

21 May 2015 EMA/CHMP/736403/2014 Rev 2 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

Compilation of individual product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence

Initial batch of individual guidance agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	October 2013
Initial batch of individual guidance adoption by CHMP for release for consultation	24 October 2013
Start of public consultation for initial batch of individual guidance	15 November 2013
End of consultation (deadline for comments) for initial batch of individual guidance	15 February 2014
Respective batch - Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	See individual guidance
Respective batch - Adoption by CHMP	See individual guidance
Respective batch - Date for coming into effect	See individual guidance

This initial batch of individual guidance replaces Compilation of individual product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence (EMA/CHMP/736403/2014)

Keywords	Bioequivalence, generics, product specific bioequivalence guidance,
	repaglinide, miglustat, erlotinib, dasatinib, emtricitabine-tenofovir,
	carglumic acid, imatinib, memantine, oseltamivir, posaconazole,
	sirolimus, sorafenib, sunitinib, tadalafil, telithromycin, voriconazole,
	capecitabine.



Compilation of individual product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence

Table of contents

1. Introduction4
2. Scope4
3. Procedure4
4. Legal basis4
5. Proposed timetable5
6. Abbreviations5
7. References5
Annex A: Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance for dasatinib; emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil; erlotinib; miglustat and repaglinide. Date for coming into effect: 01 June 20156
A.1 Dasatinib film-coated tablets 20, 50, 70, 80, 100 & 140 mg Product- Specific Bioequivalence Guidance7
A.2 Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Disoproxil film-coated tablets 200 mg/245 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance9
A.3 Erlotinib film-coated tablets 25, 100 and 150 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance11
A.4 Miglustat hard capsules - 100 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance13
A.5 Repaglinide tablets - 0.5, 1 and 2 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance15
Annex B: Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance for carglumic acid; imatinib; memantine; oseltamivir; posaconazole. Date for coming into effect: 01 October 2015
B.1 Carglumic acid dispersible tablets 200 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance18
B.2 Imatinib hard capsules 50 and 100 mg, film-coated tablets 100 and 400 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance
B.3 Memantine film-coated tablets 5, 10, 15 and 20 mg, oral solution 5 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance22
B.4 Oseltamivir hard capsules 30, 45 and 75 mg, powder for oral suspension 6 mg/ml and 12 mg/ml Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance
B.5 Posaconazole oral suspension 40 mg/ml Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance26

Innex C: Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance for sirolimus, sorafen unitinib, tadalafil, telithromycin, voriconazole, capecitabine. Date for oming into effect: 01 November 2015. NEW	
2.1 Sirolimus coated tablets 0.5, 1 and 2 mg, oral solution 1 mg/ml broduct-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance	29
.2 Sorafenib film-coated tablets 200 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence	
3.3 Sunitinib hard capsules 12.5, 25, 37.5 and 50 mg Product-Specific sioequivalence Guidance	33
.4 Tadalafil film-coated tablets 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg Product-Specific sioequivalence Guidance	35
5.5 Telithromycin film-coated tablets 400 mg Product-Specific sioequivalence Guidance	37
6.6 Voriconazole tablets 50, 200 mg and powder for oral suspension 40 mg/ml Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance	39
7.7 Capecitabine film-coated tablets 150, 500 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance	41

Executive summary

The publication of product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence should facilitate the design of study programmes and allow a more transparent, consistent and robust evaluation of generic marketing authorisation procedures. Finalised guidelines for individual products, adopted by CHMP after a period of public consultation, will be published in the updated annex of this compilation of guidance every 6 months.

1. Introduction

The general European Union requirements for bioequivalence demonstration are laid out in the Guideline on Investigation of Bioequivalence (CPMP/EWP/QWP/1401/98 Rev. 1). In addition, the CHMP started in 2009 to publish positions addressing specific questions in relation to the requirements and assessment of bioequivalence studies (EMA/618604/2008). This document describes the regulatory view on product specific aspects related to the demonstration of bioequivalence, based on previous assessments of generic medicines. This should facilitate transparent, predictable and scientifically robust evaluation of future generic marketing authorisation procedures.

