

21 May 2015 EMA/CHMP/315236/2014 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)

Voriconazole tablets 50, 200 mg and powder for oral suspension 40 mg/ml product-specific bioequivalence guidance*

Draft agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party (PKWP)	October 2013
Adoption by CHMP for release for consultation	24 October 2013
Start of public consultation	15 November 2013
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	15 February 2014
Agreed by Pharmacokinetics Working Party	29 April 2015
Adoption by CHMP	21 May 2015
Date for coming into effect	1 December 2015

*This guideline was previously published as part of a "compilation of individual product-specific guidance on demonstration of bioequivalence Rev.3 EMA/CHMP/736403/2014"

Keywords	Bioequivalence, generics, voriconazole
----------	--

30 Churchill Place • Canary Wharf • London E14 5EU • United Kingdom Telephone +44 (0)20 3660 6000 Facsimile +44 (0)20 3660 5555 Send a question via our website www.ema.europa.eu/contact



An agency of the European Union

© European Medicines Agency, 2016. Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

Voriconazole tablets 50, 200 mg and powder for oral suspension 40 mg/ml productspecific bioequivalence guidance

Disclaimer:

This guidance should not be understood as being legally enforceable and is without prejudice to the need to ensure that the data submitted in support of a marketing authorisation application complies with the appropriate scientific, regulatory and legal requirements.

Requirements for bioequivalence demonstration (PKWP)*

BCS Classification * *	BCS Class: I I III IN Neither of the two Background: voriconazole is a low solubility compound.
Bioequivalence study design in case a BCS biowaiver is not feasible or applied	single dose cross-over
	healthy volunteers
	☐ fasting ☐ fed ☐ both ☐ either fasting or fed
	Strength: 200 mg for the tablets
	200 mg for the 40 mg/ml powder for the oral suspension
	Background: highest strength to be used for a drug with linear pharmacokinetics and low solubility.

	Number of studies: one single dose study for tablets, one single dose study for the oral suspension
Analyte	🛛 parent 🗌 metabolite 🗌 both
	🛛 plasma/serum 🗌 blood 🗌 urine
	Enantioselective analytical method: 🗌 yes 🖾 no
Bioequivalence assessment	Main pharmacokinetic variables: AUC_{0-t} and C_{max}
	90% confidence interval: 80.00 – 125.00%

* As intra-subject variability of the reference product has not been reviewed to elaborate this product-specific bioequivalence guideline, it is not possible to recommend at this stage the use of a replicate design to demonstrate high intra-subject variability and widen the acceptance range of C_{max} . If high intra-individual variability ($CV_{intra} > 30$ %) is expected, the applicants might follow respective guideline recommendations.

** This tentative BCS classification of the drug substance serves to define whether *in vivo* studies seems to be mandatory (BCS class II and IV) or, on the contrary, (BCS Class I and III) the Applicant may choose between two options: *in vivo* approach or *in vitro* approach based on a BCS biowaiver. In this latter case, the BCS classification of the drug substance should be confirmed by the Applicant at the time of submission based on available data (solubility experiments, literature, etc.). However, a BCS-based biowaiver might not be feasible due to product specific characteristics despite the drug substance being BCS class I or III (e.g. in vitro dissolution being less than 85 % within 15 min (BCS class III) or 30 min (BCS class I) either for test or reference, or unacceptable differences in the excipient composition).