



1 25 February 2010  
2 EMA/CHMP/QWP/811210/2009 Rev 1  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **Guideline on Real Time Release Testing (formerly**  
5 **Guideline on Parametric Release)**  
6 **Draft**

7

Draft Agreed by Quality Working Party	November 2009
Adoption by CHMP for release for consultation	17 December 2009
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 August 2010

8  
9 This guideline replaces the Note for Guidance on Parametric Release CPMP/QWP/3015/99

10

11

Comments should be provided using this <a href="#">template</a> . The completed comments form should be sent to QWP@ema.europa.eu
---

12

Keywords	Parametric release, batch release, sterilisation, Process Analytical Technology, Quality by Design, real time release testing
----------	---

13



14 **Guideline on Real Time Release Testing (formerly**  
15 **Guideline on Parametric Release)**

16 **Table of contents**

17 **Executive summary ..... 3**

18 **1. Introduction (background) ..... 3**

19 **2. Scope..... 3**

20 **3. Legal basis ..... 3**

21 **4. Real Time Release Testing ..... 3**

22 4.1. Real Time Release Testing and Specifications ..... 3

23 4.2. Application of RTR testing..... 4

24 4.2.1. Application of RTR testing to biological/biotechnological products ..... 4

25 4.2.2. RTR testing examples ..... 5

26 **5. Documentation for RTR testing..... 5**

27 5.1. General requirements ..... 5

28 5.2. Documentation ..... 5

29 **6. Parametric Release and Sterilisation ..... 5**

30 6.1. Sterilisation by heat ..... 6

31 6.2. Sterilisation by radiation ..... 7

32 **Definitions..... 7**

33 **References ..... 7**

34

## 35 **Executive summary**

36 Medicinal products must comply with the approved specifications before they are released into the  
37 market. Compliance with release specifications can be demonstrated by performing a complete set of  
38 tests on the finished product, according to the approved specifications. Under certain conditions, an  
39 alternative strategy to routine testing is possible. So far this concept has been only applied to sterility  
40 testing of terminally sterilised products (parametric release). Recent guidelines adopted in the ICH  
41 context (ICH Q8, Q9 and Q10) have made possible to apply a similar release strategy to tests other  
42 than sterility, this approach has been called Real Time Release testing.  
43 This guideline addresses the requirements for application of RTR testing to different kinds of products  
44 e.g. chemical and biological products and its scope is to facilitate the introduction of RTR testing. The  
45 guideline replaces the previous guideline on parametric release and does not introduce new  
46 requirements, so the parametric release part on the previous guideline is retained unchanged.

## 47 **1. Introduction (background)**

48 Medicinal product must comply with the requirements stated in the authorised specifications for release  
49 and shelf life. Real Time Release (RTR) is a system of release that gives assurance that the product is  
50 of intended quality, based on the information collected during the manufacturing process, through  
51 product knowledge and on enhanced process understanding and control. RTR recognises that under  
52 specific circumstances a comprehensive set of in-process controls (Real Time Release testing (RTR  
53 testing)) may provide greater assurance of product quality than end-product testing.). The RTR  
54 principle is already authorised for use as an optional alternative to routine sterility testing of products  
55 terminally sterilised in their final container i.e. parametric release<sup>1,2</sup>. Enhanced product knowledge and  
56 process understanding, the use of quality risk management principles and the application of an  
57 adequate pharmaceutical quality system, as defined within ICH Q8,Q9 and Q10<sup>3,4,5,6</sup> provide the  
58 platform for establishing RTR testing mechanisms for other applications, for new products as well as  
59 established marketed products,  
60 This guideline elaborates on the application of RTR testing to a number of areas of pharmaceutical  
61 development and manufacture, in addition to sterilisation. It will thereby replace the "Note for  
62 Guidance on Parametric Release.

