



1 19 January 2026
2 EMA/4366/2026
3 Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP)
4

5 **Concept paper on the revision of the Guideline on Clinical
6 Evaluation of Diagnostic agents and its appendix 1 on
7 imaging agents**

8

Agreed by Methodology Working Party (MWP)	September 2025
Adopted by CHMP for release for consultation	19 January 2026
Start of public consultation	30 January 2026
End of consultation (deadline for comments)	30 April 2026

9
10 The proposed guideline will replace the 'GUIDELINE ON CLINICAL EVALUATION OF DIAGNOSTIC30
11 April 2026 AGENTS' (CPMP/EWP/1119/98/Rev. 1) and the 'APPENDIX 1 TO THE GUIDELINE ON
12 CLINICAL EVALUATION OF DIAGNOSTIC AGENTS (CPMP/EWP/1119/98 REV. 1) ON IMAGING AGENTS'
13 (EMEA/CHMP/EWP/321180/2008).

14

Comments should be provided using this EUSurvey [form](#). For any technical issues, please contact the [EUSurvey Support](#).

15

Keywords	Diagnostic agents, imaging agents, theranostics, marketing authorisation
----------	--

16

17 **1. Introduction**

18 Diagnostic agents are medicinal products used for diagnosis and/or monitoring of a disease. These
19 diagnostic medicinal products include contrast agents (for computer tomography – CT, magnetic
20 resonance tomography – MRI, ultrasound), radiopharmaceuticals (radionuclide generators, ready for
21 use radiopharmaceuticals, radionuclide precursors, kits for radiopharmaceutical preparations),
22 dyes/colouring agents/fluorophores, and non-imaging agents. The licensing of diagnostic agents is
23 subject to the same stringent regulatory requirements as other medicinal products, ensuring a uniform
24 standard of safety, efficacy, and quality. The principles used for the evaluation of medicinal products
25 with respect to quality, pharmacology, toxicology, pharmacokinetics and safety also apply to diagnostic
26 agents; however, as diagnostic agents are used to diagnose and/or monitor diseases/conditions and



27 not for treatment, clinical development programmes should be adapted for these purposes. As for
28 other medicinal products, benefits and risks related to the use of diagnostic agents are taken into
29 account when granting a marketing authorisation.

30 **2. Problem statement**

31 The current guideline on Clinical evaluation of Diagnostic Agents (CPMP/EWP/1119/98/Rev. 1) was
32 adopted in 2009. The same applies to its APPENDIX 1 (CHMP/EWP/321180/2008) on imaging agents.
33 Since then, the scientific landscape and the regulatory thinking have evolved, and the guideline needs
34 to reflect such evolution.

35 **3. Discussion (on the problem statement)**

36 In the proposed update of the guidance documents, a range of essential issues will be systematically
37 addressed to ensure the guidance reflects current scientific and regulatory standards and provides
38 clear and practical advice to stakeholders.

39 The following issues will be addressed:

40 ***General improvements***

- 41 - Restructuring of the guideline is necessary to better accommodate the specific characteristics of
42 different types of diagnostic medicinal products. This differentiation is necessary to ensure that
43 each type of diagnostic agent is addressed with the level of detail and specificity it requires:
 - 44 - Radiopharmaceuticals (radionuclide generators, radionuclide precursors, and kits for
45 radiopharmaceutical preparation, ready for use radiopharmaceuticals);
 - 46 - Contrast agents (for MRI, CT, Ultrasound, fluorophores/optical imaging);
 - 47 - Non-imaging agents, including dyes/colourants.
- 48 - Readability and nomenclature will be improved to remove ambiguity, facilitate implementation, and
49 promote regulatory consistency. This will include:
 - 50 - Streamlining of the text, update and standardisation of (the list of) definitions, inclusion of
51 cross-references, restructuring/rewording of the text to improve readability, etc.