2. Scope

The aim of publishing product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence is to enable a consistent approach to the assessment of applications based on bioequivalence data, particularly generic applications, across all submission routes, i.e. submitted centrally, via the decentralised procedure or mutually recognition procedure, or nationally. Such product-specific guidance will facilitate the design of study programmes that meet the expectations of regulators in the European Union, hence allowing better predictability in terms of the assessment during the authorisation process.

3. Procedure

This guideline provides a compilation of product-specific guidance on the demonstration of bioequivalence for individual products authorised within the EU. The procedure for publication is as follows:

- DRAFT individual product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence will be published
 for a period of consultation on the EMA website. Comments received will be reviewed and
 discussed within the relevant scientific parties and committees and the draft product specific
 guidelines will be revised taking relevant comments into consideration.
- Finalised guidelines will be adopted by the CHMP and published in the updated Annex of this Compilation of guidance every 6 months.
- Comments on Comments will be published for each individual guideline together with the initial draft guideline.

4. Legal basis

The guidance is based on the general principles set out in the applicable overarching Guideline on the Investigation of Bioequivalence, and summarises in a standardised format the relevant design principles for bioequivalence demonstration.

5. Proposed timetable

Finalised guidelines will be adopted by the CHMP and published in the updated Annex of this guideline every 6 months.

6. Abbreviations

BCS Classification: Biopharmaceutics Classification System

BE: Bioequivalence

CHMP: Committee for medicinal products for human use

PKWP: Pharmacokinetic Working Party

Pharmacokinetic parameters:

AUC_(0-t): Area under the plasma concentration curve from administration to last

observed concentration at time t;

C_{max}: Maximum plasma concentration

7. References

Guideline on Investigation of Bioequivalence (CPMP/EWP/QWP/1401/98 Rev.1/Corr**).

Concept paper on development of product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence (EMA/CHMP/423137/2013)

Procedure for European Union guidelines and related documents within the pharmaceutical legislative framework (EMEA/P/24143/2004 Rev. 1 corr)

Link to published Product-specific bioequivalence quidance on EMA website



Annex A: Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance for dasatinib; emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil; erlotinib; miglustat and repaglinide. Date for coming into effect: 01 June 2015.

Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	October 2014
Adopted by CHMP	20 November 2014
Date for coming into effect	1 June 2015

A.1 Dasatinib film-coated tablets 20, 50, 70, 80, 100 & 140 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I I III Neither of the two Background: Dasatinib may be considered a low solubility compound.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers ☐ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed
	Strength: 140 mg Background: Highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility.
	Number of studies: one single dose study

Analyte	⊠ parent ☐ metabolite ☐ both
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} and Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).

A.2 Emtricitabine/Tenofovir Disoproxil film-coated tablets 200 mg/245 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I III INeither of the two Background: Emtricitabine is considered a high solubility and permeability compound, tenofovir disoproxil is considered a high solubility and low permeability compound.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	☐ fasting ☐ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed
	Strength: Emtricitabine 200 mg and tenofovir disoproxil 245 mg
	Background: 200 / 245 mg is the only combination strength
	Number of studies: one single dose study

Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both Background: For emtricitabine the parent, for tenofovir disoproxil the metabolite (as tenofovir).	
	⊠ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine	
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no	
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} and Cmax	
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%	

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).

A.3 Erlotinib film-coated tablets 25, 100 and 150 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I III Neither of the two Background: Erlotinib may be considered a low solubility compound.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	Strength: 150 mg
	Background: Highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility.
	Number of studies: one single dose study

Analyte	⊠ parent ☐ metabolite ☐ both
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-72h} and Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).

A.4 Miglustat hard capsules - 100 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I I III Neither of the two Background: The available data on solubility does not allow the BCS classification of miglustat. If the Applicant generates the solubility data and classifies the drug according to the BCS criteria as highly soluble, a BCS biowaiver could be applicable.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	Strength: 100 mg Background: 100 mg is the only strength
	Number of studies: one single dose study

Analyte	⊠ parent ☐ metabolite ☐ both
	⊠ plasma/serum ☐ blood ☐ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: yes no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).

A.5 Repaglinide tablets - 0.5, 1 and 2 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification * *	BCS Class: I I III Neither of the two Background: Repaglinide is a low solubility compound.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers I fasting fed both either fasting or fed As repaglinide can cause hypoglycaemia it is recommended to administer a glucose solution during the study.
	Strength: 2 mg Background: Highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility. Number of studies: one single dose study

Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	⊠ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).



