



EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY
SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTH

20 November 2014
EMA/CHMP/SWP/362974/2012 corr 2¹
Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

Guideline on the use of phthalates as excipients in human medicinal products

Draft agreed by Safety Working Party	February 2013
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	25 April 2013
Start of public consultation	08 May 2013
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 October 2013
Agreed by Safety Working Party	October 2014
Adopted by CHMP	20 November 2014
Date for coming into effect	01 June 2015

Keywords	Excipients, Phthalates, Endocrine disruptors, DBP, DEP, CAP, HPMCP, PVAP
----------	--

¹ Corrigendum relates to section 6.3 (no PDE is recommended for PVAP), the addition of Annex I and editorial changes.



Guideline on the use of phthalates as excipients in human medicinal products

Table of contents

Executive summary	3
1. Introduction	3
2. Scope.....	4
3. Legal basis	4
4. Pharmaceutical uses of phthalates	4
5. Pharmacokinetics	5
6. Data on reproductive and developmental toxicity.....	5
6.1. Dibutyl phthalate (DBP)	5
6.2. Diethyl phthalate (DEP).....	6
6.3. Polyvinyl acetate phthalate (PVAP)	7
6.4. Cellulose acetate phthalate (CAP)	7
6.5. Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose phthalate (HPMCP)	8
7. Conclusion	8
References	9

Executive summary

Literature data in animals show that certain phthalates are associated with effects on reproduction and development in relation to their hormonal (anti-androgenic) properties. Currently available human data on the impact of phthalate exposure are limited and therefore the clinical relevance of such findings remains to be established. The most commonly used phthalates in medicinal products licensed in the EU are: dibutyl phthalate (DBP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), polyvinyl acetate phthalate (PVAP), cellulose acetate phthalate (CAP) and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose phthalate (HPMCP).

The phthalates reviewed in this guideline fall into two chemically distinct groups. The ortho-phthalate esters DBP and DEP are low-molecular weight (R-alkyl backbone of 3 or less carbon atoms =C3) diesters of ortho-phthalic acid (also called phthalic acid), formed from short-chain alcohols. The high-molecular-weight phthalate polymers; CAP, HPMCP and PVAP, are polymers modified by esterification with ortho-phthalic acid groups. The phthalates restricted from toys and food articles in the EU due to evidence of endocrine disruption, have been the low-molecular weight ortho-phthalates. As the safety of the phthalates is undergoing review across the EC, and as a precautionary measure to safeguard public health, this guideline aims to review the safety of the most commonly used phthalates in the EU, some of which do not fall under the designation of ortho-phthalates, to rule out potential adverse effects arising from current pharmaceutical applications

The review of data concluded that there are, presently, no data indicating that the presence of CAP, PVAP and HPMCP in human medicinal products constitutes a potential risk for human safety.

For DBP and DEP, adverse reproductive and/or developmental effects have been observed in non-clinical studies and as a consequence, Permitted Daily Exposures (PDEs) values of 0.01 and 4 mg/kg/day for DBP and DEP respectively are proposed.

These recommendations are precautionary measures aiming at reducing the phthalate content of medicines in order to ensure safety in all types of patient populations. Daily exposures above the PDEs could be accepted as exceptions, on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration the intended patient population, the disease seriousness and the presence or not of alternative treatment options.

1. Introduction

The European Commission has decided to revise the "Guideline on excipients in the label and package leaflet of medicinal products for human use (CPMP/463/00 Rev 1)" and a concept paper on the need for such revision has been published in 2012 (EMA/CHMP/SWP/888239/2011). Phthalates used in medicinal products is one of the priority among excipients under revision.

During the last two decades, phthalate esters have attracted the special attention of the scientific community, regulatory agencies and the general public as a consequence of their widespread use and possible endocrine effects (Talsness et al. 2009). Certain phthalates are used as excipients in medicinal products: mainly in the enteric film coating of modified-release tablets and capsules (see section 4). Based on a survey involving the EU Member States (unpublished), the most commonly used phthalates in medicinal products licensed in the EU are: cellulose acetate phthalate (CAP), diethyl phthalate (DEP), hydroxypropylmethylcellulose phthalate (HPMCP), polyvinyl acetate phthalate (PVAP) and dibutyl phthalate (DBP).

