



1 30 October 2023
2 EMA/CHMP/478317/2023
3 Human Medicines Division

4 **Draft revised consolidated 3-year work plan for the**
5 **Methodology Working Party (MWP)**
6

Chairperson:	Kit Roes
Vice chair:	Kristin Karlsson

7

Agreed by Methodology Working Party	October 2023
Adopted by PROM for release for consultation	30 October 2023
Start of public consultation	1 November 2023
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	30 November 2023

8

Comments should be provided using this EUSurvey [form](#). For any technical issues, please contact the [EUSurvey Support](#).

9 Work plan period: May 2022 – December 2024



10	Table of contents	
11	1. Strategic goals	3
12	2. Tactical goals: activities/projects to deliver the strategic goals	3
13	2.1. Guideline activities	3
14	2.1.1. Clinical Pharmacology	3
15	2.1.2. Real World Evidence	5
16	2.1.3. Clinical Trial Modernisation	5
17	2.1.4. Pharmacogenomics for precision medicine	6
18	2.1.5. Data Science and AI	6
19	2.1.6. Support to ICH activities	7
20	2.1.7. Non-guideline activities	7
21	2.2. Training activities	8
22	2.2.1. Training	8
23	2.2.2. Workshops	8
24	2.3. Communication and stakeholder activities	8
25	2.4. Multi-disciplinary collaboration	9
26	3. Operational goals: medicinal product-specific activities	10
27	4. Tactical goals: activities/projects to deliver the strategic goals -	
28	Priorities for 2024	10
29	4.1. Guideline activities	10
30	4.1.1. Clinical Pharmacology	10
31	4.1.2. Real World Evidence	11
32	4.1.3. Clinical Trial Modernisation	11
33	4.1.4. Pharmacogenomics for precision medicine	11
34	4.1.5. Data Science and AI	11
35	4.1.6. Support to ICH activities	11
36	4.2. Training activities	11
37	4.3. Communication and Stakeholder activities	12
38	4.3.1. Multi-disciplinary collaboration	12
39	4.3.2. European level	13
40	4.3.3. International level	13
41	4.3.4. Industry level	13

42 **1. Strategic goals**

43 The Methodology Working Party aims to leverage the cross-disciplinary expertise to support
44 methodological innovation in global drug development and support advice and interpretation of
45 complex methodology across (clinical) drug development. The following are the main strategic goals.

- 46 • Provide the required and state-of-the-art methodological support to the operational work of the
47 European Medicines Regulatory Network (hereafter, the 'EU Network') now and in the future
48 with an emphasis on product related support upon request from Committees, SAWP and
49 CMD(h).
- 50 • Deliver appropriate guidance documents to support and improve the development and
51 authorisation of medicines, based on experience gained assessing products and providing
52 scientific advice as well as based on the most recent scientific and methodological insights.
- 53 • Raise the understanding of all aspects of methodology for non-specialist assessors and ensure
54 appropriate development of junior assessors across the EU Network through knowledge
55 transfer of experience gained from key assessments, as well as developing appropriate
56 training.
- 57 • Develop and leverage a strong expertise network including academic and learned society
58 collaborations to increase competence across the EU network of methodological assessors.
- 59 • Strive for methodological excellence across the EU Network to ensure best methodological
60 practice in assessment and advice procedures.
- 61 • Ensure the EU is recognised globally as a region of operational excellence in all aspects of
62 methodology as applied to the regulation of medicines and to provide a leading voice in
63 international collaboration efforts.

64 **2. Tactical goals: activities/projects to deliver the strategic** 65 **goals**

66 **2.1. Guideline activities**

67 **2.1.1. Clinical Pharmacology**

68 **Pharmacokinetics**

69 Bridging with pharmacokinetic data is a focus area for MWP, and a product specific bioequivalence
70 guidance drafting group has been formed to continue developing product-specific bioequivalence
71 guidelines to clarify EMA requirement for generics of more recent innovator products and controversial
72 ones. Increasing complexity is encountered when abridged applications are made to increasingly
73 complicated formulations, e. g., long acting injectables, locally acting agents, biologicals (biosimilars),
74 possibility of making synthetic copies of biological drugs, etc. To address issues arising in this area,
75 multidisciplinary work is needed, not only with the quality domain, but also with clinical working
76 parties, the non-clinical working party as well as other regulatory expertise.

