is based on initial delivery, and re-orders supply data using the following approach:

The number of days of treatment supplied for patients initiated during the commercial supply period (varies from country to country) enumerated over all patients. Compliance is also taken into account. Days of treatment are counted between 21 August 2020 to 20 April 2024 for cumulative exposure. Of note, the distribution by age and sex were not available due to privacy restrictions.

### **United States**

ELX/TEZ/IVA (Trikafta<sup>TM</sup>) was first approved on 21 October 2019 (International Birth Date) in the US for the treatment of CF in patients 12 years of age and older who have at least one F508del mutation in the CFTR gene.

The exposure estimate from the US includes patients who initiated ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment via commercial supply only. The patient-years estimate is based on initial delivery, and re-orders supply data. US cumulative exposure was estimated from 19 October 2019 to 20 April 2024. Due to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act regulations and provider reporting practices in the US, genotype data are not available.

## SV.1.2 Exposure

Worldwide cumulative patient exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA from marketing experience is presented in Table 6. Overall, 73,956 patients were exposed to ELX/TEZ/IVA worldwide since the authorisation: **Description** patients in the US, 23,339 patients in the EEA, **Description** in the UK, **Description** patients in Canada, **Description** patients in Australia, **Description** patients in Switzerland, **Description** patients in Israel, **Description** patients in Brazil, and 3,163 patients in the rest of the world (via early access programs). Globally, 3,158 patients received ELX/TEZ/IVA in various compassionate use/managed access programs.

Cumulative patient exposures are provided for the EEA and Great Britain by country in Table 7 Cumulative patient exposures for the US and Canada by age and sex are provided in Table 8 and Table 9.

Overall, the post-authorisation safety data are generally consistent with the established safety profile of ELX/TEZ/IVA.

Region/Country	Patients Person-Years (Estimat		
US			
EEA <sup>a</sup>	23,339	43,877	
UK			
Canada			
Australia			
Switzerland			
Israel			
Brazil			
Chile			
Russia			
Uruguay			
New Zealand			
Rest of World <sup>b</sup>	913	663	
Compassionate Use	3,158	3,113	
Total	73,956	160,240	

Table 6	Estimated Worldwide Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA From
	Marketing Experience

EEA: European Economic Area; ELX/TEZ/IVA: Elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor; UK: United Kingdom; US: United States.

 Includes Norway, Iceland, and Liechtenstein through EEA agreement (Decision N° 74/1999 of the EEA Joint Committee)

<sup>b</sup> Rest of World includes Argentina, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Colombia, Macedonia, Montenegro, Saudi Arabia, Turkey, and United Arab Emirates.

## Table 7Estimated Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA from Marketing<br/>Experience in the EEA and UK by Country

Country	Patients	Person-years (Estimated)
Austria		
Belgium		
Bulgaria		
Croatia		
Cyprus		
Czech Republic		
Denmark		
Estonia		
Finland		
France		
Germany		
Greece		
Hungary		
Iceland		
Italy		
Latvia		
Lithuania		
Luxembourg		
Malta		
Norway		
Poland		
Portugal		
Romania		
Republic of Ireland		
Serbia		
Slovakia		
Slovenia		

## Table 7Estimated Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA from Marketing<br/>Experience in the EEA and UK by Country

Country	Patients	Person-years (Estimated)
Spain		
Sweden		
The Netherlands		
UK		
England		
Scotland		
Wales		
Northern Ireland		
UK Crown Dependencies		
Gibraltar		
Total	32,481	65,630

EEA: European Economic Area; ELX/TEZ/IVA: elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor; UK: United Kingdom

## Table 8Estimated Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA from Marketing<br/>Experience in the US by Age and Sex

_							Tota	al <sup>a</sup>
Age	Patients	PY	Patients	PY	Patients	PY	Patients	PY
<2 years								
$\geq 2$ to <6								
years								
$\geq 6$ to $< 12$								
years								
$\geq 12$ to								
<18 years								
≥18 years								
Unknown								
Total								

ELX/TEZ/IVA: elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor; PY: person-years; US: United States

<sup>a</sup> The total column includes patients for whom sex and/or age are unknown.

## Table 9Estimated Cumulative Patient Exposure to ELX/TEZ/IVA from Marketing<br/>Experience in Canada by Age and Sex

							Tota	la
Age	Patients	PY	Patients	PY	Patients	PY	Patients	PY
<2 years								
$\geq 2$ to <6 years								
$\geq 6$ to <12 years								
$\geq 12$ to <18 years								
≥18 years								
Unknown								
Total								

ELX/TEZ/IVA: elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor; PY: person-years

<sup>a</sup> The total column includes patients for whom sex and/or age are unknown.

### SV.1.3 IVA Monotherapy Post-authorisation Exposure

Worldwide cumulative marketing exposure for IVA monotherapy as of 23 January 2024 includes 8,412 patients, representing 31,242.4 person-years.

## SVI Additional EU Requirements for Safety Specification

## Potential for Misuse for Illegal Purposes

No systematic examination of the abuse potential of ELX, TEZ, or IVA was performed in the ELX nonclinical and clinical development programmes. Nonclinical studies did not demonstrate any evidence of neurobehavioural side effects. Evaluation of adverse events (AEs) in clinical studies did not reveal any evidence of euphoria, sedation, or mood alteration.

Therefore, ELX/TEZ/IVA use is not expected to have the potential for misuse for illegal purposes.

ELX/TEZ/IVA is available by prescription only.

## SVII Identified and Potential Risks

## SVII.1 Identification of Safety Concerns in the Initial RMP Submission

## SVII.1.1 Risks Not Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP

The following risks are described in Section 4.8 (Undesirable Effects) of the EU Summary of Product Characteristics (SmPC), but are not considered to be important risks given the events in clinical studies were mostly mild to moderate in severity and non-serious, with few study drug discontinuations; therefore, they are not expected to have a significant impact on the ELX/TEZ/IVA benefit-risk profile:

- Upper respiratory tract infection
- Headache
- Nasal congestion
- Rhinorrhoea
- Diarrhoea
- Abdominal pain
- Rash
- Blood creatine phosphokinase increased
- Rhinitis
- Abdominal pain upper
- Flatulence
- Hypoglycaemia
- Acne
- Dizziness
- Pruritus
- Wheezing
- Respiration abnormal (abnormal breathing)

In the 24-week, placebo-controlled, Phase 3 study in CF subjects 12 years of age and older (VX17-445-102 [Study 102]), a modest increase from baseline in mean BP was observed in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group (systolic BP increased between 2.0 mm Hg and 3.5 mm Hg). The incidence of subjects in the hypertensive range was similar between the ELX/TEZ/IVA and placebo groups, with few AEs of BP increased reported overall. As such, the modest increase in mean BP observed in this normotensive population is unlikely to be clinically relevant or have a significant impact on the benefit risk profile (Module 2.5).

## SVII.1.2 Risks Considered Important for Inclusion in the List of Safety Concerns in the RMP

Descriptions of the initially proposed important identified and important potential risks and missing information for ELX/TEZ/IVA are provided herein; changes to the initially approved RMP will be captured in Section SVII.2.

## SVII.1.2.1 Important Identified Risk – Susceptibility for influenza virus infections

## **Benefit-Risk Impact**

In the 24-week, placebo-controlled Phase 3 study in CF subjects 12 years of age and older (Study 102), a higher incidence of influenza AEs was reported in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group compared to the placebo group. In the ELX/TEZ/IVA group, all AEs of influenza were mild or moderate in severity and most were non-serious. All subjects continued ELX/TEZ/IVA dosing or resumed treatment after an interruption. In the open-label extension Study 105, the rate of influenza AEs during extended ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment was lower than the rate in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group in Study 102, and similar to the rate in the placebo group in Study 102.

Based on the overall safety experience with ELX/TEZ/IVA, an association between treatment and influenza cannot be completely excluded. As such, susceptibility for influenza virus infections is considered an important identified risk.

## SVII.1.2.2 Important Potential Risk – Hepatotoxicity

## **Benefit-Risk Impact**

In the 24-week, placebo-controlled Phase 3 study in CF subjects 12 years of age and older (Study 102), a higher incidence of elevated transaminase AEs was reported in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group compared to the placebo group. In addition, the incidence of ALT and/or aspartate aminotransferase (AST) laboratory elevations  $>3 \times$  upper limit of normal (ULN) was higher in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group than in the placebo group. LFT elevations were also seen in other clinical studies with ELX/TEZ/IVA, including the open-label extension Study 105.

The overall safety experience with ELX/TEZ/IVA does not suggest a causal association between treatment and hepatotoxicity; however, the potential for hepatotoxicity cannot be excluded. As such, hepatotoxicity is considered an important potential risk.

## SVII.1.2.3 Important Potential Risk – Cataract

## **Benefit-Risk Impact**

Lens opacities (cataracts) were initially identified as a potential safety concern with IVA based on a nonclinical study in juvenile rats but was not observed in older animals or in longer duration nonclinical studies.

Non-congenital cataracts have been reported in paediatric subjects treated with IVA-containing regimens during clinical studies and post-authorisation surveillance. These reports consisted of subtle findings without any impact on vision, and the relationship of these events to IVA treatment is uncertain due to lack of baseline ophthalmological examinations, the high prevalence of background lens opacities, the subtlety of the ophthalmological findings, and/or other confounding risk factors.

Overall, the available evidence in humans does not support an association between IVA treatment and cataract development or progression; however, a contributing role cannot be completely excluded given the nonclinical finding. Therefore, cataracts are considered an important potential risk.

## SVII.1.2.4 Missing Information – Use in Pregnant and Lactating Women

#### **Benefit-Risk Impact**

Nonclinical studies indicated that ELX, TEZ, and IVA are not teratogens. Additional nonclinical studies showed ELX, TEZ, and IVA were transferred to the placenta of pregnant rats and execrated into the milk of lactating rats.