## 63 **2. Scope**

64 This document is intended to outline the requirements for applications that propose RTR testing for  
65 active substances, intermediates and finished products. The guideline highlights the different  
66 requirements that have to be fulfilled in the application and the role of related inspections (pre  
67 authorization and routine GMP inspections).

## 68 **3. Legal basis**

69 This guideline has to be read in conjunction with the introduction and general principles (4) and part I  
70 of the Annex I to Directive 2001/83 as amended.

## 71 **4. Real Time Release Testing**

### 72 ***4.1. Real Time Release Testing and Specifications***

73 Before a medicinal product is released for sale, the Qualified Person responsible for its release should  
74 take into account, among other aspects, the conformity of the product to its specifications<sup>7</sup>. In the case  
75 of approved RTR testing, this conformity would not routinely be supported by results of end product  
76 testing. Nevertheless product specifications have to be established and each batch of a product should  
77 comply with them if tested. Product specifications are also necessary for stability studies, in order to  
78 establish a product shelf-life as well as for OMCL controls. The application for RTR testing should  
79 contain adequate data of a running in period with both end product testing data and RTR testing data.  
80 When RTR testing has been approved this should be routinely used for batch release. In case the test  
81 results of an approved RTR testing fail or are trending toward failure it may not be substituted by end-  
82 product testing. Any failure should be investigated and trending should be followed up appropriately.

83 Batch release decisions will need to be made based on the results of these investigations, and must  
84 comply with the content of the marketing authorization and current GMP requirements.  
85 The control strategy provided in the application should include a proposal for use of alternative testing  
86 or monitoring approaches in case of equipment failure. In this situation, the alternative approach could  
87 involve use of end-product testing or other options, while maintaining an acceptable level of quality.  
88 Testing or monitoring equipment breakdown needs to be managed in the context of a deviation under  
89 the Quality Management System and can be covered by GMP.  
90 For products coming from third countries into the EU it is a requirement in Directive 2001/83/EC "that  
91 each production batch has undergone in a Member State a full qualitative analysis, a quantitative  
92 analysis of at least the active substances and all the other tests or checks necessary to ensure the  
93 quality of medicinal products in accordance with the requirements of the marketing authorization" (9).  
94 This normally means a complete reanalysis of the product according to the approved specifications.  
95 When a company has got approval for RTR testing for one or more tests in the specifications, these  
96 tests would not be considered a "necessary test or check to ensure the quality of the medicinal product  
97 in accordance with the requirements of the marketing authorization". Therefore a relief from this  
98 testing will be accepted.

## 99 **4.2. Application of RTR testing**

100 Process monitoring may be applied to various manufacturing processes, such as tableting, on the  
101 basis of appropriate testing at various stages in the process. Some parameters are usually checked  
102 routinely at defined intervals regardless of the design of the manufacturing process of a tablet.  
103 Uniformity of mass, crushing strength and disintegration are such examples. The results of a  
104 comprehensive set of in-process tests and controls in these cases may constitute sufficient grounds for  
105 replacing the corresponding end product testing and may also offer greater assurance of the finished  
106 tablet meeting certain criteria in the specification, without the tests being repeated on a sample of the  
107 finished product, as the number of tested units will in general be substantially larger.  
108 RTR testing will in general comprise other technologies such as process analytical chemistry test  
109 methods, e.g. vibrational spectroscopy techniques like near infrared spectroscopy (NIR) and Raman  
110 spectroscopy, usually applied in combination with multivariate analysis. Spectral data monitored on-  
111 line controlling content of active substance, polymorphism, water content, blending homogeneity,  
112 particle/powder properties or film thickness could thereby replace end-product testing like e.g.  
113 uniformity of content, tablet strength and drug dissolution.  
114 When RTR testing is applied, the attribute that is indirectly controlled (e.g. sterility, uniformity of  
115 content) together with a reference to the associated test procedure, should still be included in the  
116 specifications. The relation between end-product testing and material attributes and process  
117 monitoring, including acceptance criteria, should be fully explained and justified, including the use of  
118 any prediction models.

