

12 May 2025 EMA/151699/2025 Rev. 2\* Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

## Prasugrel film-coated tablets 5 mg and 10 mg productspecific bioequivalence guidance

Draft Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	April 2015
Adoption by CHMP for release for consultation	25 June 2015
Start of public consultation	15 July 2015
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	1 November 2015
Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	23 February 2016
Adoption by CHMP	1 April 2016
Date of coming into effect	1 November 2016
Draft agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	June 2017
Adoption by CHMP for release for consultation	20 July 2017
Start of public consultation	2 August 2017
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	31 October 2017
Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	April 2018
Adoption by CHMP	31 May 2018
Date for coming into effect	1 December 2018
Draft revision agreed by Methodology Working Party (MWP)	5 December 2024
Adopted by CHMP	12 May 2025



Date of coming into effect	1 December 2025
----------------------------	-----------------

\* This revision addresses textual amendments in accordance with the ICH M13A guideline and removes reference to an additional study under conditions of elevated gastric pH as the following now applies: <a href="Question & Answer on the need for bioequivalence studies with acid reducing agents (ARAs)">Question & Answer on the need for bioequivalence studies with acid reducing agents (ARAs)</a>

Keywords	Bioequivalence, generics, prasugrel
----------	-------------------------------------

## Prasugrel film-coated tablets 5 mg and 10 mg product-specific bioequivalence guidance

## Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (PKWP)\*

BCS Classification**	BCS Class:   I III   Neither of the two  Background: Prasugrel hydrochloride may be considered a low solubility compound.
Bioequivalence study design  in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ fasting $oxed{\square}$ fed $oxed{\square}$ both $oxed{\square}$ either fasting or fed
	Strength: 10 mg
	Background: Highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics.
	Number of studies: One single dose study

	<b>Other information:</b> It should be justified/demonstrated that the conversion from prasugrel salt to free base is not more than 70%.	
Analyte	$\square$ parent $oxtimes$ metabolite $oxtimes$ both	
	<b>Background:</b> The parent compound is not detected in human or animal plasma (or other biological matrix). Bioequivalence should be based on the first metabolite, R-95913.	
	oxtimes plasma/serum $oxtimes$ blood $oxtimes$ urine	
	Enantioselective analytical method: $\Box$ yes $oxtimes$ no	
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC <sub>0-t</sub> and C <sub>max</sub>	
	<b>90% confidence interval:</b> 80.00 – 125.00%	

<sup>\*</sup> As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of Cmax. If high intra-individual variability (CVintra > 30 %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether in vivo studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III). The Applicant may choose between two options: in vivo approach or in vitro approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).