



1 18 February 2016
2 EMA/CVMP/VICH/313610/2013
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP)

4 **VICH GL55 on Harmonisation of criteria to waive target**
5 **animal batch safety testing for live vaccines for veterinary**
6 **use**
7 Draft

Draft agreed by VICH Steering Committee	February 2016
Adopted by CVMP for release for consultation	18 February 2016
Start of public consultation	26 February 2016
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	1 August 2016

8
9
10

Comments should be provided using this [template](#). The completed comments form should be sent to vet-guidelines@ema.europa.eu

11
12
13





International Cooperation on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements
for Registration of Veterinary Medicinal Products

VICH GL55 (BIOLOGICALS: TABST LIVE VACCINES)
February 2016
For consultation at Step 4

14
15
16
17
18
19

20
21
22
23

HARMONISATION OF CRITERIA TO WAIVE TARGET ANIMAL BATCH SAFETY TESTING FOR LIVE VACCINES FOR VETERINARY USE

24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

Recommended for Consultation at Step 4 of the VICH Process
in February 2016
by the VICH Steering Committee

42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

This Guideline has been developed by the appropriate VICH Expert Working Group and is subject to consultation by the parties, in accordance with the VICH Process. At Step 7 of the Process the final draft is recommended for adoption to the regulatory bodies of the European Union, Japan and USA.

52
53
54
55

TABLE OF CONTENTS

56	1. INTRODUCTION.....	4
57	1.1. <i>Objective of the Guideline</i>	4
58	1.1.1. <i>Background</i>	4
59	2. GUIDELINE	5
60	2.1. <i>Scope</i>	5
61	2.2. <i>Regional Requirements</i>	5
62	2.2.1. <i>General batch safety testing</i>	5
63	2.2.2. <i>Other relevant requirements</i>	7
64	2.2.2.1. <i>Quality systems</i>	7
65	2.2.2.2. <i>Seed lot system</i>	7
66	2.2.2.3. <i>Pharmacovigilance</i>	7
67	2.3. <i>Data Requirements for Waiving of Target Animal Batch Safety Tests</i>	7
68	2.3.1. <i>Introduction</i>	7
69	2.3.1.1. <i>The characteristics of the product and its manufacture</i>	8
70	2.3.1.2. <i>Information available on the current batch safety test</i>	8
71	2.3.1.3. <i>Pharmacovigilance data</i>	9
72	2.3.2. <i>Procedure for waiving the target animal batch safety test</i>	9
73	3. GLOSSARY	10
74	4. REFERENCES.....	11
75		
76		

77 1. INTRODUCTION

78

79 Submission of batch safety test data from target or laboratory animals is a requirement
80 for batch release of veterinary vaccines in most regions participating in the VICH¹. The
81 VICH Steering Committee has decided to aim at harmonization of the batch safety tests
82 across the regions in order to minimize the need to perform separate studies for
83 regulatory authorities of different countries. However, due to the great divergence in
84 requirements between the regions it was concluded to adopt a phased approach. As a
85 first step *VICH GL 50 on the Harmonization of Criteria to Waive Target Animal Batch*
86 *Safety Testing for Inactivated Vaccines for Veterinary Use* was developed and finally
87 agreed upon in 2013. The second step now focuses on target animal batch safety testing
88 (TABST) for live vaccines and harmonization of criteria on waiving it in regions where it is
89 required.

90

91 This guideline has been developed under the principle of VICH and will provide unified
92 criteria for government regulatory bodies to accept waivers for TABST. The use of this
93 VICH guideline to support a similar approach for products for local distribution only is
94 strongly encouraged but is up to the discretion of the local regulatory authority.
95 Furthermore, it is not always necessary to follow this guideline when there are
96 scientifically justifiable reasons for using alternative approaches.

97

98 Global implementation of TABST waiver reduces the use of animals for routine batch
99 release and should be encouraged.

