

- 1 12 March 2015
- 2 EMA/VICH/699251/2010
- 3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP)

4 VICH GL54: Studies to evaluate the safety of residues of

- veterinary drugs in human food: General approach to
- 6 establish an acute reference dose (ARfD)
- 7 Draft for consultation at Step 4

Draft agreed by VICH Steering Committee	February 2015	
Adoption by CVMP for release for consultation	12 March 2015	
Start of public consultation	20 March 2015	
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	15 August 2015	

8 9

Comments should be provided using this <u>template</u>. The completed comments form should be sent to <u>vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu</u>

10



An agency of the European Union

11 12 13 14 15 16	VICH GL54 (SAFETY) – ARfD February 2015 For consultation at Step 4
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Studies to Evaluate the Safety of Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Human Food: General Approach to Establish an Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	Recommended for Consultation at Step 4 of the VICH Process
30	
31	by the VICH Steering Committee
32	
33	
34 25	This Quideling has been developed by the appropriate VICH Expert Working Crown and is exhibited to
3D 36	consultation by the parties in accordance with the VICH Process. At Step 7 of the Process the final draft will
37	be recommended for adoption to the regulatory bodies of the European Union Japan and USA
38	
39	
40	
41	
	Please note that the comments provided during the public consultation procedure will be published by VICH
42 43 44 45 46 47 49	Secretariat : c/o IEAH rue Defacerz 1 - R - 1000 Bruyellos (Polgium) - Tol - 22 2 542 75 72 Eox - 22 2 542 75 95
40	e-mail: sec@vichsec.org – Website: www.vichsec.org

50 51		Table of Contents	
52 53	1.	Introduction	4
54	1.1	Objective	4
55	1.2	Background	4
56	1.3	Scope of the current guideline	5
57	2.	Guidance for an ARfD	6
58	2.1	Stepwise procedure	6
59	2.2	Information and studies to support an ARfD	6
60	2.2.1	Use of traditional repeat-dose toxicology studies	6
61	2.2.2	Acute studies	7
62	2.3	How to derive an ARfD	8
63	3.	Glossary	9
64	4.	References	10
65	5.	Annex 1 Procedure for the Derivation of an Appropriate ARfD	12
66			

- 68
- 1. Introduction 69

1.1 **Objective** 70

71

The current guideline addresses the nature and types of data that can be useful in determining an 72 73 acute reference dose (ARfD) for residues of veterinary drugs, the studies that may generate such data, and how the ARfD may be calculated based on these data. 74

- 75 1.2 **Background**
- 76

The safety of residues of veterinary drugs in human food is most commonly addressed through 77 the conduct of toxicology studies in test animal species that provide for the determination of a no-78 observed-adverse-effect level (NOAEL)¹ and an acceptable daily intake (ADI) by application of 79 appropriate safety/uncertainty factors $(UF(s))^2$. The ADI, generally expressed as microgram (µg) 80 or milligram (mg)/kg body weight per day, is defined as the daily intake which, for up to an entire 81 lifetime, appears to be without adverse effects or harm to the health of the consumer (see 82 83 Glossary).

84

It has been recognized that there is the potential for some veterinary drug residues to cause 85

adverse effects in the human consumer following a single meal. The ADI may not be the 86

appropriate value in such cases for quantifying the level above which exposure after a single meal 87

- or over one day can produce acute adverse effects. Determining the ARfD is an appropriate 88 approach to address this concern. 89
- 90

The ARfD approach has been developed to provide a human health guidance value for pesticides 91 and other chemicals, including veterinary drugs, when their use can result in residues high 92 93 enough to cause adverse effects following acute or short-term exposures in people consuming large portions of food containing the residue. This contrasts with the use of ADIs, which are 94

established to address potential adverse effects following chronic or long-term exposures to 95

- residues in foods. 96
- 97

Various publications which describe the ARfD approach are available. In 2005, some members of 98 the United Nations Joint Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)/World Health Organization 99 (WHO) Meetings on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) published a paper describing the development of 100 the ARfD for acute health risk assessment of agricultural pesticides (Solecki et al., 2005). The 101 Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD) has finalized Guidance No. 102 124, "Guidance for the Derivation of an Acute Reference Dose", which is primarily intended for 103

- pesticides, biocides, and veterinary drugs (IOMC, 2010). The OECD Guidance No. 124 describes 104
- a tiered approach that is intended to maximize the use of available data and minimize the need for 105
- studies specifically designed to derive an ARfD. This approach is consistent with the 3-Rs 106

¹ Both the terms NOEL (no-observed-effect level) and NOAEL (no-observed-adverse-effect level) have historically been used to establish an ADI. In practice, NOEL and NOAEL have had similar meanings when used for this purpose.