77 MWP will support questions around the need for pharmacokinetic data for all kinds of applications.
78 Clinical pharmacology expectations for many of the newer treatment modalities are not covered by
79 current EMA guidelines, and there is a need to clarify the European expectations. A reflection paper on
80 the clinical pharmacology package for oligonucleotides is a prioritised activity in the MWP work plan,
81 and it is envisaged that something similar may be needed for other emerging treatment modalities
82 (e.g., peptides).

83 MWP is also an important group to support the European position in the development of new ICH
84 guidelines, for example in the clinical pharmacology area. In addition, MWP will oversee training
85 activities and best practices in clinical pharmacology assessment.

86 **High priority/short-term**

- 87 • Reflection Paper on clinical pharmacology package for oligonucleotides.
- 88 • Q&A on implications of different salts in generic products (e.g., for sunitinib, dasatinib).
- 89 • Product Specific Bioequivalence Guidelines (PSBGLs) (multiple) in liaison with CMD(h): for
90 2024, azacitidine, budesonide (LALA GIT), trametinib, dabrafenib, paliperidone palmitate (3M
91 depot) and melatonin have been prioritised as the next in series for drafting. In addition,
92 PSBGLs will also be developed for albumin-bound paclitaxel, digoxin, methylphenidate and
93 betahistine.

94 **Long-term**

- 95 • Harmonisation of the information on clinical pharmacology topics of the SmPC (e.g., DDI
96 potential).
- 97 • Guidance on bridging requirements for well-established use applications.
- 98 • Q&A on food effect assessment and drug interactions in the gastrointestinal tract (topic not
99 included in ICH M12 drug interaction guideline).
- 100 • Investigation of other methods for abridged applications of complicated formulations
101 (multidisciplinary).

102 **Guideline work led by other working parties**

- 103 • Revision of the guideline on the requirements for clinical documentation for orally inhaled
104 products (OIP).
- 105 • Guideline on the development and manufacturing of oligonucleotides.

106 **Modelling and Simulation**

107 Modelling and simulation is a rapidly evolving area in terms of both technologies and applications in
108 drug development. The latter are expanding beyond the description of drug exposure, towards the
109 dynamic description of complex drug effects and disease subtypes and progressions. Mechanistic
110 models are also increasingly used in the context of drug development. Additional regulatory experience
111 on Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Modelling (PBPK) has been gained since the publication of
112 the PBPK GL. There is need for up-to-date guidance on Quantitative Systems Pharmacology (QSP) and
113 to reflect on the experience since introduction of the PBPK GL. The integrated nature of complex
114 modelling necessitates multi-disciplinary collaboration across expert areas, working parties, and
115 committees (such as PDCO).

116 The planned concept papers will formulate problem statements for planned workshops and subsequent
117 guidance documents will be informed and enriched by the outcome of discussions of workshops to be
118 held in 2024.

119 The following activities, guidelines/papers and workshops are currently planned in order of time and
120 priority.

121 **High priority/short-term**

- 122 • Concept Paper and/or Q&A on design, conduct, qualification and reporting and use of exposure
123 response models (including QSP) in regulatory submissions.

- 124 • Q&A on reporting and qualification of Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Modelling (PBPK)
125 models.

126 **Long-term**

- 127 • Q&A on model-informed dose finding/selection.
- 128 • Q&A on methodological aspects of model informed cardiac risk assessment.
- 129 • Concept Paper on Model informed bioequivalence (and biosimilarity).

130 **Guideline work led by other working parties and committees**

- 131 • Revision of Guidance on the investigation of medicinal products in the term and preterm
132 neonate (EMA/536810/2008)

133 **2.1.2. Real World Evidence**

134 MWP considered that guidance on the use of Real-World Data (RWD) to generate Real-World Evidence
135 (RWE) in non-interventional studies is most urgent given the increasing use of this type of RWE in
136 Marketing Authorisation Applications and consequent accrued experience on the topic.

