



1 25 January 2018  
2 EMA/CHMP/BWP/426390/2017  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 Questions and answers on the Haemagglutination  
5 Inhibition (HI) test for qualification of influenza vaccine  
6 (inactivated) seed preparations  
7

<b>Draft agreed by BWP, VWP</b>	October 2017
<b>Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation</b>	25 January 2018
<b>Start of public consultation</b>	1 February 2018
<b>End of consultation (deadline for comments)</b>	31 July 2018
<b>Agreed by BWP, VWP</b>	
<b>Adopted by CHMP</b>	
<b>Date of coming into effect</b>	

8 Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent  
9 to [Kaidi.koiv@ema.europa.eu](mailto:Kaidi.koiv@ema.europa.eu)

9  
10

Keywords:	influenza vaccine (inactivated), Haemagglutination inhibition, seed virus
-----------	---



11 Questions and answers on the Haemagglutination  
12 Inhibition (HI) test for qualification of influenza vaccine  
13 (inactivated) seed preparations

14 **Table of Contents**

15 **1. Questions and answers..... 3**

16 1.1. Why has the EMA prepared a Q&A which considers Haemagglutination Inhibition (HI)

17 testing for (inactivated) influenza vaccines? .....3

18 1.2. Why is HI testing on influenza vaccine seed preparations requested?.....3

19 1.3. What details are expected in the MA dossier on the HI test? .....3

20 1.4. Which labs are responsible for testing at different stages of CVV/seed preparation, i.e.

21 WHO c.c., national reference lab, company? .....4

22 1.5. Should different reference viruses / antisera be included in HI test? .....4

23 1.6. Are 'heterologous' antisera acceptable in HI test?.....4

24 1.7. Are different approaches needed in case of wild type virus vs. reassortant virus, cell

25 culture vs egg propagated influenza virus?.....4

26 1.8. What acceptance criteria should be used in HI test, difference titre reference virus: seed

27 virus <4, <6, <8, .....?.....4

28 1.9. What is expected from MAHs in case of unexpected HI results (e.g. additional analysis

29 by different lab, gene sequence data)? .....4

30 **Glossary: ..... 6**

31 **Annex 1. Attachments/ links ..... 7**

32 **Annex 2. Example of presentation of Haemagglutination Inhibition (HI)**

33 **testing results ..... 8**

34

## 35 **1. Questions and answers**

### 36 ***1.1. Why has the EMA prepared a Q&A which considers Haemagglutination*** 37 ***Inhibition (HI) testing for (inactivated) influenza vaccines?***

38 Based on the experience from recent evaluations of Annual Update applications for influenza vaccines  
39 (inactivated), both regulators and industry have requested further guidance about the regulatory  
40 requirements of HI testing as applied for the qualification of influenza seed virus preparations.

41 Whilst some of the principles outlined below may be applicable to live attenuated influenza vaccines  
42 (LAIV), there are additional considerations towards the qualification of seed virus preparations using HI  
43 testing and hence LAIVs are outside the scope of this Q&A document.

### 44 ***1.2. Why is HI testing on influenza vaccine seed preparations requested?***

45 As indicated in the CHMP Guideline on Influenza Vaccines – Quality Module [1] and the Ph.Eur.  
46 monographs on influenza vaccines [2,3], the haemagglutinin and neuraminidase antigens of each seed  
47 lot should be identified as originating from the correct strain of influenza virus by suitable methods.

48 The objective of HI testing of the manufacturers' seed preparations is to assure that these preparations  
49 are antigenically identical to the approved candidate vaccine virus (CVV), i.e. that no antigenic changes  
50 have been introduced when the CVV is propagated in the manufacturer's production system to prepare  
51 their seed material.

52 Therefore, the HI test result should not be justified as a Good Manufacturing Principle tool to confirm  
53 correct handling of a seed lot vial during vaccine manufacturing, i.e. to distinguish different strain  
54 preparations in the company's seed vial stock.

55 Although the technical challenges of the HI test are recognised, it remains the assay of choice for  
56 determining the antigenic characteristics of the majority of influenza vaccine virus preparations.