### 119 **4.2.1. Application of RTR testing to biological/biotechnological products**

120 RTR testing may be applied to biological/biotechnological products. For instance, the level of process  
121 related impurities such as residual host cell DNA or host cell proteins (HCP), which are typically tested  
122 on a routine basis on the active substance, may be evaluated using a routine testing approach and/or  
123 a validation approach.  
124 A routine testing approach would be based on the monitoring, using suitable analytical tools, of the  
125 level of those impurities at appropriate step(s) of the process, in order to ensure acceptable levels in  
126 the final product.  
127 A validation approach would be based on evidence of successful validation of the manufacturing  
128 process establishing that at given steps of the purification scheme, those impurities are removed in a  
129 consistent and reproducible manner to an acceptable level. This may be supported by demonstration  
130 the process clearance capability, based on reduction factors. In such situations, the review of the  
131 documentation on process monitoring may be carried out during manufacturing without direct  
132 measurements of the quality attributes. Therefore a relief from this testing may be accepted.  
133 A combination of routine testing and validation approaches is also possible. Such approach could be  
134 used, for instance, where the application data alone are not sufficient to completely remove routine  
135 testing (e.g. reduction factor not sufficient). In this situation, routine testing at an earlier step, before  
136 a purification step which has been demonstrated to appropriate clearance capability with regards to the  
137 given impurities, in order to ensure acceptable levels in the final product level, if tested.

## 138 **4.2.2. RTR testing examples**

139 For illustrative purposes some examples are given, which are not intended in any way to limit the  
140 scope of the application of RTR testing.

141 A combination of in-process tablet weight, blend content uniformity measurement e.g. by NIR, drug  
142 substance purity and particle size could serve as a control strategy for drug content of a high dose  
143 tablet if the relationships has been demonstrated. Core tablet weight, blend uniformity, drug substance  
144 purity and particle size in this example are the RTR tests. The production batches are released by the  
145 Qualified Person based on the outcome of the RTR tests, any other required tests and GMP compliance.  
146 Properties relating to the properties of a tablet granule such as porosity, particle size, surface area etc.  
147 could be shown to have a relationship with dissolution behaviour and serve as RTR testing surrogates  
148 for dissolution testing. These dependencies would have to be confirmed on a product-by-product basis.

## 149 **5. Documentation for RTR testing**

### 150 **5.1. General requirements**

151 For some substances and dosage forms, the different stages of manufacturing process will be discrete,  
152 thus allowing sampling at critical parts of distinct stages of the process. For other substances and  
153 dosage forms, the manufacturing process may be more or less continuous, necessitating a more  
154 integrated process monitoring. It is therefore not possible to specify in a guideline, specific details of  
155 how RTR testing can be applied. This must be assessed in each individual case verifying that the  
156 requirements of appropriate Notes for Guidance are met.

157 The authorization of the RTR testing programme will be granted for specified sites on the basis of an  
158 assessment of how well the manufacturing process concerned is founded. Monitoring of critical  
159 parameters must be capable of demonstrating that pre-determined validated conditions have been  
160 achieved throughout the batch. In addition, assessors will evaluate the choice and limits of the critical  
161 parameters in relation to their effect on the technical characteristics, stability and bioavailability of the  
162 product and its packaging. Methods of controlling critical parameters will also be assessed.

163 The introduction of RTR testing must be based on sufficient experience with the process and evaluation  
164 of the GMP compliance at the actual site.