137 Furthermore, a roadmap shall be developed with the aim to identify and prioritise further guidance
138 development for the use of RWD in areas other than non-interventional studies. It will also include a
139 summary of existing guidance on RWE across regulatory jurisdictions as well as areas for potential
140 future guidance development in collaboration with the Methodology ESEC. Examples of future topics
141 being considered include the use of RWD in the context of clinical trials, e.g., considerations of trial
142 designs that prospectively include external control data. MWP will consider the best way to address
143 such issues in a multi-disciplinary setting.

144 This results in the following priorities:

- 145 • Reflection Paper on the use of Real-World Data to generate Real-World Evidence in non-
146 interventional studies.
- 147 • Roadmap for the development of RWE guidance.

148 **2.1.3. Clinical Trial Modernisation**

149 The implementation of the estimand framework outlined in ICH E9 (r1) will result in updating language
150 and concepts in many EU guidelines. The objective of these updates is to streamline the relevance of
151 the scientific questions addressed by clinical trials and ensure that these trials, along with the
152 associated statistical inference, are adequately poised to support corresponding conclusions, in order to
153 improve the robustness and clarity of regulatory decision making.

154 The landscape of trials being conducted is also changing with an increasing number of proposals
155 utilising tools such as master protocols and Bayesian methods. There is a need for new guidance in
156 these areas to ensure these novel approaches meet the required evidentiary standards and facilitate
157 their evaluation. This will aid their integration into our established system for benefit-risk assessment,
158 balancing innovation with stringent safety and efficacy criteria. These innovations are related to and
159 need to be supported by the planned updates of existing guidelines, which leads to the following
160 priorities.

161 **High priority/short-term**

- 162 • Revision of guideline on multiplicity issues in clinical trials.

- 163 • Reflection Paper on the use of single arm trials.
- 164 • Revision of guideline on the non-inferiority margin and the guideline on switching between
165 superiority and non-inferiority into one guidance document.
- 166 • Reflection Paper on platform trials.
- 167 • Reflection Paper on Bayesian methods in clinical development.

168 **Long-term**

- 169 • Revision of guideline on missing data.
- 170 • Revision of small population guideline.

171 **2.1.4. Pharmacogenomics for precision medicine**

172 Biomarkers are instrumental for the quantitative and qualitative understanding of cellular physiological
173 and pathophysiological processes and mechanisms of drug efficacy as well as toxicity, the latter related
174 to projected risks of an adverse drug reaction (ADR). Predictive biomarker research and development
175 is currently a key component in the development of personalized medicines in a global setting, in
176 particular for oncological treatments. As a result, the number of predictive biomarker-guided
177 oncological medicinal products is rapidly increasing. Therefore, more regulatory importance has been
178 placed on pivotal clinical trials on the analytical methods used for predictive biomarker measurement
179 (candidate companion diagnostics) as well as on methodological approaches used to demonstrate an
180 improved benefit / risk relationship for such biomarker guided therapeutics.

181 Overall, the research field concerning biomarkers is highly dynamic and therefore poses particular
182 challenges for EMA and the respective national competent authorities. In particular, the need for new
183 guidance, revision of existing guidance, bilateral exchanges with other international regulatory
184 agencies, dialogue with stakeholders and finally support of assessors, e.g., by trainings.

185 The following priority guideline activities are currently planned and related stakeholder meetings and
186 trainings may be part of the guideline drafting/revision processes:

- 187 • Guideline on predictive biomarker-based assay development in the context of drug
188 development and lifecycle (EMA/CHMP/800914/2016).
- 189 • Revision of Good Pharmacogenomic Practice (EMA/CHMP/718998/2016).

190 **2.1.5. Data Science and AI**

191 Given the rapid development in the field of AI/ML applied to the medicinal product lifecycle, there is an
192 urgent need for regulatory guidance. The work will be building on the finalised EMA reflection paper on
193 the use of artificial intelligence in this domain.

194 Further specific guidance is required, and it is proposed to focus on two main guidance areas:

- 195 • Guideline on the use of AI in clinical development. Topics being considered include the use of
196 AI/ML-applications for selecting study sites and study participants, machine-learning derived
197 endpoints and covariates, and digital twin technology (intersecting with guidance on the use of
198 real-world data).
- 199 • Guideline on the use of AI in pharmacovigilance.

200 **2.1.6. Support to ICH activities**

201 Many ICH activities are in areas where the EU expertise is anchored in MWP and the ESEC. MWP will
202 continue to support development of ICH guidelines by providing expert input and support where
203 necessary, including in E6(r3), E11A, E20, M12, M13, M14, M15 and others as required.