100

101 **1.1. Objective of the Guideline**

102

103 The objective of this guideline is to provide internationally harmonized recommendations
104 for criteria on data requirements to waive target animal batch safety testing of live
105 veterinary vaccines in regions where it is required.

106

107 **1.1.1. Background**

108

109 Most batch safety tests in laboratory and/or target animals on final product can be
110 considered as general safety tests. They apply to a broad group of veterinary vaccines
111 and should provide some assurance that the product will be safe in the target species,
112 i.e. it should reveal “unfavorable reactions attributable to the biological product ...” (Title
113 9. United States Code of Federal Regulations) or “no abnormal changes” (Minimum
114 Requirements for Veterinary Biological Products under *the Act on Securing Quality,*
115 *Efficacy and Safety of Pharmaceuticals, Medical Devices, Regenerative and Cellular*
116 *Therapy Products, Gene Therapy Products, and Cosmetics* in Japan) or, as formerly
117 required in Europe, “abnormal local or systemic reactions”.

118

119 Over the last two decades, the relevance of batch safety tests has been questioned by
120 representatives of regulatory authorities and vaccine manufacturers (Sheffield and
121 Knight, 1986; van der Kamp, 1994; Roberts and Lucken, 1996; Zeegers et al., 1997;
122 Pastoret et al., 1997; Cussler, 1999; Cussler et al., 2000; AGAATI, 2002; Cooper, 2008).
123 Particularly, the introduction of Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) and Good

¹ In the EU TABST is no longer required (see section 2.2.1)

124 Laboratory Practice (GLP; OECD, 1998) or similar quality systems appropriate to
 125 regional requirements as well as a seed lot system into the manufacture of vaccines has
 126 greatly increased the consistency of the batches produced and hence their quality and
 127 safety. This has also influenced the attitude towards quality control from the traditional
 128 batch control for veterinary vaccines (based in major parts on *in vivo* testing) towards
 129 putting more emphasis on documentation of consistency of production which is mostly
 130 based on *in vitro* technologies (Lucken, 2000; Hendriksen et al., 2008; de Mattia et al.,
 131 2011).

132
 133 Following the finalization of VICH GL50 concerning TABST for inactivated veterinary
 134 vaccines this guideline describes the criteria to waive the target animal batch safety tests
 135 for live vaccines.
 136

137 **2. GUIDELINE**

138 **2.1. Scope**

139
 140 This guideline is limited to the criteria on data requirements for waiving target animal
 141 batch safety tests (TABST) of live veterinary vaccines.

142 **2.2. Regional Requirements**

143 **2.2.1. General batch safety testing**

144
 145 Currently the following testing procedures (Table 1) are required for batch safety testing
 146 of live veterinary vaccines covered by this guideline:
 147

148 Table 1:

VICH region	Requirements	Remarks
Europe: - Since April 2013, the target animal batch safety test is no longer required and had been deleted from the European Pharmacopoeia monographs for veterinary vaccines.	<i>Until 2013:</i> target species (2 mammals, 10 fish, 10 birds), 10x dose, recommended route, minimum 14 d observation.	Before the TABST was deleted, it could be waived provided that at least 10 consecutive batches from separate final bulks had been tested and product complied with the test. ²
USA: - 9CFR – General requirements for live bacterial vaccines (113.64)	mice (113.33(b)) 113.33(b) – 8 mice, 0.5 mL ip or sc, 7 d observation AND - dogs (113.40(b)) when recommended for dogs –	These tests are relevant for livestock and canine products. Mouse testing is not required if the agent is inherently lethal for mice.

² European Pharmacopoeia (2004) General monograph, Vaccines for Veterinary Use (0062); 4th Edition Supplement 4.6. Council of Europe, Strasbourg, France.