52 ***Guidance on the required clinical packages to substantiate specific indications and clinical
53 situations will be elaborated to provide applicants with a clearer understanding of the
54 expectations for different indications and clinical contexts. This is relevant to reduce
55 uncertainty, align study planning with regulatory needs, and improve the efficiency of
56 dossier assessment. The following clinical situations/indications will be addressed:***

- 57 - Theranostics/patient selection for targeted treatment/prediction: Given the growing evidence
58 of the use of radiopharmaceuticals for patient selection and therapy prediction in clinical
59 practice, detailed regulatory guidance is required;
- 60 - Monitoring of the course of a disease and/or treatment effects: Increasing clinical use of
61 molecular imaging for longitudinal disease monitoring highlights the need for guidance on
62 acceptable study designs, endpoints, and validation of imaging medicinal products;
- 63 - Prognostic indication: Although diagnostic imaging is applied in the experimental settings,
64 formal regulatory standards for demonstrating prognostic value are not yet established;

65 - Claims: "detection of distribution and density" of specific molecules/structures: Based on
66 accumulated regulatory experience, specific guidance will be provided;

67 - Diagnosis of vascular pathology/angiography: Based on accumulated regulatory experience,
68 specific and harmonised guidance will be provided;

69 - Lymphography and lymph node detection: Based on accumulated regulatory experience,
70 specific and harmonised guidance will be provided;

71 - Intraoperative detection of a pathology: Given the established role of radiopharmaceuticals in
72 intraoperative diagnostics, guidance is needed to define the level of clinical evidence required,
73 appropriate study endpoints, and methodological aspects to serve regulatory purpose;

74 - Further indications, as relevant.

75 ***Guidance on various overarching topics in clinical development will be improved and***
76 ***extended. These refinements are essential to ensure that development programmes for***
77 ***diagnostic agents generate robust, interpretable, and clinically relevant data:***

78 - Relevance of proper **dose-finding**, and posology (weight-based vs. Fixed dose range,
79 calculation of effective dose, etc.);

80 - Relevance of selection of the optimal **time-to-scan**;

81 - Development of **image read methodology**: relevance of early and proper evaluation and
82 subsequent validation in phase 3; recommendations regarding the methods (e.g. ROC curves),
83 etc.;

84 - Ability of diagnostic imaging to **differentiate from concomitant conditions** – relevance and
85 how the data shall be gained;

86 - Relevance of **quantitative/semiquantitative reading methods** and requirements for the
87 clinical package;

88 - Considerations for **AI-assisted diagnostic methods**.

89 ***Guidance on Technical performance will be improved and extended, emphasizing its pivotal***
90 ***role in ensuring the reproducibility and reliability of diagnostic tools:***

91 - Requirements for **intra- and inter-reader variability** testing: confirmatory vs. non-
92 confirmatory testing, definition of benchmarks for the acceptable variability, guidance for
93 interpretation.

94 - Requirements for **test-re-test reliability** of diagnostic methods (variability of repeated
95 scans): particularly relevant in the context of longitudinal assessments, e.g. for the indication
96 "monitoring of disease progression/treatment effects".

97 ***The guideline will be modified to incorporate information on the attributes of the estimands***
98 ***(EMA/CHMP/ICH/436221/2017); and additional guidance on methodology of assessment of***
99 ***efficacy will be provided to better support in designing scientifically sound clinical studies:***

100 - **Study design: Examples of clinical study designs** will be included for various indications
101 and specific clinical situations: e.g. Alzheimer's Disease (or other dementias), cancer staging,
102 lymph node detection, detection of vascular pathology, monitoring of response to treatment,
103 etc.);

104 - Precise definition of and additional guidance will be provided on

105 - **(surrogate) standard of truth.**

106 - **choice of comparators;**

107 - Guidance will be given on how to accurately define the **scientific question of interest**;

108 - **Outcomes:** detailed guidance will be provided on

109 - **parameters relevant for the assessment of clinical benefit**, (e.g., **diagnostic**
110 **thinking, impact on patient management**), including the assessment methodology
111 thereof (e.g., validation needed, separate questionnaire on diagnostic thinking/definition);

112 - the use of "**clinical outcomes**" as an endpoint (e.g., when "clinical outcomes" can be used
113 as proof of efficacy);

114 - the use and acceptability of **subject-, region-, and lesion-based outcomes, including**
115 the requirement of location-matched analysis;

116 - **assessment of concordance/agreement**

117 - **detection rates** as primary endpoints (e.g., when to use).

