



1 18 May 2017
2 EMA/CHMP/197320/2017
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **Concept paper on the need for the development of a**
5 **reflection paper on regulatory requirements for the**
6 **development of medicinal products for chronic non-**
7 **infectious liver diseases (PBC, PSC, NASH).**

8

Agreed by Gastroenterology Drafting Group	February 2017
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	18 May 2017
Start of public consultation	1 June 2017
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 August 2017

9
10

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to GastroenterologyDG@ema.europa.eu

11

Keywords	<i>Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), Primary Biliary Cholangitis (PBC), Primary Sclerosing Cholangitis (PSC), clinical trial design, endpoints, conditional licensing, unmet medical need, liver biopsy.</i>
----------	--

12

13



14 **1. Introduction**

15 There is an unmet medical need of pharmaceutical treatment options in the indications Primary
16 Sclerosing Cholangitis (PSC) and Non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) and also the repertoire of
17 effective and safe drugs for the treatment for Primary Biliary Cholangitis (PBC; previously termed
18 “Primary Biliary Cirrhosis”) remains limited.

19 Whereas the number of development programs and potential future drug candidates has increased
20 during recent years the current regulatory experience reveals the need for further guidance.

21 Therefore the drafting of a reflection paper on regulatory requirements for the development of
22 medicinal products for chronic non-infectious liver diseases (PBC, PSC, NASH) is intended in order to
23 address and to avoid potential pitfalls in drug development.

24 **2. Problem statement**

25 The main feature of chronic liver diseases, including PSC, PBC, and NASH is their slow progression
26 across several, if not dozens, of years. The slow progression of these diseases constitutes a major
27 challenge for drug development essentially with regard to a balanced choice of patient populations,
28 clinically relevant endpoints and duration of observation periods.

29 In all three diseases, symptoms are usually unspecific or at least non-predictive for the long-term
30 outcome of the disease, and the use of hard clinical outcome parameters as endpoint, similar for all
31 three entities, such as liver transplantation and death is struggling with feasibility issues. In addition,
32 the consequent, necessary use of repeated liver biopsies with its inherent risks of complications and
33 deterrence of patients from recruitment points to the need for the validation of surrogate outcome
34 parameters to replace histology..

35 There is also a need for the identification of the most suitable patient population, balancing unmet
36 medical needs, the mechanism of action of drug candidates, and the disease severity with regard to
37 grade of inflammation and stage of fibrosis development.

38 The requirement for long-term observation also raises questions on the balance between timely
39 availability of new compounds, the choice of appropriate licensing strategies and the conduct or
40 continuation of clinical studies post-approval with the associated problems of patient adherence and
41 ethics as well as the regulatory need for (repeated) re-assessment.

42 In addition, whereas NASH is a frequent disease with increasing prevalence and must be regarded to
43 be a consequences of the “obesity epidemic”, two of the three disease entities (PBC and PSC) are rare
44 diseases, and drug candidates in the field usually have orphan drug designation. The most efficient use
45 of a restricted patient population is therefore an additional top level requirement for the conduct of
46 clinical trials.

47 **3. Discussion (on the problem statement)**

48 The proposed Reflection Paper is intended to address the following points:

- 49 • Discuss the difficulties and opportunities for drug development in the field of chronic liver disease
50 (PBC, PSC, NASH), which should include:
 - 51 – Identification of appropriate endpoints including validation of adequate surrogate
52 endpoints/biomarkers

- 53 - Suitable study populations
- 54 - Potentially adequate trial designs.
- 55 • Discuss similarities and differences of the disease entities and their impact on regulatory
- 56 requirements.
- 57 • Specify needs and anticipated problems of Paediatric drug development (especially for NASH)

58 The Reflection Paper is intended to define "landmarks" with regard to the above mentioned topics, with
59 the aim to increase consistency in future regulatory decisions. The Reflection Paper is considered a first
60 step before more detailed regulatory guidance can follow.

61 **4. Recommendation**

62 It is proposed to prepare a Reflection Paper taking into account the background and items displayed
63 above.

64 **5. Proposed timetable**

65 The Concept paper was released for public consultation on 1 June 2017. The deadline for comments is
66 3 months. The draft Reflection Paper is planned to be published for public consultation 2nd quarter
67 2018.

68 **6. Resource requirements for preparation**

69 The Drafting of the Reflection Paper will directly involve the members of the Gastroenterology Drafting
70 Group. The Biostatistics Working Party is intended to be consulted for review. A stakeholder meeting
71 may be helpful for additional input.