204 **2.1.7. Non-guideline activities**

205 The following activities will be actively supported by MWP:

- 206 • Develop a strong expertise network including academic collaborations to increase competence
207 across the EU network of methodological assessors.
- 208 • Partner in the implementation of the priority recommendations of the HMA-EMA joint Big Data
209 Steering Group, EMRN Network Strategy to 2025, EMA Regulatory Science to 2025 Strategy
210 and ACT EU in the area of methodology, especially within the area of big data and Real-World
211 evidence (RWE).
- 212 • Implement in the EU network ICH and EMA guidelines where MWP expertise is needed, e.g.,
213 ICH E9(R1) on estimands and sensitivity analysis in therapeutic area guidelines.
- 214 • Identify applications where M&S is/should be proposed as key aspect of the regulatory
215 submissions, develop, or adapt the standards and implement a framework for optimal and
216 highest quality regulatory input
- 217 • Engage with consortia developing mechanistic models (including quantitative systems
218 pharmacology (QSP) and quantitative systems toxicology (QST) models) and consider their
219 regulatory applications. Mechanistic QSP/QST models serve for
220 gaining quantitative understanding of cellular physiological processes, mechanisms of toxicity,
221 toxicodynamic biomarkers, or projected risk of an adverse drug reaction (ADR).
- 222 • Provide appropriate support to the EU network for generic/hybrid medicines including product-
223 specific requirements.
- 224 • Develop the EU Network competence and collaborations to engage on model-based BE, by
225 actively participating in an ongoing collaborative review of relevant cases, cooperating in global
226 cluster meetings, and attracting and developing Subject Matter Expertise on this topic.
- 227 • Provide continued input to EC for revision of general pharmaceutical legislation.
- 228 • Support activity to improve/harmonize labelling in general.
- 229 • Support work on digitalized SmPC
- 230 • Review of EMA data quality framework (DQF) and follow-up chapter on implementation of DQF
231 to RWD and other chapters as appropriate.
- 232 • Participation of Methodology ESEC members in the organisation of the workshop on RWE
233 methodology (Q1 2024).

234 **2.2. Training activities**

235 **2.2.1. Training**

236 The Methodology domain will work closely with the European Network Training Centre (EU NTC) to
237 deliver core grounding in methodology, advances and state of the art methodology, reflection of hot
238 topics, and support of new regulatory developments.

239 Training will be provided on all new or revised guidance documents after they are developed. Its
240 format will depend on the complexity and novelty of the document.

241 A revision of the topics for training will be made on a yearly basis to ensure that emergent training
242 activities are provided.

243 Specific focus will be on the development and maintenance of curricula in data science, biostatistics,
244 modelling and simulation and epidemiology, with close liaison with the Big Data Steering Group and
245 EMA.

246 **2.2.2. Workshops**

247 **Workshops identified to be initiated in 2024 and 2025**

- 248 • EMA workshop on RWE methodology (Q1 2024)
- 249 • EMA workshop on mechanistic (Quantitative systems pharmacology/toxicology) exposure-
250 response models in drug development. (Q2 2024)
- 251 • EMA workshop on Concept Paper on Bayesian statistics (Q3 2024)
- 252 • EMA workshop on PBPK (Q4 2024)
- 253 • EMA workshop in relation to the guideline on predictive biomarker-based assay development in
254 the context of drug development and lifecycle (2024)
- 255 • EMA workshop on Model-based Bioequivalence and Model informed approaches for bridging
256 across formulations (Q2 2025)
- 257 • EMA workshop on dose optimization (Q4 2025)

258 **Workshops to be supported by MWP**

259 MWP will support workshops being organised by other stakeholders, including Big Data Steering Group,
260 ACT-EU, as well as events organised by other Interested Parties such as the EFSPi Regulatory
261 Workshop and regulators from other jurisdictions.