DBP has been classified by the European Commission as a reprotoxic substance on the basis of non-clinical data (Regulation No 1272/2008). The use of DBP is regulated within various areas while no restrictions have been put on the use of CAP, DEP, HPMPC and PVAP. Hence, DBP is prohibited in cosmetic products within the EU (Directive 76/768/EEC) and the use of DBP has been restricted in toys

and childcare articles (Directive 2005/84/EC). Moreover, the European Food Safety Agency (EFSA) has restricted the presence of DBP in plastic which comes into contact with food. With respect to medical devices, all devices containing DBP are to be labelled and the manufacturers should justify its presence in devices intended for use in children, pregnant or nursing women (Directive 2007/47/EC). Furthermore, the Directive encourages the replacement of phthalates in medical devices.

The CHMP article 5(3) scientific opinion on 'The Potential Risks of Carcinogens, Mutagens and Substances Toxic to Reproduction (CMR) When These Are Used as Excipients in Medicinal Products for Human Use' states under section 4 "Any risk identified for an excipient and in particular a CMR substance, would be acceptable only on condition that this excipient cannot be substituted with a safer available alternative, or that the toxicological effects in animal models are considered not relevant for humans (e.g. species specific, very large safety ratio) or where the overall benefit/risk balance for the product outweighs the safety concern with the product". Hence, it is encouraged to replace potentially toxic excipients with safer alternatives, as it cannot be excluded that the adverse effects caused by the excipient in non-clinical studies on reproduction and development are of clinical relevance.

2. Scope

This guideline covers the phthalates most commonly used as excipients in medicinal products authorised in the EU.

The recommendations provided in this document apply to new and existing marketed medicinal products.

3. Legal basis

This guideline has to be read in conjunction with Annex I (Part 1) of Directive 2001/83/EC. This guideline should be read in conjunction with the relevant EMA CHMP/EC documents with special emphasis on:

- CHMP scientific article 5(3) opinion the potential risks of carcinogens, mutagens and substances toxic to reproduction when these substances are used as excipients of medicinal products for human use (EMEA/CHMP/SWP/146166/2007).
- Note for guidance on impurities: residual solvents (CPMP/ICH/283/95).
- Guideline on excipients in the label and package leaflet of medicinal products for human use (CPMP/463/00 Rev.1).
- Concept paper on the need for revision of the guideline on excipients in the label and package leaflet of medicinal products for human use - CPMP/463/00 Rev.1 (EMA/CHMP/SWP/888239/2011).
- Guideline on Summary of Product Characteristics² (2009, Rev.2).

4. Pharmaceutical uses of phthalates

Phthalates are used as functional excipients in a large number of oral pharmaceutical formulations. They are most commonly used as plasticizing agents in enteric film-coating materials or as a matrix binder for tablets, capsules, beads and granules. Due to their plasticizer properties, phthalates can be included in soft gelatine capsule formulations. They may also be used to control the viscosity of certain liquid formulations. Phthalates confer the following properties to tablets and capsules:

² http://ec.europa.eu/health/files/eudralex/vol-2/c/smpc_guideline_rev2_en.pdf
<http://www.chemicalsubstanceschimiques.gc.ca/group/phthalate/profil-eng.php>

- Resistance to degradation of the tablet/capsule coating in the acidic environment of the stomach during transit to the site of absorption in the intestine. The solubility of the phthalates at neutral and high pHs, and insolubility at low pHs protects the tablet during prolonged contact with acidic gastric juices and ensures its dissolution in the neutral environment of the intestines.
- Maintenance of flexibility of solid dosage forms (e.g. tablet/capsules) for quality purposes (e.g. to prevent cracking) and to enhance oral administration (e.g. increased ease of swallowing).
- Viscosity modification during production of pharmaceutical formulations to control characteristics such as thinness of the sealing coat whilst maintaining adequate barrier to moisture.
- Control of drug-release characteristics of modified-release preparations.
- Increase of the palatability of bitter tasting formulations by effectively sealing off the underlying drug formulation (the phthalates are tasteless and odourless).

