



1 23 July 2021  
2 EMA/CVMP/IWP/260956/2021  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP)

4 **Guideline on clinical trials with immunological veterinary**  
5 **medicinal products**  
6 **Draft**

Draft agreed by IWP	27 May 2021
Adopted by CVMP for release for consultation	15 July 2021
Start of public consultation	23 July 2021
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	29 October 2021

7  
8 This guideline replaces the Note for Guidance 'Field trials with veterinary vaccines'  
9 (EMA/CVMP/852799-Final).

10 Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to  
[vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu](mailto:vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu)

11 **Keywords** *immunological veterinary products, clinical trials, safety, efficacy*  
12



13 **Guideline on clinical trials with immunological veterinary**  
14 **medicinal products**

15 **Table of contents**

16 **Executive summary..... 3**

17 **1. Introduction (background) ..... 3**

18 **2. Scope..... 3**

19 **3. Legal basis and relevant guidelines..... 3**

20 **4. Requirement to provide field data ..... 4**

21 **5. Assessment of efficacy under field conditions ..... 6**

22 5.1. Efficacy criteria..... 6

23 5.2. Controls and study design ..... 7

24 5.2.1. Comparator product ..... 7

25 5.2.2. Exposure to infection ..... 8

26 5.2.3. Intercurrent infections ..... 8

27 5.2.4. Pre-existing antibodies ..... 8

28 **6. Clinical safety trials..... 9**

29 6.1. Parameters..... 9

30 6.2. Controls and trial design ..... 9

31 **7. Animal welfare considerations ..... 9**

32 **8. Analysis and interpretation ..... 9**

33 **Definitions ..... 10**

34

## 35 **Executive summary**

36 The main aim of the guideline is to advise on how to perform clinical trials (also called field trials) with  
37 immunological veterinary medicinal products (IVMPs) and to address the requirements of the  
38 Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/805 of 8 March 2021 amending Annex II to Regulation  
39 (EU) 2019/6 of the European Parliament and of the Council regarding clinical trials for IVMPs.

40 In addition, guidance is provided on when omission of clinical efficacy data may be acceptable.

41 This guideline replaces the Note for Guidance 'Field trials with veterinary vaccines'  
42 (EMA/CVMP/852799-Final).

## 43 **1. Introduction (background)**

44 The efficacy and safety of IVMPs shall normally be demonstrated by studies under laboratory  
45 conditions (pre-clinical studies).

46 Clinical safety trials should be performed in order to verify results of pre-clinical safety studies, under  
47 field conditions and on a large scale.

48 With respect to clinical efficacy, Annex II to Regulation (EC) 2019/6 (section IIIb, Requirements for  
49 Immunological Veterinary Medicinal Products) states that, whereas results of trials carried out in field  
50 conditions are generally required to support pre-clinical studies, clinical efficacy trials may not be  
51 required in those cases when pre-clinical studies fully support the claims made in the summary of  
52 product characteristics. It is also stated that, where pre-clinical studies cannot be supportive of  
53 efficacy, the performance of clinical (field) trials alone may be acceptable.

## 54 **2. Scope**

55 Guidance is provided on how to perform clinical trials with IVMPs, what criteria shall be taken into  
56 account, what data are expected and how data shall be analysed. The advice covers in particular  
57 clinical efficacy trials and, where relevant, clinical safety trials.

58 The guideline also concerns in particular criteria that may be applied in order to decide on the need to  
59 generate and provide clinical efficacy data.

## 60 **3. Legal basis and relevant guidelines**

61 This guideline should be read in conjunction with Annex I and II to Regulation (EU) 2019/6, as  
62 amended, and other relevant EU and VICH guidelines as well as European Pharmacopoeia applicable  
63 texts and monographs to IVMPs. These include, but are not limited to:

- 64 - Position paper on indications for veterinary vaccines (EMA/CVMP/042/97-Rev.1-FINAL)
- 65 - Guideline on the requirements for combined vaccines and associations of immunological  
66 veterinary medicinal products (IVMPs) (EMA/CVMP/IWP/594618/2010)
- 67 - Guideline on the design of studies to evaluate the safety and efficacy of fish vaccines  
68 (EMA/CVMP/IWP/314550/2010)
- 69 - Note for guidance: Duration of protection achieved by veterinary vaccines (EMA/CVMP/682/99)
- 70 - VICH GL9 Good clinical practices (CVMP/VICH/595/1998)

71 - Guideline on statistical principles for clinical trials for veterinary medicinal products  
72 (pharmaceuticals) (EMA/CVMP/EWP/81976/2010).

