



1 15 April 2021
2 EMA/CVMP/EWP/165592/2021
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP)

4 **Concept paper for the revision of the guideline on the**
5 **summary of product characteristics for anthelmintics**
6

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Concept paper agreed by Efficacy Working Party (EWP-V) | September 2017 |
| Adopted by CVMP for release for consultation | 7 December 2017 |
| Start of public consultation | 15 December 2017 |
| End of consultation (deadline for comments) | 31 March 2018 |
| Revised concept paper agreed by Efficacy Working Party (EWP-V) | 24 March 2021 |
| Adopted by CVMP for release for consultation | 15 April 2021 |
| Start of second public consultation | 22 April 2021 |
| End of consultation (deadline for comments) | 31 May 2021 |

7
8 Once drafted, the proposed guideline will replace the current CVMP Guideline on the summary of
9 product characteristics for anthelmintics ([EMEA/CVMP/EWP/170208/2005](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/consultations-and-publications/consultation-2017-01-16)).

10
11 Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to
Vet-Guidelines@ema.europa.eu

| | |
|----------|---|
| Keywords | Antiparasitic, anthelmintic, ectoparasiticide, resistance, veterinary, SPC, product information |
|----------|---|



14 **1. Introduction**

15 The current guideline on the Summary of Product Characteristics (SPC) for anthelmintics
16 (EMA/CVMP/EWP/170208/2005) recommends standard warnings aimed at delaying the development
17 of anthelmintic resistance. The scope of the guideline is currently limited to products for sheep, goats,
18 cattle and horses, and proposes standard text for sections 4.4 and 4.9 of the SPC.

19 Since its introduction in February 2008, the guideline has been applied to most new applications and
20 renewal procedures and possibly also, on the occasion of variations.

21 In April 2017, the CVMP adopted a Reflection paper on anthelmintic resistance
22 (EMA/CVMP/EWP/573536/2013), with a series of recommendations for actions aimed at reducing the
23 risk of resistance emergence. Among the actions proposed, the reflection paper recommends the
24 revision of the guideline on the SPC for anthelmintics and highlights several issues more specifically.

25 A concept paper proposing the revision of the existing guideline on the SPC for anthelmintics was
26 already released by the CVMP for public consultation in 2017; however, due to the Agency's relocation
27 to Amsterdam, CVMP working parties had to discontinue their work. With the restart of work by CVMP
28 working parties in 2020, and the new Regulation (EU) 2019/6 on veterinary medicinal products in
29 force, more emphasis is now put on the need to limit the development of antiparasitic resistance. It is
30 therefore proposed to extend the scope of the revision of the current guideline on the SPC for
31 anthelmintics further, to also include other antiparasitic veterinary medicinal products.

32 A draft CVMP Reflection paper on resistance in ectoparasites is currently publicly available
33 (EMA/CVMP/EWP/310225/2014). This reflection paper recommends that guidance should be developed
34 as to what (scientifically supported) risk mitigation measures should be included in the SPC of these
35 products.

36 **2. Problem statement**

37 There is a need to develop SPC guidance with a view to limit resistance development in the various
38 types of parasites of veterinary importance, and not only in helminths.

39 Very few systematic monitoring systems currently exist in the EU that evaluate resistance prevalence
40 in parasites, and published information in that regard is incomplete. Except for some helminth species,
41 there is hardly any reliable diagnostic tool that can be used under practical field conditions, and
42 knowledge on resistance mechanisms and selection is scarce. Nevertheless, resistance against
43 antiparasitic substances contained in veterinary medicinal products is increasing worldwide, and
44 providing a frame for the inclusion of appropriate information and instructions for use in their SPC is
45 considered beneficial for all types of antiparasitic products.

46 Besides, it is expected that most recommendations will apply similarly to the different types of
47 antiparasitic substances, and many products act against several types of parasites. Therefore, the
48 scope of the current guideline should be enlarged to encompass all antiparasitic veterinary medicinal
49 products, including notably, products acting against ectoparasites.

50 The scope of the guideline should be extended to host species other than ruminants and horses, e.g. to
51 pigs, poultry and companion animals. In those additional species, resistance emergence is reported in
52 some parasites in given geographical areas.

53 The provisions of the current guideline do not sufficiently promote the sustainable integration of
54 antiparasitic treatments and herd or husbandry management strategies in order to reduce the overall
55 selection pressure and to maintain unexposed, susceptible parasite populations, i.e. *refugia*. The

56 product information should better encourage targeted (selective) treatment of individual animals based
57 on an appropriate diagnosis, together with pasture or husbandry management measures.

58 In the general context of targeted treatment, clearer SPC recommendations are also needed on the
59 correct use of fixed combination products containing substances with different activity spectra.

60 The guideline revision should also address the SPCs of multi-active products (i.e. products containing a
61 combination of substances with different mechanisms of action but targeting the same parasite
62 species).