The effect of ELX/TEZ/IVA on pregnancy and lactation in humans is not known as no clinical studies in pregnant or lactating women have been conducted. Therefore, the safety in this population is considered missing information and further characterisation is needed.

### SVII.1.2.5 Missing Information – Long-term Safety

### **Benefit-Risk Impact**

The longest clinical study experience with ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment is approximately 69 weeks.

As a chronic treatment, the long-term safety of ELX/TEZ/IVA is considered missing information and further characterisation is needed.

#### SVII.1.2.6 Missing Information – Use in Patients With Moderate or Severe Hepatic Impairment

#### **Benefit-Risk Impact**

A dedicated study (VX18-445-007 [Study 007]) has been conducted to evaluate the safety and PK of ELX/TEZ/IVA in 11 subjects without CF who have moderate hepatic impairment. Based on the preliminary results from this study, the mean AUC<sub> $\tau$ </sub> for total ELX increased 1.25-fold, while C<sub>max</sub> was similar in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment relative to matched healthy subjects. Mean exposures (AUC<sub> $\tau$ </sub> and C<sub>max</sub>) of total M23-ELX increased approximately 1.7-fold in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment relative to matched healthy subjects. Mean AUC<sub> $\tau$ </sub> for total ELX+M23-ELX increased 1.36-fold, while C<sub>max</sub> increased 1.24-fold in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment relative to matched healthy subjects.

In the controlled, Phase 3 studies (Studies 102 and 103) and the open-label extension study (Study 105) in CF subjects 12 years of age and older, 12 subjects with a medical history of portal hypertension, hepatic cirrhosis, and/or portal/hepatic fibrosis received ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment. The safety data in these 12 subjects were generally consistent with the safety data in other subjects treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA.

Overall, the safety experience in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment is limited; therefore, the use of ELX/TEZ/IVA in this population is considered missing information.

## SVII.2 New Safety Concerns and Reclassification With a Submission of an Updated RMP

### SVII.2.1 Reclassified to an Important Identified Risk – Hepatotoxicity

Postmarketing reports of drug-induced liver injury have been received in patients treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA, including cases of liver injury characterized by concurrent elevations of transaminases and bilirubin, and one case of

. An association with

ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment cannot be excluded in these cases. As such, the important potential risk of "Hepatoxicity" is being reclassified to an important identified risk in the EU RMP Version 3.1.

## SVII.2.2 Added Missing Information – Use in children aged 6 to 11 years

A line extension submission and a Type II variation for ELX/TEZ/IVA use in children aged 6 to 11 years was submitted on 8 March 2021 (EMEA/H/C/5269/X/0008/G). During the assessment, PRAC requested that the summary of safety concerns be updated to include "Use in children aged 6 to 11 years" as missing information for ELX/TEZ/IVA. As such, the summary of safety concerns was updated to include it in EU RMP v4.0.

## *SVII.2.2* Updated Missing Information – 'Use in children aged 6 to 11 years' to 'Use in children aged 2 to 11 years'

The missing information of 'Use in children aged 6 to 11 years' was updated to 'Use in children aged 2 to 11 years' during the line extension submission for ELX/TEZ/IVA use in children aged 2 to 5 years. As such, the summary of safety concerns was also updated to include it in EU RMP v6.2.

#### SVII.3 Details of Important Identified Risks, Important Potential Risks, and Missing Information

## SVII.3.1 Presentation of Important Identified Risks

### SVII.3.1.1 Important Identified Risk – Susceptibility for Influenza Virus Infections

### **Potential mechanisms**

No potential mechanism has been identified.

Influenza is one of the most common infectious illnesses worldwide. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimates that the burden of influenza illness during the 2018 to 2019 season included an estimated 35.5 million people getting sick with influenza, 16.5 million people going to a health care provider for their illness, 490,600 hospitalisations, and 34,200 deaths from influenza.<sup>63</sup>

#### Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence

In the 24-week, placebo-controlled Phase 3 study in CF subjects 12 years of age and older (Study 102), a higher incidence of influenza AEs was reported in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group compared to the placebo group. In the ELX/TEZ/IVA group, all AEs of influenza were mild or moderate in severity and most were non-serious. All subjects continued ELX/TEZ/IVA dosing or resumed treatment after an interruption. In the open-label extension Study 105, the rate of influenza AEs during extended ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment was lower than the rate in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group in Study 102, and similar to the rate in the placebo group in Study 102.

#### Characterisation of the risk Phase 3, Controlled Studies in CF Subjects 12 Years of Age and Older

In the 24-week, placebo-controlled study in CF subjects who are heterozygous for the *F508del* mutation in the *CFTR* gene with a minimal function mutation (F/MF genotype) (Study 102), a higher incidence of influenza AEs was reported in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group, all AEs of compared to the placebo group (1.5%). In the ELX/TEZ/IVA group, all AEs of influenza were mild or moderate in severity and most were non-serious; 3 (1.5%) subjects had AEs of influenza that were serious. All subjects with AEs of influenza continued ELX/TEZ/IVA dosing or resumed treatment after an interruption.

In the 4-week, TEZ/IVA-controlled study in CF subjects who are homozygous for the *F508del* mutation in the *CFTR* gene (F/F genotype) (VX17-445-103 [Study 103]), there were no AEs of influenza in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group.

In the 8-week, IVA- and TEZ/IVA-controlled study in CF subjects who are heterozygous for the *F508del* mutation in the *CFTR* gene with a gating or residual function mutation (F/Gating or F/RF genotypes, respectively) (VX18-445-104 [Study 104]), the incidence of influenza AEs was balanced between the ELX/TEZ/IVA group (2 subjects [1.5%]) and the control group (2 subjects [1.6%]). In the ELX/TEZ/IVA group, both AEs of influenza were mild in severity and non-serious; neither event led to a change in ELX/TEZ/IVA dosing.

## Phase 3, Open-label Extension Study in CF Subjects 12 Years of Age and Older

In the open-label extension Study 105 in CF subjects who previously completed Study 102 (*F*/MF genotype) or Study 103 (*F*/*F* genotype), final results from the 192-week analysis showed the exposure-adjusted event rate for influenza (4.38 events/100PY) was substantially lower than the rate in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group of Study 102 (15.97 events/100PY). Additionally, the rate of influenza in Study 105 was similar to the rate in the placebo group of Study 102 (3.00 events/100PY).

In Study 105, the majority of influenza AEs were mild or moderate in severity and non-serious; 7 (1.4%) subjects had AEs of influenza that were serious. None of the influenza AEs led to interruption or discontinuation of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment.

Overall, there was a lower rate of influenza AEs in the open-label extension Study 105 compared to the parent Study 102; the nature and severity of these AEs remained consistent with extended ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment.

## Phase 3 Study in subjects aged 6 to 11 years

In the 24-week, open-label, Phase 3 Study 106 Part B in subjects aged 6 through 11 years of age, 7 (10.6%) subjects had AEs of influenza. All influenza AEs were mild or moderate in severity, and none were serious or led to treatment interruption or discontinuation.

Overall, results relevant to influenza from Study 106 Part B were generally consistent with the results from the prior studies in subjects  $\geq$ 12 years of age (Studies 102 and 103).

## Phase 3, Open-label Extension Study in CF Subjects 6 Years of Age and Older

In the open-label extension Study 107 in CF subjects who previously completed Study 106, final results from the 192-week analysis showed the exposure-adjusted event rate for influenza (3.34 events/100PY) was substantially lower than the rate in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group of Study 106 (23.16 events/100PY).

In Study 107, there were 7 AEs of influenza which were all mild in severity and non-serious, and did not lead to interruption or discontinuation of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment.

Overall, there was a lower rate of influenza AEs in the open-label extension Study 107 compared to the parent Study 106; the nature and severity of these AEs remained consistent with extended ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment.

## Phase 3 Study in subjects aged 2 to 5 years

In the 24-week, open-label, Phase 3 Study 111 Part B in subjects aged 2 through 5 years of age, 1 (1.3%) subject had a nonserious AE of influenza, which was moderate in severity, and resolved without treatment interruption or discontinuation. Overall, results relevant to influenza from Study 111 Part B were generally consistent with results from prior studies in older subjects.

## **Risk factors and risk groups**

Patients who are hospitalised frequently or for long-term durations are at a greater risk for contracting influenza from other infected individuals. Risk factors for influenza-related

complications include common CF comorbidities (e.g., chronic lung disease, asthma) and a compromised immune system.

### Preventability

The most effective way to prevent influenza is to get an annual vaccination.

### Impact on the benefit-risk balance of the product

Given the broad (pulmonary and systemic) clinical benefits demonstrated with ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment, and the generally mild to moderate nature of the influenza AEs observed in clinical studies, this risk is not expected to significantly impact the benefit-risk balance.

Influenza is listed as an adverse drug reaction in Section 4.8 of the SmPC. This risk is closely monitored to assess the appropriateness of the current pharmacovigilance plan and risk minimisation measures.

### Public health impact

No public health impact is anticipated.

## SVII.3.1.2 Important Identified Risk – Hepatotoxicity

#### **Potential mechanisms**

ELX, TEZ, and IVA are primarily metabolised by liver enzymes; however, the exact mechanism of the effect of ELX/TEZ/IVA on LFTs is not presently known.

Common comorbidities in patients with CF and use of certain concomitant medications may contribute to the increase in LFTs.

### Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence

In the 24-week, placebo-controlled, Phase 3 study in CF subjects 12 years of age and older (Study 102), the incidence of elevated transaminase events (AEs or ALT/AST laboratory elevations  $>3 \times$  ULN) was higher in the group of subjects treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA than in the group of subjects receiving placebo. LFT elevations were also seen in other clinical studies with ELX/TEZ/IVA, including the open-label extension Study 105.

In addition, postmarketing reports of drug-induced liver injury have been received in patients treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA, including cases of liver injury characterized by concurrent elevations of transaminases and bilirubin, and one case of

An association

with ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment cannot be excluded in these cases.