262 **2.3. Communication and stakeholder activities**

- 263 • Maintain European Specialised Expert Communities (ESEC) activities in biostatistics, M&S, PK,
264 and genomics, RWE, and AI
- 265 • Through the ESECs and Operational Expert Groups, ensure a bilateral flow of information
266 regarding methodological issues identified in regulatory submissions, the content of guidelines,
267 and proposals for new guidelines.
- 268 • Continue to have cluster meetings in the areas of biostatistics, pharmacometrics, genomics,
269 generics, and RWE. These may also be with Health Canada, Japanese and Australian regulators
270 and others depending on the area and interest.

- 271 • Annual meetings with relevant interested parties
- 272 • All relevant guidelines developed or revised will need to be supported by a workshop including
273 industry, as appropriate.
- 274 • For the longer term it will be explored if interactions can be expanded to academic
275 organisations with key roles in the drug development life cycle, professional organisations as
276 well as patient representative organisations.
- 277 • Across the Methodology domain, members will be actively present in the scientific exchange
278 and discussions on methodology in drug development and regulatory science, through
279 publishing papers, presenting in conferences, and participating as discussants in workshops.
- 280 • To share international harmonised views, joint publications with regulatory opinion leaders
281 from different jurisdictions are foreseen.
- 282 • Together with the Big Data Steering Group, create an EU Big Data 'stakeholder implementation
283 forum.' Dialogue actively with key EU stakeholders, including patients, healthcare
284 professionals, industry, HTA bodies, payers, device regulators and technology companies.
- 285 • Be mindful of the impact of forthcoming device legislation to ensure appropriate
286 communication and stakeholder activities are initiated with Notified Bodies in particular setting
287 the frame for AI software as a medical device and how that will affect borderline cases and
288 medicines development.
- 289 • Establish key communication points in national competent authorities and build a resource of
290 key messages and communication materials on regulation and methodology.

291 **2.4. Multi-disciplinary collaboration**

- 292 • A large number of therapeutic area-specific guidance documents are proposed that will be
293 delivered by other working parties in other domains. Area specific knowledge will be required
294 to specifically address methodological appropriateness. Broad representation across and
295 exchange within the Methodology domain will be required to ensure sufficient coverage for the
296 range of therapeutic areas.
- 297 • Cross-disciplinary work on the interplay between operations and methodology, especially for
298 guidance developed by Good Clinical Practice Inspectors.
- 299 • Cross disciplinary work with Quality Working Party and other stakeholders on PBBM model
300 assessment.
- 301 • In order to support adequate evaluation of model-based analyses MWP will aim to facilitate an
302 increase in presence and visibility in relevant committees of methodological expertise from
303 across the EU network such as CHMP, PRAC, PDCO, CMD(h) and CAT.
- 304 • In the area of modelling and simulation, knowledge transfer between MWP and the Big Data
305 Steering Group and RWE initiatives.
- 306 • Methodology domain experts will be involved in relevant innovation meetings such as EMA's
307 Innovation Task Force meetings with applicants.
- 308 • With respect to modelling and simulation, there is a need to contribute to Replacement,
309 Reduction and Refinement (3Rs) of animal experiments work in the non-clinical domain.
310 Statistical input may additionally be required.

- 311 • To deliver an improved access to raw data (e.g., clinical or pharmacometrics), it is proposed to
312 actively engage with the Network Advisory Group on Raw Data with members across
313 committees and working parties to examine the practical aspects of patient level data
314 visualisation and analysis, with an initial focus on clinical trial data. Training will be required in
315 processes and relevant software to facilitate this.
- 316 • Contribute to guidance being developed or to be developed for the implementation of the new
317 medical devices legislation and establish criteria to determine the accuracy, precision, reliability
318 and comparability of device-based diagnostic tests and other in vitro diagnostics.
- 319 • Contribute to guidance being developed or to be developed on device and internet-based
320 solutions for outcome assessment as part of decentralised trials.
- 321 • Strengthen EU Network processes for big data submissions. Launch a 'Big Data learnings
322 initiative' where submissions that include big data are tracked and outcomes reviewed, with
323 learnings fed into reflection papers and guidelines. Enhance the existing EU PAS register to
324 increase transparency on study methods.
- 325 • Establish the EU Network ability to assess applications supported by data science including AI
326 models created through machine-learning algorithms.
- 327 • Propose regulatory research priorities for funders in across the activities of Methodology
328 Working Party, including in the big data area. Maintain a list of research questions and propose
329 a research agenda that is a living document.