5. Pharmacokinetics

Following oral administration of ^{14}C -DEP to mice and rats, the majority of the administered dose was excreted in the urine (82%) within 24 hours post-dosing (Api, 2001). The major metabolite detected in the urine was the ester hydrolysis product, monoethyl phthalate (MEP). Phthalic acid was also detected in urine as a minor metabolite. The metabolism of DEP appears to be similar in rodents and humans. Hence pancreatic insufficient cystic fibrosis children taking oral capsules formulated with DEP had significantly higher levels of MEP and MEP-glucuronide in the urine than untreated children (Keller et al. 2009).

Following oral administration of ^{14}C -DBP to rats and hamsters, DBP was readily absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract. DBP and its metabolites are rapidly excreted hence more than 90% of the administered radioactivity was detected in the urine within 48 hours (EU Risk Assessment Report – Dibutyl phthalate). Similarly in humans, around than 90% of the administered DBP is excreted in the urine within 24 hours in the form of metabolites (Koch et al. 2012). The DBP metabolites that occur in rat urine are mono-butyl phthalate (MBP), MBP-glucuronide and various oxidation products of MBP. Children and adults taking oral capsules formulated with DBP had high urinary levels of MBP–glucuronide and free MBP (Keller et al. 2009, Seckin et al. 2009). These data indicate that the major DBP metabolites are formed in both rodents and humans.

The metabolites of several phthalates, including the DBP metabolite MBP, have been detected in the amniotic fluid of pregnant women indicating that exposure to DBP can occur *in utero* (Huang et al. 2009, Wittassek et al. 2009, Jensen et al. 2012). Moreover, DBP has been identified in human breast milk which suggests that breastfeeding may be a source to infant phthalate exposure (Zimmermann et al. 2012).

No pharmacokinetic data is available for CAP, HPMPC and PVAP.

6. Data on reproductive and developmental toxicity

6.1. Dibutyl phthalate (DBP)

DBP was associated with an anti-androgenic effect in a human cell line as it inhibited the binding of dihydrotestosterone to the androgen receptor with an IC_{50} of 74 μM (Christen et al. 2010). DBP was devoid of oestrogenic activity *in vitro* (Lee et al. 2012). It is presently believed that DBP disrupts the development of androgen-dependent structures in animals by inhibiting fetal testicular testosterone biosynthesis. Hence, administration of DBP to pregnant rats on gestation day 8 through 18 induced a

dose-related decrease in fetal testosterone production at doses above 300 mg/kg body weight/day (Howdeshell et al. 2008). A dose-additive effect on fetal testosterone production was observed when combining several different phthalates (Howdeshell et al. 2008; Hannas et al. 2011).

A LOAEL of 2 mg/kg body weight/day was derived from a developmental toxicity study where rats received DBP via the diet from late gestation (gestation day 15) to the end of lactation (postnatal day 21) (Lee et al. 2004). Treatment-related findings included a reduced male birth ratio, decreased male anogenital distance and retention of nipples, reduction of testicular spermatocyte development as well as mammary gland changes at low incidence in both sexes. In the adult stage, testicular and epididymal lesions were evident. A decreased number of spermatocytes in the seminiferous tubules was evident at the LOAEL.

Several other reliable reproduction and developmental toxicity studies in mice and rats applying oral DBP dosing have shown effects on fertility (e.g., reduced fertility due to testicular atrophy and reduced sperm production), embryo-fetal toxicity (e.g., decreased number of litters/pair and live pups/litter), and external, skeletal and visceral malformations (e.g., cleft palate, fusion of the sternebrae, cryptorchidism, hypospadias or agenesis of the prostate, epididymus and vas deferens). Based on studies performed in rats, LOAELs for embryo-fetal and developmental toxicity of 52 and 100 mg/kg body weight/day, respectively, has been established (see EU Risk Assessment Report – Dibutyl phthalate, 2003; 2004 for further details).

Some clinical studies indicate an association between the level of the DBP metabolite MBP present in prenatal maternal urine samples and reduced anogenital distance in male newborns (Swan et al. 2005, 2008). This finding has however not been confirmed by others (Suzuki et al. 2012; Huang et al. 2009).

