



1 25 July 2013  
2 EMA/CHMP/SWP/620008/2012  
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

4 **Reflection paper on the data requirements for intravenous**  
5 **iron-based nano-colloidal products developed with**  
6 **reference to an innovator medicinal product**  
7 **Draft**

CHMP Nanomedicines Expert Group discussions	January to October 2012
Draft Agreed by QWP	November 2012
Draft Agreed by Safety Working Party	July 2013
Adoption by CHMP for release for consultation	25 July 2013
Start of consultation	15 September 2013
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	28 February 2014

8 The present document reflects the current thinking of the CHMP. The principles spelled out in this reflection paper will be reviewed in light of the experience gained with regulatory submissions and contribution from stakeholder.

Feed-back from the consultation period will be particularly important to further discuss methodological approaches for assessing comparability of products with regard to their bio-distribution in animals.

This reflection paper when finalised, will replace the Reflection paper on “non-clinical studies for generic nanoparticle iron medicinal product applications” (EMA/CHMP/SWP/100094/2011)

9  
10

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to [SWP-H@ema.europa.eu](mailto:SWP-H@ema.europa.eu)

11

Keywords	Nano-sized colloidal intravenous iron preparation, similarity with reference medicinal product, pharmaceutical, non-clinical, and clinical characterisation, tissue bio-distribution.
----------	---

12



13 Reflection paper on the data requirements for intravenous  
14 iron-based nano-colloidal products developed with  
15 reference to an innovator medicinal product  
16 Draft

## 17 Table of contents

18	<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>3</b>
19	<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>3</b>
20	ICH and EU Guidelines.....	3
21	1.1. Scope .....	4
22	<b>2. Discussion</b> .....	<b>4</b>
23	2.1. Quality.....	4
24	2.1.1. Quality characterisation of the test product.....	5
25	2.1.2. Establishing pharmaceutical comparability between test and reference product .....	6
26	2.2. Non-Clinical .....	7
27	2.2.1. Methods of analysis .....	7
28	2.2.2. Bio-distribution studies.....	7
29	2.3. Clinical.....	9
30	2.3.1. Pharmacokinetics studies.....	9
31	2.3.2. Efficacy and Safety studies .....	9
32	2.4. Pharmacovigilance / Risk Management Plan.....	10
33		

## 34 **Executive Summary**

35 For the comparison of iron-based nano-sized colloidal products developed with reference to an  
36 innovator medicinal product, current scientific knowledge and regulatory experience for  
37 characterisation of nano-sized colloidal preparations indicate that quality characterisation on its own,  
38 would not provide sufficient assurance of the similarity between the two products, even if the quality  
39 tests performed show similarity. In the context of such iron based preparations, a “weight of evidence  
40 approach” including data from quality, non-clinical and human pharmacokinetic studies is required.

## 41 **1. Introduction**

42 This reflection paper discusses the data requirements for nano-sized colloidal intravenous iron-based  
43 preparations developed as a treatment for iron deficiency with reference to a nano-sized colloidal  
44 innovator product.

45 Iron-based products used to treat iron deficiency consist of a polynuclear iron core, generally present  
46 in the iron (III)-oxyhydroxide form, stabilised by a complex carbohydrate coating which leads to  
47 nano-sized colloidal aggregates.

48 When administered by the parenteral route the nano-sized iron complexes will be internalised by cells  
49 *via* the endocytic or phagocytic route e.g. *via* cells of the reticuloendothelial system (RES).  
50 Localisation of iron-based products to liver macrophages or hepatocytes has been noted after  
51 intravenous administration of different iron-based products.

52 The release of iron appears to be influenced by the size and surface properties of the colloidal iron  
53 complex and the coating. Additionally the amenability of the coating material to intracellular  
54 degradation (rate of degradation) may also influence the release of iron. Trafficking and/or  
55 accumulation of iron-based products in any cell type could be a safety concern.