### Characterisation of the risk

### Phase 3, Controlled Studies in CF Subjects 12 Years of Age and Older

In the 24-week, placebo-controlled study in CF patients 12 years of age and older who have an F/MF genotype (Study 102), elevated transaminase AEs occurred at a higher incidence in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group than in the placebo group (10.9% versus 4.0%, respectively). The majority of these events were mild or moderate in severity and associated with ALT/AST elevations <5 × ULN.

There were no elevated transaminase events that led to treatment discontinuation. Two (1.0%) subjects in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group and 3 (1.5%) subjects in the placebo group had elevated transaminase events that led to treatment interruption: 1 of the subjects in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group resumed treatment; the other subject enrolled in the open-label extension study (Study 105) while still on study drug interruption and eventually discontinued from that study without resuming study drug. No subjects in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group and 1 subject (0.5%) in the placebo group had a serious elevated transaminase event.

The incidence of ALT/AST laboratory elevations  $>3 \times$  ULN was higher in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group than in the placebo group (7.9% versus 5.5%, respectively), while the incidences of ALT/AST  $>5 \times$  ULN and  $>8 \times$  ULN were generally comparable between treatment groups (2.5% and 1.5% in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group, respectively, versus 1.5% and 1.0% in the placebo group).

No subject had elevations of ALT or AST  $>3 \times$  ULN concurrent with a newly occurring elevation in total bilirubin  $>2 \times$  ULN.

Results relevant to LFT assessment from studies in CF subjects who have F/F genotype (Study 103) or who have an F/Gating or F/RF genotype (Study 104) were generally consistent with those from Study 102.

## Phase 3, Open-label Extension Study in CF Subjects 12 Years of Age and Older

In the open-label extension Study 105 in CF subjects who previously completed Study 102 (*F*/MF genotype) or Study 103 (*F*/F genotype), final results from the 192-week analysis showed the exposure-adjusted event rate for elevated transaminase AEs was lower in Study 105 than in the Study 102 ELX/TEZ/IVA group (10.07 and 42.92 events/100PY, respectively). Additionally, the severity and magnitude of the ALT/AST elevations associated with these AEs in Study 105 were similar to those in the parent studies.

Elevated transaminase events led to treatment interruption in 21 (4.2%) subjects and led to treatment discontinuation in 7 (1.4%) subjects.



The incidence of subjects with maximum on-treatment transaminase elevations (ALT and/or AST) above thresholds of  $>3 \times$ ,  $>5 \times$ , and  $>8 \times$  ULN were 12.5%, 7.1% and 2.2%, respectively.

Two (0.6%) subjects had elevations of ALT or AST >3 × ULN concurrent with a newly occurring elevation in total bilirubin >2 × ULN.

Overall, results relevant to LFT assessment from open-label extension Study 105 were generally consistent with the results from the parent studies (Studies 102 and 103).

## Phase 3 Study in subjects aged 6 to 11 years

In the 24-week, open-label, Phase 3 Study 106 Part B in subjects aged 6 through 11 years of age, 7 (10.6%) subjects had elevated transaminase events. All of the events were mild or moderate in severity, and none were serious or led to treatment discontinuation or interruption. Seven (10.6%) subjects had ALT or AST >3 × ULN, and 1 (1.5%) subject had ALT or AST >5 × ULN; no subjects had ALT or AST >8 × ULN. The majority of subjects had bilirubin values that remained within the normal range; no subjects had total bilirubin >2 × ULN.

Overall, results relevant to LFT assessment from Study 106 Part B were generally consistent with the results from the prior studies in subjects  $\geq 12$  years of age (Studies 102 and 103).

## Phase 3, Open-label Extension Study in CF Subjects 6 Years of Age and Older

Final results from 192 weeks of treatment in open-label extension Study 107 evaluating subjects aged 6 years and older were generally consistent with those seen in parent Study 106 and the pivotal Study 102 in subjects 12 years and older. AEs of elevated transaminases occurred in 6 (9.4%) subjects, all of which were mild to moderate in severity and there were no serious events. One AE of ALT increased led to discontinuation of study drug and no events led to treatment interruption. Exposure-adjusted elevated transaminase events in Study 107 (7.64 events/100 PY) were lower than in the parent study (31.84 events/100 PY).

Elevation of ALT or AST >3, >5, and >8 × ULN occurred in 6 (9.4%), 3 (4.7%), and 1 (1.6%) subject(s), respectively. No subjects had elevation of ALT or AST >3 × ULN concurrent with newly occurred elevation of total bilirubin >2 × ULN.

Overall, results relevant to LFT assessment from open-label extension Study 107 were generally consistent with the results from the Parent Study 106.

## Phase 3 Study in subjects aged 2 to 5 years

In the 24-week, open-label, Phase 3 Study 111 Part B in subjects aged 2 through 5 years of age, 8 (10.7%) subjects had elevated transaminase events. All elevated transaminase events were mild or moderate in severity, and none were serious or led to treatment discontinuation. One subject interrupted study drug due to AEs of the investigator as not related. Six (8.0%) subjects had ALT or AST >3 × ULN, 2 (2.7%) subjects had ALT or AST >5× ULN, and 1 (1.3%) subject had ALT or AST >8 × ULN. No subject had ALT or AST >3 × ULN with concurrent total bilirubin elevation >2 × ULN.

Overall, results relevant to LFT assessment from Study 111 Part B were generally consistent with the results from prior studies.

## **Postmarketing Experience**

Postmarketing reports of drug-induced liver injury have been received in patients treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA, including cases of liver injury characterized by concurrent elevations of transaminases and bilirubin, and one case of

. An association with

ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment cannot be excluded in these cases.

## **Risk factors and risk groups**

Generally known risk factors for increases in transaminases include concurrent acute and chronic infections or illnesses (e.g., pulmonary exacerbation, flu-like illness, viral hepatitis), comorbidities (e.g., CF liver disease), and use of concomitant drugs (e.g., acetaminophen, antibiotics) or substances (alcohol) known to be associated with liver enzyme elevations. Patients with pre-existing advanced liver disease (e.g., cirrhosis and portal hypertension) may be at an increased risk of developing severe liver injury such as liver failure requiring transplantation.

## Preventability

Appropriate monitoring of LFTs and drug interruptions or discontinuations are the standard measures to detect and prevent drug-induced liver injury.

## Impact on the benefit-risk balance of the product

Elevated transaminases with ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment were generally transient and resolved

without long-term sequelae. Very high levels of transaminase elevations or transaminase elevations with concurrent total bilirubin elevation may be a sign of liver injury which could become permanent or be life-threatening. There is also the risk of more severe liver injury including liver injury leading to transplant in patients with underlying advanced liver disease.

Given the broad (pulmonary and systemic) clinical benefits demonstrated with ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment, the the rarity of cases of liver injury, and the monitorability of LFTs in clinical practice, and the guidance regarding risks in patients with underlying advanced liver disease, this risk is not expected to significantly impact the benefit-risk balance.

The identified risk of hepatotoxicity is described in the product information, along with recommendations for LFT monitoring in all patients and more frequent monitoring in patients with a history of elevated transaminases or underlying liver disease. This risk is closely monitored to assess the appropriateness of the current pharmacovigilance plan and risk minimisation measures.

### Public health impact

No public health impact is anticipated.

### SVII.3.2 Presentation of Important Potential Risks

### SVII.3.2.1 Important Potential Risk – Cataract

#### **Potential mechanisms**

The aetiology for the observation of IVA-induced cataracts in juvenile rats (without evidence of cataracts after chronic dosing in adult rats) is unknown; however, it is likely related to factors specific to the development of lens tissues in the eye of albino rats. One hypothesis to explain this observation relates to factors unique to the developing lens in newborn albino rats and, in particular, the developing vasculature, namely the hyaloids vessels.

#### Evidence source(s) and strength of evidence

Cataracts (lens opacities) considered related to IVA treatment were seen during studies in newborn rats but were not observed in older animals or in longer duration animal studies. Given developmental differences between rats and humans, it is unlikely that the cataract finding is relevant to humans 2 years of age and older.

Non-congenital cataracts without impact on vision have been reported in paediatric subjects treated with IVA-containing regimens during clinical studies and post-authorisation surveillance, but the relationship of these events to treatment is uncertain due to the presence of other possible causes.

### Characterisation of the risk

Lens opacities (cataracts) were initially identified as a potential safety concern with IVA based on a nonclinical study in juvenile rats but was not observed in older animals or in longer duration nonclinical studies.

In humans, a small number of non-congenital cataract events were reported in paediatric subjects treated with IVA-containing regimens from clinical studies and post-authorisation surveillance. However, the relationship of these events to IVA is uncertain due to lack of baseline ophthalmological examinations, the high prevalence of background lens opacities, the subtlety of the ophthalmological findings, and the presence of other confounding risk factors (e.g., corticosteroid use, history of uncontrolled diabetes). In addition, results from an ocular safety study with IVA monotherapy showed a lack of cataract progression in patients

treated with IVA monotherapy based on the Lens Opacity Classification System, Version III grading.

Ophthalmologic examinations are routinely conducted in the ELX/TEZ/IVA trials in subjects aged <18 years on the date of informed consent signing. In the long-term extension Study 105 in subjects aged 12 years and older, final results from the 192-week analysis showed 3 (0.6%) subjects had an AE of cataract, and 2 (0.4%) subjects had an AE of cataract cortical. All events were mild or moderate in severity, nonserious, and assessed by the investigator as related or possibly related to study drug. None of the events required treatment or led to study drug interruption or discontinuation. Final results from 192 weeks of treatment in open-label extension Study 107 evaluating subjects aged 6 years and older showed 6 (9.4%) subjects had events related to lenticular opacity or cataract; lenticular opacities in 3 subjects, cataract in 2 subjects, cataract cortical in 1 subject, and cataract nuclear in 1 subject. None of these events were reported as clinically significant. One AE of lenticular opacities was assessed by the investigator as not related to study drug; all remaining AEs were assessed as possibly related. All AEs were mild and did not require treatment or lead to interruption or discontinuation of study drug.

