



EUROPEAN MEDICINES AGENCY
SCIENCE MEDICINES HEALTH

24 July 2026
EMA/CVMP/IWP/250147/2008 – Rev.1*
Committee for Medicinal Products for Veterinary Use (CVMP)

Guideline on data requirements to support in-use stability claims for veterinary vaccines

Adoption by Committee for Veterinary Medicinal Products (CVMP)	10 March 2010
Date for coming into effect	1 October 2010
Revised guideline (revision 1) agreed by Immunologicals Working Party (IWP)	22 April 2026
Adopted by CVMP	16 July 2026
Date for coming into effect	16 January 2027

*The current revision consists of administrative changes made in order to align the guideline to the Regulation (EU) 2019/6. The references to the legislation applicable and other scientific guidelines have also been updated. As no changes were made to the scientific content, no concept paper and no public consultation were deemed necessary.

Keywords	In-use shelf-life, in-use stability, potency, microbiological safety
----------	--



Guideline on data requirements to support in-use stability claims for veterinary vaccines

Table of contents

Executive summary	3
1. Introduction	3
2. Scope	3
3. Legal basis	3
4. General concepts.....	3
5. Design of the in-use stability study	4
5.1. Potency testing	5
5.2. Microbial safety	5
Definitions	5
References	6

Executive summary

This guideline outlines the data that should be provided in support of in-use shelf life claims for veterinary vaccines. The aim of this guideline is to provide a framework, which will enable applicants to maintain a consistent approach when generating data in support of allocating an appropriate in-use shelf life to a veterinary vaccine, based on existing regulatory requirements

1. Introduction

Veterinary vaccines may be marketed as either single or multidose presentations. There are various recommended routes of administration for vaccines, e.g. parenteral use, oral administration following reconstitution in drinking water or in feed, respiratory/oral route by spray application. The length of time it takes for the user to administer the vaccine to the target species, and/or for the take of the vaccine by the target species (e.g. in the case of vaccine administered via drinking water) can vary considerably. The duration of this period for each vaccine is defined as the in-use shelf life. Appropriate data are required to demonstrate that the vaccine retains an acceptable quality profile and remains efficacious throughout the in-use shelf life.

2. Scope

This guideline outlines the data requirements, which should be provided in support of claims for in-use stability of veterinary vaccines.

3. Legal basis

This guideline should be read in conjunction with section IIIb of Annex II to Regulation (EU) 2019/6.

4. General concepts

The demonstration of the in-use stability of veterinary vaccines is requested in section IIIb.2G of Annex II to Regulation (EU) 2019/6 as follows:

Where a finished product requires reconstitution prior to administration or is administered in drinking water, details of the proposed shelf life are required for the product reconstituted as recommended. Data in support of the proposed shelf life for the reconstituted product shall be submitted.

In the case of multi-dose containers, where relevant, stability data shall be presented to justify a shelf life for the product after it has been broached or opened for the first time and an in-use shelf-life specification shall be defined.

The general principles for demonstrating an appropriate in-use shelf life will be similar regardless of the different pharmaceutical forms of the vaccine, consisting of data to demonstrate acceptable potency and microbial safety under defined conditions of use for the duration of the proposed in-use period.

For some vaccines, a recommendation to use immediately will be stated on the product information (PI) instead of a defined in-use shelf life. For such products, and if it is practical to use immediately (e.g. single dose presentations), since an in-use shelf life is not defined no data are required. The

warning to use the vaccine immediately should not be proposed by applicants as a substitute for generating in-use stability data in circumstances whereby it is not possible to administer all the doses in a short time frame, e.g. multidose presentations containing a large number of doses.

It is important that due consideration is given when proposing an in-use shelf life, that the proposed time will be sufficient to permit vaccination of the maximum number of target animals according to the number of doses and according to the recommended conditions of use as instructed in the PI. The proposed in-use shelf life shall not be longer than necessary to administer all doses to the target animals. For multidose parenteral vaccines, it is normally accepted that a shelf-life of no longer than one working day (maximum 10 hours) after first broaching is expected but a longer shelf life may be acceptable if the claim is supported by relevant in-use stability data.

5. Design of the in-use stability study

In support of the proposed in-use shelf life, data from two different batches of finished product should be provided. This data shall demonstrate compliance with the critical stability-indicating parameter(s) at time zero (T0) (i.e. when the primary container is first opened or when the vaccine is manipulated into final formulation for administration), and after T0 plus the proposed in-use shelf life (T0 +X hours). The vaccine should be tested after it has been prepared for use as per the instructions in the PI at T0 and T0+X hours.