Annex B: Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance for carglumic acid; imatinib; memantine; oseltamivir; posaconazole. Date for coming into effect: 01 October 2015.

Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	March 2015
Adopted by CHMP	16 March 2015
Date for coming into effect	1 October 2015

B.1 Carglumic acid dispersible tablets 200 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class:
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over healthy volunteers ightharpoonup fed ightharpoonup both ightharpoonup either fasting or fed Strength: 200 mg Background: 200 mg is the only strength. Number of studies: one single dose study dosing only one tablet/unit of 200 mg.
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both

	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: yes no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-72h} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).

B.2 Imatinib hard capsules 50 and 100 mg, film-coated tablets 100 and 400 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I I III neither of the two Background: imatinib is a compound with complete absorption, but the available data on solubility does not allow its BCS classification. If the Applicant generates the solubility data and classifies the drug according to the BCS criteria as highly soluble, imatinib could be classified as BCS class I drug and a BCS biowaiver could be applicable.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over healthy volunteers
	☐ fasting ☐ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed Either a fasting or a fed study is acceptable. The SmPC recommends intake in fed state to minimise the risk of gastrointestinal irritations. However, a single dose fasting study in healthy volunteers is feasible and preferred to increase the sensitivity to detect differences between products. A fed study is acceptable according to the Guideline on the investigation of bioequivalence based on SmPC recommendations.

	Strength: 400 mg Background: highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics with no information on solubility available.	
	Number of studies: one single dose study.	
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both	
	⊠ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine	
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☐ no	
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t,} Cmax	
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%	

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).

B.3 Memantine film-coated tablets 5, 10, 15 and 20 mg, oral solution 5 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I III neither of the two Background: memantine is a high solubility compound with complete absorption.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	Strength: any strength for the tablets. Background: Highest strength recommended. However, it is also possible to use the lower strengths for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and high solubility.
	Number of studies: one single dose study.

	Other critical design aspects: the solution may be waived if the same amount of sorbitol is used as in the reference product.
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: yes no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-72h} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).

B.4 Oseltamivir hard capsules 30, 45 and 75 mg, powder for oral suspension 6 mg/ml and 12 mg/ml Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I I III neither of the two Background: oseltamivir is a compound with limited absorption, but the available data on solubility does not allow its BCS classification. If the Applicant generates the solubility data and classifies the drug according to the BCS criteria as highly soluble, oseltamivir could be classified as BCS class III drug and a BCS biowaiver could be applicable.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	Strength: 75 mg Background: highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics with no information on solubility available.

	Number of studies: one single dose study
	Other critical design aspects: the suspension may be waived if the same amount of sorbitol is used as in the reference product and if the powder for suspension can be proved to be in complete dissolution at the time of administration.
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	⊠ plasma/serum ☐ blood ☐ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).

B.5 Posaconazole oral suspension 40 mg/ml Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I III neither of the two Background: posaconazole may be considered a low solubility compound with complete absorption.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	☐ fasting ☐ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed High fat meal as defined in the Guideline on the investigation of Bioequivalence (CPMP/EWP/QWP/1401/98 Rev. 1; section 4.1.4).
	Strength: 400 mg Background: Most sensitive dose for an oral suspension of a low solubility drug.
	Number of studies: one single dose study

	Other critical design aspects: Significant intra-patient variability in the pharmacokinetic parameters of posaconazole has been reported. A replicate cross-over design study can be carried out as per the Guideline on the investigation of Bioequivalence (CPMP/EWP/QWP/1401/98 Rev. 1; section 4.1.10).	
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both	
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine	
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no	
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-72h} , Cmax	
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%	

^{*} If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).



Annex C: Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance for sirolimus, sorafenib, sunitinib, tadalafil, telithromycin, voriconazole, capecitabine. Date for coming into effect: 01 November 2015. NEW

Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	April 2015
Adopted by CHMP	May 2015
Date for coming into effect	1 November 2015



C.1 Sirolimus coated tablets 0.5, 1 and 2 mg, oral solution 1 mg/ml Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification	BCS Class: I III Neither of the two Background: sirolimus may be considered a low solubility compound.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over healthy volunteers
	☐ fasting ☐ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed Both fasting and fed are necessary due to specific formulation characteristics. A high-fat meal is recommended.