	<p>2 dogs, 10x dose; 14 d observation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - calves (113.41) when recommended for cattle <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2 calves, 10x dose; 21 d observation - sheep (113.45) when recommended for sheep <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2 sheep, 2x dose; 21 d observation - swine (113.44) when recommended for swine <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2 pigs, 2x dose; 21 d observation 	<p>Vaccines for other species are tested in the target species. The specific test parameters depend on the agent and species.</p>
<p>- 9CFR – General requirements for live virus vaccines (113.300)</p>	<p>mice (113.33(a))</p> <p>113.33(a) – 8 mice, 0.5 mL ip or sc, 7 d observation</p> <p>AND</p> <p>in the target species (10x dose) as described above for dogs, cattle, sheep, swine</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - cats: (113.39(b)) when recommended for cats – 2 cats, 10x dose; 14 d observation <p>Poultry (25 animals, 10x dose; 14 or 21 d observation depending on viral agent)</p>	<p>Vaccines for other species are tested in the target species. The specific test parameters depend on the agent and species.</p>
<p>Japan:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Minimum Requirements for Veterinary Biological Products under the Act on Securing Quality, Efficacy and Safety of Pharmaceuticals, Medical Devices, Regenerative and Cellular Therapy Products, Gene Therapy 	<p>a) Safety test using the target species</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - cattle: 1 or 2 calves, 1x dose, approved route, 14 d observation - swine: 2, 3, 4 or 5 pigs, 1x, 10x, 60x or 100x dose, approved route, 2 or 3 w observation - poultry: 15 or 30 poultry, 1x, 5x, 10x or 100x dose, 	<p>When using target species for safety test, animal number, inoculation dose and observation period varies depending on agent.</p>

Products, and Cosmetics	<p>approved route, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 7 w observation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - dog: 5 dogs, 1x dose, approved route, 4- 8 w observation - cat: 5 cats, 1 x dose, approved route, 5 or 7 w observation <p>b) Abnormal toxicity test:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - guinea pigs: 2 guinea pigs, 5 mL ip, 7 d observation - mice: 10 mice, 0.5 mL ip, 7 to 10 d observation <p>c) Toxicity limit test:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - mice: 10 mice, 0.5 mL ip, 7 d observation - guinea pigs: 5 guinea pigs, 5 mL ip, 7 d observation 	
-------------------------	---	--

149

150

2.2.2. Other relevant requirements

151

2.2.2.1. Quality systems

152 Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) and similar quality systems have been established
 153 in VICH countries/regions to cover the manufacture and testing of medicinal products
 154 including veterinary medicinal products. These quality systems provide assurance that
 155 products placed on the market have been manufactured in a consistent and suitable
 156 manner.

157

2.2.2.2. Seed lot system

158 The establishment of a seed lot system, subject to quality and manufacturing controls,
 159 provides further assurance of the consistent production of vaccine batches and resulting
 160 batch quality.

161

2.2.2.3. Pharmacovigilance

162 The VICH process increasingly includes pharmacovigilance (post-marketing surveillance
 163 of medicines) in the veterinary field and the harmonization of the requirements and
 164 performance. This provides for early detection of safety problems associated with the
 165 inconsistent quality of a vaccine in the field. Thus, pharmacovigilance provides extra
 166 information about the product's safety that cannot always be obtained in the TABST.

167

2.3. Data Requirements for Waiving of Target Animal Batch Safety Tests

168

2.3.1. Introduction

169

170 The TABST may be waived by the regulatory authority when a sufficient number of
 171 production batches have been produced under the control of a seed lot system and

172 found to comply with the test, thus demonstrating consistency of the manufacturing
173 process.

174
175 In general, it is sufficient to evaluate existing information which is available from routine
176 batch quality control and pharmacovigilance data, without the need for any additional
177 supplementary studies. The data which should be presented by the manufacturer to
178 support an application to waive TABST are presented below. However, this should not
179 be taken as an exhaustive list, and in all cases applications for waiving the TABST
180 should be accompanied by a summary of all the data and a conclusion on the assurance
181 of the product's safety being maintained.