63 Furthermore, there is a lack of SPC guidance in relation to products with claims for efficacy against
64 parasites with acquired resistance to other antiparasitic classes.

65 The revised guidance should also take into account the new requirements set out by Regulation (EU)
66 2019/6 in terms of structure of the SPC.

67 In a general manner, the revision should aim to provide a clear harmonised text, with the purpose to
68 prompt a rational use of antiparasitic products, while not unnecessarily extending or overloading the
69 SPC text. Furthermore, the guidance should be flexible, so as to take into account the fact that
70 resistance prevalence, mechanisms and detection are evolving matters.

71 **3. Discussion (on the problem statement)**

72 The scope of the guideline should be extended to all parasite species (i.e. include ectoparasites).

73 The guideline should include target species other than ruminants and horses, e.g. pigs, poultry and
74 companion animals. Depending on the amount of scientific information currently available, future
75 guidance in relation to other target species may be restricted to general, standard recommendations
76 aimed at promoting prudent use or at informing the user on reported resistance situations.

77 A consensus should be sought in regard of the definition of the terms "infection" and "infestation". It
78 appears that both are used in SPCs for a same parasite type.

79 **Indications**

- 80
- 81 • Appropriate statements should be proposed to clarify that the use of fixed combination products
82 with the purpose of extending the activity spectrum should be restricted to situations where
each of the active substances is required at the time of administration.

83 **Special warnings in relation to efficacy**

- 84
- 85 • In farm animals, several herd/pasture management strategies have been identified that could
86 help to delay the development of antiparasitic resistance. The elaboration of standard general
87 advice encouraging appropriate pasture or habitat management, as a direct way to favour
88 *refugia*, and/or as the necessary adjunct to targeted and less frequent use, should be
considered.
 - 89 • In particular for ectoparasites, specific SPC instructions that focus on the need or possibility to
90 target the parasite (or specific insect or acarid stages in the animal's surroundings) with
91 appropriate products or devices could be considered.
 - 92 • Emphasis should be put on promoting targeted treatment at an individual or at herd level. The
93 product literature should encourage users to administer treatment based on the confirmation of
94 the parasite species, life cycle stage and burden, on the observed clinical signs, and/or on solid
95 epidemiological information. SPC recommendations for routine or systematic treatment (e.g. at

96 some period of the year or in some defined animal categories) should no longer be accepted
97 unless clearly justified both from an epidemiological and prudent use point of view.

98 Current recommendations in section 4.4 should be reviewed. In particular, the sentence
99 “[...avoid...] too frequent and repeated use of anthelmintics from the same class, over an
100 extended period of time” could be perceived as unclear and too general and, therefore, may not
101 be effective; in addition, it is of note that the benefit of antiparasitic class rotation in terms of
102 resistance prevention seems not to have been formally demonstrated.

103 Similar general prudent use statements are actually used in the SPCs of ectoparasitocidal
104 products, and should be discussed in order to come to an agreement on a standardised text,
105 being as concrete as possible to the user, and to set a frame for more specific recommendations
106 on targeted and evidence-based treatment schedules.

107 In the case of parasites in companion animals, prophylactic use is particularly common, and
108 special attention should be paid to potential recommendations as to the appropriateness of
109 such preventive use, while keeping in mind a potential zoonotic risk.

110 Where possible, the recommendations for more targeted treatment or prophylaxis should derive
111 from specific data on the concerned product and parasite(s). The inclusion of general principles
112 pertaining to good veterinary practice should be avoided.

113 • In the case of companion animals, the soundness of the general recommendation to treat all
114 animals in the same household could be considered. While this may avoid unnecessary product
115 use because of re-infestation, depending on the epidemiology of the concerned parasitic
116 disease, this may also be viewed as promotional and rather relevant to case-by-case
117 assessment.

118 • The current guideline states that suspected cases of resistance should be further investigated
119 using appropriate tests (e.g. FECRT - Faecal Egg Count Reduction Test). This recommendation
120 is still appropriate but could be completed by advice to investigate the underlying resistance
121 mechanism, where a suitable method is available and where this is relevant to treatment.

122 Adapted advice could also be elaborated in relation to suspected cases of resistance in
123 ectoparasites, depending on the availability of reliable test methods.

124 • The current recommendation to investigate cases of suspected lack of expected efficacy (SLEE)
125 could be extended e.g. to encourage users to perform systematic post-treatment check-ups
126 when possible, and to report SLEEs when appropriate.

127 **Amounts to be administered, administration route**

128 • The current advice in SPC sections 4.4 and 4.9 for careful weighing and administration
129 technique in order to avoid underdosing might be collated in a same section, in one or a few
130 clear and brief sentences.

131 • There may be a need for specific warnings relating to given product formulations. For example,
132 the conditions to optimize the consistency of efficacy of pour-on formulations could be
133 addressed. Also, recommendations could be considered in relation to the frequency and optimal
134 timing of the administration of long-acting formulations.