118 - Choice of **summary measure**: guidance will be provided on the role of different summary
119 measures, including the merits of likelihoods versus measures that are dependent on
120 prevalence such as positive/negative predictive value;

121 - **Intercurrent events** will be discussed.

122 ***Additional guidance on assessment of safety to ensure adequate risk characterisation,
123 especially in situations involving repeated exposure and radioactive substances will be
124 provided:***

125 - Need of more extended safety assessment in case of **repeated use** and depending on the
126 administered dose and the substance characteristics;

127 - Detailed guidance on evaluation of **radiation safety** (e.g. especially in the case if repeated
128 scans are targeted, need of evaluation of external radiation/exposure to radiation of health
129 care professionals and family members).

130 **Other**

131 - Basic rules and criteria for the use of **published evidence** will be defined, specifying
132 acceptable sources and criteria for acceptance of published studies, to ensure that literature-
133 based evidence meets quality standards.

134 **4. Recommendation**

135 The MWP and CHMP recommend revising the existing Guideline on Clinical Evaluation of Diagnostics
136 Agents and its Appendix 1 on Imaging Agents taking into account the issues identified above.

137 **5. Proposed timetable**

138 The Concept paper will be published for a three-month public consultation period. MWP will take into
139 account all comments received during the public consultation on the concept paper when preparing the
140 draft guideline.

141 It is planned to release for consultation a draft CHMP guidance document not later than Q3 2027.

142 **6. Resource requirements for preparation**

143 The preparation of the concept paper and the further revision of the guideline will involve the EMA-
144 MWP and the temporary Drafting Group appointed by the MWP. Other Working Parties of the CHMP will
145 be consulted.

146 **7. Impact assessment (anticipated)**

147 This proposed revised guideline on the clinical evaluation of diagnostic agents including imaging agents
148 will address specific regulatory and methodological aspects not covered, or requiring update and
149 clarification in the current version of the guideline and its appendix 1. These aspects will improve the
150 development and marketing authorisation process of this class of medicinal products for the benefit of
151 all stakeholders.

152 **8. Interested parties**

153 Pharmaceutical Industry, Healthcare Professionals associations (e.g., European Association of Nuclear
154 Medicine (EANM), European Society of Urogenital Radiology (ESUR), European Association of
155 Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI), Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging (SNMMI),
156 European Society of Radiology (ESR), European Society of Cardiovascular Radiology (ESCR), European
157 Society of Gastrointestinal and Abdominal Radiology (ESGAR), European Society for Head and Neck
158 Radiology (eshnr), European Society of Oncologic Imaging (ESOI), European Society of Paediatric
159 Radiology (ESPR)), Patients' organisations, EU Competent Authorities.

160 **9. References to literature, guidelines, etc.**

161 Directives of the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM) (Directive 2013/59/Euratom).

162 Directive 2001/83/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 6 November 2001 on
163 the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use as amended

164 GUIDELINE ON CLINICAL EVALUATION OF DIAGNOSTIC AGENTS (CPMP/EWP/1119/98/Rev. 1)

165 APPENDIX 1 TO THE GUIDELINE ON CLINICAL EVALUATION OF DIAGNOSTIC AGENTS
166 (CPMP/EWP/1119/98 REV. 1) ON IMAGING AGENTS (EMEA/CHMP/EWP/321180/2008)