



The European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal
Products
Veterinary Medicines and Information Technology

CVMP/VICH/833/99-FINAL
London, 30 July 2001

VICH Topic GL15

Step 7

EFFICACY OF ANTHELMINTICS: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EQUINES

TRANSMISSION TO CVMP	December 1999
TRANSMISSION TO INTERESTED PARTIES	December 1999
COMMENTS REQUESTED BEFORE	June 2000
FINAL APPROVAL OF CVMP	July 2001
DATE FOR COMING INTO OPERATION BY	July 2002

Public

7 Westferry Circus, Canary Wharf, London E14 4HB, UK
Tel (44 20) 74 18 84 00 Fax (44 20) 74 18 84 47
E-mail: mail@emea.eudra.org <http://www.eudra.org/emea.html>

EMA 2001 Reproduction and/or distribution of this document is authorised for non-commercial purposes only provided the EMA is acknowledged

EFFICACY OF ANTHELMINTICS: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EQUINES

Recommended for Implementation
on June 2001
by the VICH Steering Committee

THIS GUIDELINE HAS BEEN DEVELOPED BY THE APPROPRIATE VICH EXPERT WORKING GROUP AND WAS SUBJECT TO CONSULTATION BY THE PARTIES, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE VICH PROCESS. AT STEP 7 OF THE PROCESS THE FINAL DRAFT IS RECOMMENDED FOR ADOPTION TO THE REGULATORY BODIES OF THE EUROPEAN UNION, JAPAN AND USA.

EFFICACY OF ANTHELMINTICS: SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FOR EQUINES

INTRODUCTION

The present guideline for equines was developed by the Working Group established by the Veterinary International Co-operation on Harmonization (VICH), Anthelmintic Guidelines. It should be read in conjunction with the VICH Efficacy of anthelmintics: General requirements (EAGR) which should be referred to for discussion of broad aspects for providing pivotal data to demonstrate product anthelmintic effectiveness. The present document is structured similarly to the EAGR with the aim of simplicity for readers comparing both documents.

The guideline for equines is part of this EAGR and the aim is (1) to be more specific for certain issues for equines not discussed in the EAGR; (2) to highlight differences with the EAGR on efficacy data requirements and (3) to give explanations for disparities with the EAGR.

It is also important to note that technical procedures to be followed in the studies are not the aim of this guideline. We recommend to the sponsors to refer to the pertinent procedures described in detail in other published documents, e.g. WAAVP Guidelines for Evaluating the Efficacy of Equine Anthelmintics. *Veterinary Parasitology*, **30**: 57-72, 1988.

A. General Elements

1. The evaluation of effectiveness data

Controlled tests are recommended both for the dose determination and dose confirmation studies. Critical tests also can be used for certain adult large nematodes e.g. *Parascaris equorum* and *Oxyuris equi*. Long-acting products or sustained-release products should be subject to the same evaluation procedures as other therapeutic anthelmintics. Adequate parasite infection should be defined in the protocol according to regional prevalence or historic and/or statistical data.

In the case of *Strongyloides westeri*, the evaluation of effectiveness data may be based on egg counts (at least 2 field efficacy studies). The justification for this is the fact that *S.westeri* is mainly observed in young animals. At this age few other helminths have matured and use of young animals in terminal tests is inappropriate from an ethical perspective.

2. Use of natural or induced infections

Because of the difficulties involved in carrying out induced infections in worm-free equines, most studies can be carried out in naturally-infected animals.

Dose determination studies can be conducted using natural or induced infections with either laboratory or recent field isolates.

Dose confirmation studies against adult stages for a wide range of parasites can be conducted using naturally-infected animals which were superimposed with induced infections of recent field isolates. Induced infections with recent field isolates are also acceptable. For claims against (developing) larval stages (e.g. L4 stages) only induced infections of recent field isolates can be considered. For claims against hypobiotic larvae (early L3 of small strongyles) only natural infections can be considered. In these cases, animals need to be housed for a minimum of 2 weeks before treatment to preclude unintended reinfection.

To determine the number of hypobiotic larvae, digestion of the large intestinal mucosa is required, the number of intramucosal developing stages (late L3/L4 of small strongyles) should be determined by using both the digestion technique and the transillumination technique due to the inherent limitation of each technique in isolation.

Persistent efficacy studies should be conducted using induced infections with recent field isolates and using young equines i.e. < 12 months of age.

The history of the parasites used in the induced-infection studies should be included in the final report.

3. Number of infective parasitic forms recommended for induced infections

As the use of induced infections in equines is not common (see above), only limited data on the number of infective larvae to administer are available. The following range of infective larvae/eggs to be administered can be recommended:

<i>Parascaris equorum</i>	100 – 500
<i>Trichostrongylus axei</i>	10,000 - 50,000
<i>Strongylus vulgaris</i>	500 - 750
Small strongyles (Cyathostominae)	100,000 - 1,000,000

4. Recommendations for the calculation of effectiveness

4.1 Criteria to grant a claim

To be granted a claim the following pivotal data should be included:

- Two dose confirmation studies conducted with a minimum of 6 adequately infected non-medicated animals (control group) and 6 adequately infected medicated animals (treated group) in each study; where a critical test is used only 6 animals are needed for each study as each animal acts as its own control;
- The differences in parasite counts between treated and control animals should be statistically significant ($p < 0.05$);
- Effectiveness should be 90% or higher using transformed (geometric means) data;
- The infection of the animals in the study will be deemed adequate based on historical, parasitological and/or statistical criteria.