56 The inability to fully characterise and define coated iron based particles using quality methods alone  
57 together with uncertainties on how quality attributes relate to *in vivo* performance, requisites further  
58 investigations. As a result, quality comparability and demonstration of similar plasma concentrations of  
59 iron alone, i.e. conventional bioequivalence studies in humans, would not be sufficient for the  
60 assurance of comparable *in vivo*, fate and effect of these products. Therefore, non-clinical data are  
61 required in addition to human clinical PK studies. The extent of supplementary non-clinical and clinical  
62 data required is discussed in the sections below and depends on how accurately the physicochemical  
63 and non-clinical characterisation can be used to predict differences that could influence the efficacy and  
64 safety of the product. Further clinical studies may be necessary if the results of quality, non-clinical  
65 and human PK studies do not provide sufficient evidence of similarity.

## 66 ***ICH and EU Guidelines***

67 Where applicable, this reflection paper should be read in connection with the principles of relevant  
68 guidelines such as:

- 69 • ICH Q5E- section 1.4 Note for Guidance on Biotechnological/Biological Products Subject to Changes  
70 in their Manufacturing Process (CPMP/ICH/5721/03)
- 71 • Guideline on Excipients in the Dossier for Application for Marketing Authorisation of a Medicinal  
72 Product (EMA/CHMP/QWP/396951/2006)
- 73 • Reflection paper on the data requirements for intravenous liposomal products developed with  
74 reference to an innovator liposomal product (EMA/CHMP/806058/2009/Rev. 2)

- 75 • Guideline on similar biological medicinal products containing biotechnology-derived proteins as  
76 active substance: quality issues (revision 1) Draft (EMA/CHMP/BWP/247713/2012)
- 77 • Guideline on the Investigation of Bioequivalence (CPMP/QWP/EWP/1401/98/rev. 1)

## 78 **1.1. Scope**

79 This reflection paper is intended to assist in the generation of relevant quality, non-clinical and PK  
80 clinical comparative data to support a marketing authorisation for an intravenous iron-based  
81 nano-colloidal product developed with reference to an innovator product. Hence, this document should  
82 facilitate a decision on the following issues:

- 83 • pharmaceutical data needed as evidence of product similarity between test and reference products  
84 to support comparative safety and efficacy
- 85 • consideration to the types of non-clinical and clinical studies that are required to support the  
86 quality data in order to demonstrate similarity

87 The principles outlined should also be used when considering the data requirements to support  
88 changes to the manufacture and control of existing iron based nano-sized colloidal products.

## 89 **2. Discussion**

### 90 **2.1. Quality**

91 An extensive comparability exercise with a single reference medicinal product will be required to  
92 demonstrate that the iron-based nano-colloidal product has a highly similar quality profile when  
93 compared to the reference medicinal product. This should include comprehensive side-by-side analyses  
94 of the proposed test and reference medicinal product using sensitive methods to determine not only  
95 similarities, but also potential differences in quality attributes. Any differences detected in the quality  
96 attributes will have to be appropriately justified with regard to their potential impact on safety and  
97 efficacy. If significant quality differences are confirmed, it may be very challenging to claim similarity  
98 to the reference medicinal product, and thus, a full Marketing Authorisation Application may be more  
99 appropriate. Alternatively, the applicant could consider adequate revision of the manufacturing process  
100 to minimise these differences.

101 Chemical and physical characterisation is an important means to determine the comparability of the  
102 test product to the reference product. There is a need to ensure consistent quality of these complex  
103 iron-based products through the combination of a well-defined and controlled manufacturing process  
104 and comprehensive product characterisation. The quality attributes of nano-sized iron-based products  
105 that may have a major impact on efficacy and safety include:

- 106 • the fraction of labile iron released at the time of administration and the short term stability in  
107 plasma, as labile iron has well known direct toxic effects
- 108 • the physicochemical properties of the iron and iron-carbohydrate complex, including size and  
109 variability of the iron core and size of the iron-carbohydrate complex
- 110 • the physicochemical properties of the carbohydrate coating, due to:
- 111 – the potential for anaphylactic/anaphylactoid reactions
- 112 – the influence on the pharmacokinetics and body distribution
- 113 – the influence on the safety of the product from the degradation products

114 – the stability of the iron-carbohydrate complex - as this may affect the release rate of iron and  
115 thus pharmacokinetics and body distribution