### **Risk factors and risk groups**

Risk factors for cataracts include aging, trauma, UV light and radiation exposure, diabetes mellitus, intraocular inflammation, and corticosteroid use.<sup>64-67</sup>

## Preventability

The preventability of cataracts is unknown.

## Impact on the benefit-risk balance of the product

Overall, the available evidence in humans does not support an association between IVA treatment and cataract development or progression, although a contributing role cannot be completely excluded given the nonclinical finding. Given the subtlety of the clinical cataract findings, this potential risk is not expected to have a significant impact on the benefit risk balance for ELX/TEZ/IVA.

The potential effect on cataracts is described in the product information, including a recommendation for baseline and follow-up ophthalmological examinations in paediatric patients. This risk is closely monitored to assess the appropriateness of the current pharmacovigilance plan and risk minimisation measures.

### Public health impact

No public health impact is anticipated.

## SVII.3.3 Presentation of the Missing Information

### SVII.3.3.1 Missing Information – Use in Pregnant and Lactating Women

### **Evidence source**

Nonclinical studies indicated that ELX, TEZ, and IVA are not teratogens. Additional nonclinical studies showed ELX, TEZ, and IVA were transferred to the placenta of pregnant rats and excreted into the milk of lactating rats.

The effect of ELX/TEZ/IVA on pregnancy and lactation in humans is not known as no clinical studies in pregnant or lactating women have been conducted.

### Population in need of further characterisation

The safety of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment in pregnant and lactating women will be further characterised in the post-authorisation setting.

## SVII.3.3.2 Missing Information – Long-term Safety

#### **Evidence source**

The longest clinical study experience with ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment is approximately 216 weeks.

## Population in need of further characterisation

Long-term safety of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment remains under ongoing evaluation in clinical studies and post-authorisation surveillance.

### Characterization of the risk

Final results from 192 weeks of treatment in the long-term extension Study 105 showed that ELX/TEZ/IVA was generally safe and well tolerated in subjects aged 12 years and older. The safety profile was consistent with that of the parent studies 102/103 and with the established safety profile of ELX/TEZ/IVA; no new safety concerns were identified.

Final results from 192 weeks of treatment in the long-term extension Study 107 (subjects aged 6 years and older) showed that ELX/TEZ/IVA was generally safe and well tolerated. The safety profile was consistent with that of the parent Study 106 and with the established safety profile of ELX/TEZ/IVA; no new safety concerns were identified.

### SVII.3.3.3 Missing Information – Use in Patients With Moderate or Severe Hepatic Impairment

### **Evidence source**

A dedicated study (Study 007) has been conducted to evaluate the safety and PK of ELX/TEZ/IVA in 11 subjects without CF who have moderate hepatic impairment. Based on the final results from this study, the mean AUC<sub> $\tau$ </sub> for total ELX increased 1.25-fold, while C<sub>max</sub> was similar in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment relative to 11 matched healthy subjects. Mean exposures (AUC<sub> $\tau$ </sub> and C<sub>max</sub>) of total M23-ELX increased approximately 1.7-fold in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment relative to matched healthy subjects. Mean AUC<sub> $\tau$ </sub> for total ELX+M23-ELX increased 1.36-fold, while C<sub>max</sub> increased 1.24-fold in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment relative to matched healthy subjects. The exposures of TEZ, IVA and their respective metabolites were generally consistent with studies conducted previously. Overall, ELX/TEZ/IVA administered for 10 days was generally safe and well tolerated in subjects with moderate hepatic impairment and matched healthy subjects.

In the controlled, Phase 3 studies (Studies 102 and 103) and the open-label extension study (Study 105) in CF subjects 12 years of age and older, 12 subjects with a medical history of portal hypertension, hepatic cirrhosis, and/or portal/hepatic fibrosis received ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment. The safety data in these 12 subjects were generally consistent with the safety data in other subjects treated with ELX/TEZ/IVA.

### Population in need of further characterisation

Overall, the safety experience in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment is limited; therefore, the use of ELX/TEZ/IVA in this population is considered missing information.

### SVII.3.3.4 Missing Information – Use in Children Aged 2 to 11 Years

### **Evidence source**

In the 24-week, uncontrolled Phase 3 Study 106 Part B in subjects aged 6 through 11 years of age, and Study 111 Part B in subjects aged 2 through 5 years of age, treatment with ELX/TEZ/IVA was generally safe and well-tolerated, and the safety results were consistent

with the known safety profile. However, the studies had a relatively small sample size (66 subjects in Study 106 Part B, and 75 subjects in Study 111 Part B), and the overall safety experience is still limited. Therefore, the safety of ELX/TEZ/IVA in this age group will need further characterization.

## Population in need of further characterisation

Safety of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment in children aged 2 to 11 years remains under ongoing evaluation in clinical studies and post-authorisation surveillance.

## Characterization of the risk

Final results from 192 weeks of treatment in the long-term extension Study 107 (subjects aged 6 years and older) showed that ELX/TEZ/IVA was generally safe and well tolerated. The safety profile was consistent with that of the parent Study 106 and with the established safety profile of ELX/TEZ/IVA; no new safety concerns were identified.

## SVIII Summary of Safety Concerns

Important identified risks	Susceptibility for influenza virus infections			
	• Hepatotoxicity			
Important potential risks	• Cataract			
Missing information	• Use in pregnant and lactating women			
	• Long-term safety			
	• Use in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment			
	• Use in children aged 2 to 11 years			

# PART III Pharmacovigilance Plan (Including Post-authorisation Safety Studies)

## **III.1** Routine Pharmacovigilance Activities

Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reactions reporting and signal detection:

• Specific adverse reaction follow-up questionnaire for use in pregnant or lactating women:

The purpose of this questionnaire is to obtain structured information on the use of ELX/TEZ/IVA in pregnant and lactating women in the post-authorisation setting. Additionally, information regarding infant ophthalmologic examination findings is requested to further evaluate the important potential risk of cataracts. A copy of the questionnaire is provided in <u>Annex 4</u>.

## **III.2** Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities

*III.2.1 Post-authorisation safety study* is a longitudinal, registry-based study evaluating the real-word effects and utilisation patterns of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment in CF patients.

## Rationale and study objectives

- To evaluate the safety outcomes, CF disease progression, frequency and outcome of pregnancy, and drug utilisation patterns in CF patients taking ELX/TEZ/IVA in the real-word setting
- Safety concerns evaluated include:
  - Susceptibility for influenza virus infections
  - Hepatotoxicity

- o Use in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment
- Use in pregnant women
- Long-term safety
- Use in children aged 2 to 11 years

**Study design:** Post-authorisation, longitudinal, safety study using data collected by existing national CF patient registries in the EU and US

**Study population:** CF patients who have a record of treatment with ELX/TEZ/IVA in the existing CF patient registries, regardless of age or genotype

**Milestones:** Annual Reports: 31 December 2021/2022/2023/2024; Final Report: December 2025

*III.2.2 Open-label extension study* (*Study 112*) is a Phase 3, open-label study evaluating the long-term safety and efficacy of ELX/TEZ/IVA combination therapy for 96 weeks in subjects with CF who are 2 years of age and older.

#### **Rationale and study objectives**

- To evaluate the long-term safety, tolerability, efficacy, and the PD of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment in CF subjects 2 years of age and older
- Safety concerns evaluated include:
  - o Susceptibility for influenza virus infections
  - Hepatotoxicity
  - o Cataract
  - Long-term safety
  - Use in children aged 2 to 11 years

**Study design:** Phase 3, multicenter, open-label extension study for subjects who completed the treatment period in the parent study (VX20-445-111 Part B).

Study population: CF subjects 2 years of age and older.

Milestones: Final Report: June 2025

### **III.3** Summary Table of Additional Pharmacovigilance Activities

## Table 10Planned and Ongoing Post-authorisation Studies in the PharmacovigilancePlan

	Summary of					
Study/Status	Objectives	Safety Concerns Addressed	Milestones	Due Dates		
Category 1 – Imposed mandatory additional PV activities which are Conditions of the MA (key to benefit risk)						
Not applicable	Not applicable					
Category 2 – Imposed mandatory additional PV activities which are Specific Obligations in the context of a conditional MA under exceptional circumstances (key to benefit risk)						
Not applicable						

Study/Status	Summary of Objectives	Safety Concerns Addressed	Milestones	Due Dates
Category 3 – Requ	ired additional PV activition	es (by the competent authority)		
PASS Ongoing	Evaluate the safety outcomes, CF disease progression, frequency and outcome of pregnancy, and drug utilisation patterns in CF patients taking ELX/TEZ/IVA in the real-world setting	<ul> <li>Susceptibility for influenza virus infections</li> <li>Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>Use in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment</li> <li>Use in pregnant women</li> <li>Long-term safety</li> <li>Use in children aged 2 to 11 vears</li> </ul>	Annual Reports Final Report	31 December 2021/2022/ 2023/2024 31 December 2025
Open-label extension study (Study 112) Ongoing	Evaluate the long-term safety, tolerability, efficacy and the PD of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment for 96 weeks in CF subjects 2 years of age and older	<ul> <li>Susceptibility for influenza virus infections</li> <li>Hepatotoxicity</li> <li>Cataract</li> <li>Long-term safety</li> <li>Use in children aged 2 to 11 years</li> </ul>	Final Report	June 2025

## Table 10Planned and Ongoing Post-authorisation Studies in the Pharmacovigilance<br/>Plan

CF: cystic fibrosis; ELX/TEZ/IVA: elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor; *F508del*: an in-frame deletion of a phenylalanine codon corresponding to position 508 of the wild-type CFTR protein; MA: market authorisation; PASS: post-authorisation safety study; PD: pharmacodynamics; PV: pharmacovigilance; Study 112: VX20-445-112