## 73 **4. Requirement to provide field data**

### 74 **4.1. Introduction**

75 Concerning IVMPs, Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2021/805 amending Annex II to Regulation  
76 (EC) 2019/6 details the following:

77 With respect to safety data:

78 "Unless otherwise justified, results from pre-clinical studies shall be supplemented with data from  
79 clinical trials, using batches representative of the manufacturing process described in the marketing  
80 authorisation application. Both safety and efficacy may be investigated in the same clinical trials."

81 With respect to efficacy data:

82 "In general, pre-clinical studies shall be supported by trials carried out in field conditions.

83 When pre-clinical studies fully support the claims made in the summary of product characteristics,  
84 trials carried out in field conditions are not required.

85 Unless otherwise justified, results from pre-clinical studies shall be supplemented with data from  
86 clinical trials, using batches representative of the manufacturing process described in the marketing  
87 authorisation application. Both safety and efficacy may be investigated in the same clinical trials.

88 Where pre-clinical studies cannot be supportive of efficacy, the performance of field trials alone may be  
89 acceptable."

90 Based on the text of the regulation, normally, clinical safety studies should be performed and data  
91 presented in the dossier for a marketing authorisation. For efficacy, the requirement for provision of  
92 field data is less strict and the performance of clinical efficacy studies may be omitted if adequate  
93 evidence of efficacy, supporting all claims, can be derived from the pre-clinical efficacy studies.

94 Clinical trials (field trials) shall be conducted in accordance with established principles of good clinical  
95 practice, unless otherwise justified.

### 96 **4.2. Criteria for the omission of clinical efficacy data**

97 For clinical efficacy data to be omitted from the dossier of a marketing authorisation application, it is  
98 considered that the following three criteria should all be met:

99 a) A highly relevant laboratory model of infection was used and results of the pre-clinical efficacy  
100 studies fully support the efficacy claims.

101 The laboratory model induces a disease that is comparable to the naturally occurring disease.  
102 Comparability is evident with respect to type and frequency of clinical signs, overall disease  
103 severity and distribution and/or shedding of the organism(s). The route of infection for the  
104 model is similar to the natural infection route. A relevant strain or isolate of the pathogen is  
105 used; relevance can be deduced from data on the timing of isolation, location or origin of  
106 isolation and data on strain variability and cross protection. Animals used in these studies are  
107 relevant for the intended target population, with respect to health status, maternal immunity,  
108 age, category and/or breed. If any of the requirements cannot be met, a robust scientific  
109 justification must be provided that assures the challenge model is still relevant.

110 b) The intended method of administration of the vaccine can be fully mimicked under laboratory  
111 conditions.

112 In general, administration of IVMPs should not present a problem for comparability of  
113 laboratory data and efficacy in the field. Nevertheless, IVMPs intended for mass administration  
114 (e.g. via drinking water) or specific non-standard routes of administration (e.g. alternative  
115 injection sites like the lip, inhalers, nose spray or eye drop) may need supportive data from  
116 clinical studies to ensure that under field conditions of use proper administration is achieved.  
117 Where satisfactory efficacy has been documented in the context of pre-clinical studies, data on  
118 the effectiveness of particular administration methods or mass administration under conditions  
119 of field use may also be acquired by using correlates of protection or by laboratory challenge of  
120 animals taken from clinical safety studies.

121 c) The pre-clinical efficacy studies are of high quality with respect to design and execution and vaccine  
122 effects that are both clinically relevant and statistically significant have been observed.