### 165 **5.2. Documentation**

166 The application upon which an authorization may be granted should demonstrate:

- 167 • that the risk assessment identifies the critical quality attributes,
- 168 • that the manufacturing process is validated adequately,
- 169 • that it is reliably controlled,
- 170 • relation between end-product testing and process monitoring, including justification of  
171 acceptance criteria
- 172 • that in process requirements chosen for approval/rejection are decided on the basis of the  
173 acceptance criteria defined in the validation records,
- 174 • that clear, specified procedures are in place describing the reporting and actions to be taken  
175 on approval/rejection
- 176 • that the applied technologies gives an adequate quality

## 177 **6. Parametric Release and Sterilisation**

178 Parametric release is referred to in the European Pharmacopoeia monograph "Methods of preparation  
179 of sterile products"<sup>9</sup>. This states "When a fully validated terminal sterilisation method by steam, dry  
180 heat or ionising radiation is used, parametric release, that is the release of a batch of sterilised items  
181 based on process data rather than on the basis of submitting a sample of the items to sterility testing,  
182 may be carried out, subject to the approval of the competent authority."

183 The statistical limitations of the sterility test in predicting sterility assurance are well known and based  
184 on a) the small number of samples required for testing in relation to the batch size and b) the limited  
185 ability of the culture media to enable growth of all potential microorganisms. Thus the sterility test will  
186 only detect major deviations in the process resulting in the contamination of a large number of units.  
187 By accurately monitoring relevant sterilisation parameters e.g. temperature, pressure and time, data  
188 derived from in-process monitoring of a validated terminal sterilisation process can provide more  
189 accurate information since the probability of product bioburden surviving the process in any single unit  
190 can be calculated to be less than one in a million. Approval for parametric release eliminates the

191 requirement for a finished product sterility test as a condition for batch release. The release of each  
192 batch is dependent on the successful demonstration that pre-determined, validated sterilising  
193 conditions have been achieved throughout the load.

194 The sterilisation process in an application for parametric release of sterility must be in accordance with  
195 the requirements of the European Pharmacopoeia. Consequently, parametric release can only be  
196 applied to products sterilised in their final containers by moist heat, dry heat or radiation<sup>9</sup>. The choice  
197 of a sterilisation process must be well founded considering both the knowledge of the stability of the  
198 product under relevant conditions and the data gained in development studies where critical process  
199 parameters are identified.

200 As regards inspections conducted based on an application for parametric release the inspector checks  
201 that standard operating procedures for the various stages in the manufacturing process that are of  
202 significance for sterility are in place. In particular, the procedures for quality control of starting  
203 materials, packaging materials, process water, steam and environmental monitoring are checked.  
204 Other aspects of importance are for example filtration procedures, equipment cleaning/sterilisation  
205 procedures, maximum holding times for bulk solutions and quality of the cooling medium as well as  
206 physical arrangement to separate non-sterilised and sterilised loads.

## 207 **6.1. Sterilisation by heat**

208 A sterilisation process shall be validated in accordance with GMP guidelines. Qualification of equipment  
209 and validation of the process which is applied at a particular time, including heat distribution and heat  
210 penetration studies with a given, established load pattern are thus carried out so that heat equivalents  
211 can be calculated. The technical validation of a heat sterilisation method shall be complemented by a  
212 biological validation. Consideration shall be given to the level and heat resistance of the  
213 microorganisms associated with the product. When the sterilisation process has been defined, its  
214 reproducibility shall be demonstrated. Compliance with specific GMP requirements as described in the  
215 annex 17 to the EU-GMP<sup>2</sup> should also be demonstrated. An example of such a requirement is the  
216 segregation of non-sterile products from sterilised products. There should be a readily apparent system  
217 of identifying sterilized and non sterilized products. The distinction may be enhanced when process  
218 monitors (color indicators and tapes which change after being subjected to the sterilization process)  
219 are used to indicate sterilization. However, these devices are only process indicators and do not  
220 constitute absolute proof that the correct process parameters have been achieved.

