

15 October 2020 EMA/CHMP/675838/2014/Rev.1\* Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

## Dasatinib film-coated tablets 20, 50, 70, 80, 100 & 140 mg and suspension 10 mg/ml product-specific bioequivalence guidance

Draft agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	October 2013
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	24 October 2013
Start of public consultation	15 November 2013
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	15 February 2014
Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	22 October 2014
Adopted by CHMP	20 November 2014
Date for coming into effect	1 June 2015
Draft agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	6 April 2020
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	30 April 2020
Start of public consultation	4 May 2020
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 August 2020
Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	21 September 2020
Adopted by CHMP	15 October 2020
Date for coming into effect	1 May 2021

Official addressDomenico Scarlattilaan 61083 HS AmsterdamThe NetherlandsAddress for visits and deliveriesRefer to www.ema.europa.eu/how-to-find-usSend us a questionGo to www.ema.europa.eu/contactTelephone +31 (0)88 781 6000An agency of the European Union



© European Medicines Agency, 2020. Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

\*This revision concerns the additional requirement for a fed study and the requirements for a suspension

Keywords	Bioequivalence, generics, dasatinib
----------	-------------------------------------

## Dasatinib film-coated tablets 20, 50, 70, 80, 100 & 140 mg and suspension 10 mg/ml product-specific bioequivalence guidance

## Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

## Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (PKWP)\*

BCS Classification	BCS Class: I I III III Neither of the two Background: dasatinib may be considered a low solubility compound.
<b>Bioequivalence study design</b> <i>in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or</i> <i>applied</i>	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	□ fasting       □ fed       ☑ both       □ either fasting or fed         Background:       Some subjects may randomly exhibit low concentrations of dasatanib when taking dasatinib products in the fasted state. The incidence of these low-liers has been observed to depend on the formulation. Therefore, these products are considered with specific formulation characteristics and, consequently, bioequivalence should be evaluated under fasting and fed conditions.

	<ul> <li>Strength: 140 mg for the tablets and 120 mg for the oral suspension.</li> <li>Background: Dasatinib film-coated tablets and dasatinib powder for oral suspension are not bioequivalent.</li> <li>For the tablets, the highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility.</li> <li>For the oral suspension, the highest dose for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility.</li> <li>Number of studies: two</li> </ul>	
Analyte	🛛 parent 🗌 metabolite 🗌 both	
	⊠ plasma/serum □ blood □ urine	
	Enantioselective analytical method: 🗌 yes 🖾 no	
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC <sub>0-t</sub> and C <sub>max</sub>	
	<b>90% confidence interval:</b> 80.00 – 125.00%	
	<b>Background:</b> In order to avoid the bias introduced by the randomly occurring low-lier values under fasting conditions, it is considered acceptable that low-lier profiles can be excluded from statistical analysis of the fasted state study if they occur with the same or lower frequency in the test product compared to the reference product. The low-lier profiles are defined as those profiles with dasatinib AUC exposures < 10% of the geometric mean AUC obtained in the rest of the profiles. This should be predefined in the protocol.	

\* As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of  $C_{max}$ . If high intra-individual variability ( $CV_{intra} > 30$  %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.