### 123 **4.3. Situations when clinical efficacy data is considered necessary**

124 In the following situations, clinical efficacy data is considered necessary for immunological veterinary  
125 medicinal products:

126 - that are claimed to have an epidemiological effect or for which an epidemiological effect is obviously  
127 important (e.g. herd immunity).

128 - that are indicated against vector-transmitted diseases.

129 An exception can be made if an appropriate laboratory model is used that employs challenge  
130 infection via a vector or that has robust scientific data to support that it fully replicates all  
131 relevant aspects of vector-mediated infection (for example, but not limited to: presence of  
132 saliva or other vector derived substances, low and/or repeated doses, intracutaneous  
133 application).

134 - which are claimed to have an effect on multifactorial disease outcomes.

135 - that are claimed to have an effect on performance parameters (e.g. weight gain, feed conversion,  
136 laying).

### 137 **4.4. Situations when clinical efficacy data may replace pre-clinical data**

138 Clinical trial data may be accepted instead of data from pre-clinical studies, for immunological  
139 veterinary medicinal products:

140 - where pre-clinical studies cannot be supportive of efficacy because a valid challenge model is not  
141 available

142 - that are claimed to have a long-term duration of protection which cannot be demonstrated by pre-  
143 clinical trials due to animal welfare reasons and/or ethical aspects connected with long term holding of  
144 animals under laboratory conditions. Bearing in mind that duration of protection after the basic  
145 vaccination scheme shall be justified in relation to the length of time for which animals are likely to be  
146 at risk, target animals should be vaccinated in the field and undergo thereafter a natural challenge in  
147 the field or an experimental challenge under laboratory conditions.

148 - that are claimed to be efficacious after re-vaccination, if no laboratory vaccination-challenge trials  
149 can be conducted after re-vaccination, e.g. due to animal welfare reasons and/or ethical aspects  
150 connected with long-term holding of animals under laboratory conditions. Field data would only be

151 acceptable, if there is a suitable indicator for protection other than challenge. For such an indicator  
152 evidence shall be provided to show that the indicator plays a substantial role in the protection of the  
153 target species and that there is a sufficient qualitative and quantitative relationship between the  
154 indicator and the protection of the target species against the disease concerned. It must be  
155 demonstrated (via indicators of protection) that the level of response from re-vaccination scheme can  
156 be considered equal to the one observed at the time of challenge used to demonstrate the efficacy  
157 after the basic vaccination.

158 If clinical data should support the duration of immunity or the efficacy of the re-vaccination scheme, it  
159 shall be ensured that the vaccinated target animals are not exposed to intercurrent field infection,  
160 which could interfere with efficacy e.g. by boosting the immunity. Therefore, it is usually necessary to  
161 maintain unvaccinated target animals in contact to act as sentinels.

#### 162 **4.5. Deviations from the basic principles**

163 Deviations from the basic principles as outlined in sections 4.2 and 4.3 may be appropriate in particular  
164 cases as described in this section.

165 When an IVMP is intended for an animal disease that occurs only rarely and sporadically in the field  
166 and where the conditions set out in section 4.2 cannot be met, it may be acceptable to omit the  
167 requirement for clinical efficacy trials. Such cases are judged on an individual basis and conditions may  
168 be set. For instance, more extensive pre-clinical efficacy studies could be necessary.

169 In cases of IVMPs against notifiable and/or exotic animal diseases for which vaccination is not allowed  
170 in the European Union, it may be difficult to find other suitable areas to carry out clinical trials, if  
171 required. Such cases are judged on an individual basis to determine if there is a zoo-sanitary legal  
172 requirement to restrict the efficacy and safety investigations to pre-clinical trials. Data from clinical  
173 trials conducted outside the EU, in particular when conducted according to Good Clinical Practice, may  
174 be considered in support of applications for such IVMPs.

175 For IVMPs that are indicated for limited markets reduced data requirements with respect to clinical  
176 efficacy data may apply. Guidance on this subject should be consulted.

177 For IVMPs to be authorised under exceptional circumstances reduced data requirements with respect to  
178 clinical efficacy data may be appropriate. Guidance on this subject should be consulted.