While some regulatory authorities use the term "safety factor" and others use the term "uncertainty factor" there is general agreement in the application of these terms to address variability between groups (e.g., from animal models to humans) and within groups (e.g., animal to animal or human to human variability). For the purpose of this document, UFs will be used to represent the use of either safety or uncertainty factors.

(Replacement, Refinement and Reduction) minimizing the use of animals in the development of 107 veterinary drugs. In addition, the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives 108 (JECFA) has noted that "certain substances e.g., some metals, mycotoxins or veterinary drug 109 residues, could present an acute risk, *i.e.*, could raise concern regarding acute health effects in 110 relation to short periods of intake at levels greater than the ADI or TDI³". JECFA agreed that, 111 "building on the experience of and the guidance developed by JMPR the need to establish an 112 ARfD should be considered on a case-by-case basis, and only if the substance, on the basis of its 113 toxicological profile and considering the pattern of its occurrence and intake, is likely to present 114 an acute health risk resulting from exposure in a period of 24 h or less" (JECFA, 2005). Finally, 115 JECFA and JMPR have contributed to the International Program on Chemical Safety (IPCS) 116 Environmental Health Criteria (EHC) 240 describing the derivation of an ARfD in the application 117 of a maximum residue limit (MRL), a tolerance, or other national or regional tools used to 118 establish an acceptable concentration of residues of the veterinary drug in the edible tissues of 119 treated animals (IPCS, 2009). 120 1.3 Scope of the current guideline 121 122 This guideline can be used to address the nature and types of data that should be useful in 123 determining an ARfD, the studies that may generate such data, and how the ARfD can be 124 calculated based on these data. The current guideline is limited to the application of toxicological 125 and pharmacological endpoints and offers special consideration for residues of veterinary drugs 126 in contrast to the available guidelines and guidances that address the derivation and use of the 127 ARfD for human exposure to pesticides, contaminants, and chemicals other than veterinary drugs. 128 129 This guideline does not, except in very broad terms, address 130 131 • The application of the ARfD, or when an ARfD would or would not be appropriate to 132 address the concerns of a national or regional regulatory authority. 133 Evaluation of specific pharmacological, toxicological or microbiological adverse effects • 134 that may lead to the determination of an ARfD. 135 Human dietary exposure data that may be appropriate for use with an ARfD in the 136 ٠ derivation of an MRL, a tolerance or other national or regional tools used to refine an 137 acceptable concentration of the veterinary drug residue in food. 138 Refinement of the exposure calculation for the acute health risk assessment. ٠ 139 Routes of human exposure to veterinary drugs other than the oral route. 140 141 While not addressed in detail in this guideline, it is possible that residues of a veterinary drug 142 may have acute effects on the human intestinal microflora. These effects can be evaluated 143 according to VICH GL36(R), "Studies to Evaluate of the Safety of Residues of Veterinary Drugs 144 in Human Food: General Approach to Establish a Microbiological ADI" (VICH, 2012). The 145 approach outlined in VICH GL36(R) provides a stepwise approach to determine whether 146 establishing a microbiological ADI that addresses acute and/or chronic effects on the colonization 147 barrier and antimicrobial resistance endpoints is appropriate. Therefore, in the case of concerns 148 for acute effects on the human intestinal microflora, this microbiological ADI can serve as a 149 value to address the acute microbiological endpoint. 150

³ TDI – tolerable daily intake.