## **PART IV Plans for Post-authorisation Efficacy Studies**

Study/Status	Summary of Objectives	Efficacy Uncertainties Addressed	Milestones	Due Dates
Efficacy studies wh	ich are conditions of the M	<b>IA</b>		
Post- Authorisation	To evaluate disease progression among	Long-term efficacy among children with CF who are heterozygous for	Protocol Submission	30 June 2024
Efficacy Study (PAES) (Study Number 131) Planned	children with CF who are heterozygous for <i>F508del</i> and are aged 2 through 5 years at the time of ELX/TEZ/IVA initiation	<i>F508del</i> and aged 2 through 5 years at the time of ELX/TEZ/IVA initiation	Final Study Report	TBD
Efficacy studies wh	uch are Specific Obligation	is in the context of a conditional MA	or a MA under e	xcentional

Efficacy studies which are Specific Obligations in the context of a conditional MA or a MA under exceptional circumstances

None

CF: cystic fibrosis; CFTR: cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene; MA: marketing authorisation; PAES: Post-Authorisation Efficacy Study

## PART V Risk Minimisation Measures (Including Evaluation of the **Effectiveness of Risk Minimisation Activities**)

#### V.1 **Routine Risk Minimisation Measures**

Table II Rou	itine Risk Minimisation Measures
Safety Concern	Routine Risk Minimisation Activities
Susceptibility for influenza virus infections	Routine risk communication: SmPC Section 4.8 PL Section 4
	Routine risk minimisation activities recommending specific clinical measure to address the risk: None
	<b>Other routine risk minimisation measures beyond the Product Information:</b> Prescription only
Hepatotoxicity	Routine risk communication: SmPC Sections 4.4 and 4.8 PL Sections 2 and 4
	Routine risk minimisation activities recommending specific clinical measure to address the risk: Recommendations for LFT monitoring and treatment stopping rules are provided in SmPC Section 4.4
	Liver damage and worsening of liver function in people with severe liver disease is discussed in PL Section 4.
	What to expect for LFT monitoring and how to detect potential signs of liver problems are discussed in PL Sections 2 and 4.
	<b>Other routine risk minimisation measures beyond the Product Information:</b> Prescription only
Cataract	Routine risk communication: SmPC Sections 4.4 and 5.3 PL Section 2
	Routine risk minimisation activities recommending specific clinical measure to address the risk: Recommendations for baseline and follow-up ophthalmologic examinations in paediatric patients are provided in SmPC Section 4.4.
	Other routine risk minimisation measures beyond the Product Information:           Prescription only
Use in pregnant and lactating women	Routine risk communication: SmPC Sections 4.6 and 5.3 PL Section 2
	Routine risk minimisation activities recommending specific clinical measure to address the risk:Advice is given regarding use during pregnancy and breastfeeding in SmPC Section 4.6.Advice is given to speak with a healthcare professional before use during pregnancy and breastfeeding in PL Section 2.Other routine risk minimisation measures beyond the Product Information:
I	Prescription only
Long-term safety	SmPC Section 4.8
	Routine risk minimisation activities recommending specific clinical measure to address the risk: None
	<b>Other routine risk minimisation measures beyond the Product Information:</b> Prescription only

Safety Concern	Routine Risk Minimisation Activities
Use in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment	Routine risk communication: SmPC Sections 4.2, 4.4, and 5.2 PL Sections 2 and 3
	Routine risk minimisation activities recommending specific clinical measure to address the risk: Recommendations regarding use in patients with hepatic impairment are provided in SmPC Sections 4.2 and 4.4. Advice to speak with a healthcare professional before use in patients with liver problems is provided in PL Sections 2 and 3.
	Other routine risk minimisation measures beyond the Product Information: Prescription only
Use in children aged 2 to 11 years	Routine risk communication: SmPC Sections 4.1, 4.2, and 4.4 PL Sections 1 and 2
	Routine risk minimisation activities recommending specific clinical measure to address the risk: None
	<b>Other routine risk minimisation measures beyond the Product Information:</b> Prescription only

Table 11Routine Risk Minimisation Measures

LFT: liver function test; PL: Package Leaflet; SmPC: Summary of Product Characteristics

#### V.2 Additional Risk Minimisation Measures

Routine risk minimisation activities as described in Part V.1 are sufficient to manage the safety concerns of the medicinal product.

#### V.3 Summary of Risk Minimisation Measures

Table 12	Summary	of Risk	Minimisation	Measures
----------	---------	---------	--------------	----------

Safety Concern	<b>Risk Minimisation Measures</b>	Pharmacovigilance Activities
Susceptibility for influenza virus infections	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC Section 4.8 PL Section 4 Prescription only	<b>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reaction reporting and signal detection</b> None
	Additional risk minimisation measures: None	Additional PV activities: • PASS (Annual Reports: 31 December 2021/2022/2023/2024; Final Report: 31 December 2025) • Open-label extension study (Study 112) (Final Report: June 2025)

Safety Concern	Risk Minimisation Measures	Pharmacovigilance Activities
Hepatotoxicity	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC Sections 4.4 and 4.8 SmPC Section 4.4 where recommendations for LFT monitoring and treatment stopping rules are provided. PL Sections 2 and 4 PL Sections 2 and 4 where liver damage and worsening of liver function in patients with severe liver disease, expectations for LFT monitoring and detection of potential signs of liver problems are discussed. Prescription only	Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reaction reporting and signal detection None Additional PV activities: • PASS (Annual Reports: 31 December 2021/2022/2023/2024; Final Report: 31 December 2025) • Open-label extension study (Study 112) (Final Report: June 2025)
	Additional risk minimisation measures: None	
Cataract	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC Sections 4.4 and 5.3 SmPC Section 4.4 where recommendations for baseline and follow-up ophthalmological examinations in paediatric patients are provided. PL Section 2 PL Section 2 PL Section 2 where expectations for eye examinations are discussed. Prescription only	<ul> <li>Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reaction reporting and signal detection None</li> <li>Additional PV activities:</li> <li>Open-label extension study (Study 112) (Final Report: June 2025)</li> </ul>
	Additional risk minimisation measures: None	
Use in pregnant and lactating women	Routine risk minimisation measures:SmPC Sections 4.6 and 5.3SmPC Section 4.6 where advice is given regarding use during pregnancy and breastfeeding.PL Section 2PL Section 2 where advice is given to speak with a healthcare professional before use during pregnancy and breastfeeding.Prescription onlyAdditional risk minimisation measures: None	Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reaction reporting and signal detection Pregnancy follow-up questionnaire Additional PV activities: • PASS (Annual Reports: 31 December 2021/2022/2023/2024; Final Report: 31 December 2025)
Long-term safety	Routine risk minimisation measures: SmPC Section 4.8 Prescription only Additional risk minimisation measures: None	Routine pharmacovigilance activities beyond adverse reaction reporting and signal detection None Additional PV activities: • PASS (Annual Reports: 31 December 2021/2022/2023/2024; Final Report: 31 December 2025) • Open-label extension study (Study 112) (Final Report: June 2025)

 Table 12
 Summary of Risk Minimisation Measures

Safety Concern	Risk Minimisation Measures	Pharmacovigilance Activities
Use in patients with	Routine risk minimisation measure:	Routine pharmacovigilance activities
henatic imnairment	SmPC Sections 4.2, 4.4, and 5.2 SmPC Sections 4.2 and 4.4 where	signal detection
	recommendations regarding use in patients with henatic impairment are provided	None
	PL Sections 2 and 3	Additional PV activities:
	PL Sections 2 and 3 where advice to speak	• PASS
	with a healthcare professional before use in	(Annual Reports:
	patients with liver problems is provided.	31 December 2021/2022/2023/2024; Final
	Prescription only	Report: 31 December 2025)
	Additional risk minimisation measures:	
	None	
Use in children aged	Routine risk minimisation measure:	Routine pharmacovigilance activities
2 to 11 years	SmPC Sections 4.1, 4.2, and 4.4	beyond adverse reaction reporting and
	PL Sections 1 and 2	signal detection
		None
	Additional risk minimisation measures:	Additional PV activities
	None	Open-label extension study (Study 107)
		(Final Report: February 2023)
		• Open-label extension study (Study 112)
		(Final Report: June 2025)
		<ul> <li>(Annual Reports: 31 December 2021/2022/2023/2024; Final Report: 31 December 2025)</li> </ul>

 Table 12
 Summary of Risk Minimisation Measures

LFT: liver function test; PASS: Post-authorisation safety study; PL: Package Leaflet; PV: pharmacovigilance; Q3: Quarter 3; SmPC: Summary of Product Characteristics; Study 112: VX20-445-112

## PART VI Summary of the RMP

## Summary of Risk Management Plan for KAFTRIO (Elexacaftor in Combination With Tezacaftor and Ivacaftor)

This is a summary of the risk management plan (RMP) for KAFTRIO when used in a combination regimen with ivacaftor tablets or granules. The RMP details important risks of KAFTRIO, how these risks can be minimised, and how more information will be obtained about KAFTRIO's risks and uncertainties (missing information) when used in combination with ivacaftor.

KAFTRIO's summary of product characteristics (SmPC) and its package leaflet (PL) give essential information to healthcare professionals and patients on how KAFTRIO should be used.

This summary of the RMP for KAFTRIO should be read in the context of all this information including the assessment report of the evaluation and its plain-language summary, all which is part of the European Public Assessment Report (EPAR).

Important new safety concerns or changes to the current ones will be included in updates of KAFTRIO's RMP.

## I. The medicine and what it is used for

KAFTRIO in a combination regimen with ivacaftor is authorised for the treatment of CF in patients aged 2 years and older who have at least one *F508del* mutation in the *CFTR* gene. It contains elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor as the active substances and it is given orally.

Further information about the evaluation of KAFTRIO's benefits when used in combination with ivacaftor can be found in KAFTRIO's EPAR, including its plain-language summary, available on the EMA website under the medicine's webpage: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/kaftrio.