## 179 **5. Assessment of efficacy under field conditions**

### 180 **5.1. Efficacy criteria**

181 The efficacy criteria shall be clearly defined in the study protocol and justified in relation to the  
182 indications and specific claims for the IVMP.

183 Justification shall be given for not including parameters that are known to be related to the disease  
184 concerned.

185 Primary efficacy criteria are generally derived from main disease parameters: mortality, morbidity,  
186 clinical signs and/or lesions. Secondary criteria may for example include parameters related to  
187 production (e.g. weight gain, egg laying) or infection parameters (e.g. shedding, viraemia).

188 For an indicator of protection to be acceptable as a correlate of IVMP efficacy, it shall be demonstrated  
189 that a sufficient correlation exists between the indicator measured and the claimed protection in the  
190 target species. An indicator for protection should be shown to play a substantial role in the immune  
191 response, relevant for protection of the target species against the disease concerned.

## 192 **5.2. Controls and study design**

193 The trial shall, unless justified, compare a group of vaccinated animals with an equivalent group of  
194 unvaccinated or placebo controls.

195 Where vaccination of whole herds is proposed, the need for this shall be justified. In such cases,  
196 comparison with animals vaccinated with a comparator product may be used when available and the  
197 study should be designed to demonstrate non-inferiority. For modified live vaccines, whose vaccine  
198 agent(s) spreads, it is necessary to separate vaccinated animals from controls. In such cases separate  
199 housing of vaccinated and control groups is justified.

200 The choice of controls shall be justified. It is necessary to define in the study protocol what purpose  
201 the control group serves. This shall include:

202 - Evidence that exposure to infection took place.

203 - A group of animals against which the vaccinated animals can be compared in a valid manner.

204 For such comparison to be valid:

205 - The controls and vaccinated animals shall be investigated at the same time. Where this is not  
206 possible, justification should be provided.

207 - The animals of both groups have to be randomised according to the experimental unit

208 - The environment in which the two groups of animals are housed shall be equivalent (i.e. same farm  
209 and barn) or as similar as possible (e.g. different barn on the same farm and with similar set-up).

210 - Field challenge shall be as similar as possible in the groups of animals. The dynamics of a field  
211 infection may not be similar if cohorts consist of exclusively vaccinated animals or negative controls.  
212 Therefore, where possible, vaccinated and control groups should be mixed.

213 The use of historical data for control purposes is rarely acceptable but when historical data are used,  
214 they shall have been shown to be consistent over a representative length of time and well  
215 documented.

216 Ideally, the trials shall be double blind- and placebo-controlled, but this is often difficult to realise in  
217 practice. The need for placebo controls depends on the study plan. As a rule, but in particular if the  
218 parameter to be measured is subjective (e.g. coughing), any observant and anyone involved in the  
219 generation of data (e.g. pathologist, laboratory staff) must be blinded to the treatment.

220 It is recognised that in some circumstances (e.g. enzootic diseases) inclusion of placebo/non-  
221 vaccinated controls may be difficult for reasons of animal welfare. However, even when the inclusion of  
222 controls is not possible, sufficient evidence shall be presented that the vaccine is having a  
223 demonstrable beneficial effect.

224 The batch(es) used shall be of standard or intermediate potency or titre whenever safety and efficacy  
225 measurements are combined in one clinical study. Alternatively, in case separate trials are performed  
226 to determine safety and efficacy in the field, it is expected that minimum titre/potency batches would  
227 be used in the efficacy trials in order to maximise the information that can be derived from the studies.

### 228 **5.2.1. Comparator product**

229 The comparator product should have similar indications and specific claims as those proposed for the  
230 IVMP under study.

231 A study involving a comparator product should be designed as a non-inferiority study. Guidance on the  
232 design of non-inferiority studies is available in the Guideline on statistical principles for clinical trials for  
233 veterinary medicinal products (pharmaceuticals) (EMA/CVMP/EWP/81976/2010).