### 116 **2.1.1. Quality characterisation of the test product**

117 Correctly identifying the parameters that define relevant physicochemical properties of a nano-sized  
118 iron-based colloidal product is critical to ensure its quality. The following general parameters should be  
119 considered in the submission of all types of these products:

- 120 • Quality standard for coating materials used in the manufacture of the active substance and finished  
121 product (description, source and characterisation, manufacture, assay, impurity profile, and  
122 stability characteristics)
- 123 • Structure and composition of carbohydrate
- 124 • identification and control of key intermediates in the manufacturing process
- 125 • Particle size (and size distribution) and specific surface area of the iron core
- 126 • the fraction of labile iron released from the product when administered
- 127 • Polymorphic form of the inorganic iron compound comprising the core
- 128 • Impurities e.g. ratio of divalent and trivalent iron
- 129 • Morphology e.g. microscopic evaluation of iron distribution in the iron complex e.g. iron;  
130 surrounded by a carbohydrate coat, iron distributed through a carbohydrate matrix
- 131 • Ratio of bound carbohydrate to iron
- 132 • Particle size, size distribution, charge, of the iron-carbohydrate complexes
- 133 • *in vitro* iron release rate from the iron-carbohydrate complex in physiologically/clinically relevant  
134 media. Reliable and discriminating validated *in vitro* release methods should be developed using  
135 models that represent the extracellular (plasma/serum) release of labile iron and acid degradation  
136 kinetics
- 137 • Degradation path for the carbohydrate and the iron-carbohydrate complex
- 138 • Stability on storage of the product
- 139 • In-use stability (including after re-constitution with recommended diluents for administration) with  
140 consideration to instructions for administration in the SmPC e.g. concentration

141 The quality and purity of the carbohydrate starting materials is essential for the later quality of the  
142 drug product, therefore the appropriate characterisation and specification of the starting materials is  
143 considered as vital. In some cases the carbohydrate starting material is further modified. Often the  
144 carbohydrate is activated to enable binding. High processing temperatures or perhaps even moist heat  
145 sterilization of the finished product (where applied) may modify the composition of the carbohydrate.  
146 The different species of activated carbohydrate present and the levels that are present should be  
147 controlled. Functionality-related characteristics as described in the Ph. Eur. monograph  
148 5.15 'Functionality-related characteristics of excipients' should be adequately addressed. The level of  
149 information to be provided with the relevant submission depends on complexity of the excipients. The  
150 principles of the Guideline on Excipients in the Dossier for Application for Marketing Authorisation of a  
151 Medicinal Product should be considered. Use of multiple suppliers for the components would require  
152 additional characterisation and comparability studies.

153 A list of tests to be applied routinely to the iron-based product should be defined, taking relevant  
154 pharmacopoeial monographs into account and should be based on the parameters used to characterise  
155 the formulation as described above. The analytical methods used in characterisation and control testing  
156 should be developed to ensure integrity and stability of the iron complex is maintained during  
157 analytical testing, e.g. change in size of complex on dilution.

158 In order to assure the safety of intravenous iron preparations with regard to labile iron it is important  
159 to develop methods to determine labile iron *in vitro* as a means to demonstrate similarity, to provide  
160 reassurance on batch release and to determine the effect of changes in production processes.  
161 Measurement of labile iron may be performed in a number of ways but two methods indicative of labile  
162 iron are as follows:

163 (i) Kinetic studies of iron (III) reduction by acid degradation and UV measurement. These studies  
164 should also be part of the specifications for intravenous iron preparations. Acceptance limits (both  
165 upper and lower) should be set based on the performance of batches shown in *in vitro* studies to  
166 release acceptable amounts of labile iron.

167 (ii) *In vitro* labile iron donation to measure direct donation of labile iron to transferrin *in vitro* by adding  
168 the intravenous iron preparation to a solution of transferrin or serum (human or animal). These studies  
169 should be used as evidence of comparability to the innovator. Limits for direct *in vitro* labile iron  
170 donation should be set based on batches previously demonstrated to be safe with regard to labile iron  
171 in *in vivo* studies. *In vitro* labile iron donation studies should be included in the specifications for the  
172 drug product initially, until manufacturing experience increases whereby reduced testing intervals  
173 might be acceptable.

