



1 16 February 2026
2 EMA/CHMP/30023/2026
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **Concept paper on the development of a reflection paper**
5 **on the non-clinical development and evaluation of**
6 **microbiome-based medicinal products**
7 **Draft**

Agreed by Non-Clinical Working Party	21 January 2026
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	16 February 2026
Start of public consultation	02 March 2026
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	30 April 2026

8
9

Comments should be provided using this [EUSurvey form](#) technical issues, please contact the [EUSurvey Support](#) .

10

Keywords	Microbiome, Live Biotherapeutic Products, Whole/Highly Complex Ecosystem-based products, Human Microbiota Transplantation
----------	---

11
12



13 1. Introduction

14 Microbiome-based medicinal products (MMPs) represent an innovative class of therapeutics originating
15 from microbiomes (human, food-related and/or environmental). They are intended to treat or prevent
16 diseases by modulating the human microbiome, such as immune-mediated disorders, recurrent or
17 treatment-resistant infections, metabolic disorders and selected cancer indications (Kinross et al.,
18 2011; de Vos et al., 2022; Yaqub et al., 2025). Among the key concepts in this field is the term
19 microbiota, which refers to the community of microorganisms inhabiting a specific environment, such
20 as the gut, skin, oral cavity or vaginal cavity. These communities typically include bacteria, archaea,
21 fungi, and protozoa (Berg et al., 2020). Depending on the definition used, viruses and bacteriophages
22 may also be considered part of the microbiota (Hou et al., 2022; Salvadori & Rosso, 2024). In
23 contrast, the term 'microbiome' encompasses not only these microbial communities, but also their
24 collective genetic material (genomes), their derivatives, and the interactions they have with each other
25 and with their surrounding environment.

26 Unlike standard pharmaceuticals, MMPs may consist of live or non-living microorganisms or their
27 derivatives. These products can modulate the human microbiome through diverse mechanisms, which
28 vary depending on the strain of microorganism and the site of administration. This complexity
29 introduces unique challenges for non-clinical evaluation. The European Medicines Agency (EMA)
30 recognizes the growing interest and rapid development in this field and acknowledges the need for
31 regulatory guidance to ensure a harmonized approach to non-clinical development and evaluation.

32 The objective of this concept paper is to identify aspects specific to MMPs that need to be addressed in
33 a dedicated reflection paper. This reflection paper will outline the current thinking on the non-clinical
34 evaluation of MMPs and support a harmonized approach across the European Union for clinical trials
35 and marketing authorisation applications, as per Directive 2001/83 on medicinal products.

36 Scope

37 MMPs are currently regulated under multiple legislative frameworks in the European Union, including
38 Directive 2001/83/EC on medicinal products, and where applicable, the Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007
39 on advanced therapy medicinal products (ATMPs).

40 The following table provides an illustrative, non-exhaustive list of product types and examples that fall
41 within the scope of the reflection paper.

Product Type	Examples
Live Biotherapeutic Products (LBPs)	Single or mixed live bacteria/yeasts
Whole/Highly Complex Ecosystem-based products	Non-ATMP Substances of Human Origin (SoHO)-derived medicinal products
Non-living MMPs	Inanimate cells

42

43 Due to the heterogeneity of MMPs, the following products are intended to be outside the scope of the
44 reflection paper:

- 45 • Human Microbiota Transplantation (fecal or other) as a procedure involving administration of
46 Substances of Human Origin (SoHO) preparation, as defined by Regulation (EU) 2024/1938 on
47 standards of quality and safety for substances of human origin intended for human application
48 (SoHO Regulation).

- 49 • MMPs containing genetically modified microorganisms as active substances, classified as ATMPs
50 (Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007).
- 51 • Products containing microorganisms but not intended to prevent or treat disease, such as food
52 supplements containing probiotic strains, and fermented-food cultures, which fall under
53 nutritional or food categories.
- 54 • Microorganisms used in vaccines or other standard immunological products, which are not
55 considered microbiome-based and whose mechanism of action does not involve modulation of
56 the human microbiome.
- 57 • Phage therapy and other virome-based approaches due to distinct scientific and regulatory
58 considerations compared to MMPs. However, the potential relevance of phage therapy is
59 acknowledged, and it might be considered in future discussions or addressed in a separate
60 concept or reflection paper.

61 **2. Problem statement**

62 The development and evaluation of MMPs pose unique regulatory and scientific challenges that are
63 insufficiently addressed by existing guidelines, which were primarily designed for standard
64 pharmaceuticals (including biologics) and ATMPs (Rodriguez et al. 2025). There is increasing interest
65 from both healthcare providers and the pharmaceutical industry in the use of MMPs to address medical
66 needs unmet by standard treatments.

67 Currently, there is no regulatory framework for the non-clinical evaluation of MMPs. Conventional
68 pharmacological and toxicological approaches are often not fully applicable, particularly regarding
69 biodistribution, safety, and the use of standard in vivo pharmacodynamic models to support dose
70 selection and efficacy evaluation. The absence of tailored guidance may lead to increased uncertainty
71 for developers, inconsistencies in regulatory submissions and evaluation, and potential delays in
72 product development.

73 **3. Discussion (on the problem statement)**

74 MMPs differ from other medicinal products in various terms and therefore, specific considerations need
75 to be taken into account for their non-clinical evaluation. The proposed reflection paper will address the
76 following aspects:

- 77 • Product diversity: MMPs can vary from fixed product compositions to tailored microbial
78 consortia assembled for specific therapeutic purposes. Distinctions in non-clinical development
79 and evaluation approaches may be needed for each product type.
- 80 • Target diversity: MMPs exert their biological effect on host physiology via modulation of the
81 microbiome-host interaction and may target any human-associated microbiome, including but
82 not limited to the gut, skin, oral, respiratory, urogenital, and nasal microbiomes.
- 83 • Pharmacological models: Standard animal disease models may lack relevance for MMPs due to
84 species-specific microbiome effects and limited translatability. Alternative models and New
85 Approach Methodologies (NAMs) may be considered.
- 86 • Safety considerations: MMPs present unique safety challenges, and conventional toxicity
87 testing approaches may not be appropriate. Careful consideration is required regarding
88 biodistribution (including potential translocation), persistence, degradation or elimination
89 pathways, and the possibility of shedding.