### 57 ***1.3. What details are expected in the MA dossier on the HI test?***

58 As for any other analytical procedure, for which there is no published pharmacopoeial analytical  
59 method, the HI test shall be described in sufficient details. This would normally comprise the test  
60 principle, materials and equipment, procedures, validity/acceptance criteria and evaluation. This  
61 information should normally be part of the MA (core) dossier. However, virus specific aspects should be  
62 addressed in the Annual Update application, as appropriate. Full information about the viruses / anti-  
63 sera used should be provided. Any pre-treatment of the anti-sera to inactivate non-specific inhibitors of  
64 haemagglutination should be indicated. The type of red blood cells should be indicated and justified, i.e.  
65 the type of red blood cells should normally correspond to the type that is used by the WHO CC to  
66 certify the approved CVV using the 2-way HI assay.

67 Further suggested information about the HI testing can be found in the WHO Manual for the laboratory  
68 diagnosis and virological surveillance of influenza [4].

69 An example of how HI testing results could be presented is presented in Annex 2.

70 **1.4. Which labs are responsible for testing at different stages of CVV/seed**  
71 **preparation, i.e. WHO c.c., national reference lab, company?**

72 Whilst some manufacturers have contracted out the HI testing to external specialised laboratories, the  
73 responsibility of the HI testing remains with the vaccine company. The company has to choose a  
74 laboratory with adequate experience and access to the necessary reagents (antisera and viruses). The  
75 interpretation of the HI testing results may be complex and therefore adequate expertise should be  
76 available within the vaccine company and/or at the contract laboratories.

77 **1.5. Should different reference viruses / antisera be included in HI test?**

78 Normally, a one-way HI test is required to include, in addition to the seed preparation, the approved  
79 CVV and an antiserum against the approved CVV . In case there is a need to amplify the CVV in order  
80 to obtain sufficient material for analyses, this should ideally be restricted to a single passage to  
81 minimise the risk of introducing any antigenic changes.

82 It may also be useful to include the WHO recommended virus and/or the parent of the CVV and the  
83 antisera against these viruses. Other antisera can be used additionally to evaluate the pattern of  
84 reactivity, but this is not considered essential.

85 **1.6. Are 'heterologous' antisera acceptable in HI test?**

86 It is acknowledged that homologous antisera may not be available during the early phase of the  
87 production campaign and qualification of the seed preparations. In such cases, the use of 'heterologous'  
88 antisera may be useful to 'pre-qualify' the seed virus lots. However, at the time of formal seed virus lot  
89 / vaccine lot release and Annual Update MA procedure, the 'homologous' anti-sera (i.e. anti-CVV)  
90 should have been used in the crucial one-way HI test.

91 **1.7. Are different approaches needed in case of wild type virus vs.**  
92 **reassortant virus, cell culture vs egg propagated influenza virus?**

93 In principle, there should be no difference between the HI testing of egg-derived reassortant viruses  
94 and wild-type viruses, i.e. the seed virus of both virus types must antigenically match the approved  
95 CVV. Therefore, a cell culture-derived seed virus should be tested against the approved CVV from  
96 which it was derived.

97 **1.8. What acceptance criteria should be used in HI test, difference titre**  
98 **reference virus: seed virus <4, <6, <8, .....?**

99 The difference in titre between the approved CVV and seed virus should be less than 4-fold (< 4-fold)  
100 to conclude that the seed virus is antigenically identical to the approved CVV.

101 **1.9. What is expected from MAHs in case of unexpected HI results (e.g.**  
102 **additional analysis by different lab, gene sequence data)?**

103 In case the seed virus does not meet the < 4-fold difference acceptance criteria for identity, this  
104 should be followed up as soon as possible by careful investigation to exclude technical failures (e.g.  
105 suboptimal inactivation procedure of inhibitors). Additional HI testing should be considered, e.g. by an  
106 alternative (back-up) laboratory. Any difference in test results and subsequent conclusion about the  
107 antigenic profile of the seed virus will need to be discussed in detail, including number of analyses,

108 reagents used, etc. Furthermore, gene sequencing analysis might provide reassurance that the seed  
109 virus is genetically similar to the reference virus preparation and no amino acid(s) substitutions have  
110 been introduced into the virus that may have altered the antigenic profile of the seed virus. If further  
111 testing does not resolve the issue then a switch to another seed virus or CVV should be considered.  
112 The regulatory authorities could also be consulted for further guidance.