## 174 **2.1.2. Establishing pharmaceutical comparability between test and** 175 **reference product**

176 The qualitative and quantitative composition of the developed product should be identical or closely  
177 match the reference product. Several different batches of the reference medicinal product should be  
178 used to provide a robust analysis and to generate a representative quality profile. The relative age of  
179 the different batches of reference medicinal product should also be considered when establishing the  
180 target quality profile.

181 The chemical composition of the carbohydrate should be defined and compared to the innovator  
182 product as part of the discussion of the chemical similarity of the product. Any differences in the  
183 composition of the carbohydrate coating may increase the data requirements to demonstrate similarity  
184 between the test and reference product and could be a reason for major regulatory concern when  
185 considering chemical similarity.

186 It is acknowledged that normally the applicant of the test product developed with reference to an  
187 innovator product will not have access to information about the manufacturing process of this  
188 reference product. Therefore, extensive investigations using state of the art characterisation methods  
189 should be applied to both products in parallel in order to demonstrate with a high level of assurance  
190 that the characteristics are comparable. Such studies should include all the relevant tests mentioned in  
191 the *Quality Characterisation* section above to adequately characterise the test and reference products.  
192 The relevance of the selected tests for equivalent performance of the drug product *in vivo* should be  
193 discussed. Any differences between the products identified in the comparability investigations should  
194 be addressed and thoroughly evaluated and justified with regard to implications on safety/efficacy.

195 A well-defined manufacturing process with satisfactory process controls is required in order to assure  
196 that an acceptable product is produced on a consistent basis. The critical process parameters of the  
197 manufacturing process should be defined with an appropriate control strategy.

198 Some critical characteristics relating to *in vivo* performance do not have one single technique available  
199 that measures this attribute accurately (e.g. particle size, surface area and surface properties). For  
200 these parameters, and where possible, consideration should be given to the use of two or more  
201 analytical methods, based on different principles to demonstrate closer comparability between the two  
202 formulations.

203 In addition to the characterisation studies conducted under normal conditions, comparative stress test  
204 studies of both products should be conducted in order to compare physical and chemical degradation.

205 All batches of the reference product used in the characterisation studies should be analyzed within  
206 their shelf-life period and should be stored under the recommended storage conditions prior to analysis.

207 Any differences to the reference product identified in the comparability studies should be addressed  
208 and thoroughly investigated. It is recommended to consider the general principles outlined in section  
209 1.4 of ICH Q5E to consider further work required to demonstrate therapeutic similarity of the products.

210 Approaches to determining the impact of any process change will vary with respect to the specific  
211 manufacturing process, the product, the extent of the manufacturer's knowledge and experience with  
212 the process and development data provided. Comparative investigations should be undertaken when a  
213 change is introduced into the manufacturing process during development but also after marketing  
214 authorisation, e.g. for scale up.

## 215 **2.2. Non-Clinical**

### 216 **2.2.1. Methods of analysis**

217 For comparison to a reference product, analytical methods developed and validated to quantify  
218 analytes in blood/plasma and in tissue will be necessary. Careful attention should be paid to the impact  
219 of all sample processing procedures during the course of method development, employing  
220 methodologies to verify the suitability and interpretability of all bioanalytical results.

221 The lower limits of quantitation and recovery in plasma, tissues and, where relevant, in particular  
222 tissues of interest e.g. see table 1 below, should be stated.

### 223 **2.2.2. Bio-distribution studies**

224 Non-clinical studies should be planned with an intention to show comparability between the test and  
225 reference product. Studies should be conducted in compliance to GLP, unless appropriately justified  
226 (e.g. necessity to use specialised test systems). Non-clinical studies should be undertaken with test  
227 and reference products that have been characterised appropriately (see section 2.1 above). The test  
228 product should be produced using the final manufacturing process and would ideally be from the same  
229 batch used for the pivotal clinical studies.