In view of the above data a PDE is recommended for DBP (see below section 7).

6.2. Diethyl phthalate (DEP)

It was shown that DEP inhibits the binding of dihydrotestosterone to the androgen receptor with an IC_{50} of 515 μ M and is hence associated with a weak anti-androgenic effect (Christen et al. 2010). In concordance with this finding, a low binding affinity for the androgen receptor has been reported for DEP in a competitive binding assay (IC_{50} of 0.84 mM) (Fang et al. 2003). Moreover, DEP was devoid of oestrogenic activity *in vitro* (Lee et al. 2012). Administration of DEP to rats on gestation day 8 through 18 did not affect the fetal testosterone production at doses up to 900 mg/kg body weight/day (Howdeshell et al. 2008).

In an extended GLP-compliant two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats, administration of DEP via the diet gave rise to an increased incidence of abnormal sperm at 3000 ppm (222 mg/kg/day) and 15,000 ppm (1150 mg/kg/day) in F1 males (Fujii et al. 2005). This finding was not considered toxicologically significant because of the lack of effects on reproductive parameters such as copulation and fertility indices, sperm counts and sperm motility and the lack of histopathological findings for the testis and epididymis in these groups. Hence, a NOAEL for male and female fertility of 15,000 ppm (1016-1375 mg/kg body weight/day) can be derived on the basis of this study.

With respect to developmental effects, a delayed ear unfolding or eye opening was seen in F1 pups at the highest dose tested (15,000 ppm corresponding to approximately 1016-1375 mg/kg body weight/day) (Fujii et al. 2005). This finding was likely associated with the decreased F1 and F2 pup weight observed pre-weaning. Since pup weight was not affected at birth, lactational exposure to DEP may have exerted the reduction in pup weight. At the time of sexual maturation assessment, no difference in body weight was observed among the treatment groups. Still, a delayed female vaginal opening was seen at 15,000 ppm in the F1 rats. Overall, the NOAEL for developmental effects is considered 3000 ppm DEP (corresponding approximately to 197-267 mg/kg body weight/day).

Embryo-fetal development studies performed in mice and rats have shown reduced pup weight at birth, a reduced number of pups per litter and an increased frequency of skeletal variations at and above maternotoxic doses (Lamb et al. 1987; Field et al. 1993; Tanaka et al. 1987).

Clinical studies indicate an association between the level of the DEP metabolite MEP in prenatal maternal urine samples and reduced anogenital distance (an indicator of fetal androgen exposure) in male newborns (Swan et al. 2005, 2008). However, this finding was not confirmed in a recent Japanese study (Suzuki et al. 2012).

Repeat dose studies performed in rodents have shown liver toxicity at doses as low as 0.57mg/kg/day, however, these were not considered to be clinically relevant and there were uncertainties over the reliability of the studies performed (Pereira and Rao 2006, Pereira et al., 2006, 2007; Sinkar & Rao., 2007, Pereira, Mapuskar, Rao., 2006, 2007, Mapuskar et al. 2007).

In view of the above data a PDE is recommended for DEP (see below section 7).

6.3. Polyvinyl acetate phthalate (PVAP)

There is very limited published scientific literature concerning the toxicity of PVAP (Schoneker, DeMerlis, Borzelleca, 2003). PVAP did not adversely affect reproductive function in rats at the highest dose tested (i.e., 1000 mg/kg body weight/day). Data from embryo-fetal development studies conducted in rats and rabbits showed that oral PVAP treatment resulted in reduced fetal weight and fetal abnormalities at 1000 mg/kg body weight/day in rats and 500 mg/kg body weight/day in rabbits, respectively. Since the nature of the fetal abnormalities was not specified in the publication, PVAP was not considered teratogenic. These findings were not reproduced in a second embryofetal development study in rats in which higher doses of PVAP (3.12/3.64 g/kg/day in males and females respectively) was administered. The maternal and developmental NOAEL of PVAP was equivalent to 2324 mg/kg/day in this study (a, b DeMerlis, Schoneker, Borzelleca, 2014). As the embryofetal effects in the rat could not be replicated at higher doses the effects reported by Schoneker, et al. 2003 in rats are not considered to be treatment-related. The findings made in rabbits occurred at a dose which also caused severe maternal toxicity but overall, the NOAELs for embryo-fetal developmental toxicity were 200 and 100 mg/kg body weight/day in rats and rabbits, respectively. In a pre- and postnatal development in rats, a decrease in the number of live pups was seen with a reported NOAEL of 200 mg/kg body weight/day.