330 **3. Operational goals: medicinal product-specific activities**

331 Methodology Working Party will provide product related support upon request from Committees and
332 SAWP. The scope will cover the areas of expertise of previous WPs but also extend to additional areas
333 as RWE and AI. This will ensure collaborative support that moves beyond the narrower boundaries of
334 currently defined disciplines.

335 **4. Tactical goals: activities/projects to deliver the strategic** 336 **goals - Priorities for 2024**

337 **4.1. Guideline activities**

338 **4.1.1. Clinical Pharmacology**

339 **Pharmacokinetics**

- 340 • RP on clinical pharmacology package for oligonucleotides.
- 341 • Q&A on implications of different salts in generic products (e.g., for sunitinib, dasatinib).
- 342 • Product Specific Bioequivalence Guidelines (PSBGLs) (multiple) in liaison with CMD(h): for
343 2024, azacitidine, budesonide (LALA GIT), trametinib, dabrafenib, paliperidone palmitate (3M
344 depot) and melatonin have been prioritised as the next in series for drafting. In addition,
345 PSBGLs will also be developed for albumin-bound paclitaxel, digoxin, methylphenidate and
346 betahistine.

347 **Modelling and Simulation**

- 348 • CP and/or Q&A on design, conduct, qualification and reporting and use of exposure response
349 models (including QSP) in regulatory submissions.

- 350 • Q&A on reporting and qualification of Physiologically Based Pharmacokinetic Modelling (PBPK)
351 models.

352 **4.1.2. Real World Evidence**

- 353 • RP on the use of Real-World Data to generate Real-World Evidence in non-interventional
354 studies.
- 355 • Roadmap for the development of RWE guidance.

356 **4.1.3. Clinical Trial Modernisation**

- 357 • Revision of guideline on multiplicity issues in clinical trials.
- 358 • RP on the use of single arm trials.
- 359 • Revision of guideline on the non-inferiority margin and the guideline on switching between
360 superiority and non-inferiority into one guidance document.
- 361 • RP on platform trials.
- 362 • RP on Bayesian methods in clinical development.

363 **4.1.4. Pharmacogenomics for precision medicine**

- 364 • Guideline on predictive biomarker-based assay development in the context of drug
365 development and lifecycle (EMA/CHMP/800914/2016).
- 366 • Revision of Good Pharmacogenomic Practice (EMA/CHMP/718998/2016).

367 **4.1.5. Data Science and AI**

- 368 • Guideline on the use of AI in clinical development.
- 369 • Guideline on the use of AI in pharmacovigilance.

370 **4.1.6. Support to ICH activities**

371 MWP will continue to support development of ICH guidelines by providing expert input and support
372 where necessary, including in E6(r3), E11A, E20, M12, M13, M14, M15 and others as required.

373 **4.2. Training activities**

374 The Methodology domain will work closely with the European Network Training Centre (EU NTC) to
375 deliver core grounding in methodology, advances and state of the art methodology, reflection of hot
376 topics, and support of new regulatory developments.

377 Training will be provided on all new or revised guidance documents after they are developed. Its
378 format will depend on the complexity and novelty of the document.

379 A revision of the topics for training will be made on a yearly basis to ensure that emergent training
380 activities are provided.

381 Specific focus will be on the development and maintenance of curricula in data science, biostatistics,
382 modelling and simulation and epidemiology, with close liaison with the Big Data Steering Group and
383 EMA.

384 **Workshops identified to be initiated in 2024:**

- 385 • EMA workshop on RWE methodology (Q1 2024)
- 386 • EMA workshop on mechanistic (Quantitative systems pharmacology/toxicology) exposure-
387 response models in drug development. (Q2 2024)
- 388 • EMA workshop on Concept Paper on Bayesian statistics (Q3 2024)
- 389 • EMA workshop on PBPK (Q4 2024)
- 390 • EMA workshop in relation to the guideline on predictive biomarker-based assay development in
391 the context of drug development and lifecycle (2024)