VICH GL54: Studies to evaluate the safety of residues of veterinary drugs in human food: General approach to establish an acute reference dose (ARfD) EMA/CVMP/VICH/699251/2010

152 153	Finally, this guideline does not seek to limit the studies that can be performed to establish the safety of residues in human food with respect to acute toxicity. Neither does it preclude the				
154	possibility of alternative approaches that can offer an equivalent assurance of safety, including				
155	scientifically-based reasons as to why such data are not warranted.				
156					
157					
158	2.	Guidance for an ARfD			
159	2.1	Stepwise procedure			
160	D				
161	Before examining the endpoints of acute pharmacological effects and toxicity, and before				
162	designing studies, careful consideration should be given to the 3-Rs principles. Therefore, the				
163	follov	wing stepwise approach is recommended before conducting an acute toxicity study:			
164	C.				
165	Step	1. Evaluate available pharmacological and toxicological data and information, including			
166	data from repeated-dose toxicity studies, in order to establish whether or not acute endpoints				
167	(attri	butable to the first 24 hours of dosing) have been adequately addressed.			
168	Ctore	2. If additional couts toxicity information is needed, consideration to the 2 Departmental			
169	Step	2. If additional acute toxicity information is needed, consideration to the 3-ks principle			
170	snoul	a be given, for example, by integrating observations/examinations related to acute endpoints			
170	m pia	unied standard toxicity studies.			
172	Sten	3 If the two options in Steps 1 and 2 are insufficient to provide adequate information on			
174	acute	endpoints then a new specifically designed toxicity study(ies) can be considered			
175	acute	endpoints, then a new, specifically designed toxicity study(res) can be considered.			
176	See a	lso the decision tree in Annex 1			
177	2000				
178	2.2	Information and studies to support an ARfD			
179					
180	The first consideration should be to examine available data and information that describe the				
181	physical, chemical, pharmacological, and toxicological characteristics of the veterinary drug. This				
182	1nIor	mation can be available from data provided to support human food safety as per VICH GL33			
183	or thi	ough published peer reviewed literature. In addition the studies provided under VICH GL33			
184	to su	pport safety may provide useful information for the evaluation of acute toxicity endpoints			
185	unat s	in a specific and the derivation of a chamical specific APFD			
180	velei	mary drug be considered in the derivation of a chemical specific ARID.			
107					
188	2.2.1	Use of traditional repeat-dose toxicology studies			
189	T 1 (
190	The following are key points for consideration when evaluating information regarding the				
191	poter				
192					
193	•	In the absence of data to the contrary, all relevant indications of acute adverse			
194 195		considered as potentially relevant to setting an ARfD.			

- Particular emphasis should be given to observations and investigations at the beginning of
 repeated dose studies.
- 198

Examples of potential endpoints of acute toxicity in standard toxicity studies include those 199 described in OECD Guidance No. 124 (see paragraphs 36 through 59) and in EHC 240 (see 200 section 5.2.9.5). Endpoints could include, but are not limited to, haematoxicity, immunotoxicity, 201 neurotoxicity, hepatotoxicity, nephrotoxicity, developmental effects, reproductive effects and 202 direct effects on the gastrointestinal tract as well as clinical findings. In keeping with the goal of 203 reducing the number of animals for testing, in some cases, it may be possible to modify the 204 standard toxicology study protocols to provide more relevant information for the assessment of 205 the ARfD without compromising the original objective of the study. For example, a veterinary 206 drug might be anticipated to cause acute haematological changes; the protocol for a repeat-dose 207 oral toxicity study in rats could be modified to include satellite groups where blood is sampled 208 from control and treated animals beginning on the first day through the first two weeks of dosing 209 to evaluate whether this endpoint occurs after one or just a few doses. If no effects are observed 210 in the high dose group then no further evaluation of the collected samples would be warranted. 211 Further, in this example a lower bound for potential acute toxicity may be established based on 212 the high dose group in the study. In addition to the endpoints mentioned in EHC 240, adverse 213 effects observed at the beginning of the study should be taken into consideration. 214

215

Prior to modification of an existing protocol, consideration should be given to available data and information that describe the physical chemical, pharmacological, and toxicological

characteristics of the veterinary drug, including its possible mode of action (MOA). While the

relevant dosing for assessment of an ARfD is anticipated to be an acute dose (a single dose or up

to a single day's dosing), the timing for measurement of effects should be based on an

221 understanding of available pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the veterinary drug.