In view of the above data, no PDE is deemed to be defined for PVAP.

6.4. Cellulose acetate phthalate (CAP)

There is very limited published scientific literature available concerning the toxicity of CAP (Hodge, 1944; Batt & Kotkoskie, 1999; Kotkoskie et al. 1999). The toxicity of CAP was evaluated in rodents in studies conducted with either CAP or CAP-based enteric coating material (i.e., an aqueous enteric coating material consisting of 67.9% CAP and minor amounts of distilled acetylated monoglycerides and poloxamer 188). In a GLP-compliant 90 day feeding study in rats, males receiving 50,000 ppm of the aqueous enteric coating material (equivalent to 3113 mg/kg body weight/day CAP) had statistically decreased absolute testicular weights. However, relative testicular weights (testes to brain weight ratios) were unaffected and no histological alterations were present that correlated with the decrease in absolute testes weight. Hence, the decrease in absolute testicular weights is not considered biologically relevant. Furthermore, there was no evidence of treatment-related maternal or embryo-fetal toxicity in a GLP-compliant embryo-fetal developmental toxicity study in which pregnant rats were fed up to 50,000 ppm of the aqueous enteric coating material in the diet on gestational days 6 to 15.

In view of the above data, no PDE is deemed to be defined for CAP.

6.5. Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose phthalate (HPMCP)

There is very limited published scientific literature available concerning the toxicity of HPMCP in general and reproductive and developmental toxicity of HPMCP in particular. In rats administered HPMCP orally via gavage, histopathology and organ weight evaluations revealed no effect on the reproductive organs following repeat-dosing of up to 6 g/kg body weight/day HPMCP for 6 months (Kitagawa et al. 1973).

In view of the above data, no PDE is deemed to be defined for HPMCP.

7. Conclusion

This document provides specific recommendations on the use of the phthalates DBP and DEP and PVAP as excipients in human medicinal products. Data currently available for the phthalates CAP, HPMCP and PVAP do not indicate that their presence in human medicinal products constitutes a potential risk for human safety.

Adverse reproductive and/or developmental effects have been observed in non-clinical studies conducted with DBP and DEP. Due to the lack of pharmacokinetic exposure data in humans and rats for these excipients, it can presently not be excluded that the findings made are of clinical relevance. As a result, Permitted Daily Exposure (PDE) values are established for DBP and DEP based on the approach described in Appendix 3 of the ICH Q3C (R4) guideline.

While no effect on reproduction was observed, dietary administration of DEP was associated with developmental effects in an extended GLP-compliant two-generation reproductive toxicity study in rats (Fujii et al. 2005). Based on this study, a PDE for reproductive and developmental toxicity of 4 mg/kg body weight/day can be established for DEP based on a NOAEL of 197 mg/kg and uncertainty factors of 5 for interspecies variation (rat) and 10 for intraspecies variation. Hence, the daily DEP exposure resulting from administration of medicinal products should not exceed the PDE of 4 mg/kg/day.

Based on findings of disturbed reproductive development in male rats described by Lee and co-workers (2004), a PDE for DBP of 0.01 mg/kg body weight/day can be established. The PDE calculation is based on a LOAEL of 2 mg/kg body weight/day and uncertainty factors of 5 for interspecies variation (rat), 10 for intraspecies variation and 4 since a NOAEL was not determined. The PDE is in line with the tolerable daily intake (TDI) for DBP of 0.01 mg/kg body weight which has been established by EFSA on basis of the findings described in the article by Lee et al. (2004). The amount of DBP in medicinal products should be reduced to a level corresponding to the PDE of 0.01 mg/kg/day.

Recommendations

The recommendations of this guideline should be considered as precautionary measures in the absence of sufficient clinical evidence on phthalate-induced adverse effects in humans.