234 When the IVMP under study is being compared with a comparator product, a group of non-vaccinated  
235 or placebo controls shall still be included whenever possible in order to verify field challenge. If this is  
236 not possible, sufficient evidence shall be presented that both products are having a demonstrable  
237 beneficial effect.

### 238 **5.2.2. Exposure to infection**

239 Clear evidence that the vaccinated animals and controls have been exposed to the concerned  
240 pathogen(s) shall be given. In principle, the study should be designed to allow for a similar level and  
241 timing of exposure to the pathogen(s) in both groups of animals. Observation of signs of disease is  
242 rarely sufficient by itself and clinical records shall be supported by pre-clinical data. In principle, the  
243 agent(s) itself shall be detected and identified. In case of live vaccines, the isolated field strains shall,  
244 whenever possible, be differentiated from the vaccine strains. Regular serological testing, performed  
245 on a statistically sufficient number of animals, may be a supportive measure to demonstrate exposure  
246 to the pathogen. The serological method(s) used shall be validated and the same as used in the pre-  
247 clinical studies.

248 The causes of any deaths or unexpected signs of disease shall be determined using appropriate  
249 methods, where possible. It is expected that necropsy is performed in such cases.

250 If justified, some of the vaccinated animals may undergo an experimental challenge under laboratory  
251 conditions, but shall be shown not to have been naturally infected prior to challenge.

### 252 **5.2.3. Intercurrent infections**

253 Infections with agents other than those under study that may influence the parameters being  
254 measured may affect the outcome of the trial. Such an influence on the trial can be reduced  
255 considerably if vaccinated and control animals are investigated in parallel and if randomisation is  
256 applied for allocation to study groups.

### 257 **5.2.4. Pre-existing antibodies**

258 Pre-existing antibodies against the agent(s) in the IVMP may be maternally derived, due to infection or  
259 due to prior vaccination.

260 If the indication or specific claims for the IVMP are related to efficacy in the presence of maternal  
261 antibodies against the vaccine agent(s), the trial protocol shall include animals with titres of these  
262 antibodies normally occurring in the field.

263 Where pre-existing antibodies due to previous exposure to the concerned or related agents are  
264 present, the trial can still be acceptable if the immunological status of the vaccinated animals and  
265 controls at the time of vaccination is known and a justification for their use is given, ensuring that the  
266 animals are still susceptible to the infection.

267 In all cases, clinical trials shall not be carried out in animals that have been vaccinated with products  
268 containing the same active substances as the IVMP under study.



## 269 **6. Clinical safety trials**

270 The clinical safety trials are primarily performed to verify the safety of the IVMP under field conditions  
271 after administration of one dose of vaccine as well as after repeated administration(s) depending on  
272 the recommendations for use.

### 273 **6.1. Parameters**

274 Clinical safety trials shall be designed to detect both local and systemic reactions to vaccination. In  
275 addition, clinical safety trials provide an opportunity to detect more rare adverse reactions that are  
276 unlikely to occur in laboratory studies in a small number of animals.

277 Parameters used to determine systemic effects of vaccination may include allergic reactions, mortality,  
278 anorexia, pyrexia, changes in behaviour, weight gain, feed conversion, carcass quality, milk/wool/fur  
279 production, egg production and hatchability of breeding eggs and male and female fertility. Additional  
280 or alternative parameters relevant for a specific pathogen may be used, where appropriate and  
281 justified.

282 In case of live vaccines, the behaviour of the vaccine agent(s) in animal populations should be  
283 documented (e.g. spread, persistence in the environment).

284 In terms of local reactions, the size, duration and nature of any reactions appearing at the site of  
285 injection shall be monitored and recorded.

### 286 **6.2. Controls and trial design**

287 The trial shall normally compare a group of vaccinated animals with an equivalent group of  
288 unvaccinated or placebo controls originating from the same target population.

289 The choice of the controls shall be justified. The control group shall comprise animals against which the  
290 vaccinated animals can be compared in a valid manner.