## II. Risks associated with the medicine and activities to minimise or further characterize the risks

Important risks of KAFTRIO when used in combination with ivacaftor, together with measures to minimise such risks and the proposed studies for learning more about KAFTRIO's risks, are outlined below.

Measures to minimise the risks identified for medicinal products can be

- Specific information, such as warnings, precautions, and advice on correct use, in the PL and SmPC addressed to patients and healthcare professionals;
- Important advice on the medicine's packaging;
- The authorised pack size the amount of medicine in a pack is chosen so to ensure that the medicine is used correctly;
- The medicine's legal status the way a medicine is supplied to the patient (e.g., with or without prescription) can help to minimise its risks.

Together, these measures constitute routine risk minimisation measures.

If important information that may affect the safe use of KAFTRIO in combination with ivacaftor is not yet available, it is listed under 'missing information' below.

## II.A List of important risks and missing information

Important risks of KAFTRIO in combination with ivacaftor are risks that need special risk management activities to further investigate or minimise the risk, so that the medicinal product can be safely taken. Important risks can be regarded as identified or potential. Identified risks are concerns for which there is sufficient proof of a link with the use of KAFTRIO. Potential risks are concerns for which an association with the use of this medicine is possible based on available data, but this association has not been established yet and needs further evaluation. Missing information refers to information on the safety of the medicinal product that is currently missing and needs to be collected (e.g., on the long-term use of the medicine).

List of important risks an				
Important identified risks	<ul> <li>Susceptibility for influenza virus infections</li> </ul>			
	• Hepatotoxicity			
Important potential risks	• Cataract			
Missing information	• Use in pregnant and lactating women			
	• Long-term safety			
• Use in patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment				
	• Use in children aged 2 to 11 years			

### List of important risks and missing information

## II.B Summary of important risks

Susceptibility for influ	enza virus infections (Important identified risk)
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	In the 24-week, placebo-controlled Phase 3 study in CF subjects 12 years of age and older (Study 102), a higher incidence of influenza AEs was reported in the ELX/TEZ/IVA group compared to the placebo group. In the KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA) group, all AEs of influenza were mild or moderate in severity and most were non-serious. All subjects continued KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA) dosing or resumed treatment after an interruption. In the open-label extension Study 105, the rate of influenza AEs during extended KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA) treatment was lower than the rate in the KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA) group in Study 102, and similar to the rate in the placebo group in Study 102. The influenza data in subjects 2 through 11 years of age (Studies 106, 107 and 111) were consistent with those in subjects ≥12 years of age. Based on the overall safety experience with KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA), an association between treatment and the susceptibility for influenza cannot be completely excluded.
Risk factors and risk groups	Patients who are hospitalised frequently or for long-term durations are at a greater risk for contracting influenza from other infected individuals. Risk factors for influenza-related complications include common CF comorbidities (e.g., chronic lung disease, asthma) and a compromised immune system.
Risk minimisation measures	SmPC Sections 4.8 PL Section 4 Prescription only
Additional	Post-authorisation safety study
pharmacovigilance activities	<ul> <li>Open-label extension study (Study 112)</li> <li>See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post-authorisation development plan.</li> </ul>
Hepatotoxicity (Impor	rtant identified risk)
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	In the 24-week, placebo-controlled, Phase 3 study in CF subjects 12 years of age and older (Study 102), the incidence of elevated transaminase events (AEs or ALT/AST laboratory elevations >3 × ULN) was higher in the group of subjects treated with KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA) than in the group of subjects receiving placebo. LFT elevations were also seen in other clinical studies with KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA), including the open-label extension study (Study 105). LFT elevations in subjects 2 through 11 years of age (Studies 106, 107 and 111) were consistent with those in subjects ≥12 years of age. Elevated transaminases with KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA) treatment were generally transient and resolved without long-term effects. Very high levels of transaminase elevations or transaminase elevations with concurrent total bilirubin elevation may be a sign of liver injury which could become permanent or be life-threatening. In addition, postmarketing reports of drug-induced liver injury have been received, including cases of liver injury characterized by concurrent elevations of transaminases and bilirubin, and one case of An association with KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA) treatment cannot be excluded in these cases. The overall safety experience with KAFTRIO (ELX/TEZ/IVA) suggests that an association between treatment and hepatotoxicity cannot be excluded.
Risk factors and risk groups	Generally known risk factors for increases in transaminases include concurrent acute and chronic infections or illnesses (e.g., pulmonary exacerbation, flu-like illness, viral hepatitis), comorbidities (e.g., CF liver disease), and use of concomitant drugs (e.g., acetaminophen, antibiotics) or substances (alcohol) known to be associated with liver enzyme elevations.
Risk minimisation measures	SmPC Sections 4.4 and 4.8 SmPC Section 4.4 where recommendations for LFT monitoring and treatment stopping rules are provided. PL Sections 2 and 4 PL Sections 2 and 4 where liver damage and worsening of liver function in people with severe liver disease, expectations for LFT monitoring and detection of potential signs of liver problems are discussed. Prescription only
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	<ul> <li>Post-authorisation safety study</li> <li>Open-label extension study (Study 112)</li> <li>See Section U.C. of this summary for an exemption of the next sutherisation development plan</li> </ul>
Catarast (Important -	See Section 11.C of this summary for an overview of the post-authorisation development plan.
Evidence for linking the risk to the medicine	Cataracts (lens opacities) considered related to IVA treatment were seen during studies in newborn rats but were not observed in older animals or in longer duration animal studies. Given developmental differences between rats and humans, it is unlikely that the cataract finding is relevant to humans 2 years of age and older.

	Non-congenital cataracts without impact on vision have been reported in paediatric subjects treated with IVA-containing regimens during clinical studies and post-authorisation surveillance, but the relationship of these events to treatment is uncertain due to the presence of other possible causes.
Risk factors and risk groups	Risk factors for cataracts include aging, trauma, UV light and radiation exposure, diabetes mellitus, intraocular inflammation, and corticosteroid use.
Risk minimisation measures	<ul> <li>SmPC Sections 4.4 and 5.3</li> <li>SmPC Section 4.4 where recommendations for baseline and follow-up ophthalmological examinations in paediatric patients are provided.</li> <li>PL Section 2</li> <li>PL Section 2 where expectations for eye examinations are discussed.</li> <li>Prescription only</li> </ul>
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	• Open-label extension study (Study 112) See Section II.C this summary for an overview of the post-authorisation development plan.
Use in pregnant and la	actating women (Missing information)
Risk minimisation	SmPC Sections 4.6 and 5.3
measures	SmPC Section 4.6 where advice is given regarding use during pregnancy and breastfeeding. PL Section 2
	PL Section 2 where advice is given to speak with a healthcare professional before use during pregnancy and breastfeeding. Prescription only
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	• Post-authorisation safety study See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post-authorisation development plan.
Long-term safety (Mis	ssing information)
Risk minimisation measures	SmPC Section 4.8 Prescription only
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	<ul> <li>Post-authorisation safety study</li> <li>Open-label extension study (Study 112)</li> <li>See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post-authorisation development plan.</li> </ul>
Use in patients with m	oderate or severe hepatic impairment (Missing information)
Risk minimisation measures	<ul> <li>SmPC Sections 4.2, 4.4, and 5.2</li> <li>SmPC Sections 4.2 and 4.4 where recommendations regarding use in patients with hepatic impairment are provided.</li> <li>PL Sections 2 and 3</li> <li>PL Sections 2 and 3 where advice to speak with a healthcare professional before use in patients with liver problems is provided.</li> <li>Prescription only</li> </ul>
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	• Post-authorisation safety study See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post-authorisation development plan.
Use in children aged 2	to 11 years
Risk minimisation measures	SmPC Sections 4.1, 4.2, and 4.4. PL Sections 1 and 2 Prescription only
Additional pharmacovigilance activities	<ul> <li>Post-authorisation safety study</li> <li>Open-label extension study (Study 112)</li> <li>See Section II.C of this summary for an overview of the post-authorisation development plan</li> </ul>
AE: adverse event; AL	T: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; CF: cystic fibrosis;

AE: adverse event; AL1: atanine aminotransferase; AS1: aspartate aminotransferase; CF: cystic fibrosis; ELX/TEZ/IVA: elexacaftor in combination with tezacaftor and ivacaftor (also known as KAFTRIO); IVA: ivacaftor (a component of KAFTRIO); LFT: liver function test; PL: Package Leaflet; SmPC: Summary of Product Characteristics; Study 102: VX17-445-102; Study 112: VX20-445-112; ULN: upper limit of normal; UV: ultraviolet

## II.C Post-authorisation development plan

### II.C.1 Studies which are conditions of the marketing authorisation

The following study is a condition of the marketing authorisation:

**Study Name and Title:** The Post-Authorisation Efficacy study (PAES) is an observational, registry-based study to evaluate disease progression among children with CF who are heterozygous for *F508del* and are aged 2 through 5 years at the time of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment initiation.

#### **Rationale and Study Objectives:**

The objective of this study is to evaluate long-term efficacy among children with CF who are heterozygous for *F508del* and aged 2 through 5 years at the time of ELX/TEZ/IVA initiation.