It is expected that new medicinal products in applications for marketing authorisation will be in compliance with this guideline.

For existing authorised medicinal products, it is proposed to set a time limit of 3 years (after coming into force of the final guideline) for the implementation of formulation changes and consequential regulatory applications, as necessary.

The presence in medicinal products of DBP or DEP at levels giving rise to daily exposures above the PDEs could be accepted as exceptions, on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration the intended patient population, the disease seriousness and the presence or not of alternative treatment options. For instance, in severe or terminal disease conditions, the strict application of the PDE is not considered necessary for DBP or DEP-containing medicinal products where the risk of reproductive and

developmental toxicity is outweighed by the benefits of treatment for patients. In such cases the potential risk of the presence of DBP or DEP above the PDE should be reflected in sections 4.6 and 5.3 of the Summary of Products Characteristics.

Following the review of available in vitro and animal data and due to the absence of sufficient clinical evidence of phthalate-induced toxicity in humans, information in the label and package are not considered necessary.

References

Api AM. Toxicological profile of diethyl phthalate: a vehicle for fragrance and cosmetic ingredients. Food Chem Toxicol. (2001); 39(2):97-108.

Batt K J, Kotkoskie L A, "An evaluation of genotoxicity tests with Aquateric® aqueous enteric coating", Internat. J. Toxicology (1999); 18:117-122.

Cable CG. Polyvinyl Acetate Phthalate: Pharmaceutical Excipients. Medicines Complete. Date of last revision. 1 March 2012

Christen V, Crettaz P, Oberli-Schrämmli A, Fent K. Some flame retardants and the antimicrobials triclosan and triclocarban enhance the androgenic activity in vitro. Chemosphere. (2010); 81(10):1245-52.

Christen V, Crettaz P, Oberli-Schrämmli A, Fent K. Some flame retardants and the antimicrobials triclosan and triclocarban enhance the androgenic activity in vitro. Chemosphere. (2010); 81(10):1245-52.

Concise International Chemical Assessment Document 52 on diethyl phthalate (2003) World Health Organisation. <http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/cicad/en/cicad52.pdf>

Daughterity PD. Cellulose Acetate Phthalate: Pharmaceutical Excipients. Medicines Complete. Date of last revision. 2 December 2011.

^A DeMerlis, C.C., Schoneker, D.R., Borzelleca, J.F., 2014. Oral dietary developmental toxicity study with polyvinyl acetatephthalate (PVAP) in the rat. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology. 70, 325-332.

^B DeMerlis, C.C., Schoneker, D.R., Borzelleca, J.F., 2014. Safety of PVAP and PVAP-T including a 90-day dietary toxicity study in rats and genotoxicity tests with polyvinyl acetate phthalate (PVAP) Food and Chemical Toxicology 70, 231–240.

EU Risk Assessment Report – Dibutyl phthalate (2003; 2004) http://esis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/existing-chemicals/risk_assessment/REPORT/dibutylphthalatereport003.pdf

EU Risk Assessment Report – Dibutyl phthalate (2003; 2004) http://esis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/doc/existing-chemicals/risk_assessment/REPORT/dibutylphthalatereport003.pdf

Fang H, Tong W, Branham WS, Moland CL, Dial SL, Hong H, Xie Q, Perkins R, Owens W, Sheehan DM. Study of 202 natural, synthetic, and environmental chemicals for binding to the androgen receptor. Chem Res Toxicol. (2003); 16(10):1338-58.

Field EA, Price CJ, Sleet RB, George JD, Marr MC, Myers CB, Schwetz BA, & Morrissey RE. Developmental toxicity evaluation of diethyl and dimethyl phthalate in rats. Teratology (1993); 48:33-44.

Fujii S, Yabe K, Furukawa M, Hirata M, Kiguchi M, Ikka T. A two-generation reproductive toxicity study of diethyl phthalate (DEP) in rats. Journal of Toxicological Sciences (2005); 30:97-116.

Goskonda SR. Hypromellose Phthalate: Pharmaceutical Excipients. Medicines Complete. Date of last revision. 2 March 2012.

Guest RT. Diethyl Phthalate: Pharmaceutical Excipients. Medicines Complete. Date of last revision. 27 Feb 2012.