221 An application for parametric release of sterility should be supported by

- 222 • a description of the sterilisation process including type of cycle, load pattern, specifications for  
223 cycle parameters (time, temperature, pressure, FO-value) and chemical indicators (if  
224 applicable),
- 225 • specifications and methods/procedures used for in-process controls e.g. pre-sterilisation  
226 bioburden, monitoring of cycle parameters and verification of load sterilisation,
- 227 • a process validation report comprising heat distribution and heat penetration studies for at  
228 least three runs for each load pattern used, and a microbiological qualification showing  
229 sufficient efficacy (SAL) at the minimum level of the cycle including information on the  
230 biological indicators used (type, D-value, Z-value, stability), and bioburden characteristics  
231 (number, type, resistance) as applicable,
- 232 • package integrity data (if applicable).

233 Typically the specific sterilisation process for the product proposed for parametric release should be the  
234 same as the process already approved in the original application and reference could, where applicable,  
235 be made to the previously submitted data. It is suggested that the risk assessment presented in the  
236 application focus on the failure to achieve sterility in each unit of every batch. The risk assessment  
237 should include:

- 238 • consistency of performance of the sterilisation process within validated limits
- 239 • experience with the product applied for and similar products
- 240 • risks associated with any changes made to the product, or process or equipment since the first  
241 approval
- 242 • steps taken to assess and control identified risks

243 Once parametric release has been granted, decisions for release or rejection of a batch must be based  
244 on the approved specification, which means that batch release with regard to sterility is based on  
245 parametric data. Such a decision cannot be overruled by the use of a sterility test contrary to some  
246 other approaches of real time release (see section 4.1).

## 247 **6.2. Sterilisation by radiation**

248 Parametric release can also be applied in the case of sterilisation by radiation. The minimum absorbed  
249 dose should generally be 25 kGy. Lower doses can be acceptable if justified by low, routinely checked,  
250 bioburden levels and adequate validation data<sup>10,11</sup>.

251 The same requirements regarding documentation as for sterilisation by heat must be met where  
252 applicable. The documentation shall comply with the guidelines defined by the EU in regard to ionising  
253 radiation.

## 254 **Definitions**

255 **Real Time Release Testing (RTR testing):** The ability to evaluate and ensure the quality of in-  
256 process and/or final product based on process data, which typically include a valid combination of  
257 measured material attributes and process controls (ICH Q8 (R)).

258 **Parametric Release:** One type of RTR testing. Parametric release is based on process data (e.g.  
260 temperature, pressure, time for terminal sterilization) rather than the testing of a sample for a specific  
261 attribute (ICH Q8 Q&A).

262 **Batch release:** Approved RTR testing may form a basis but more aspects needs to be taken into  
263 account in the decision of a Qualified Person to release a batch. These aspects could include batch  
264 results of testing for an attribute not subject to RTR testing as well as specific GMP requirements<sup>12</sup>.

## 266 **References**

- 267 1. Note for Guidance on Parametric Release (CPMP/QWP/3015/99, March 2001)
- 268 2. The Rules Governing Medicinal Products in the EU: GMP Guidelines Annex 17 – Parametric  
269 release
- 270 3. ICH Q8(R2) Note for Guidance on Pharmaceutical Development (EMA/CHMP/167068/2004)
- 271 4. ICH Q9 Quality Risk Management (EXT/24235/2006)
- 272 5. ICH Q10 Pharmaceutical Quality System (EMA/CHMP/ICH/214732/2007)
- 273 6. ICH Quality Implementation Working Group on Q8, Q9 and Q10, Questions & Answers
- 274 7. Directive 2003/94/EC (as amended) article 11
- 275 8. Directive 2001/83/EC (as amended) article 51 1b
- 276 9. Ph Eur 6th Ed, 5.1.1, Methods of preparation of sterile products
- 277 10. NfG on The use of Ionisation Radiation in the Manufacture of Medicinal products (III/9109/90)
- 278 11. EN 552:1994, Sterilisation of medical devices – Validation and routine control of sterilisation by  
279 irradiation.
- 280 12. EU Guide to Good Manufacturing Practice. Annex 16.