



1 28 January 2022  
2 EMA/CVMP/NTWP/470741/2021  
3 Committee for Veterinary Medicinal Products (CVMP)

4 **Concept paper on the development and data**  
5 **requirements of potency tests for cell-based therapy**  
6 **products and the relation to clinical efficacy**  
7

Agreed by Novel Therapies and Technologies Working Party (NTWP)	24 November 2021
Adopted by CVMP for release for consultation	19 January 2022
Start of public consultation	28 January 2022
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	29 April 2022

8  
9

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to [Vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu](mailto:Vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu)

10

Keywords	Novel therapies, cell therapies, cell-based, clinical efficacy, potency, mechanism of action, investigational cell-based products, new veterinary regulation
----------	--

11



## 12 **1. Introduction**

13 Continuous progress in the fields of biology, biotechnology and medicine has led to the development of  
14 new treatments and highly innovative medicinal products, which might include viable cells. These cell-  
15 based medicinal products have a high potential in the treatment of various diseases where there is a  
16 previously unmet medical need.

17 Annex II of Regulation (EC) 2019/6 (section V.1.5.3, Regenerative medicine, tissue engineering and  
18 cell therapy veterinary medicinal products) states: "Cell therapy veterinary medicinal products are  
19 biological veterinary medicinal products that contain or consist of cells or tissues that have been  
20 subject to substantial manipulation in either nature or function so that biological characteristics,  
21 physiological functions or structural properties relevant for the intended clinical use have been altered,  
22 or of cells or tissues that are not intended to be used for the same essential function(s) in the recipient  
23 and the donor. They are presented as having properties for, or are used in or administered to animals  
24 with a view to treating, preventing or diagnosing a disease through the pharmacological,  
25 immunological or metabolic action of its cells or tissues or to regenerating, repairing or replacing a  
26 tissue."

27 Data requirements specific to these products are listed. In section V.1.5.3.3.d information is, amongst  
28 others, requested on potency in order to obtain a marketing authorisation.

29 The mechanism(s) of action is/are not fully characterized for cell-based products and a link between  
30 the potency assay and relevant biological properties is thus missing in many cases. It is proposed to  
31 draft guidance on requirements for potency testing of veterinary cell-based products. The purpose of  
32 the guideline to be developed is to provide clear advice for applicants and assessors on potency testing  
33 of veterinary cell-based products by considering the mechanism(s) of action that is most relevant  
34 (most likely) for the clinical indication.

## 35 **2. Problem statement**

36 A number of cell-based products have been developed and authorised as veterinary medicines recently  
37 and it is expected that more marketing authorisation applications for such products will follow.

38 The mechanisms of action of cell-based medicinal products are not fully characterized or very complex  
39 and there is frequently also a lack of understanding of the biological properties of the cells, with the  
40 result that product attributes relevant to potency can be difficult to determine.

41 In principle, the results of a potency assay should provide assurance that the active ingredient is of  
42 sufficient quantity and quality and is consistent from batch to batch, in order to induce a meaningful  
43 biological response. In other words, the potency assay should be able to detect clinically meaningful  
44 changes in the quality and/or quantity of active ingredient in a product.

45 The correlation of the potency assay with clinical efficacy, the ability of the assay to differentiate  
46 between batches of sufficient and insufficient biological activity and its capability of being stability-  
47 indicating can be considered as main challenges when developing a potency assay.

## 48 **3. Discussion (on the problem statement)**

49 The guideline to be developed should include all types of cellular medicinal products that have been  
50 substantially manipulated including cell fractions, taking into consideration the origin of cells (e.g.  
51 autologous, allogenic, xenogenic, etc.) and their properties (proliferative and/or differentiation

52 potential), as different clinical indications are expected. Genetically modified cell products are also  
53 within the scope of the guideline.

54 Since the mechanism(s) of action is/are largely unknown for cell-based products, a link between the  
55 potency assay and relevant biological properties can be difficult to establish. The correlation between a  
56 biological assay and the expected clinical response could be investigated in preclinical  
57 (pharmacodynamic) studies and/or clinical trials. However, most emphasis should be given to clinical  
58 investigations.

59 In general, in order to provide the relation between potency and clinical efficacy, the development of a  
60 potency assay should start as soon as possible during product development. Since a clear link of the  
61 potency assay to relevant biological properties may be missing, it is necessary to define data  
62 requirements for the development of a potency assay.