Hannas BR, Furr J, Lambright CS, Wilson VS, Foster PM, Gray LE Jr. Dipentyl phthalate dosing during sexual differentiation disrupts fetal testis function and postnatal development of the male Sprague-Dawley rat with greater relative potency than other phthalates. *Toxicol Sci.* (2011); 120(1):184-93.

Hodge H. The chronic toxicity of cellulose acetate phthalate in rats and dogs. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Therapeutics* (1944); 80:250-255.

Howdeshell KL, Rider CV, Wilson VS, Gray LE Jr. Mechanisms of action of phthalate esters, individually and in combination, to induce abnormal reproductive development in male laboratory rats. *Environ Res.* (2008); 108(2):168-76.

Howdeshell KL, Rider CV, Wilson VS, Gray LE Jr. Mechanisms of action of phthalate esters, individually and in combination, to induce abnormal reproductive development in male laboratory rats. *Environ Res.* (2008); 108(2):168-76.

Huang PC, Kuo PL, Chou YY, Lin SJ, Lee CC. Association between prenatal exposure to phthalates and the health of newborns. *Environ Int.* (2009); 35(1):14-20.

Huang PC, Kuo PL, Chou YY, Lin SJ, Lee CC. Association between prenatal exposure to phthalates and the health of newborns. *Environ Int.* (2009); 35(1):14-20.

Jensen MS, Nørgaard-Pedersen B, Toft G, Hougaard DM, Bonde JP, Cohen A, Thulstrup AM, Ivell R, Anand-Ivell R, Lindh CH, Jönsson BA. Phthalates and perfluorooctanesulfonic acid in human amniotic fluid: temporal trends and timing of amniocentesis in pregnancy. *Environ Health Perspect.* (2012); 120(6):897-903.

Keller BO, Davidson AG, Innis SM. Phthalate metabolites in urine of CF patients are associated with use of enteric-coated pancreatic enzymes. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* (2009); 27(3):424-7.

Kitagawa, H., Yano, H., Fukuda, Y. Chronic Toxicity of Hydroxypropylmethylcellulose Phthalate in Rats. *Pharmacometrics* (1973); 7(5):689-701.

Koch HM, Christensen KL, Harth V, Lorber M, Brüning T. Di-n-butyl phthalate (DnBP) and diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP) metabolism in a human volunteer after single oral doses. *Arch Toxicol.* (2012); 86(12):1829-39.

Kotkoskie L A, Freeman C, Palmieri M A. Subchronic toxicity and developmental toxicity studies in rats with Aquateric® aqueous enteric coating. *Internat. J. Toxicology* (1999); 18:109-116.

Lamb J, Chapin R, Teague J, Lawton A and Reel J. Reproductive Effects of Four Phthalic Acid Esters in the Mouse. *Toxicology and applied pharmacology* (1987); 88:255-269.

Lee HK, Kim TS, Kim CY, Kang IH, Kim MG, Jung KK, Kim HS, Han SY, Yoon HJ, Rhee GS. Evaluation of in vitro screening system for estrogenicity: comparison of stably transfected human estrogen receptor- α transcriptional activation (OECD TG455) assay and estrogen receptor (ER) binding assay. *J Toxicol Sci.* (2012); 37(2):431-7.

Lee KY, Shibutani M, Takagi H, Kato N, Takigami S, Uneyama C, Hirose M. Diverse developmental toxicity of di-n-butyl phthalate in both sexes of rat offspring after maternal exposure during the period from late gestation through lactation. *Toxicology.* (2004); 203(1-3):221-38.

Mapuskar K, Pereira C., Rao CV. (2007) Dose-dependent sub-chronic toxicity of diethyl phthalate in female Swiss mice. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology* 87: 156–163.

Opinion of the Scientific Panel on food additives, flavourings, processing aids and materials in contact with food (AFC) related to di-Butylphthalate (DBP) for use in food contact materials (2006).

<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/242.htm>

Pereira C, Mapuskar K, & Rao CV (2006) Chronic toxicity of diethyl phthalate in male Wistar rats–A dose-response study. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 45:169-177.