The conditions for the in-use stability study should be designed to mimic use of the product in practice taking into account the number of doses in the container and any dilution/reconstitution before use. For example, reconstituted vaccine, which should be administered in drinking water at ambient temperatures in a poultry house, or vaccine which should be diluted in a water bath at a defined temperature for administration by immersion to fish, should not be stored in a sterile refrigerated environment during the in-use stability study. However, mimicking the conditions of use in the field will not be applicable in all cases e.g. for an inactivated suspension for injection in a sealed immediate container. The study design should be documented in the dossier and justified by the applicant.

The suitability of the tests conducted, and the acceptance limits chosen for the demonstration of in-use stability should be justified.

Data from pilot scale batches are acceptable once it is confirmed that the method of manufacture is identical to Part 2 of the marketing authorisation dossier, and provided that the batches conform to the finished product specifications.

Stability data obtained from combined products may be used where adequately justified for derivative products containing one or more of the same components.

Information on the efficacy of preservatives in other similar immunological veterinary medicinal products from the same manufacturer may be sufficient. In such cases, consideration must be given to the following: differences in the in-use conditions for the similar vaccine and the test vaccine; the effect of differences in the chemical and physical characteristics of each vaccine formulation on the performance of the preservative, possible interactions between the container and the preservative for the test vaccine.

5.1. Potency testing

For live vaccines, potency testing should be performed at T0 and T0+X hours and the results must demonstrate that the potency will not decrease below the minimum guaranteed titre at T0+X hours. Any loss in titre during the in-use period must not be of a magnitude that would permit a vaccine to fall below the minimum guaranteed titre if it was a “worst case scenario” batch (i.e. a batch that was released at the minimum release titre and that was used near the end of the finished product shelf life).

For inactivated vaccines, if the proposed in-use shelf life is less than one working day (maximum 10 hours) it is acceptable to omit the potency testing from the in-use shelf life stability study.

In the case of inactivated vaccines with a proposed in-use shelf life of more than 10 hours for which a suitable *in vitro* method is not available for the batch potency test, in-use stability data from one batch, rather than two, may be submitted with the initial application. This approach would be acceptable if the results from one batch are supportive of the proposed in-use shelf life. Justification should be provided by the applicant for the use of only one batch in the in-use stability study, and a commitment should be made that at the next time the batch potency test is conducted for routine release of the product, that the potency will also be tested at the end of the proposed in-use shelf life. The complete in-use stability study should be submitted when the remaining data from the second batch are available.

5.2. Microbial safety

The microbial safety of the vaccine should be demonstrated over the proposed in-use shelf life. If microbial safety data is not considered necessary in support of the proposed in-use shelf life, this should be justified by the applicant.

If an antimicrobial preservative is included in the vaccine, the efficacy of the antimicrobial preservative under in-use conditions should be demonstrated in accordance with the European Pharmacopoeia monograph *Vaccines for Veterinary Use* (0062), which includes the requirement that the efficacy of the antimicrobial preservative is tested as described in Ph. Eur. 5.1.3. During development studies, the effectiveness of the antimicrobial preservative has to be demonstrated throughout the shelf life.

With regard to the in-use stability study, the T0+X hours vaccine sample should be tested as described in Ph. Eur. 5.1.3 to demonstrate the efficacy of the preservative at the end of the proposed in-use shelf life. If the test for efficacy of antimicrobial preservation cannot be performed, in that case it should be shown that the content of the antimicrobial preservative at the end of in-use shelf life is not less than the minimum content demonstrated to be efficacious at the end of the shelf life. If there is no antimicrobial preservative in the vaccine, if the product cannot be used immediately and an in-use shelf life is proposed, the applicant should demonstrate that the product remains acceptable for its recommended period of use. In this case, data to show that there is no increase in microbiological contamination relative to an appropriate control (e.g. solvent or unmedicated drinking water) between T0 and T0+X hours may be deemed supportive.

Definitions

In-use shelf life: the maximum length of time recommended for use of a veterinary vaccine as used under recommended conditions, for which the level of quality, safety and efficacy of the vaccine has been demonstrated to be acceptable.

References

European Pharmacopoeia monograph Vaccines for Veterinary Use (0062)

European Pharmacopoeia chapter Efficacy of Antimicrobial Preservation (50103)