63 Therefore, the guideline should provide the following:

- 64 - Guidance on how the relation between potency assay(s) and clinical efficacy is expected to be  
65 addressed;
- 66 - Guidance on defining the most relevant (most likely) mode of action (MoA), which is of importance  
67 for potency testing;
- 68 - Guidance on potency testing during development and characterization of the product in order to  
69 be sure that the validation state of the potency test will be in line with product development and  
70 an appropriately validated potency assay will be available at the latest for pivotal studies;
- 71 - Guidance on the type of assay or combination of assays to support the potency test; for the  
72 development phase a combination of assays might be beneficial → when relevant clinical data is  
73 available, the assay that allows most appropriate conclusions on potency might be sufficient for  
74 in-process control and release testing in routine manufacture;
- 75 - Guidance on the link between the potency assay and clinical efficacy in studies in laboratory  
76 animals, pre-clinical studies (e.g. pharmacodynamics) and clinical trials; if appropriate, the  
77 optional use of sub-potent batches in animal studies is recommended in the development of  
78 potency assays;
- 79 - Guidance regarding the evaluation of influence by the in vivo environment, e.g. ongoing  
80 inflammatory processes at the injection/graft site, which could affect biological activity and hence  
81 efficacy;
- 82 - Guidance on other components in the cell-based therapy product that might interfere with the  
83 potency assay.

## 84 **4. Recommendation**

85 The Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP) recommends the Operational Expert  
86 Group (OEG) for cell therapies, a subgroup of the Novel Therapies and Technologies Working Party  
87 (NTWP), to draft a guideline on veterinary cell-based therapy products taking into consideration the  
88 mechanism of action, potency and clinical effects of such products.

89 The scope of the guideline is to give clear advice to applicants and assessors on the development and  
90 data requirements of potency tests for cell-based therapy products as well as validation of analytical  
91 methods used for the potency assay. Based on the mechanism of action that is most relevant (most  
92 likely) for the clinical indication, potency testing should aim at the product's most relevant biological  
93 properties. Consistent functional activity of the medicinal product in the recipient has to be assured,

94 and the potency of the product within justified limits should be demonstrated by bioassay(s) based on  
95 defined biological effect(s) as close as possible to the anticipated mechanism(s) of action/clinical  
96 response. The relation between potency testing and clinical efficacy has to be demonstrated as good as  
97 possible based on current scientific knowledge.

## 98 **5. Proposed timetable**

99 Q1 2022            Concept paper released for public consultation  
100 Q2 2022            End of public consultation  
101 Q4 2022            Draft guideline to be released for public consultation.

## 102 **6. Resource requirements for preparation**

103 The development of the new guideline will involve the OEG on cell therapies, the NTWP, and the CVMP.  
104 A total of 21 months is expected until the publication of the guideline. The OEG on cell therapies will  
105 meet virtually as required (4-6 virtual meetings). Discussion/endorsement is foreseen at 3-5 NTWP  
106 meetings and 4 CVMP plenary meetings.

## 107 **7. Impact assessment (anticipated)**

108 During relevant scientific advice and marketing authorisation procedures questions on potency testing,  
109 mechanism(s) of action and respective linkage to clinical efficacy of cell therapy products have been  
110 identified as frequent and/or major issues.

111 Thus, provision of clear guidance for applicants and assessors on these issues is considered to  
112 significantly improve the effective product development and the assessment of respective marketing  
113 authorisation applications. These improvements will save resources and costs for both, applicants and  
114 regulatory authorities, and will accelerate market access of innovative veterinary medicines.

## 115 **8. Interested parties**

116 Veterinary pharmaceutical industry and consultants.  
117 EU Regulatory authorities in the EU involved in assessment of marketing authorisation applications for  
118 veterinary cell therapy products.

## 119 **9. References to literature, guidelines, etc.**

120 Regulation (EU) 2019/6 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 on  
121 veterinary medicinal products and repealing Directive 2001/82/EC.  
122 Annex II to Regulation (EU) 2019/6 of the European Parliament and of the Council (draft published for  
123 feedback, 10 November 2020).  
124 Guideline on potency testing of cell-based immunotherapy medicinal products for the treatment of  
125 cancer (EMA/CHMP/BWP/271475/2006 rev.1).  
126 Reflection paper on stem cell-based medicinal products (EMA/CAT/571134/2009).  
127 Guideline on human cell-based medicinal products (EMA/CHMP/410869/2006).

- 128 FDA Guidance for Industry: Potency Tests for Cellular and Gene Therapy Products
- 129 ([http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/de](http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm)
- 130 [fault.htm](http://www.fda.gov/BiologicsBloodVaccines/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm)).
- 131 VICH GL1: Validation of analytical procedures – Definition and Terminology.
- 132 VICH GL2: Validation of analytical procedures – Methodology.
- 133 Questions and answers on allogenic mesenchymal stem cell-based products for veterinary use: specific
- 134 questions on tumorigenicity (EMA/CVMP/ADVENT/791465/2016).