	Strength: Tablets: 2 mg and 0.5 mg
	Oral solution: 1 mg/ml
	Background:
	Tablets: Highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility. For tablets dose proportionality has been demonstrated between 2 mg and 5 mg doses. 0.5 mg tablets are not strictly bioequivalent with the higher strengths in terms of Cmax.
	Oral solution: A bioequivalence study for the solution will be necessary unless the composition is qualitatively the same and quantitatively similar to the originator. If there is a quantitative difference in solubility enhancers, a bioequivalence study will be necessary if the differences cannot be justified by other data.
	Number of studies: Four studies: single dose fasting and fed at 2 mg and single dose fasting and fed at 0.5 mg.
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	☐ plasma/serum
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00% for Cmax and 90.00 - 111.11% for AUC _{0-t}
	Background: Sirolimus is a narrow therapeutic index drug.



C.2 Sorafenib film-coated tablets 200 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I III Neither of the two Background: sorafenib is a low solubility compound.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or	single dose cross-over
applied	healthy volunteers
	Strength: 200 mg



	Background: 200 mg is the only available strength.
	Number of studies: one single dose study
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-72h} and Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).



C.3 Sunitinib hard capsules 12.5, 25, 37.5 and 50 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I Neither of the two Background: Sunitinib malate may be considered a high solubility compound with limited absorption.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers



	Strength: 50 mg Background: Highest strength recommended. However, it is also possible to use the lower strengths for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and high solubility.	
	Number of studies: one single dose study	
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both	
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine	
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no	
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC_{0-72h} and C_{max}	
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%	

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).



C.4 Tadalafil film-coated tablets 2.5, 5, 10 and 20 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I III Neither of the two Background: tadalafil is a low solubility compound.
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	☐ fasting ☐ fed ☒ both ☐ either fasting or fed
	Background: The reference product can be taken with or without food according to the SmPC. Since, the



	specific formulation (e.g. particle size and excipients) is known to be critical to the performance of the formulation in fed conditions, it cannot be assumed that the impact of food will be the same regardless of formulation. Therefore, following the requirements for "specific formulation characteristics" described in the Bioequivalence Guideline, both fasted and fed state comparisons of test to reference formulations are required.	
	Strength: 20 mg	
	Background: Highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility.	
	Number of studies: two single dose studies (20 mg fasted and 20 mg fed)	
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both	
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine	
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no	
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-72h} , Cmax	
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%	

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max}. If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).



C.5 Telithromycin film-coated tablets 400 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class: I III Neither of the two Background: the available data does not allow the BCS classification of telithromycin. A BCS biowaiver
	could be applicable if the applicant generates data according to the BCS criteria to support its classification as BCS class I or III.
BE Study design	single dose
In case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	cross-over
	healthy volunteers



	Strength: 400 mg Background: 400 mg is the only available strength.
	Number of studies: one single dose study
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	⊠ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method:
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).



C.6 Voriconazole tablets 50, 200 mg and powder for oral suspension 40 mg/ml Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification**	BCS Class:
BE Study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers



	Strength: 200 mg for the tablets 200 mg for the 40 mg/ml powder for the oral suspension Background: Highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility.
	Number of studies: one single dose study for tablets, one single dose study for the oral suspension.
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

^{**} This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).



C.7 Capecitabine film-coated tablets 150, 500 mg Product-Specific Bioequivalence Guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

BCS Classification	BCS Class: I III neither of the two
	Background: absorption in humans is almost complete, but capecitabine is unstable in acidic medium. Therefore, the available data on solubility does not allow the BCS classification of capecitabine.
BE Study design	single dose
in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	cross-over
	patients



	☐ fasting ☐ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed Fed state recommended to minimise the risk of vomiting, for example standardized light meal for patients participating in the bioequivalence study.
	Strength: 500 mg Background: highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics with no information on solubility available.
	Number of studies: one single dose study
Analyte	□ parent □ metabolite □ both
	□ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: ☐ yes ☒ no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC _{0-t} , Cmax
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

^{*} Since high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.