135 • Parasiticides are often used for routine prophylaxis during extended periods of time, i.e. with
136 continuous re-treatments. Based on the general notion that increased exposure increases the
137 risk for resistance development, the recommended treatment frequency should be carefully

138 considered, and recommendations to limit and/or guide such repeated use should be
139 contemplated.

140 In the context of the treatment of existing infections, it should be ensured that adequate user
141 instructions are given regarding the need for several administrations (possibly based on follow-
142 up examinations).

- 143 • In line with the specific indications of combination products, it is considered adequate to
144 recommend the use of narrow spectrum products as soon as the use of a combination or broad-
145 spectrum product can no longer be justified by the presence of mixed infections or risk thereof.
146 An appropriate standard wording and/or section could be sought, while avoiding redundancies
147 where possible.

148 **Pharmacodynamic properties**

- 149 • In line with the Guideline on the SPC for pharmaceutical veterinary medicinal products (Notice
150 to Applicants, Volume 6C), information on resistance should be included in SPC section 5.1. The
151 information required to populate this section (e.g. prevalence, mechanisms and genetics,
152 reported side- and cross-resistance), and the type and amount of supporting data, might be
153 specified for antiparasitic products, taking into account the evolving character of such matters.
- 154 • The future guideline should consider the information in the CVMP Question and Answer
155 document on the information contained in section 5.1 of the SPC (EMA/CVMP/757903/2016),
156 stating that this section should not contain information that would constitute a new indication or
157 a widening/restriction of an approved indication.

158 **Pack sizes**

- 159 • The availability of appropriate pack sizes is linked to the risk of misuse by animal owners; this
160 issue should be addressed when elaborating the guideline.

161 **Other points**

- 162 • It should be considered whether to make specific recommendations regarding the conditions of
163 use of multi-active products (i.e. products containing a combination of substances with
164 different mechanisms of action but targeting the same parasite species).
- 165 • It will also be useful to define in which SPC section, and under which form, efficacy claims
166 against parasites with acquired resistance to other active substance classes may be included.
167 Notably, resistance is evolving and there is always a possibility that multiple resistance
168 emerges involving also the active substance under assessment.

169 **4. Recommendation**

170 In light of the above, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP) recommends
171 that the Efficacy Working Party reviews and revises as necessary the existing guideline in order to
172 clarify some aspects that are currently not adequately addressed.

173 It is recommended to also include veterinary medicinal products acting against other parasites than
174 helminths in the scope of the guideline.

175 Furthermore, the scope should be extended to other target species than ruminants and horses.

176 **5. Proposed timetable**

| | | |
|-----|-----------------|--|
| 177 | 7 December 2017 | Concept paper adopted by CVMP for release for consultation |
| 178 | 31 March 2018 | Deadline for comments from interested parties |
| 179 | 22 April 2021 | Revised concept paper released for consultation |
| 180 | 31 May 2021 | Deadline for comments from interested parties |
| 181 | July 2021 | Adoption of the draft revised guideline by CVMP for release for consultation |
| 182 | September 2021 | Expected end of consultation |
| 183 | December 2021 | Expected date for adoption by CVMP and publication of the revised guideline |

184 **6. Resource requirements for preparation**

185 Revision of the guideline will involve one EWP-V rapporteur and two co-rapporteurs. Preparation of the
186 draft revised guideline will require discussion at approximately 2 EWP-V plenary meetings.

187 Drafting group (virtual) meetings will be organised, as needed.

188 **7. Impact assessment (anticipated)**

189 The revised guideline is not intended to increase the requirements for marketing authorisation
190 applications regarding the type or amount of data. It is expected to assist applicants and assessors in
191 preparing clear product literature, with a view to promoting the correct use and accurately informing
192 the user.

193 **8. Interested parties**

194 Veterinary pharmaceutical industry and consultants, EU Regulatory authorities involved in the
195 assessment of marketing authorisation applications for veterinary medicinal products, scientific
196 associations and professional bodies concerned with the use of antiparasitics and with antiparasitic
197 resistance.

198 **9. References to literature, guidelines, etc.**

- 199 CVMP Guideline on the summary of product characteristics for anthelmintics
200 (EMA/CVMP/EWP/170208/2005)
- 201 CVMP Reflection paper on anthelmintic resistance (EMA/CVMP/EWP/573536/2013)
- 202 Draft CVMP Reflection paper on resistance in ectoparasites (EMA/CVMP/EWP/310225/2014)
- 203 CVMP Question and answer on the information contained within section 5.1 of the SPC on
204 pharmacodynamic properties for pharmaceutical products (EMA/CVMP/757903/2016)
- 205 Guideline on the summary of product characteristics for pharmaceutical veterinary medicinal products,
206 Notice to Applicants, Volume 6C
- 207 Regulation (EU) 2019/6 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 on
208 veterinary medicinal products and repealing Directive 2001/82/EC