291 The batch(es) used shall be of standard or intermediate potency or titre whenever safety and efficacy  
292 measurements are combined in one clinical study. In case separate clinical safety trials are performed,  
293 one dose of IVMP shall not contain significantly less than the maximum titre of the vaccine agent(s) or  
294 batch potency to be stated on the label. For live vaccines, the vaccine agent(s) shall be at the least  
295 attenuated passage level that will be present in a batch of the IVMP, in order to maximise the  
296 information to be derived from the study.

297 See further, where relevant, paragraph 5.2

## 298 **7. Animal welfare considerations**

299 Clinical trials should be designed to avoid causing pain, suffering and distress to animals. Whenever  
300 possible, alternative -less invasive- test methods should be used and the initiation of rescue treatment  
301 based on pre-defined limits for disease parameters should be included in the study plan.

## 302 **8. Analysis and interpretation**

303 According to Regulation (EU) 2019/6 all available data of clinical trials shall be included in the dossier  
304 of the application for a marketing authorisation. Only data of valid clinical trials may support such an  
305 application. Nevertheless, all relevant details should be given of any incomplete or abandoned test or  
306 trial.

307 Preferably, the sample size should be calculated a priori, based on the expected effect size and the  
308 variance. A clinically relevant effect size should be described a priori.

309 When efficacy of vaccination is demonstrated by comparison to a positive comparator in a non-  
310 inferiority study, a non-inferiority margin should be defined and justified in the study plan.

311 Guidance on the calculation of sample size and the design on non-inferiority studies can be found in  
312 the Guideline on statistical principles for clinical trials for veterinary medicinal products  
313 (pharmaceuticals) (EMA/CVMP/EWP/81976/2010).

314 The analysis of the data of clinical efficacy trials shall be related to the indication and specific claims  
315 made for the IVMP, and the parameters measured (refer to "indications and specific claims for  
316 immunological veterinary products").

317 In the case of efficacy as judged by an indicator of protection, non-inferiority may be demonstrated in  
318 comparison to animals vaccinated with a comparator vaccine or in comparison to animals vaccinated  
319 (and shown to be protected) in the pre-clinical trials.

320 The analysis of data of clinical safety trials shall be related to the recommendations for use.  
321 Careful consideration shall be especially given to:

- 322 - the study plan,
  - 323 - the plan for analysis,
  - 324 - the evaluation of the data,
  - 325 - the statistical evaluation, including confidence limits, of the data,
  - 326 - the hypothesis for risk of errors,
  - 327 - the randomisation of the various groups of animals,
  - 328 - the number of animals required, including eventual losses during the trial.
- 329

## 330 Definitions

331 **Immunological veterinary medicinal product (IVMP):** a veterinary medicinal product intended to  
332 be administered to an animal in order to produce active or passive immunity or to diagnose its state of  
333 immunity.

334 **Clinical trial:** A study which aims to examine under field conditions the safety or efficacy of an IVMP  
335 under normal conditions of animal husbandry or as part of normal veterinary practice for the purpose  
336 of obtaining a marketing authorisation or a change thereof. (Synonyms: field efficacy/safety trial).

337 **Pre-clinical study:** A study not covered by the definition of clinical trial which aims to investigate the  
338 safety or efficacy of an IVMP for the purpose of obtaining a marketing authorisation or a change  
339 thereof.

340 **Indicator of protection:** For an indicator to be acceptable as a correlate of efficacy for a specific type  
341 of vaccine(s), it shall be demonstrated that a sufficient correlation exists between the indicator  
342 measured and the claimed protection in the target species. An indicator for protection should be shown  
343 to play a substantial role in the immune response, relevant for protection of the target species against  
344 the disease concerned. It must be demonstrated that the level of response obtained for the indicator in  
345 clinical trials is equal to the one observed in vaccinated animals at the time of challenge in pre-clinical  
346 trials and for which protection was demonstrated.

347 **Comparator product:** A product that has been authorised in accordance with the EU requirements  
348 with similar indications/specific claims and recommendations for use and used accordingly.

349 **Placebo:** a substance without therapeutic effect, used as a control substance in pre-clinical or clinical  
350 studies.  
351