#### II.C.2 Other studies in post-authorisation development plan

#### **Post-authorisation safety study** (PASS)

<u>Purpose of the study</u>: To evaluate the safety outcomes, CF disease progression, frequency and outcome of pregnancy, and drug utilisation patterns in CF patients taking ELX/TEZ/IVA in the real-world setting

#### **Open-label extension study** (Study 112)

<u>Purpose of the study</u>: To evaluate the long-term safety, tolerability, and efficacy and the pharmacodynamics of ELX/TEZ/IVA treatment for 96 weeks in subjects 2 years of age and older with CF

## PART VII Annexes to the Risk Management Plan

Annex 4 Specific Adverse Event Follow-up Forms

Annex 6 Details of proposed additional risk minimisation activities (if applicable)

## Annex 4 Specific adverse event follow-up form

•	Please complete form and appendices (as applicable) in accordance w	ith local laws and regul <u>ations (e.g.</u>	personal data protection).
•	Completed forms are sent to Vertex Patient Safety via Email:	or Fax:	(Telephone:
Ma	tox Clabel Betient Cefety Tillette (Flaurester)(Transation)	al luce a dita al	

10.	, , ,							
Site Contact:	Fax: ; Email:							
Date:	05-JUN-2023							
Re:	Patient: ; Manuf	acturer Control Number:						
Reported Event(s	):							
atient Name/Initials (	(recipient of drug):	DOB:	Female Male P	artner Maternal: Age	e Height	cm / in W	Veight	kg / lb
ertex Drug(s)		Start Date	End Date	Dose, Frequer	ncy, Route			
			O	ngoing				
			O	ngoing				
regnancy Outcome		Delivery Spontane	ous Abortion Therapeutio	Abortion Elective	e Termination	Stillbirth	Unkn	own
fant Information	Female Male	Date of Birth:	APGAR 1 min:	5 min:	Height	cm / in V	Veight	kg / lb
arrative (Pregnancy o	details, gestational we	ek, LMP, estimated date o	of conception, estimated due da	te; Birth outcome: norm	al / abnormal).			
arrative (Pregnancy o Plevant Maternal His	details, gestational we tory / Risk Factors (	ek, LMP, estimated date e e.g., comorbidities, geneti	of conception, estimated due da ic disorders, reproductive compl	te; Birth outcome: norm cations, alcohol or drug	al / abnormal). use)			
arrative (Pregnancy o elevant Maternal His elevant Concomitan	details, gestational we tory / Risk Factors ( t Medications	ek, LMP, estimated date e e.g., comorbidities, geneti Indication	of conception, estimated due da ic disorders, reproductive compl Start Date	te; Birth outcome: norm cations, alcohol or drug End Date	al / abnormal). use) Dose, F	requency, Ro	ute	
ırrative (Pregnancy o levant Maternal His levant Concomitan	iletails, gestational we tory / Risk Factors ( t Medications	ek, LMP, estimated date of est	of conception, estimated due da ic disorders, reproductive compl	te; Birth outcome: norm cations, alcohol or drug	al / abnormal). use) Dose, F	requency, Ro	ute	
arrative (Pregnancy o	idetails, gestational we tory / Risk Factors ( t Medications	ek, LMP, estimated date of e.g., comorbidities, genetic structure of the s	of conception, estimated due da ic disorders, reproductive compl	te; Birth outcome: norm cations, alcohol or drug End Date	al / abnormal). I use) Dose, F	requency, Ro	ute	
arrative (Pregnancy of Second	letails, gestational we tory / Risk Factors ( t Medications	ek, LMP, estimated date e.g., comorbidities, geneti Indication	of conception, estimated due da ic disorders, reproductive compl Start Date	te; Birth outcome: norm cations, alcohol or drug End Date	al / abnormal).	requency, Ro	ute	
arrative (Pregnancy of elevant Maternal His elevant Concomitan elevant Concomitan	iletails, gestational we tory / Risk Factors ( t Medications Name/Title):	ek, LMP, estimated date of e.g., comorbidities, genetic structure of the s	of conception, estimated due da	te; Birth outcome: norm cations, alcohol or drug End Date	al / abnormal).	requency, Ro r Signature / D	ute	
arrative (Pregnancy of elevant Maternal His elevant Concomitan elevant Concomitan elevant Concomitan elevant Concomitan elevant Concomitan	idetails, gestational we tory / Risk Factors ( t Medications Name/Title):	ek, LMP, estimated date of est	of conception, estimated due da	te; Birth outcome: norm	al / abnormal).	requency, Ro r Signature / D	ute	

Has the patient denied permission for his/her physician or designee to be contacted?

#### Information for Adverse Events Associated With Pregnancy

Adverse Event (if associated with pregnancy*):		Start Date	End Date	
Seriousness Criteria (if applicable)		Event Outcome		
Hospitalization Date of Admission:	Dischar	Recovered / Resolved		
Important Medical Event			Recovering / Resolving	
Life-threatening			Recovered / Resolved w Sequelae	
Permanent Disability			Not Recovered / Not Resolved (Ong	joing)
Congenital Anomaly			Fatal	
Death Date of Death:			Unknown	
Vertex Drug(s)	Related	Not Related	1- Interrupted, 2- Withdrawn, 3- Not cha	nged, 4- Reduced, 5- Not Applicable
Alternative suspected etiology(ies):				
Narrative				
*For all other reportable adverse events, please re	port to Vertex Glo	hal Patient Safety	using the standard reporting form in accord	dance with standard procedures

Infant Follow-up Information						
Date of Birth (dd-mmm-yyyy):	Status: Norma	al 🗌 Abnormal	Height	cm / in	Weight	kg / lb
Has an ophthalmologic examination been per If yes, please provide date of exam and any re	formed? Yes N levant findings:	lo	1			
Adverse Event (if any birth defects*):			Start Date		End Date	
Seriousness Criteria (if applicable)  Hospitalization Date of Admission: Discharge:  Hospitalization Date of Admission: Discharge:  Permanent Disability Congenital Anomaly Date of Death			Event Outcome  Recovered / Resolved  Recovering / Resolving  Recovered / Resolved w Sequelae  Not Recovered / Not Resolved (Ongoing)  Fatal Unknown			
Vertex Drug(s) Related Not Related			1- Interrupted, 2- Withdrawn, 3- Not changed, 4- Reduced, 5- Not Applicable			
Alternative suspected etiology(ies):						
Narrative						

\*For all other reportable adverse events, please report to Vertex Global Patient Safety using the standard reporting form in accordance with standard procedures.

## Annex 6 Details of proposed additional risk minimisation activities (if applicable)

Not applicable.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Farrell PM. The prevalence of cystic fibrosis in the European Union. J Cyst Fibros. 2008;7(5):450-3.
- 2 Sanders DB, Fink AK. Background and Epidemiology. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2016;63(4):567-84.
- 3 Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry: 2017 annual data report. Bethesda. Maryland, USA: Cystic Fibrosis Foundation; 2018.
- 4 Massie J, Curnow L, Gaffney L, Carlin J, Francis I. Declining prevalence of cystic fibrosis since the introduction of newborn screening. Arch Dis Child. 2010;95(7):531-3.
- 5 Cystic Fibrosis Canada. Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Patient Data Registry Report 2017. Toronto, Canada: Cystic Fibrosis Canada; 2018.
- 6 Ruseckaite R AA, Ranger T, Dean J, Gardam M, Bell S, Burke N on behalf of the Australian Cystic Fibrosis Data Registry. The Australian Cystic Fibrosis Data Registry Annual Report, 2017. Report No 20. Melbourne, Australia Monash University, Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine; 2019.
- 7 Cystic Fibrosis Foundation. What is cystic fibrosis? [Internet]. Available from: <u>http://www.cff.org/AboutCF/</u>. Accessed 2019.
- 8 Colombo C, Littlewood J. The implementation of standards of care in Europe: state of the art. J Cyst Fibros. 2011;10(Suppl 2):S7-15.
- 9 Orphanet. Report Prevalence and incidence of rare diseases: Bibliographic data. Report date: 2019.
- 10 Statistics Canada. National Database [Internet]. Available from: <u>https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/12-581-x/12-581-x2018000-eng.pdf</u>. Accessed 2020.
- 11 Australian Bureau of Statistics. National Database [Internet]. Available from: https://www.abs.gov.au/AUSSTATS/abs@.nsf/allprimarymainfeatures/30125843DE7 F366ECA2582570013F5FE?opendocument. Accessed 2020.
- 12 European Cystic Fibrosis Society Patient Registry. 2017 ECFS Patient Registry annual data report. Karup, Denmark: European Cystic Fibrosis Society; 2019.
- 13 Cystic Fibrosis Trust. UK Cystic Fibrosis Registry Annual Data Report 2018. Bromley Kent, United Kingdom: Cystic Fibrosis Trust; 2019.
- 14 Cystic Fibrosis Registry of Ireland. Cystic Fibrosis Registry of Ireland 2017 annual report. Dublin, Ireland: Cystic Fibrosis Registry of Ireland; 2019.
- 15 French Cystic Fibrosis Registry. Annual Data Report 2016. Paris, France: Vaincre la Mucoviscidose and Institut National d'Etudes Demographiques; 2018.
- MacKenzie T, Gifford AH, Sabadosa KA, Quinton HB, Knapp EA, Goss CH, et al. Longevity of patients with cystic fibrosis in 2000 to 2010 and beyond: survival analysis of the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation patient registry. Ann Intern Med. 2014;161(4):233-41.