Particular emphasis should be given to observations and investigations at the beginning of the

repeat-dose study in the determination of potential acute toxicity. The inclusion of selected endpoints for the evaluation of acute toxicity beyond those described in the guidance documents

- should be considered on a case-by-case basis.
- 226

Consideration should be given to dose selection, numbers of animals, and the use of satellite
groups. A high dose group within the repeat-dose toxicity study protocol that is relevant to
concerns related to acute exposure to the human consumer could inform an ARfD evaluation.
Elements of study design described in OECD Guidance No. 124, Annex 2, can be incorporated
into modifications of an existing repeat-dose toxicity study. Dose selection is also critical when
developing a point of departure (POD) for the derivation of the ARfD. The POD from the most
sensitive endpoint relevant to human food safety in the most appropriate species should be used.

234

235 2.2.2 Acute studies

236

In some cases, an appropriate POD to determine an ARfD is not available from existing information. Studies intended to address chronic toxicity may not provide sufficient information to allow a robust estimate of the ARfD. In such cases, a single exposure study specifically designed to support an ARfD for a given veterinary drug may be warranted. In all cases, it is recommended that the design of an acute effect study specifically to derive an ARfD include consideration of all available relevant physical, chemical, pharmacological, microbiological, and toxicological information, and also consider the MOA of the pharmacologically active substancewhere relevant.

245

Specific guidance on the conduct of a single exposure toxicity study can be found in Annex 2 of
 OECD Guidance No. 124.

248 2.3 How to derive an ARfD

249

The basic approach for the derivation of an ARfD is based on the identification of an appropriate POD, or threshold, for the pharmacological or toxicological endpoint of concern. This is typically identified as a NOAEL dose or benchmark dose lower confidence limit (BMDL). The ARfD is determined by dividing this POD by an appropriate UF(s). The ARfD can be reported as an amount of the substance expressed on a per person or body weight basis (*e.g.*, mg/person or mg/kg body weight)

256 257

258 259 $ARfD = \frac{POD}{UF}$

260 Where:

POD is the point of departure or threshold for pharmacological or toxicological effects of concern
(see Glossary).

UF is an uncertainty or safety factor, or series of factors that typically account for considerations
 such as animal to animal variability, interspecies extrapolation, quality of data, severity of
 response, etc. (see Glossary).

268

264

Consideration should be given to the discussion of uncertainty factors in OECD Guidance No. 269 124 (see page 21) and EHC240 (see section 5.2.3). The selection of appropriate UFs for inter-270 species and human inter-individual variabilities should be considered based on available data. To 271 provide for the quantitative incorporation of differences in the toxicokinetic/toxicodynamics for a 272 chemical, the default 10-fold factor for inter-species variability and the default 10-fold factor for 273 human inter-individual variability can be used. When available, chemical-specific UFs on one or 274 more specific sources of variability could replace the default values to adjust sub-factors for inter-275 species and human inter-individual variabilities. If chemical specific toxicokinetic and 276 toxicodynamic data are inadequate to justify data based UFs, consider any information (e.g., 277 quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) or MOA, of closely related compounds) that 278 would indicate reduced or increased uncertainty. 279 280 The determination of an ARfD for acute microbiological effects on the human intestinal 281

microflora should be conducted in accordance with the guidance provided in VICH GL36(R).
Because the process for the derivation of a microbiological ADI for acute or chronic effects is the
same, the microbiological ADI can serve as a value to address acute microbiological effects.

285

When an ARfD could be determined based on toxicological and/or pharmacological endpoints, or
based on a microbiological ADI, the ARfD should be based on the endpoint that is most relevant
for protecting public health.

294

- 291 **3.** Glossary
- 292293 The following definitions apply for purposes of this guideline:

3-Rs Replacement, Refinement, Reduction. VICH is committed to approaches that
 reduce, refine or replace the use of laboratory animals (the 3Rs) while maintaining appropriate
 scientific standards. The 3Rs principles were first introduced in Russell and Burch's 1959
 book, 'The principles of humane experimental technique'.

ADI ADI is the daily intake which, during up to an entire life of a human, appears to be without adverse effects or harm to the health of the consumer. The ADI most often will be set on the basis of the drug's toxicological, microbiological, or pharmacological properties. It is usually expressed in micrograms or milligrams of the chemical per kilogram of body weight per day.

ARfD Acute Reference Dose. An estimate of the amount of residues expressed on a body weight basis that can be ingested in a period of no more than 24 h or less without adverse effects or harm to the health of the human consumer.

BMD Benchmark Dose. A dose of a substance associated with a specified low incidence
of response, generally in the range of 1 to 10%, of a health effect, or a dose associated with a
specified measure or change of a biological effect. See Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS) (US
Environmental Protection Agency, 2010) and PROAST (National Institute for Public Health and
the Environment (RIVM), 2009).