## 113 Glossary:

114	Approved CVV:	A Candidate Vaccine Virus (CVV) is a virus that has been certified by a
115		WHO CC to be antigenically identical to the WHO-recommended virus.
116		Hence, CVVs are antigenically representative of influenza viruses
117		recommended by WHO/CHMP and which are suitable for establishment
118		of seed virus lots for vaccine production. CVVs can be wild-type or
119		reassortant viruses (the latter generated by classical reassortment or
120		reverse genetics technology).
121		Lists of egg- or cell culture-propagated CVVs suitable for use in human
122		vaccine production are available on the WHO website <sup>1</sup> . CVVs acceptable
123		in Europe are published by EMA in the 'EU recommendations for the
124		seasonal influenza vaccine composition for the season, which are
125		published annually on the EMA website [5].
126		
127	WHO-recommended virus:	The influenza virus recommended by the WHO as the basis for an
128		influenza vaccine composition. For example, an A/Michigan/45/2015
129		(H1N1)pdm09-like virus.
130		
131	Seed virus preparation:	The seed virus prepared by the manufacturer to produce the influenza
132		vaccine.
133		
134	WHO CC:	WHO collaborating centres (WHO CC) are institutions designated as able
135		to carry out activities in support of the WHO programmes for influenza
136		vaccination campaigns.
137		
138	parent virus:	Wild-type virus from which a CVV has been derived. The CVV typically
139		contains two gene segments (segments 4 and 6, encoding the HA and
140		NA proteins) from the parent virus, but may contain more.
141		
142	Wild-type virus:	Wild-type influenza viruses or influenza virus isolates means naturally
143		occurring influenza viruses that have been detected by any means
144		including molecular methodology and/or cultured either in eggs or cells
145		(i.e. isolated) directly from clinical specimens or subsequent culture
146		passages and have not been purposefully modified [6].
147		

---

<sup>1</sup> [http://www.who.int/influenza/vaccines/virus/candidates\\_reagents/home](http://www.who.int/influenza/vaccines/virus/candidates_reagents/home)

148 **Annex 1. Attachments/ links**

- 149 1. Guideline on Influenza Vaccines – Quality Module (EMA/CHMP/BWP/310834/2012). Committee  
150 for Medicinal Products for Human use (CHMP), 25 April 2014
- 151 2. European Pharmacopoeia monograph on influenza vaccine (split virion, inactivated);  
152 01/2008:0158. European Pharmacopoeia Edition 2017 (9.2)
- 153 3. European Pharmacopoeia monograph on influenza vaccine (surface antigen, inactivated);  
154 01/2008:0869. European Pharmacopoeia Edition 2017 (9.2)
- 155 4. Manual for the laboratory diagnosis and virological surveillance of influenza. WHO Global  
156 Influenza Surveillance Network. World Health Organization 2011.
- 157 5. Amended EU recommendations for the seasonal influenza vaccine composition for the season  
158 2017/2018. EMA/CHMP/BWP/216216/2017. 06 April 2017
- 159 6. Pandemic influenza preparedness Framework for the sharing of influenza viruses and access to  
160 vaccines and other benefits. WHO. ISBN: 978 92 4 150308 2.

## Annex 2. Example of presentation of Haemagglutination Inhibition (HI) testing results

Antigens		HI titres using reference sera <sup>23</sup>					Interpretation
		A(H1N1) [serum identification]	A(H3N2) [serum identification]	B/Yam [serum identification]	B/Vic [serum identification]	Negative	
Control antigens <sup>4</sup>							
1 [CVV full name]	A(H1N1)	≥ 1280	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	
2 [strain name]	A(H3N2)	< 10	≥ 1280	< 10	< 10	< 10	
3 [strain name]	B/Yam	< 10	< 10	320	40	< 10	
4 [strain name]	B/Vic	< 10	< 10	< 10	320	< 10	
Seed virus A(H1N1)							
5	Lot number	640	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	Identity seed virus complies, i.e. antigenically identical to the approved CVV [full name](H1N1)

<sup>2</sup> Should be the homologous antisera raised against the CVV

<sup>3</sup> Titres are shown for illustrative purposes only.

<sup>4</sup> Should be the homologous antigen to which the antiserum was raised