4.2 Number of animals (dose determination, dose confirmation and persistency trials)

The minimum number of animals required per experimental group is a critical point. Although the number of animals will depend on the possibility to process the data statistically according to adequate statistical analysis, it has been recommended, to achieve harmonization, that the inclusion of at least 6 animals in each experimental group is a minimum.

In cases where there are several studies, none of which has 6 adequately infected animals in the control group (for example, important rare parasites), the results obtained could be pooled to accumulate 12 animals in the studies; and statistical significance calculated.

If the differences are significant ($p < 0.05$), effectiveness may be calculated and if the infection is deemed adequate, the claim may be granted. Sampling techniques and estimation of worm burden should be similar among laboratories involved in the studies to allow adequate and meaningful extrapolation of the results to the worm population.

4.3 Adequacy of infection

With respect to the minimum adequate number of helminths, the decision will be made when the final report is submitted based on statistical and historical data, literature review, or expert testimony. The range of equine helminths (adults) that has been considered adequate to grant a claim will vary according to the species. Generally the minimal mean number of nematodes considered to be adequate is 100. Lower mean counts are to be expected with *P. equorum*, *Dictyocaulus arnfieldi* and *Fasciola* spp.

4.4 Label claims

Adult or L3/ L4 stages: the term immature on the labelling is not acceptable. For adult and larval claims, treatment should correspond to life-cycle timing appropriate for the species claimed. In the case of small strongyles distinction needs to be made between early (hypobiotic) L3 stages, (developing) intramucosal L4 stages, lumenal L4 stages and adults.

Parasite identification will determine the type of claim proposed on the labelling. A species claim is highly recommended. For the small strongyles a genus claim should be acceptable on the assumption that generally speaking there is more than one species in that genus and the study was conducted with a mixed larval population.

5. Treatment procedures

The method of administration (oral, parenteral, topical, slow-release etc.), formulation and extent of activity of a product will influence the protocol design. It is advisable to consider the weather and animal relationship with regard to effectiveness of topical formulations. Slow-release products should be tested over the entire proposed effective time unless additional information suggests this is unnecessary e.g. for systemic acting compounds blood levels demonstrate steady state at all points of the proposed therapeutic period. When the drug is to be administered in the water or via a premix, it should be done as much as possible following the labelling recommendations. Palatability studies may be required for medicated feed. Samples of medicated water or feed should be collected to confirm drug concentration. The amount of medicated product consumed by each animal should be recorded to ensure that the treatment satisfies the label recommendations. For products used topically, the impact of weather (e.g. rainfall, UV light), and coat length should be included in the evaluation of the effectiveness of the product.

6. Animal selection, allocation and handling

Test animals should be clinically healthy and representative of the age, sex, and class for which the claim of the test anthelmintic is to be made. In general, the animals should be 3 to 12 months of age and raised helminth free, if induced infections are used because there is no guarantee that pre-existing infections can be removed. For natural infections animals between 12 to 24 months are preferred (except for *S. westeri*) and to reduce individual variations in worm counts it can be useful to graze the equines for at least 5 months together on the same infected pasture. Animals should be assigned randomly to each treatment. Blocking in replicates by weight, sex, age, and/or exposure to parasites may aid in reducing trial variance. Faecal egg/larval counts are also useful to allocate the experimental animals. Animal housing, feeding and care should follow strict requirements of welfare including vaccination according to local practices.. This information should be provided in the final report. A minimum 7 day acclimatisation period is recommended. Housing and feed-water supply should be adequate according to the geographical location. Animals should be monitored daily to determine adverse reactions.

B. Specific evaluation studies

1. Dose Determination studies

No species specific recommendations.

2. Dose Confirmation Studies

Confirmation studies are recommended to support each claim: adult, larvae and when applicable hypobiotic larvae. For additional descriptions of the procedures refer to EAGR.

3. Field Efficacy Studies

No species specific recommendations.

4. Persistency Studies

These claims can only be determined on the basis of actual worm counts and not on eggs per gram of faeces to demonstrate drug effectiveness.

A minimum requirement for a persistent efficacy claim (for each duration and helminth claim) should include two trials (with worm counts) each with a non-treated and one or more treated groups. At least 6 animals in the control group (of the same age) shall be adequately infected. Persistent efficacy claims will only be granted on a species-by-species basis, genus-by-genus in the case of small strongyles.

Two basic study designs have been used to pursue persistent efficacy claims. One using a single challenge, another using multiple daily challenges following treatment. For consistency of interpretation of results, a standardised study design is recommended using multiple daily challenges, as this most closely mimics what occurs in nature.

In the protocol using multiple daily challenges different groups of animals are treated and exposed to a daily natural or induced challenge for 7, 14, 21 or more days after the treatment. Then at approximately three weeks after the last challenge (or earlier) the animals are examined for parasite burden.

Persistent efficacy claims should be supported by a minimum 90% effectiveness based on geometric means.

5. Egg Reappearance Period (ERP) Studies

ERP only relates to strongyles. ERP is a pasture contamination management tool and is not intended to be used to measure individual animal strongyle burdens. It is a developing new tool to manage equine strongyles on a herd basis focussing on pasture contamination management. Claims for egg reduction during a certain period after treatment are only acceptable if the reduction in treated animals is at least 90% compared to pretreatment egg counts. In these studies animals should remain on infected pastures. Two studies are the minimum needed to determine the ERP. At least one of the two studies should be conducted in the geographical location where registration is being pursued. These studies should be conducted so that they are sufficiently representative of the various conditions under which the product will be authorised.