182
183 In exceptional cases, significant changes to the manufacturing process may require
184 resumption of target animal batch safety testing to re-establish consistency of the safety
185 profile of the product. The occurrence of unexpected adverse events or other
186 pharmacovigilance problems which could be avoided using a TABST may also lead to
187 the resumption of the test. For products with an inherent safety risk, it may be necessary
188 to continue to conduct the TABST on each batch.

189 **2.3.1.1. The characteristics of the product and its manufacture**

190 The manufacturer should demonstrate that the product is manufactured following the
191 quality principles, i.e. the product has been manufactured in a consistent and suitable
192 manner.

193
194 For those circumstances when *in vivo* batch tests are conducted in target animals for
195 reasons other than the target animal safety test (e.g. potency tests) and these tests
196 include the collection of safety information (e.g. on mortality), it is recommended that
197 manufacturers use these tests to gain additional data of the safety of the vaccine in the
198 target species.

199 **2.3.1.2. Information available on the current batch safety test**

200 The manufacturer should submit batch protocol data for a sufficient number of
201 consecutive batches to demonstrate that safe and consistent production has been
202 established. Without prejudice to the decision of the competent authority in light of the
203 information available for a given vaccine, test data of 10 batches (or a minimum of 5
204 batches if 10 batches are not manufactured within 3 years) is likely to be sufficient for
205 most products. The data should be obtained from consecutively tested batches from
206 different vaccine bulks. The manufacturer should examine the variability of the local (if
207 applicable) and systemic reactions observed in the TABST results and the nature of
208 these reactions in relation to those observed in any developmental studies submitted in
209 support of the registration or licensure of the product.

210
211 Generally, data from TABST of combined vaccines may be used to waive the TABST of
212 vaccines containing fewer antigen and/or adjuvant components provided the remaining
213 components are identical in each case and it is only the number of antigens and/or
214 adjuvant which has decreased. For example, TABST data from a combination product
215 can be sufficient to waive TABSTs for all the fallout products. The manufacturer should
216 provide a summary and discussion of the findings.

217
218 The conduct of the TABST shall be in accordance with the regional requirements in
219 operation at the time when the tests were performed. There should be a thorough
220 examination of any batches that have failed the TABST in the time period during which
221 the agreed number of consecutive batches have been tested. This information, along

222 with an explanation as to the reasons for failure, should be submitted to the regulatory
223 authorities.

224 **2.3.1.3. Pharmacovigilance data**

225 A pharmacovigilance system in accordance with the VICH Guidelines, where available,
226 should have been in place over the period during which the batches for which data are
227 submitted were on the market. Safety information from pharmacovigilance and TABST
228 are by nature different but complement each other.

229
230 Available pharmacovigilance data to demonstrate the consistent safe performance of the
231 vaccine in the field should be provided using recent Periodic Safety Update Reports for
232 the relevant time period.

233
234 Where there exists a system for post-marketing re-examination of field safety data for
235 new veterinary vaccines, such data should also be considered alongside the
236 pharmacovigilance data.

237 **2.3.2. Procedure for waiving the target animal batch safety test**

238
239 A report should provide an overall assessment of the consistency of the product's safety
240 and would include taking into account the number of batches manufactured, the number
241 of years the product has been on the market, the number of doses sold and the
242 frequency and seriousness of any adverse reactions in the target species and any
243 investigations into the likely causes of these events.
244

245 **3. GLOSSARY**

246

247 **Good Laboratory Practices (GLP):** A standard for the design, conduct, monitoring,
248 recording, auditing, analysis, and reporting of non-clinical studies. Adherence to the
249 standard provides assurance that the data and reported results are complete, correct
250 and accurate, that welfare of the study animals and the safety of the study personnel
251 involved in the study are ensured, and that the environment and the human and animal
252 food chains are protected (OECD, 1998).

253

254 **Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP):** Is part of a quality system covering the
255 manufacture and testing of medicinal products including veterinary medicines. GMPs are
256 guidelines that outline the aspects of production and testing that can impact the quality of
257 a product standard assuring the quality of production processes and the production
258 environment during the production of a medicinal product.