230 When administered by parenteral route, iron nanoparticles are generally thought to be recognised by  
231 the RES (liver, spleen, lymph nodes, bone marrow, lungs, etc.), and undergo phagocytosis by  
232 macrophages but may also be handled by endothelial or epithelial cells (such as hepatocytes) through  
233 endocytosis. Internalisation of iron will vary according to the surface properties of the nanoparticles  
234 and to the protein adsorption (corona formation). Consequently, different ways and different velocities  
235 of phagocytosis mediated by an opsonisation-like phenomena will occur which most probably will result  
236 in a significant inter-species variability.

237 Some pharmacokinetic aspects of nanoparticulate iron products with regard to their performance in  
238 humans can be modelled by animal and cell-based models. Nevertheless, distribution studies in a  
239 relevant animal model are essential to evaluate distribution, metabolism and excretion of these

240 nanoparticles and of their *in vivo* degradation or solubilisation products. Particular emphasis should  
241 focus on the distribution, accumulation and retention in at least three compartments; plasma, reticular  
242 endothelial system (RES) and target tissues/organs (Table 1). These studies should provide pivotal  
243 evidence of the comparability of the *in vivo* disposition of nanoparticulate iron products, as it is not  
244 possible to fully explore distribution in humans from blood/plasma data alone.

245 Distribution should be evaluated in rodents starting with a dose finding study to establish appropriate  
246 dose levels which can be accurately measured (sensitivity of the method) and to determine the best  
247 sampling strategy of time points to reflect the incoming iron and release of iron from the respective  
248 tissue. Reasonable time points should be carefully evaluated and should be selected to cover the entire  
249 concentration-time profile for all tissues of interest. Previous knowledge of biodistribution of the  
250 reference product may also be used for the design of the study. Early sampling time points (e.g. less  
251 than 24h), should be included to ensure comparability with regard to early clearance by the reticulo-  
252 endothelial system.

253 A main distribution study including one or two genders with one to two dose levels and single  
254 administration may be sufficient.

**Table 1. Relevant compartments for the distribution of intravenous iron- based nanoparticles for iron deficiency**

1. Plasma (or serum) and red blood cells
2. RES: macrophages e.g. in spleen, liver (Kupffer cells)
3. Target tissues
3.1 Pharmacological target tissues e.g. bone marrow
3.2 Toxicological target tissues e.g. kidney, liver (hepatocytes), lungs, heart

255 Selection of target organs and tissues for the measurement of analytes should include at least the  
256 organs identified from the distribution pattern of the reference product and the test product for the  
257 above three compartments (see Table 1). For the RES compartment, the spleen is the recommended  
258 organ for the measurement of iron concentrations. Other methods to measure distribution such as the  
259 use of imaging technologies may be acceptable if shown to be appropriate.

260 As the coated nanoparticles will be gradually degraded, total iron measurements will not reflect the  
261 physiological level of iron or the oxidation status. However, the time-dependent release of the stored  
262 iron from a given compartment reflects the product degradation process and its biological relevance.  
263 Therefore, measurement of the time-dependent overall iron content in different tissues may be  
264 sufficient to reflect the degradation profile of the nanoparticle.

265 In this context, distribution of the test product to each compartment should be understood at least on  
266 the cellular level in addition to the tissue or organ level. It is evident that cellular distribution of iron is  
267 important i.e. whether distribution in the liver is actually to Kupffer cells or to hepatocytes.

268 Iron concentration in tissues may be measured for example via mass spectrometry ICP-MS or atom  
269 emission spectrometry ICP-AES or even via photometry. In addition, histological detection of iron in  
270 the tissues should be considered as accompanying approach. In any case, the method used does not  
271 have to be extremely sensitive since the increase in iron due to i.v. application is expected to be quite  
272 substantial. Presenting the data in terms of the amounts per gram of tissues as well as the  
273 presentation of the data in terms of the percentage of the dose (with a mass balance recovery) is  
274 encouraged.

275 Development of additional and more accurate analyses of the degradation process of the nanoparticles  
276 is encouraged. For example cell or tissue culture systems could be used for mechanistic purposes to  
277 study the uptake of the nanoparticles and their degradation or solubilisation products into the RES,  
278 macrophages or hepatocytes/Kupffer cells.