90 To address these issues, the concept paper proposes development of a reflection paper that defines
91 regulatory science needs, consults widely with stakeholders, and supports an adapted non-clinical
92 evaluation approach for MMPs. This effort aims to facilitate responsible innovation while safeguarding
93 public health, creating a flexible yet robust framework that can adapt to ongoing scientific
94 developments.

95 **4. Recommendation**

96 The Non-Clinical Working Party (NcWP) recommends drafting a reflection paper which outlines current
97 thinking on the non-clinical development and evaluation of MMPs and supports a harmonized approach
98 across the European Union for clinical trials and marketing authorisation. The reflection paper will
99 address the issues identified above and provide recommendations on emerging issues in view of future
100 developments and changing practices in the field of MMPs.

101 **5. Proposed timetable**

102 The concept paper will be published for a two-month public consultation period, to incorporate
103 stakeholder feedback and ensure broad applicability. The NcWP will consider all comments received
104 during the public consultation on the concept paper when preparing the draft reflection paper.

105 **6. Resource requirements for preparation**

106 The development of the reflection paper will involve NcWP, EMA Borderline classification group (BLCG),
107 3Rs Working Party, Quality Working Party (QWP), Clinical Trials Coordination Group (CTCG) and the
108 temporary Drafting Group appointed by the NcWP.

109 **7. Impact assessment (anticipated)**

110 The proposed reflection paper on the non-clinical development and evaluation of microbiome-based
111 medicinal products will address specific regulatory and scientific aspects of MMPs regarding the non-
112 clinical package necessary for clinical trials and marketing authorisation applications. The reflection
113 paper is expected to:

- 114 • Enhance regulatory clarity for developers of MMPs.
- 115 • Promote harmonized non-clinical evaluation across the EU.
- 116 • Reduce unnecessary use of animals to assess the pharmacology and safety of MMPs.
- 117 • Reduce uncertainty and potential delays in product development.
- 118 • Encourage innovation by supporting flexible, science-based approaches.
- 119 • Improve patient safety by ensuring rigorous evaluation of novel MMPs.

120 **8. Interested parties**

121 The following stakeholders are invited to contribute to the development and consultation process:

- 122 • Pharmaceutical industry
- 123 • Academia and research institutions
- 124 • National competent authorities
- 125 • EU Agencies and institutions, such as the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control
126 (ECDC), the European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and HealthCare (EDQM), and the
127 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)
- 128 • Patient organizations
- 129 • Healthcare professionals

130 **9. References to literature, guidelines, etc.**

- 131 Berg, G., Rybakova, D., Fischer, D., Cernava, T., Champomier Vergès, M.-C., Charles, T., Chen, X.,
132 Cocolin, L., Eversole, K., Herrero Corral, G., Kazou, M., Kinkel, L., Lange, L., Lima, N., Loy, A., Macklin,
133 J. A., Maguin, E., Mauchline, T., McClure, R., ... Schloter, M. (2020). Microbiome definition re-visited:
134 old concepts and new challenges. *Microbiome*, 8, 103. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00875-0>
- 135 de Vos, W. M., Tilg, H., Van Hul, M., & Cani, P. D. (2022). Gut microbiome and health: Mechanistic
136 insights. *Gut*, 71(5), 1020–1032. <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2021-326789>
- 137 Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the
138 Community code relating to medicinal products for human use. *Official Journal of the European*
139 *Communities*, L 311, 67–128.
- 140 Hou, K., Wu, Z.-X., Chen, X.-Y., Wang, J.-Q., Zhang, D., Xiao, C., Zhu, D., Koya, J. B., Wei, L., Li, J.,
141 & Chen, Z.-S. (2022). Microbiota in health and diseases. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy*, 7,
142 135. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-022-00974-4>
- 143 Kinross, J. M., Darzi, A. W., & Nicholson, J. K. (2011). Gut microbiome-host interactions in health and
144 disease. *Genome Medicine*, 3(1), Article 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/gm228>
- 145 Regulation (EC) No 1394/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 November 2007 on
146 advanced therapy medicinal products and amending Directive 2001/83/EC and Regulation (EC) No
147 726/2004. *Official Journal of the European Union*, L 324, 121–137.
- 148 Regulation (EU) 2024/1938 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 on
149 standards of quality and safety for substances of human origin intended for human application, and
150 repealing Directives 2002/98/EC and 2004/23/EC. *Official Journal of the European Union*,
151 L_2024/1938, 1–192.
- 152 Rodriguez, J., Cordaillat-Simmons, M., Pot, B. *et al.* The regulatory framework for microbiome-based
153 therapies: insights into European regulatory developments. *npj Biofilms Microbiomes* **11**, 53 (2025).
154 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-025-00683-0>
- 155 Salvadori, M., & Rosso, G. (2024). Update on the gut microbiome in health and diseases. *World Journal*
156 *of Methodology*, 14(1), 89196. <https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v14.i1.89196>
- 157 Yaqub, M. O., Jain, A., Joseph, C. E., & Edison, L. K. (2025). Microbiome-driven therapeutics: From gut
158 health to precision medicine. *Gastrointestinal Disorders*, 7(1), 7.
159 <https://doi.org/10.3390/gidisord7010007>