259

260 **Production Batch:** A defined quantity of starting material, packaging material or product
261 processed in one process or series of processes so that it could be expected to be
262 homogeneous.

263 Note: To complete certain stages of manufacture, it may be necessary to divide a batch
264 into a number of sub batches, which are later brought together to form a final
265 homogeneous batch. In the case of continuous manufacture, the batch must correspond
266 to a defined fraction of the production, characterised by its intended homogeneity.

267

268 **Seed Lot System:** A seed lot system is a system according to which successive batches
269 of a product are derived from the same master seed lot at a given passage level. For
270 routine production, a working seed lot is prepared from the master seed lot. The final
271 product is derived from the working seed lot and has not undergone more passages from
272 the master seed lot than the vaccine shown in clinical studies to be satisfactory with
273 respect to safety and efficacy. The origin and the passage history of the master seed lot
274 and the working seed lot are recorded.

275

276 **Target Animal Batch Safety Test (TABST):** Safety test in target animals which is
277 performed as a routine final product batch test for all inactivated and/or live veterinary
278 vaccines.

279

280 **Target Animal:** The specific animal species, class and breed identified as the animal for
281 which the veterinary vaccine is intended for use.

282

283 **4. REFERENCES**

284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322

AGAATI (2002). The Target Animal Safety Test - Is it Still Relevant? *Biologicals* 30, 277–287.

Cooper J (2008). Batch safety testing of veterinary vaccines – potential welfare implications of injection volumes. *ATLA* 36, 685-694.

Cussler K (1999). A 4R concept for the safety testing of immunobiologicals. *Dev. Biol. Standard.* 101, 121-126.

Cussler K, van der Kamp MDO & Pössnecker A (2000). Evaluation of the relevance of the target animal safety test. In: *Progress in the Reduction, Refinement and Replacement of Animal Experimentation*, pp. 809-816. Eds: M Balls, A-M van Zeller and ME Halder. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.

De Mattia F, Chapsal J, Descamps J, Halder M, Jarrett N, Kross I, Mortiaux F, Ponsar C, Redhead K, McKelvie J & Hendriksen CFM (2011). The consistency approach for quality control of vaccines - A strategy to improve quality control and implement 3Rs. *Biologicals* 39, 59-65.

Hendriksen CFM, Arciniega J, Bruckner L, Chevalier M, Coppens E, Descamps J, Duchêne M, Dusek D, Halder M, Kreeftenberg H, Maes A, Redhead K, Ravetkar S, Spieser JM & Swam H (2008). The consistency approach for the quality control of vaccines. *Biologicals* 36, 73-77.

Lucken R (2000). Eliminating vaccine testing in animals – more action, less talk. *Developments in Animal and Veterinary Sciences* 31, 941-944.

OECD (1998). *Principles on Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring*. OECD, Paris, France. Available at: www.oecd.org.

Pastoret PP, Blancou J, Vannier P & Verschuere C (1997). *Veterinary Vaccinology*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.

Roberts B & Lucken RN (1996). Reducing the use of the target animal batch safety test for veterinary vaccines. In: *Replacement, reduction and refinement of animal experiments in the development and control of biological products*, pp. 97–102. Eds: F Brown, K Cussler & CFM Hendriksen. Basel, Switzerland: S. Karger, AG.

Sheffield FW & Knight PA (1986). Round table discussion on abnormal toxicity and safety tests. *Dev. Biol. Standard.* 64, 309.

Van der Kamp MDO (1994). *Ways of replacing, reducing and refining the use of animals in the quality control of veterinary vaccines*. Institute of Animal Science and Health, Lelystad, the Netherlands.

Zeegers JJW, de Vries WF & Remie R (1997). Reducing the use of animals by abolishment of the safety test as routine batch control test on veterinary vaccines. In: *Animal Alternatives, Welfare and Ethics*, pp. 1003-1005. Eds: LFM Van Zutphen & M Balls. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier Science B.V.