72 **7. Impact assessment (anticipated)**

73 The Reflection Paper is intended to have an impact on industry's development plans, clarifying the
74 "high level" requirements for the development of medicinal product under different scenarios. Once
75 established, and at a time when further approvals for new medicinal products in the field have been
76 completed, it may need to be superseded by disease specific guidance.

77 **8. Interested parties**

78 The pharmaceutical industry.

79 Academia and general or specific Scientific Associations (EASL, AASLD, ESPGHAN, NASPGHAN, PBC
80 Study Group, IPSC Study Group, The Liver Forum).

81 Other drug regulatory agencies outside the EU (e.g. FDA).

82 **9. References to literature, guidelines, etc.**

83 Ajmera V et al: Novel plasma biomarkers associated with liver disease severity in adults with
84 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology* 2016; 65: 65-77.

- 85 Assessment report: OCALIVA,EMA/725757/2016,
86 [http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/004093/human_](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/004093/human_med_002043.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124)
87 [med_002043.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/human/medicines/004093/human_med_002043.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058001d124) (accessed 28th Dec 2016)
- 88 Boonstra K et al: Population-based epidemiology, malignancy risk, and outcome of primary sclerosing
89 cholangitis. *Hepatology* 2013; 58: 2045-2055.
- 90 Carey EJ et al: Primary biliary cirrhosis. *Lancet*.2015; 386: 1565.1575.
- 91 Dyson JK et al: The inter-relationship of symptoms severity and quality of life in 2055 patients with
92 primary biliary cholangitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2016; 44: 1039-1050.
- 93 Dyson JK et al: Novel therapeutic targets in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Nat. Rev. GastroenterolHepatol*
94 2015; 12: 147-158.
- 95 European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) & European Association for the Study of
96 Diabetes (EASD) & European Association for the Study of Obesity (EASO): EASL–EASD–EASO Clinical
97 Practice Guidelines for the management of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Diabetologia* (2016)
98 59:1121–1140
- 99 European Medicines Agency post-authorisation procedural advice for users of the centralised procedure
100 (EMA-H-19984/03 Rev.
101 67)[http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/g_and_a/g_and_a_detail_00013](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/g_and_a/g_and_a_detail_000133.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058066e978)
102 [3.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058066e978](http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/regulation/g_and_a/g_and_a_detail_000133.jsp&mid=WC0b01ac058066e978) (accessed 28th Dec 2016)
- 103 Goulis J, Leandro G, Burroughs AK. Randomised controlled trials of ursodeoxycholic-acid therapy for
104 primary biliary cirrhosis: a meta-analysis. *Lancet* 1999;354:1053–1060.
- 105 Hirschfield GM et al: Primary sclerosing cholangitis; *Lancet* 2013; 382: 1587-1599.
- 106 Horley-Silva JL et al: Advances in primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Lancet GastroenterolHepatol* 2016; 1:
107 68-77.
- 108 Irvine KM et al: Multiplex Serum Protein Analysis Identifies Novel Biomarkers of Advanced Fibrosis in
109 Patients with Chronic Liver Disease with the Potential to Improve Diagnostic Accuracy of Established
110 Biomarkers. *PLoS ONE* 11(11): e0167001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167001
- 111 Lazaridis KN and NF LaRusso: Primary Sclerosing cholangitis. *NEJM*.2016; 375: 1161-1170.
- 112 Ponsioen CY et al: Surrogate endpoints for clinical trials in primary sclerosing cholangitis: Review and
113 results from an International PSC Study Group consensus process. *Hepatology* 2016, 63; 1357-1367,
- 114 Rasi G and S Bonini: Innovative medicines: new regulatory procedures for the third millennium. *Expert*
115 *Opinion on Biological Therapy*: 2015: 15: 5-8.
- 116 Rotman Y and AJ Sanyal: Current and upcoming pharmacotherapy for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
117 *Gut* 2017; 66: 180-190.
- 118 Sanyal AJ et al: Challenges and opportunities in drug and biomarker development for nonalcoholic
119 steatohepatitis: Findings and recommendations from an American Association for the Study of Liver
120 Diseases–U.S. Food and Drug Administration Joint Workshop. *Hepatology* 2015; 61: 1392-1405.
- 121 Yonussi ZM et al: Global epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease – Meta-analytic assessment of
122 prevalence, incidence, and outcomes. *Hepatology* 2016; 64: 73-84.