392 **4.3. Communication and Stakeholder activities**

393 **4.3.1. Multi-disciplinary collaboration.**

- 394 • A large number of therapeutic area-specific guidance documents are proposed that will be
395 delivered by other working parties in other domains. Area specific knowledge will be required
396 to specifically address methodological appropriateness. Broad representation across and
397 exchange within the Methodology domain will be required to ensure sufficient coverage for the
398 range of therapeutic areas.
- 399 • Cross-disciplinary work on the interplay between operations and methodology, especially for
400 guidance developed by Good Clinical Practice Inspectors.
- 401 • Cross disciplinary work with Quality Working Party and other stakeholders on PBBM model
402 assessment.
- 403 • In order to support adequate evaluation of model-based analyses MWP will aim to facilitate an
404 increase in presence and visibility in relevant committees of methodological expertise from
405 across the EU network such as CHMP, PRAC, PDCO, CMD(h) and CAT.
- 406 • In the area of modelling and simulation, knowledge transfer between MWP and the Big Data
407 Steering Group and real-world data initiatives.
- 408 • Methodology domain experts will be involved in relevant meetings such as EMA's Innovation
409 Taskforce meetings with companies.
- 410 • With respect to modelling and simulation, there is a need to contribute to Replacement,
411 Reduction and Refinement (3Rs) of animal experiments work in the non-clinical domain.
412 Statistical input may additionally be required.
- 413 • To deliver an improved access to raw data (e.g., clinical or pharmacometrics), it is proposed to
414 actively engage with the Network Advisory Group on Raw Data with members across
415 committees and working parties to examine the practical aspects of patient level data
416 visualisation and analysis, with an initial focus on clinical trial data. Training will be required in
417 processes and relevant software to facilitate this.
- 418 • Contribute to guidance being developed or to be developed for the implementation of the new
419 medical devices legislation and establish criteria to determine the accuracy, precision, reliability
420 and comparability of device-based diagnostic tests and other in vitro diagnostics.
- 421 • Contribute to guidance being developed or to be developed on device and internet-based
422 solutions for outcome assessment as part of decentralised trials.
- 423 • Strengthen EU Network processes for big data submissions. Launch a 'Big Data learnings
424 initiative' where submissions that include big data are tracked and outcomes reviewed, with

425 learnings fed into reflection papers and guidelines. Enhance the existing EU PAS register to
426 increase transparency on study methods.

427 • Establish the EU Network ability to assess applications supported by data science including AI
428 models created through machine-learning algorithms.

429 • Propose regulatory research priorities for funders in across the activities of Methodology
430 Working Party, including in the big data area. Maintain a list of research questions and propose
431 a research agenda that is a living document.

432 **4.3.2. European level**

433 • Maintain European Specialised Expert Communities (ESEC) activities in biostatistics, M&S, PK,
434 and genomics, RWE, and AI.

435 • Through the ESECs and Operational Expert Groups, ensure a bilateral flow of information
436 regarding methodological issues identified in regulatory submissions, the content of guidelines,
437 and proposals for new guidelines.

438 • Together with the Big Data Steering Group, create an EU Big Data 'stakeholder implementation
439 forum.' Dialogue actively with key EU stakeholders, including patients, healthcare
440 professionals, industry, HTA bodies, payers, device regulators and technology companies.

441 • Be mindful of the impact of forthcoming device legislation to ensure appropriate
442 communication and stakeholder activities are initiated with Notified Bodies in particular setting
443 the frame for AI software as a medical device and how that will affect borderline cases and
444 medicines development.

445 • Establish key communication points in national competent authorities and build a resource of
446 key messages and communication materials on regulation and methodology.

447 **4.3.3. International level**

448 • Continue to have cluster meetings in the areas of biostatistics, pharmacometrics, genomics,
449 generics, and RWE. These may also be with Health Canada, Japanese and Australian regulators
450 and others depending on the area and interest.

451 **4.3.4. Industry level**

452 • Annual meetings with relevant interested parties.

453 • All relevant guidelines developed or revised will need to be supported by a workshop including
454 industry, as appropriate.

455 • For the longer term it will be explored if interactions can be expanded to academic
456 organisations with key roles in the drug development life cycle, professional organisations as
457 well as patient representative organisations.

458 • Across the Methodology domain, members will be actively present in the scientific exchange
459 and discussions on methodology in drug development and regulatory science, through
460 publishing papers, presenting in conferences, and participating as discussants in workshops.

461 • To share international harmonised views, joint publications with regulatory opinion leaders
462 from different jurisdictions are foreseen.