



EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY
SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTH

10 June 2025
EMA/13174/2025
Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

Aprepitant, hard capsules, 80mg, 125mg, 80+125mg and powder for oral suspension 125mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Draft Agreed by Methodology Working Party (MWP)	21 October 2024
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	04 November 2024
Start of public consultation	31 January 2025
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	30 April 2025
Final Agreed by Methodology Working Party (MWP)	9 June 2025
Adopted by CHMP	10 June 2025
Date of coming into effect	01 January 2026

Keywords	<i>Bioequivalence, generics, aprepitant</i>
-----------------	--



Aprepitant, hard capsules, 80mg, 125mg, 80+125mg powder for oral suspension product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

B. Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (MWP)*

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: ☐ I ☐ III ☒ Neither of the two Background: Aprepitant is a low solubility compound with limited absorption.
Bioequivalence study design <i>in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied</i>	single dose
	cross-over
	healthy volunteers ☐ fasting ☐ fed ☒ both ☐ either fasting or fed Hard capsules: Aprepitant is considered a “high-risk product”. Since the specific formulation (non-standard method of manufacture) of the capsules is known to be critical to the performance of the formulation in fed conditions, it cannot be assumed that the impact of food will be the same regardless of formulation. Therefore, both fasted and fed state comparisons of test to reference formulations are required.

	A waiver for this fed study may be applicable if it can be shown that the products are manufactured using the same technology and if excipients that might affect bioavailability are qualitatively the same and quantitatively similar between test and reference product. Suspension: A fasting study is appropriate. Strength: 125 mg. Background: For drugs with a more than proportional increase in AUC with increasing dose over the therapeutic dose range, the bioequivalence study should in general be conducted at the highest strength. Number of studies: Two single dose studies for hard capsules; one single dose study for suspension
Analyte	☒ parent ☐ metabolite ☐ both
	☒ plasma/serum ☐ blood ☐ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: C _{max} and AUC _{0-t}
	90% confidence interval: 80.00– 125.00%

* As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, at this stage it is not possible to recommend the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CV_{intra} > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

** This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seem to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary (BCS Class I and III), the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. *in vitro* dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).