Pereira C, Rao CV. (2006) Combined and individual administration of diethyl phthalate and polychlorinated biphenyls and its toxicity in female Wistar rats. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2006 Jan;21(1):93-102.

Pereira C., Mapuskar K., Rao CV. (2006) Chronic toxicity of diethyl phthalate in male Wistar rats - a dose–response study. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2006 Jul;45(2):169-77.

Pereira C., Rao CV. (2006) Toxicity study of maternal transfer of polychlorinated biphenyls and diethyl phthalate to 21-day-old male and female weanling pups of Wistar rats. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 68 (2007) 118–125.

Pereira C, Mapuskar K, Rao CV. (2007) Chronic toxicity of diethyl phthalate-A three generation lactational and gestational exposure study on male Wistar rats. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2007 May;23(3):319-27.

Pereira C, Mapuskar K, & Rao CV (2008b) Effect of diethyl phthalate on rat testicular antioxidant system: A dose-dependent toxicity study. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 90:52-57.

Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food, 33rd Series (1995). Commission of the European Communities, Luxembourg. ISBN 92-826-9275-2.

Schoneker DR, DeMerlis CC, Borzelleca JF. Evaluation of the toxicity of polyvinylacetate phthalate in experimental animals. *Food Chem Toxicol*. (2003); 41(3):405-13.

Seckin E, Fromme H, Völkel W. Determination of total and free mono-n-butyl phthalate in human urine samples after medication of a di-n-butyl phthalate containing capsule. *Toxicol Lett*. (2009); 188(1):33-7.

Suzuki Y, Yoshinaga J, Mizumoto Y, Serizawa S, Shiraishi H. Foetal exposure to phthalate esters and anogenital distance in male newborns. *Int J Androl*. (2012); 35(3):236-44.

Swan SH, Liu F, Hines M, Kruse RL, Wang C, Redmon JB, Sparks A, Weiss B. Environmental phthalate exposure in relation to reproductive outcomes and other health endpoints in humans. *Environ Res*. (2008); 108(2):177-84.

Swan SH, Main KM, Liu F, Stewart SL, Kruse RL, Calafat AM, Mao CS, Redmon JB, Ternand CL, Sullivan S, Teague JL and the Study for Future Families Research Team. Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalate exposure. *Health Perspect* (2005); 113:1056-1061.

Talsness CE, Andrade AJ, Kuriyama SN, Taylor JA, vom Saal FS. Components of plastic: experimental studies in animals and relevance for human health. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*. (2009); 364(1526):2079-96. Review.

Tanaka C, Siratori K, Ikegami K, & Wakisaka Y. A teratological evaluation following dermal application of diethyl phthalate to pregnant mice. *Oyo Yakuri* (1987); 33:387-392.

Wittassek M, Angerer J, Kolossa-Gehring M, Schäfer SD, Klockenbusch W, Dobler L, Günzel AK, Müller A, Wiesmüller GA. Fetal exposure to phthalates--a pilot study. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. (2009); 212(5):492-8.

Zimmermann S, Gruber L, Schlummer M, Smolic S, Fromme H. Determination of phthalic acid diesters in human milk at low ppb levels. *Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess*. (2012); 29(11):1780-90.

Annex 1.

Table 1. Permitted Daily Exposures of DBP and DEP (based on an 80 kg adult bodyweight).

Phthalate	Adverse Effect / LOAEL* / Reference	NOAEL*	Uncertainty Factor	Permitted Daily Exposures (mg / kg body weight / day)
DBP	Disturbed reproductive development in male rats, at ≥ 2 mg/kg body weight/day (Lee and co-workers, 2004)	None determined	5 for interspecies variation (rat), 10 for intraspecies variation, 4 due to the absence of a NOAEL	0.01 mg / kg body weight / day
DEP	Developmental effects: delayed ear unfolding, eye opening and female vaginal opening in F1 pups at approximately 1016-1375 mg/kg body weight/day (Fuji and co-workers, 2005)	197 mg/kg body weight/day	5 for interspecies variation (rat), 10 for intraspecies variation	4 mg / kg body weight / day

*LOAEL: Low Observed Adverse Effect Level

*NOAEL: No Observed Adverse Effect Level