- 17 Stephenson AL, Tom M, Berthiaume Y, Singer LG, Aaron SD, Whitmore GA, et al. A contemporary survival analysis of individuals with cystic fibrosis: a cohort study. Eur Respir J. 2015;45(3):670-9.
- 18 Hodson ME, Simmonds NJ, Warwick WJ, Tullis E, Castellani C, Assael B, et al. An international/multicentre report on patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) over the age of 40 years. J Cyst Fibros. 2008;7(6):537-42.
- 19 Mehta G, Macek M, Jr., Mehta A, European Registry Working G. Cystic fibrosis across Europe: EuroCareCF analysis of demographic data from 35 countries. J Cyst Fibros. 2010;9(Suppl 2):S5-S21.
- 20 Hamosh A, FitzSimmons SC, Macek M, Jr., Knowles MR, Rosenstein BJ, Cutting GR. Comparison of the clinical manifestations of cystic fibrosis in black and white patients. J Pediatr. 1998;132(2):255-9.
- 21 Stern RC, Borkat G, Hirschfeld SS, Boat TF, Matthews LW, Liebman J, et al. Heart failure in cystic fibrosis. Treatment and prognosis of cor pulmonale with failure of the right side of the heart. Am J Dis Child. 1980;134(3):267-72.
- 22 Mukoviszidose EV. Deutsches mukoviszidose-register berichtsband 2016. Bonn, Germany: Mukoviszidose Institut. 2017;
- 23 Maffessanti M, Candusso M, Brizzi F, Piovesana F. Cystic fibrosis in children: HRCT findings and distribution of disease. J Thorac Imaging. 1996;11(1):27-38.
- de Jong PA, Ottink MD, Robben SG, Lequin MH, Hop WC, Hendriks JJ, et al.
   Pulmonary disease assessment in cystic fibrosis: comparison of CT scoring systems and value of bronchial and arterial dimension measurements. Radiology. 2004;231(2):434-9.
- 25 Helbich TH, Heinz-Peer G, Eichler I, Wunderbaldinger P, Gotz M, Wojnarowski C, et al. Cystic fibrosis: CT assessment of lung involvement in children and adults. Radiology. 1999;213(2):537-44.
- 26 Navarro J, Rainisio M, Harms HK, Hodson ME, Koch C, Mastella G, et al. Factors associated with poor pulmonary function: cross-sectional analysis of data from the ERCF. European Epidemiologic Registry of Cystic Fibrosis. Eur Respir J. 2001;18(2):298-305.
- 27 Leeuwen L, Magoffin AK, Fitzgerald DA, Cipolli M, Gaskin KJ. Cholestasis and meconium ileus in infants with cystic fibrosis and their clinical outcomes. Arch Dis Child. 2014;99(5):443-7.
- 28 Borowitz D, Gelfond D. Intestinal complications of cystic fibrosis. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2013;19(6):676-80.
- 29 Sokol RJ, Durie PR. Recommendations for management of liver and biliary tract disease in cystic fibrosis. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Hepatobiliary Disease Consensus Group. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 1999;28(Suppl 1):S1-13.
- 30 Colombo C. Liver disease in cystic fibrosis. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2007;13(6):529-36.
- 31 Bhardwaj S, Canlas K, Kahi C, Temkit M, Molleston J, Ober M, et al. Hepatobiliary abnormalities and disease in cystic fibrosis: epidemiology and outcomes through adulthood. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2009;43(9):858-64.

- 32 Colombo C, Battezzati PM, Crosignani A, Morabito A, Costantini D, Padoan R, et al. Liver disease in cystic fibrosis: A prospective study on incidence, risk factors, and outcome. Hepatology. 2002;36(6):1374-82.
- Wilschanski M, Rivlin J, Cohen S, Augarten A, Blau H, Aviram M, et al. Clinical and genetic risk factors for cystic fibrosis-related liver disease. Pediatrics. 1999;103(1):52-7.
- 34 Lamireau T, Monnereau S, Martin S, Marcotte JE, Winnock M, Alvarez F. Epidemiology of liver disease in cystic fibrosis: a longitudinal study. J Hepatol. 2004;41(6):920-5.
- 35 Lindblad A, Glaumann H, Strandvik B. Natural history of liver disease in cystic fibrosis. Hepatology. 1999;30(5):1151-8.
- 36 Mayer-Hamblett N, Kloster M, Ramsey BW, Narkewicz MR, Saiman L, Goss CH. Incidence and clinical significance of elevated liver function tests in cystic fibrosis clinical trials. Contemp Clin Trials. 2013;34(2):232-8.
- 37 Woodruff S, Sontag M, Accurso F, Sokol RJ, Narkewicz M. Prevalence of elevated liver function tests in children with cystic fibrosis diagnosed by newborn screen. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2007;45(4):E27-8.
- 38 Corbett K, Kelleher S, Rowland M, Daly L, Drumm B, Canny G, et al. Cystic fibrosisassociated liver disease: a population-based study. J Pediatr. 2004;145(3):327-32.
- 39 Cystic Fibrosis Registry of Ireland. Cystic Fibrosis Registry of Ireland 2014 annual report. Dublin, Ireland: Cystic Fibrosis Registry of Ireland; 2015.
- 40 Rowland M, Gallagher CG, O'Laoide R, Canny G, Broderick A, Hayes R, et al. Outcome in cystic fibrosis liver disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2011;106(1):104-9.
- 41 van den Berg JM, Kouwenberg JM, Heijerman HG. Demographics of glucose metabolism in cystic fibrosis. J Cyst Fibros. 2009;8(4):276-9.
- 42 Georgiopoulou VV, Denker A, Bishop KL, Brown JM, Hirsh B, Wolfenden L, et al. Metabolic abnormalities in adults with cystic fibrosis. Respirology. 2010;15(5):823-9.
- 43 Moran A, Dunitz J, Nathan B, Saeed A, Holme B, Thomas W. Cystic fibrosis-related diabetes: current trends in prevalence, incidence, and mortality. Diabetes Care. 2009;32(9):1626-31.
- 44 Lanng S, Hansen A, Thorsteinsson B, Nerup J, Koch C. Glucose tolerance in patients with cystic fibrosis: five year prospective study. BMJ. 1995;311(7006):655-9.
- 45 Adler AI, Shine BS, Chamnan P, Haworth CS, Bilton D. Genetic determinants and epidemiology of cystic fibrosis-related diabetes: results from a British cohort of children and adults. Diabetes Care. 2008;31(9):1789-94.
- 46 Liou TG, Adler FR, Fitzsimmons SC, Cahill BC, Hibbs JR, Marshall BC. Predictive 5year survivorship model of cystic fibrosis. Am J Epidemiol. 2001;153(4):345-52.
- 47 Chamnan P, Shine BS, Haworth CS, Bilton D, Adler AI. Diabetes as a determinant of mortality in cystic fibrosis. Diabetes Care. 2010;33(2):311-6.
- 48 Lewis C, Blackman SM, Nelson A, Oberdorfer E, Wells D, Dunitz J, et al. Diabetesrelated mortality in adults with cystic fibrosis. Role of genotype and sex. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2015;191(2):194-200.

- 49 Botton E, Saraux A, Laselve H, Jousse S, Le Goff P. Musculoskeletal manifestations in cystic fibrosis. Joint Bone Spine. 2003;70(5):327-35.
- 50 Aris RM, Renner JB, Winders AD, Buell HE, Riggs DB, Lester GE, et al. Increased rate of fractures and severe kyphosis: sequelae of living into adulthood with cystic fibrosis. Ann Intern Med. 1998;128(3):186-93.
- 51 Henderson RC, Madsen CD. Bone density in children and adolescents with cystic fibrosis. J Pediatr. 1996;128(1):28-34.
- 52 Henderson RC, Madsen CD. Bone mineral content and body composition in children and young adults with cystic fibrosis. Pediatr Pulmonol. 1999;27(2):80-4.
- 53 Stead RJ, Houlder S, Agnew J, Thomas M, Hodson ME, Batten JC, et al. Vitamin D and parathyroid hormone and bone mineralisation in adults with cystic fibrosis. Thorax. 1988;43(3):190-4.
- 54 Paccou J, Zeboulon N, Combescure C, Gossec L, Cortet B. The prevalence of osteoporosis, osteopenia, and fractures among adults with cystic fibrosis: a systematic literature review with meta-analysis. Calcif Tissue Int. 2010;86(1):1-7.
- 55 Farrell PM, Kosorok MR, Laxova A, Shen G, Koscik RE, Bruns WT, et al. Nutritional benefits of neonatal screening for cystic fibrosis. Wisconsin Cystic Fibrosis Neonatal Screening Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1997;337(14):963-9.
- 56 Durno C, Corey M, Zielenski J, Tullis E, Tsui LC, Durie P. Genotype and phenotype correlations in patients with cystic fibrosis and pancreatitis. Gastroenterology. 2002;123(6):1857-64.
- 57 Kristidis P, Bozon D, Corey M, Markiewicz D, Rommens J, Tsui LC, et al. Genetic determination of exocrine pancreatic function in cystic fibrosis. Am J Hum Genet. 1992;50(6):1178-84.
- 58 Simmonds NJ, Cullinan P, Hodson ME. Growing old with cystic fibrosis the characteristics of long-term survivors of cystic fibrosis. Respir Med. 2009;103(4):629-35.
- 59 Quittner AL, Goldbeck L, Abbott J, Duff A, Lambrecht P, Sole A, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety in patients with cystic fibrosis and parent caregivers: results of The International Depression Epidemiological Study across nine countries. Thorax. 2014;69(12):1090-7.
- 60 Organization WH. Chronic cor pulmonale: report of an expert committee. . Circulation. 1963;27(4):594-615.
- 61 Bright-Thomas RJ, Webb AK. The heart in cystic fibrosis. J R Soc Med. 2002;95(Suppl 41):2-10.
- 62 Perrin FM, Serino W. Ischaemic heart disease--a new issue in cystic fibrosis? J R Soc Med. 2010;103(Suppl 1):S44-8.
- 63 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Estimated influenza illnesses, medical visits, hospitalizations, and deaths in the United States 2018-2019 influenza season [Internet]. Available from: <u>https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/burden/2018-2019.html</u>. Accessed 11 June 2020.
- 64 Javitt JC, Wang F, West SK. Blindness due to cataract: epidemiology and prevention. Annu Rev Public Health. 1996;17(-):159-77.

- 65 Leske MC, Chylack LT, Jr., Wu SY. The Lens Opacities Case-Control Study. Risk factors for cataract. Arch Ophthalmol. 1991;109(2):244-51.
- 66 Mukesh BN, Le A, Dimitrov PN, Ahmed S, Taylor HR, McCarty CA. Development of cataract and associated risk factors: the Visual Impairment Project. Arch Ophthalmol. 2006;124(1):79-85.
- 67 Cumming RG, Mitchell P, Leeder SR. Use of inhaled corticosteroids and the risk of cataracts. N Engl J Med. 1997;337(1):8-14.