BMDL Benchmark Dose Lower Confidence Limit. A dose producing an appropriate, low, and measurable response at a defined lower bound response level based on the lower one-sided confidence limit of a 95% confidence interval extrapolated from a line fitted to available data for an appropriate endpoint.

EHC Environmental Health Criteria. International Program on Chemical Safety (IPCS)
 documents that provide international critical reviews on the effects on human health and the
 environment of chemicals or combinations of chemicals, including veterinary drugs, as well as
 physical and biological agents.

IPCS International Program on Chemical Safety. A joint program of the World Health
 Organization, International Labor Organization and the United Nations Environment Programme.

MOA Mode of Action. A biologically plausible sequence of key events leading to an observed effect supported by robust experimental observations and mechanistic data. A mode of action describes key cytological and biochemical events, that is, those that are both measurable and necessary to the observed effect in a logical framework.

NOEL No Observed Effect Level. The highest administered dose that was observed not to cause an effect in a particular study.

NOAEL No Observed Adverse Effect Level. The highest administered dose that was
 observed not to cause an adverse effect in a particular study

OECD Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development brings together the governments of various countries to support sustainable economic growth, boost employment, raise living standards, maintain financial stability, assist other countries' economic development

and contribute to world trade.

POD Point of Departure. A reference point for hazard characterization; typically a point

- on a dose-response curve at which the response first becomes apparent, and represents
- toxicological or pharmacological effects of concern; often classified as a NOEL, NOAEL, orBMDL.
- 336 BMDL.

QSAR Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship. A quantitative relationship between
 a biological activity (*e.g.*, toxicity) and one or more molecular descriptions that are used to
 predict activity.

340 **Satellite Groups** Additional groups of animals typically treated following all or some of the 341 study treatment protocol and then examined for endpoints that differ from the main study group 342 or are in other ways treated differently. For example, a satellite group of rats receiving all

treatments but limited to a few animals per treatment group can be used for

pharmacokinetic/toxicokinetic measurements, or a satellite group containing all treatment groups
but only receiving a single dose can be used to examine acute effects in a subchronic repeat dose
study.

UF Uncertainty Factors. Typically UFs are intended to account for uncertainty in extrapolating animal data to humans (inter-species variability), the variation in sensitivity among humans (inter-individual variability), quality of data, severity of response, or other concerns, where available sources of variability can be replaced with chemical specific information to refine the UF, such as toxicokinetics, toxicodynamics, QSAR, MOA, and information on closely

- 352 related compounds.
- 353

354

356

355 4. References

US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Benchmark dose software (BMDS) and User's
 Manual. Available from: <u>http://www.epa.gov/NCEA/bmds/index</u>

European Food Safety Agency (EFSA). Guidance of the Scientific Committee on a request from
EFSA on the use of the benchmark dose approach in risk assessment. The EFSA Journal. 2009.
1150:1-72.

363

364 Inter-Organization Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC). 2010. OECD

Environment Directorate Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology. Series on Testing and Assessment. No. 124. Guidance

- for the Derivation of an Acute Reference Dose. Env/JM/MONO(2010)15.
- 369 Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. Sixty-fourth meeting. Rome, 8-17
- 370 February 2005. Summary and Conclusions. Available
- 371 from: <u>ftp://ftp.fao.org/es/esn/jecfa64_summary.pdf</u>)

- 372
- International Programme on Chemical Safety. 2009. Environmental Health Criteria 240.
- 374 Principles and Methods for the Risk Assessment of Chemicals in Food. Food and Agriculture
- 375 Organization of the United Nations and World Health Organization.
- 376
- Solecki, R, Davies L, Dellarco V, Dewhurst I, van Raaij M, and Tritscher A. 2005. Guidance on setting of acute reference dose (ARfD) for pesticides. Food and Chemical Toxicology 43:1569-
- 379 1593.
- VICH. 2009. VICH Guideline 33, Safety Studies for Veterinary Drug Residues in Human Food:
 General Approach to Testing.
- 383

- VICH. 2012. VICH Guideline 36(R), Studies to Evaluate of the Safety of Residues of Veterinary
 Drugs in Human Food: General Approach to Establish a Microbiological ADI.
- 386