279 There is insufficient regulatory experience with non-clinical bio-distribution studies at present to  
280 recommend specific decision criteria for comparability of tissue distribution. However, it is strongly  
281 recommended that criteria for comparability in distribution and clearance in comparison to the  
282 reference product are clearly defined and justified by the sponsor in advance of the study. It is  
283 proposed that quantitative statistical approaches developed for showing similarity or equivalence are  
284 used. The clinical implications of any noted differences in tissue distribution between test and reference  
285 product should be carefully discussed.

286 Toxicity studies are not sensitive enough to demonstrate similarity between test and reference  
287 product. Therefore they are not useful for this purpose and would result in unnecessary use of animals.  
288 In case of specific safety concerns, appropriate safety endpoints included in the design of the bio-  
289 distribution study may be sufficient to address these concerns.

## 290 **2.3. Clinical**

### 291 **2.3.1. Pharmacokinetics studies**

292 The pharmacokinetics of the iron-based nano-colloidal product should always be compared with the  
293 innovator's product. Single-dose parallel or crossover design is recommended. The primary variables  
294 are the AUCt and Cmax of baseline, corrected total- and transferrin-bound iron. The analytical methods  
295 should be developed and validated to confirm absence of impact from sample processing procedures  
296 and employing methodologies to verify the suitability and interpretability of all bioanalytical results.

297 If a replicate design is applied then confidence limits for Cmax can be extended as described in the  
298 Guideline on the Investigation of Bioequivalence. Otherwise the 90% confidence interval of the  
299 baseline corrected values should be in 80-125% range. The sampling period should be sufficiently long  
300 to demonstrate that the iron levels return to the previous baseline level. Results should be discussed in  
301 relation to *in vitro* quality control tests (see section 2.1.1 (ii)).

### 302 **2.3.2. Efficacy and Safety studies**

303 Provided that the totality of data, i.e. quality comparison, non-clinical data and the human PK study  
304 demonstrate similarity, a further therapeutic equivalence study to demonstrate comparable efficacy  
305 and safety is generally not necessary.

306 Differences which may impact the efficacy and safety of the test product compared to the reference  
307 product would be a cause of regulatory concern.

308 Major differences seen in quality, non-clinical and human PK studies would indicate a lack of similarity  
309 and further evidence provided by therapeutic equivalence studies would not address these deficiencies.

310 Should the results of any of these studies show minor differences between the two products, a  
311 therapeutic equivalence study might be necessary to address their impact on efficacy and safety.

312 When considering a clinical trial to address differences, the applicant is strongly advised to seek  
313 scientific advice for the choice of end points and study design. The CT would ideally be at least 3  
314 months in duration and performed in a group of patients with a similar aetiology for their anaemia, e.g.  
315 patients with chronic renal failure. End points to be considered include:

- 316 • Ferritin
- 317 • Transferrin saturation
- 318 • Haemoglobin
- 319 • Total iron dose administered over study
- 320 • Total EPO dose administered over study
- 321 • Safety end points in such a study will have to concentrate on short term safety, looking at the  
322 commonly seen adverse events and also markers that could indicate an adverse safety profile.  
323 These could include:
  - 324 – Anaphylactoid reaction rate
  - 325 – Non-transferrin bound iron (NTBI)
  - 326 – Overall adverse event rates
  - 327 – Markers of oxidative stress and free radical activity

#### 328 **2.4. Pharmacovigilance / Risk Management Plan**

329 Differences in anaphylactic/anaphylactoid reactions rates would not be identifiable through usual  
330 comparability studies. The RMP should be developed to take this into account. Long term safety issues  
331 will also not be measurable in a clinical study that could reasonably be conducted. Novel imaging  
332 techniques are available that can look at cardiac and liver iron deposition, which can occur when the  
333 products are used over a long period of time. The concerns over chronic increase in oxidative stress  
334 and iron deposition should be considered in a comprehensive risk management plan, including existing  
335 knowledge on the safety of the reference product, as only long term and systematic follow-up will  
336 elucidate the full safety profile of the products.